Significance of Plaque Ulceration in Symptomatic Patients With High-Grade Carotid Stenosis
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Background and Purpose  The importance of carotid plaque ulceration as a cause of cerebral ischemic symptoms remains uncertain. Moreover, its prominence in symptomatic patients with severe carotid stenosis is unknown.

Methods  The association between angiographically defined plaque ulceration and risk of subsequent stroke was assessed using Cox proportional hazards regression in 659 patients with severe (70% to 99%) carotid stenosis from the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial.

Results  Treatment assignment (medical versus surgical) and degree of ipsilateral stenosis were identified as having a significant influence on the results. The risk of ipsilateral stroke at 24 months for medically treated patients with ulcerated plaques increased incrementally from 26.3% to 73.2% as the degree of stenosis increased from 75% to 95%. For patients with no ulcer, the risk of stroke remained constant at 21.3% for all degrees of stenosis. The net result yielded relative risks of stroke (ulcer versus no ulcer) ranging from 1.24 (95% confidence interval, 0.61 to 2.52) to 3.43 (95% confidence interval, 1.49 to 7.88). Conversely, for surgically treated patients with antecedent presence of an ulcerated plaque, the risk of stroke increased slightly at the highest degrees of stenosis. Overall, carotid endarterectomy reduced the risk of ipsilateral stroke at 24 months by at least 50%. Similar results were obtained for risk of major ipsilateral stroke and risk of all strokes and death.

Conclusions  The presence of angiographically defined ulceration for medically treated symptomatic patients is associated with an increased risk of stroke. The risk of stroke more than doubles at higher degrees of stenosis. Carotid endarterectomy is beneficial in substantially reducing the risk of stroke, regardless of plaque ulceration and degree of severe carotid stenosis. (Stroke. 1994;25:304-308.)
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Atherosclerotic disease at the bifurcation of the common carotid artery is a well established factor in the etiology of strokes and transient ischemic attacks. Nevertheless, the importance of plaque morphology, in addition to the degree of stenosis, is still a subject of dispute.1-4 The presence of plaque ulceration is thought to be of major importance when considering carotid endarterectomy (CE),5 yet no compelling evidence has been documented. Early reports described the benefit of CE for symptomatic patients with ulcerated nonstenotic carotid lesions.6-7 Subsequent studies were primarily retrospective and related the presence of ulcerated plaques to ischemic symptoms in patients who underwent CE.8-9 Ulceration was found frequently in plaques ipsilateral to the symptoms. Other studies compared the prevalence of ulceration in asymptomatic and symptomatic patients, but the coexistence of stenosis made the comparisons difficult and thus yielded conflicting results.2,10 Studies of prognosis regarding asymptomatic and symptomatic patients with ulcerated plaques also provided contradictory reports.11-15 A recent review concluded that the importance of plaque ulceration as a cause of cerebral ischemic symptoms remains controversial.1 Previous studies combining symptomatic and asymptomatic patients, concomitant carotid stenosis, and different methods to measure the degree of stenosis and to identify ulceration have all contributed to this quandary.

The aim of this study is to assess the prognostic implication of plaque ulceration as measured by strict angiographic criteria in symptomatic patients with high-grade (70% to 99%) carotid stenosis. All the patients were recruited by the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET); for this group of patients, the study had been terminated when it became apparent that CE was beneficial.16

Subjects and Methods

NASCET, a multicenter study, was designed to find the role of CE in symptomatic patients with moderate (30% to 69%) and severe (70% to 99%) stenosis at the carotid bifurcation. Biplane (anteroposterior, lateral, or oblique) selective carotid angiography was used for the initial (preoperative) assessment of the degree of stenosis and of plaque appearance in all patients. After randomization, half of the patients underwent surgery to remove their plaques regardless of ulceration.
The present study is based on data obtained from 659 recruited patients with severe stenosis. All patients had ischemic symptoms (transient ischemic attack [TIA] or nondisabling stroke relating to their carotid lesion) within 4 months before randomization and were without cardiac pathology likely to result in cerebral embolism. Details of the study protocol have been published.17

Hard copies of all angiograms were sent to the Central Office and reviewed by the principal neuroradiologist (A.J.F.), who was blinded to the protocol. In each case, a jeweller’s eyepiece with a submillimeter scale was used to measure the diameter of the artery at the region of maximal stenosis and to measure the diameter of the normal artery well beyond the bulb. The degree of stenosis then was calculated using the ratio of these two measurements.16 In addition, the appearance of the plaque was classified into one of three categories: ulcerated, irregular/uncertain ulceration, or smooth/no ulceration. A plaque was classified as “ulcerated” if it fulfilled radiographic criteria of ulcer niche, seen in profile as a crater from the lumen into a stenotic plaque (Fig 1) and (when visible) double density on en face view.18 The “irregular plaque” or “uncertain ulceration” category was used for wall irregularity or multiple small possible craters or when there was difficulty distinguishing a real crater from normal wall between two plaques.18 The “smooth” or “no ulceration” category was used for patients with smooth stenosis or when a relatively smooth outpouching between two smooth narrowings was most consistent with the expected position of the carotid bulb wall.18 The intraserver reliability of classifying plaque appearance was estimated on the basis of blind repeated review of 42 randomly selected angiograms. Overall accuracy was 88%, with $\kappa=0.73$. For the purpose of this study, the irregular/uncertain ulceration and smooth/no ulceration categories were combined to constitute a “nonulcerated” group.

**Statistical Analysis**

The association of plaque appearance with patient characteristics (risk factors) was analyzed using either the Pearson $\chi^2$ test for categorical variables or the Student’s $t$ test when the variable was continuous. Cox proportional hazards regression modeling was used to assess the relation between plaque appearance (ulcer present or absent) and the subsequent risk of outcome events, to allow for the varying lengths of patient follow-up. Analysis was performed using program 2L of the BMDP statistical package.19 Hazard rates and adjusted hazard ratios with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) were used in reporting the results.20

The estimated hazard rates and hazard ratios (or relative hazard) are measures that can be interpreted as approximate risks and relative risks, respectively.

Although stratified analysis could have been used, the number of subcategories over which the data would have been dispersed would have yielded imprecise estimates of risk. Regression modeling is desirable because it preserves precision while simultaneously controlling for many potentially confounding factors, as well as checking for statistical interactions in an efficient manner.

**TABLE 2. Relation of Angiographic Plaque to Angiographic Features**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Nonulcerated (n=429)</th>
<th>Ulcerated (n=230)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ipsilateral stenosis, %</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>81.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70% to 100% contralateral stenosis, % of group</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>23.9*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*$P<.05$.
### Table 3. Estimates of Hazard Rates and Ratios at 24 Months for Ipsilateral Strokes From Proportional Hazards Regression Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Stenosis</th>
<th>Medical Non</th>
<th>Ulcer</th>
<th>Surgical Non</th>
<th>Ulcer</th>
<th>Hazard Ratios* (95% CI) Medical</th>
<th>Surgical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>1.24 (0.61-2.52)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>2.06 (1.18-3.62)</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>3.43 (1.49-7.88)</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non indicates nonulcerated; Ulcer, ulcerated; and CI, confidence interval.

*The hazard ratio, defined as \( \exp(\beta_1 + \beta_2 Z_2 + \beta_3 Z_3) \), is the fitted relative risk for patients with ulcerated plaques compared with those without ulcer at a specified degree of stenosis and treatment group. Parameters \( \beta_1 \), \( \beta_2 \), and \( \beta_3 \) are the proportional hazards regression coefficients for the variables plaque appearance, treatment group, and degree of stenosis, respectively. In brief, the above expression describes the relative risk as a varying function of the values of the effect modifiers.

Outcome events included all strokes (defined as an acute ischemic event where symptoms or signs persisted for more than 24 hours) and all deaths. Strokes were then divided by territory and severity: We analyzed separately carotid ipsilateral (to the symptomatic side) strokes and major ipsilateral strokes (disabling functional deficits persisting beyond 90 days), as well as all strokes and any deaths. In a further analysis, the combined risk of ipsilateral strokes and TIs was also assessed.

The modeling strategy consisted of fitting an initial model with the two primary factors: plaque appearance and treatment group. Subsequent variables were added into the model one at a time. Interaction terms between plaque appearance and each variable remaining in the model from the previous step were added and tested for significance. Use of interaction terms determined whether the relation between plaque appearance and risk of outcome events was modified by the impact of significant risk factors. Next, nonconfounders were eliminated from the fitted model using a backward selection approach. Finally, the estimated regression coefficients from the remaining "parsimonious" model were compared with the coefficients from a "saturated" model to assure that any groups of confounders, working collectively, were not overlooked.

### Table 4. Estimates of Hazard Rates and Ratios at 24 Months for Major Ipsilateral Strokes From Proportional Hazards Regression Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Stenosis</th>
<th>Medical Non</th>
<th>Ulcer</th>
<th>Surgical Non</th>
<th>Ulcer</th>
<th>Hazard Ratios (95% CI) Medical</th>
<th>Surgical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.80 (0.26-2.42)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.30 (1.22-4.34)</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.58 (2.40-18.03)</td>
<td>3.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non indicates nonulcerated; Ulcer, ulcerated; and CI, confidence interval.
TABLE 5. Estimates of Hazard Rates and Ratios at 24 Months for Any Stroke or Death From Proportional Hazards Regression Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Stenosis</th>
<th>Medical</th>
<th>Surgical</th>
<th>Hazard Ratios (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Stenosis</td>
<td>Non Ulcer</td>
<td>Non Ulcer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non indicates nonulcerated; Ulcer, ulcerated; and CI, confidence interval.

The risk of stroke also can be interpreted in terms of cumulative hazard curves, which graphically display the risk experience over the entire follow-up period. For example, the risk of any ipsilateral stroke is shown in Fig 2. Visual inspection of the curves reveals additional points of interest. Specifically, the risk of stroke steadily increases over time, and there is no sign of convergence among the curves. Hazard curves for the risk of any major stroke and for the risk of all strokes and death yielded similar patterns.

Discussion

The present study demonstrates that plaque ulceration in patients with symptomatic high-grade carotid stenosis, when identified using very strict angiographic criteria, is a marker for poor prognosis that is affected by the degree of stenosis and subsequent patient management. Patients who did not undergo carotid endarterectomy realized an absolute risk of ipsilateral stroke between 26.3% and 73.2% at 24 months, depending on their degree of carotid stenosis. When such patients are subjected to CE, the risk is substantially reduced.

Previous reports were retrospective and dealt mainly with nonstenotic carotid lesions or asymptomatic patients.11-14 This may reflect previous enthusiasm for operation for most symptomatic patients as well as for many asymptomatic patients with some degree of carotid stenosis or even nonstenotic lesions but with angiographic evidence of large ulcers.11-12 Another explanation for the paucity of previous and comparable...
reports is the need to include a large number of patients to account for the concomitant carotid stenosis when evaluating the role of plaque ulceration.

Only one previous report dealing with prognosis considered both factors in the analysis.14 Plaque ulceration was found to be important in symptomatic patients with nonstenotic lesions but not in patients with hemo-dynamically significant (>50%) carotid stenosis. Our results dispute this finding. Possible explanations for this discrepancy include different definitions of stenosis severity (we used very strict angiographic criteria), different definitions of ulceration (not stated in the former study), and an exceptionally small sample size in the other study. A mere 17 arteries were available for analysis in the >50% stenosis group.

The inability of angiography to detect all ulcers seen on surgical specimens has been well publicized.15 Nevertheless, the clear prognostic significance of ulcerated plaques, coupled with severe carotid stenosis, observed in our study leads to the conclusion that it is perhaps the distinct angiographic appearance that is important, and only such ulcers carry potential mechanisms leading to the formation of thrombi large enough to cause clinically important infarcts when dislodged as a consequence of appropriate turbulent flow.
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