Effect of Topical Nimodipine Versus Its Ethanol-Containing Vehicle on Cat Pial Arteries
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SUMMARY Nimodipine and its solvent containing ethanol were tested in a randomized in vivo study by local administration to the outer vessel wall surface of pial arteries and veins in 15 anesthetized cats. Reactions were studied through a cranial window. Diameter variations of 90 arterial and 78 venous vessel segments were continuously analyzed using a multichannel videoangiometer. The solvent alone caused minor though statistically significant (p < 0.001) 7.6% dilatation, 8% in small and 7% in large arteries, which returned to their resting state after stopping treatment. 2.4 x 10⁻⁵ M nimodipine plus solvent induced a 21% pial arterial dilatation (p < 0.001), 26% in small and 17% in large arteries; dilatation induced by nimodipine plus solvent was significantly greater than dilatation by the solvent alone (p < 0.001). After ceasing topical administration, arteries remained dilated by some 5%.

Pial veins exhibited only minor reaction, i.e. a 6% (statistical n.s.) dilatation of large veins during nimodipine, and an 8% dilatation of small veins 20 minutes after stopping nimodipine. During solvent-administration rCBF, as estimated with the hydrogen clearance technique, remained unchanged. It is concluded that the dilatatory effect of the investigated compound on pial arteries is predominantly due to nimodipine.

CALCIUM ANTAGONISTS as cerebrovascular dilators have recently come into discussion for a potential protective effect against cerebral vasospasm, a threatening complication from a ruptured cerebral aneurysm.

Thus, the lipophilic compound nimodipine (Bay e 9736) has been shown to dilate pial arteries in vitro and in vivo both in animal experiments and in man during intravenous administration. This dilatation of resistance vessels results in a selective increase of cerebral and myocardial blood flow which is even more pronounced after opening of the blood-brain barrier. Perivascular administration of the drug, therefore, appeared to be a promising clinical approach. Since nimodipine is dissolved in ethanol and propylene glycol, it has been suggested that the main protective effect of the compound was due to its content of ethanol. The present study was, therefore, undertaken to separate the vascular effects of nimodipine and ethanol when topically applied in concentrations currently routinely used in clinical neurosurgery. Only one concentration of nimodipine was used for testing against the solvent, since it had previously proven satisfyingly effective in vitro as well as during aneurysm surgery.

Materials and Methods

Experiments were performed in 15 cats of either sex with a body weight of 1.5–3 kg. The animals were anesthetized with 30 mg kg⁻¹ sodium pentobarbital, relaxed with 6 μg kg⁻¹ pancuronium bromide and respired with a 3:1 mixture of N₂O:O₂, using a Loosco baby respirator. A femoral vein and artery were cannulated with PVC catheters for blood pressure monitoring and blood gas sampling. With the animal in sphinx position, a closed cranial window was made in the left parietal region as described in detail earlier. Only one concentration of nimodipine was used for testing against the solvent, since it had previously proven satisfyingly effective in vitro as well as during aneurysm surgery.
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ty of mock CSF was superfused again at a rate of 6 ml/h for 10 minutes (i.e. 50 μl solvent and 1 ml mock CSF), followed by mock CSF for 30 minutes. The solvent contains 200 g/l ethanol, 170 g/l polyethylene-glycol, 2 g/l Na-citrate, 0.3 g/l citric-acid in distilled water.

In 4 solvent-only treated cats, regional cortical blood flow was measured before starting and shortly before stopping the solvent-superfusion. The hydrogen clearance technique\(^{14,15}\) was employed using 50 μm-platinum electrodes insulated with resin inserted into the cortex in the cranial window under observation. Furrows were made in the edge of the trepanation to guide the wires extracranially underneath the glass window.

Statistical evaluation of pial arterial and venous diameter variations were performed using Friedman’s Chi-square Test.

**Results**

In the total of 15 animals, 87 pial arterial segments with resting diameters between 40 and 268 μm and 78 pial venous segments with resting diameters between 42 and 366 μm were analyzed.

**Arteries (fig. 1a–c)**

Within ten minutes of nimodipine administration, arteries showed a significant dilatation, maximal after 10 minutes with +21% ± 2.6 SEM, stronger in small (+26% ± 4 SEM) than in large arteries (+17% ± 3 SEM).
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Semantic Analysis:

The document discusses the dilatory effects of nimodipine on pial arteries and veins. Nimodipine-treated arteries remained significantly wider than solvent-treated arteries. The solvent dilated arteries significantly more than mock CSF controls. A maximal dilatation of +8% ± 2.4 SEM in small arteries was achieved after 15 minutes, i.e. 5 minutes after stopping superfusion. Thereafter arteries of all sizes returned to their resting calibres.

Mock CSF induced a slow dilatation of large and small arteries amounting to +7% ± 2.3 SEM and +6% ± 3.2 SEM respectively, after 25 to 30 minutes. Thereafter small arteries returned to around 2% above their resting calibres, whereas large arteries remained approximately +6%, i.e. significantly wider than controls.

Veins (fig. 2a–c)

Only in the veins larger than 100 μm did nimodipine show a significant dilatation of 6 ± 1.6% SEM within 10 minutes of application.

Discussion

The present data demonstrate, that nimodipine, when locally applied, dilates pial arteries to a significant extent. The smaller arteries are more affected than...
larger ones. Only a minor part of this effect is due to the solvent.

The present findings are similar to the reactions of pial arteries to topically applied nifedipine which is also diluted in an ethanol-containing vehicle. In contrast to nifedipine, nimodipine has no effect on pial veins, as has previously been observed in experimental and clinical studies. The proportion of drug versus solvent effect was, however, dose-dependent in the study of Brandt et al. 

The solvent of nimodipine, known to contain ethanol and propylene glycol, was considered to be the main substance responsible for the reactions of cerebral vessels, when compared to the reactions of nifedipine plus solvent. A significantly higher increase in the tolerance of mice to hypoxia was described in tests of pretreatment with ethanol using doses of 0.2–2.1 mmol/animal intravenously and 0.2–4.2 mmol/animal intraperitoneally in comparison to the effects of nimodipine in its vehicle substance. The finding that "nifedipine does not add to the protective effects of ethanol" is not comparable with the present results, because of different doses used.

In another study by Altura et al. topical administration of doses between 10 to 500 mg/dl ethanol caused distinct constriction. Ethanol thus seems to exert a dose-dependent dilatatory or constrictor effect on cerebral vessels which plays a very minor role when compared to the reactions of nimodipine plus solvent. A significantly higher increase in the tolerance of mice to hypoxia was described in tests of pretreatment with ethanol using doses of 0.2–2.1 mmol/animal intravenously and 0.2–4.2 mmol/animal intraperitoneally in comparison to the effects of nimodipine in its vehicle substance. The finding that "nifedipine does not add to the protective effects of ethanol" is not comparable with the present results, because of different doses used.
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