Progressive neurological deterioration in patients with recent stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) is a distressing and all too common occurrence. Anticoagulation is an important therapeutic consideration for many of these patients. The use of heparin in patients with acute brain ischemia will be reviewed in this paper.

Anticoagulation with heparin is often considered in the following clinical settings: 1) progressing stroke; 2) acute, partial stroke; 3) recent TIA, especially if multiple; and 4) cardioembolic stroke. Anticoagulation is of no value and in fact may be detrimental in completed thrombotic strokes.¹

Progressing Stroke

Although progressing stroke (stroke-in-evolution) has been recognized for more than 30 years, no clinical definition is universally accepted.² Progressing stroke describes an acute ischemic neurological deficit or constellation of deficits that increase in severity, extent, or number in the minutes or hours after onset. The increase may be gradual, stepwise, or fluctuating with periods of improvement.³ Ideally, this progression is observed by a physician, but the patient or family can often provide a compelling account that allows reliable diagnosis.

Progressing stroke is common, occurring in 15–20% of carotid distribution infarcts⁴⁻¹⁰ and in about 40% of those in the vertebrobasilar circulation.¹¹⁻¹³ Vertebrobasilar system strokes may progress for as long as 96 hours, while carotid territory strokes are generally completed in 24–48 hours.¹² Once a progressive course has declared itself, further deterioration will occur in one third of patients.⁷⁻¹⁶⁻¹⁸

A progressive course complicates several different mechanisms of brain ischemia. Large arterial thrombosis is often the first consideration, but distal field or "watershed" infarcts and lacunar strokes due to small penetrating artery disease frequently present in this manner as well.²⁻¹³ More than half the intracerebral hemorrhages have a smooth or gradual course.³ Even cardioembolic strokes, usually characterized by a sudden maximal deficit, have a smooth or stuttering onset about 20% of the time.³ A number of other entities, including tumors, subdural hematomas, infections, intoxications, and even metabolic disturbances such as hypoglycemia, may mimic progressing stroke, emphasizing that accurate diagnosis must precede any consideration of antithrombotic therapy.

Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the progression of thrombotic strokes. Enlargement of a thrombus can cause further obstruction, or distal–proximal propagation of thrombus can occlude collateral channels.¹³ Thrombus or arteriosclerotic debris may also embolize distally. Other, nonthromboembolic causes of clinical worsening must also be considered. Cerebral edema can cause clinical worsening, usually manifested by increasing obtundation several days after large infarcts. Systemic and metabolic factors, such as decreased cardiac output, hypotension, hypoxia, hyper- and hypoglycemia, electrolyte disturbances, and infections, account for at least 25% of progressive impairments in this setting.¹²⁻¹⁴

Several early clinical studies suggested that heparin benefits patients with progressing stroke.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Although two studies were randomized trials (Table 1), the lack of precise criteria for entry and outcome, the inability to identify small hemorrhages in the pre–computerized tomography (CT) era, nonblind observation, and the small number of patients make these studies inadequate by current methodological standards.

In a recent uncontrolled study Haley et al¹⁸ reported that 43% of 28 patients with progressing stroke continued to progress despite conventional heparin dosages (partial thromboplastin time, PTT 60–120 seconds). The efficacy of heparin for lacunar strokes has also been questioned recently.¹⁹ Nevertheless, at present, progressing infarct (stroke-in-evolution) is a generally accepted, although unproven, indication for urgent anticoagulation.

Acute, Partial Stroke

On initial evaluation it is not possible to determine which stroke patients will have a progressive course. Because of the frequency of progressing stroke (15–40%) and the relative safety of heparin (see below) in nonhemorrhagic infarcts, some advocate administration of heparin to all patients presenting within 24–48 hours of a stroke with submaximal deficit in an attempt to prevent progression.

The preliminary report of a recent randomized, double-blind trial of heparin in 220 patients with acute partial stroke in the carotid or vertebrobasilar distributions indicated that 16% of treated patients pro-
progressed compared with 19% of controls, a nonsignificant difference.6 No hemorrhagic complications occurred. Evaluation of efficacy in stroke subtypes (cardiotonic versus vertebrobasilar, lacunar versus nonlacunar) awaits full publication of the results. In an uncontrolled study of 136 patients with acute stroke who received heparin, only 3% had progressing or new infarction, but serious bleeding occurred in 4%.29 Pending further data and more effective therapy, on an empirical basis we anticoagulate some patients with partial stroke in the vertebrobasilar system because of the substantial risk (40%) of progression.

**Recent TIA**

TIA stands as one of the most important indicators of impending stroke, with the greatest risk in the weeks following onset of TIA.21 "Crescendo" TIA describes the occurrence of multiple TIAs over a few hours to a few days, often increasing in duration and in severity of deficit. The natural history has not been systematically studied, but anecdotal experience suggests that this pattern of TIA is particularly ominous, frequently heralding imminent brain infarction.22,23

In an uncontrolled, observational study of consecutive patients with acute TIA treated with heparin within 72 hours, 12 (17%) had continued TIAs, and five (7%) subsequently experienced brain infarction.22 However, two of these five strokes were related to angiography, and another occurred when heparin was discontinued prior to carotid surgery. Hemorrhage occurred in nine patients (13%). Still, in patients with recent or crescendo TIAs, heparin is widely used.

**Cardioembolic Stroke**

About 14% of patients who experience cardiogenic brain embolism will have a second embolism to the brain within 2 weeks of the initial event.24,25 The risk of these early, recurrent emboli is similar for most major cardioembolic sources (e.g., atrial fibrillation, ischemic heart disease, mitral stenosis). Early anticoagulation reduces the likelihood of recurrent emboli to about one third of its natural rate.24,25 Heparin would seem ideal for these patients in whom intracardiac thrombosis is the presumed culprit.

Unfortunately, cardioembolic brain infarctions have a special predisposition to undergo hemorrhagic transformation (Figure 1).26,27 Distal migration of embolic fragments from their initial site of obstruction allows reperfusion of the infarcted tissue, often with multifocal capillary bleeding into the infarct. Usually, such secondary bleeding is minimal and seldom results in clinical worsening. In patients receiving anticoagulants, however, massive brain hemorrhage and clinical deterioration can occur. The clinical dilemma pits the risk of recurrent embolism without heparin against the risk of accentuated hemorrhage with anticoagulation.

A key determinant in the safety of anticoagulation is the timing of reperfusion. Virtually all hemorrhagic transformations occur after 6 hours but before 48 hours from stroke onset and generally involve large infarcts.24,26 Hence, it is prudent to delay anticoagulation for 24–48 hours in cardioembolic strokes and even longer in massive cardioembolic infarcts to avoid potentiation of hemorrhagic transformation.

**Heparin Therapy**

Heparin is generally started as an intravenous bolus of 5,000–10,000 units followed by a continuous intravenous infusion at 1,000 units/hr initially and adjusted thereafter to maintain the PTT at 2–2½ times control.

In patients with recent cardioembolic stroke, anecdotal experience suggests that bolus dosing should be avoided and the infusion started at 1,000 units/hr.24 The risks of short-term anticoagulation with heparin are relatively low (1–4%) depending on the specific clinical setting (Table 2).

**Recommendations**

Before an antithrombotic agent can be considered in acute stroke patients, it is essential that nonischemic causes of the neurological deficit be excluded. When the nature of the stroke syndrome cannot be reliably defined, the risk of anticoagulation exceeds any potential benefit.

Anticoagulation of selected patients with acute ischemic stroke syndromes is often recommended to prevent further brain injury. At present, these recommendations are based on anecdotal observations or marginal clinical studies. Particularly in patients with recent, single TIA or acute partial stroke, the efficacy of heparin has been seriously questioned by recent

---

**Table 1. Heparin for Progressing Stroke: Randomized Trials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Further progression</th>
<th>Fatal hemorrhage</th>
<th>All deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carter (1961)15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National study (1962)16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1. Hemorrhagic transformation of cardioembolic strokes is postulated to occur when distal migration of embolic fragments allows reperfusion of infarcted tissue (reprinted from Toole,7 with permission).**
studies. If careful attention is given to proper diagnosis, administration of heparin is relatively safe. It is our current practice to heparinize patients with progressing stroke and those with multiple crescendo TIA if there are no contraindications. In patients with cardioembolic stroke, we administer heparin as outlined (Figure 2). In patients with partial stroke in the vertebrobasilar system, we sometimes employ heparin empirically because of the substantial (40%) risk of progression. In all of these situations, the efficacy of heparin is unproven by strict methodological standards. The largely empirical use of heparin should not be confused with established efficacy; further data and new approaches are needed.

Is CT mandatory prior to anticoagulation of acute stroke patients? In most instances the answer is yes. While clinical features usually allow differentiation between ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, most neurologists have been proven wrong by CT. With the use of CT, small intracerebral hemorrhages, previously misdiagnosed as subcortical infarcts, are readily detected. Well-documented instances of subdural hematoma presenting with multiple TIA-like events have also been reported. About 30% of patients who are initially diagnosed as having a progressing infarct are found with CT to have another cause contraindicating anticoagulation. Not surprisingly, the risk of brain hemorrhage complicating anticoagulation of acute stroke patients has fallen by two thirds since the advent of CT.

Although there is no unanimity of opinion, we do not recommend lumbar puncture routinely prior to heparinization if CT is nonhemorrhagic in patients with no suggestion of primary subarachnoid hemorrhage. If lumbar puncture is performed, heparinization should be delayed 4–6 hours to reduce the chance of lumbar epidural hematoma. Patients with acute stroke often develop transient hypertension. Lowering blood pressure acutely in these patients may itself cause worsening. Given the unproven benefit of heparin, the presumed need for anticoagulation does not justify vigorous attempts to lower blood pressure.
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