To Heparinize or Not: An Unsettled Issue

To the Editor:

In separate statements in the February 1989 edition of Stroke, Phillips and Scheinberg each firmly and unequivocally urge against the use of heparin in patients with brain ischemia. They conclude from past studies that heparin is ineffective and probably risky. Phillips further argues on theoretical grounds that "platelets, not fibrin, are the main components of arterial thrombi" and, since heparin effects on platelets are, if anything, prothrombotic, it should not prevent arterial thromboses.

I disagree with these opinions on two major points. First, I feel strongly that prior studies are hopelessly inadequate to conclude anything. All studies cited defined patients only by the time course of ischemia (TIA, progressing stroke, etc.) except for anecdotal results in patients with lacunar stroke in which heparin was predictably ineffective. Time courses do not define the nature of the causative vascular lesions, which are heterogeneous and range from platelet emboli arising in irregular plaques, to fresh red clots occluding stenotic, large arteries, to cardiogenic emboli, to penetrating artery lipohyalinosis. No medicine or treatment is likely to be effective in all of these situations.

Second, hematologists characterize clots as "white" or "red." White clots are composed of platelets, often mixed with fibrin, which theoretically are more likely to form in fast-moving arterial streams that harbor irregular endothelial surfaces. Red clots are fibrin-dependent thrombi that are more likely to form in stagnant, low-flow zones of vessels, as, for example, in large arteries with very tight stenoses or on the tail of arterial occlusive thrombi. White clots could theoretically be prevented by agents that decrease platelet aggregation and agglutination, while heparin and warfarin might work against red clots. Unfortunately, few, if any, studies have characterized the nature of thrombi in the cerebrovascular bed, and none have studied the effectiveness of platelet aggregants versus heparin or warfarin in situations that would favor white or red thrombi.

For these reasons, in contrast to Phillips and Scheinberg, I find the data inconclusive. The jury should still be out. To continue to study patients by time course alone seems futile. Until we have adequate studies in patients with defined vascular lesions, I think reasonable clinicians should keep their minds open. Until then, I, like Miller and Hart, continue to use heparin acutely in selected patients with brain ischemia. While Miller and Hart use anticagulants in relation to temporal course, I use it as acute therapy in patients with recent large artery occlusion or tight stenoses of large arteries. To date, there is no data that show that the positions taken by Scheinberg, Phillips, Miller and Hart, or myself are right or wrong. Trials should be designed to study these issues in patients with known vascular lesions. Mohr and others met at the 1989 San Antonio International Stroke meeting to begin to put together such a trial. I urge clinicians to keep their minds open until more data are available.

Louis R. Caplan, MD
Neurologist-in-Chief
New England Medical Center
750 Washington Street
Boston, Massachusetts

To Carotid Endarterectomy in a Teaching Hospital

To the Editor:

We read with interest the articles by Friedman et al regarding recent mortality and morbidity statistics for carotid endarterectomy in a community hospital. We have recently completed a similar study in a veterans administration medical center with comparable results.

The San Diego Veterans Administration Medical Center is a general teaching hospital affiliated with the University of California at San Diego School of Medicine. Surgery is performed by senior residents under the direct supervision of attending surgeons. We reviewed the records of 151 veterans who underwent cerebral angiography between January 1, 1985, and April 30, 1987. Of these, 51 patients subsequently had a total of 55 carotid endarterectomies. We defined a major complication as death, heart attack, or stroke. Demographic data were noted on each patient and the records were searched for details of the angiography, the operation, and the outcome. For those patients who underwent carotid endarterectomy, we recorded the name of the...
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