Pilot Study of Functional MRI to Assess Cerebral Activation of Motor Function After Poststroke Hemiparesis
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Background and Purpose—Studies of cerebral activation of motor function after ischemic stroke may enhance our understanding of the underlying mechanisms of motor functional recovery, including the role of the noninfarcted hemisphere.

Methods—Eight right-handed recovering hemiparetic or hemiplegic patients were studied using functional MRI. Results were evaluated for each patient to consider individual variability in original functional organization, neuroanatomy, infarct size and extent, treatment, age, and sex. The results were also pooled as a group for comparison with a control group of eight right-handed normal subjects.

Results—In six of eight stroke patients, extended activation in ipsilateral sensorimotor cortex was observed during paretic hand movements. Bilateral activation of the primary sensorimotor cortex was recorded in three of these six patients; ipsilateral activation alone was recorded in the remaining three patients. Only two patients had mild synkinesia. Furthermore, in two male patients, the paretic hand movements activated extended areas of ipsilateral premotor and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, when compared with normal subjects. In two patients with left frontal infarction, profound activation in the right supramarginal gyrus and in the right premotor cortex was observed during the ipsilateral paretic hand movements.

Conclusions—Synkinesia alone cannot explain the extent of ipsilateral activation in primary sensorimotor cortex. The explanation offered for our findings is that preexisting uncrossed motor neural pathways may be accessed or recruited to compensate for damage to the crossed motor pathways after ischemic stroke. (Stroke. 1998;29:112-122.)
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Motor function of limbs is predominantly represented in the contralateral primary motor cortex in right-handed healthy subjects. Therefore, damage of the primary motor cortex and other motor pathway components (eg, premotor cortex, supplementary motor areas, parietal cortex, and subcortical or brain stem) can cause contralateral hemiparesis or hemiplegia, a common neurological ailment in stroke.1 Although poststroke hemiparesis is not a static phenomena, partial or complete recovery of motor function after stroke is the rule more than the exception,2 mechanisms and determinants of this recovery are poorly understood. The concept of brain function reorganization (plasticity)3 is useful to develop a conceptual approach to understand motor recovery after stroke. In recent years, new techniques (PET,4 transcranial magnetic stimulation,5 and fMRI6) have been developed that allow us to study the physiology and pathophysiology of the motor pathways. Until now, no complete study of motor recovery after stroke with fMRI has been reported. An fMRI study of sensorimotor function in a group of young patients with perinatal unilateral brain injury showed approximately equally activated volumes in the uninjured hemisphere during contralateral and ipsilateral finger movements.6 In adults with motor loss due to striatopallidal infarction, PET identified increased ipsilateral motor cortex activation and recruitment of inferior parietal cortex and the anterior aspects of the insula.4,7 There are now a number of preliminary reports (in conference presentations) in patients with ischemic stroke that have documented activation of alternative motor patterns to normal using fMRI.8 They suggest that cortical motor control of function and pathways can be reorganized after focal ischemic stroke and that the nondamaged hemisphere probably plays a crucial role in recovery. We hypothesized that in the recovering hemiplegic or hemiparetic stroke patients the ipsilateral activation in the primary sensorimotor cortex of the noninfarcted hemisphere would be increased, in comparison with normal (control) subjects. To test this hypothesis, we have used...
Selected Abbreviations and Acronyms

AC = anterior cingulate
AG = angular gyrus
BOLD = blood oxygenation level–dependent
fMRI = functional magnetic resonance imaging
GRE = gradient recalled echo
LED = light-emitting diodes
MCA = middle cerebral artery
NIHSS = NIH Stroke Scale
paraCL = paracentral lobule
PET = positron emission tomography
SM = sensorimotor
SMG = supramarginal gyrus
SPL = superior parietal lobe
TPA = tissue planimogen activator

Materials and Methods

Subjects
We studied motor function of eight right-handed recovering hemiparetic patients (mean age, 46 years; 2 men and 6 women) who suffered a single unilateral ischemic stroke and eight right-handed normal control subjects (mean age, 42 years; 4 men and 4 women). The protocol was approved by the Human Rights Committee of Henry Ford Hospital. Written, informed consent was obtained from all subjects before the study. The handedness of the subjects was assessed using the Edinburgh inventory.

The criteria used to select patients were (1) Patients suffered a single unilateral ischemic stroke determined from CT and/or MRI images, causing hemiparesis or hemiplegia; (2) patients lost individual finger movement at the onset of the stroke; and (3) patients must have recovered to at least the point where they were capable of finger opposition of thumb to the index and middle finger. There was no time restriction on when the study was performed after the onset of stroke symptoms. Patients were excluded from the study because of (1) inability to obtain informed consent, (2) transient ischemic attack, (3) brain stem stroke, (4) prior cerebrovascular disease, (5) preexisting neurological or psychiatric disorders (ie, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, multiple sclerosis, Parkinsonism, AIDS, and dementia), (6) severe to profound deafness and/or blindness, or (7) being nonambulatory.

The clinical presentation of the patients at the onset of the stroke and at the time of the study was evaluated by a team of neurologists (L.D., S.R.L., K.M.A.W.). The neurological abnormalities and motor disabilities of the patients were assessed by the total and the motor scores of the NIHSS. Over-all recovery was also measured by the modified Rankin score. Motor impairment of the paretic hands was further assessed by a specific finger opposition task in which the time required to perform 20 finger oppositions of each hand was recorded. The prolonged time for the paretic hand performance was used as an indicator of the motor impairment. Arterial territories and locations of the infarcts were determined from T2-weighted MR images; dimensions of the infarcts were measured on the cross-sectional MR images.

Imaging Protocol
All MRI experiments were performed with a 3.0 T scanner with a resonator head coil. A fast localizer scan was used to locate the motor cortex and to guide adjustments of the patient’s position. A radio frequency spoiled gradient echo–pulse sequence was used to produce T2*-weighted images (128×64 matrix over a 24-cm field of view). Three axial sections of 10-mm thickness were acquired during 7 seconds with TR/TE=38/30 milliseconds, flip angle 25°, and one excitation, followed by a 0.4-second delay. The most superior slice was placed at 10 mm below the vertex. The insula gyrus, thalamus, and cerebellum were not imaged. Each functional study lasted approximately 4 minutes, in which a total of 90 images were acquired. The fMRI protocol consisted of 10 alternating periods of task performance and rest. Axial T2-weighted images of cranial anatomy of the whole head of each patient were acquired and used to identify the location and size of the infarct. Also, T1-weighted images were acquired at the same locations as the fMRI to overlap anatomically with the voxels studied for functional activity.

Motor Protocol
During the functional MRI scan, all stroke patients and normal control subjects performed a sequential finger opposition task in which the thumb repeatedly touched the associated four fingers in a sequential order. Patient 2, who could not touch all four fingers, performed a three-finger opposition task. This task performance occurred in periods of 24 seconds, interspaced with 24-second “rest” periods. The cycle of task performance and rest was repeated 5 times during each experiment. Two methods were used to instruct subjects to start and stop the finger movement. In the first, a series of LEDs was mounted about 1.5 feet anterior and inferior to the level of the subject’s eyes as they lay in the magnet. The subject was instructed to perform finger opposition while the LEDs were lit. When the LEDs were off, the subject immediately ceased finger movement. In the second method, an MRI-compatible video display system was used. The subject wore mirrored goggles directed toward a display screen at one end of the magnet. Simple instructions, such as “stop moving and lie still” and “tap right fingers” were projected on the screen from a computer-controlled video projector. To ensure that the patients followed the start and stop signals, and that their finger-tapping speeds were similar to those recorded outside the magnet, their task performance relative to prompting was monitored by a staff member who was physically present with the patient throughout the study. If the patient failed to carry out the task with acceptable response times and speed, the scan was aborted. The staff explained the procedure to the patient again, and the experiment was repeated. If the patient still had trouble following instructions, the study was aborted again and the patient took no further part in the study. The experiments were repeated twice for each hand.

Data Analysis
Data analysis consisted of motion correction, statistical analysis of activated pixels, and calculation of activated volumes in specific anatomic regions. Motion correction was carried out by two-dimensional cross-correlation to correct for possible in-plane translation and rotation of the head between serial images. Each image in the series was registered with the first baseline image by applying a range of test transformations consisting of planar translations and rotations. The first image was subtracted on a pixel-by-pixel basis from the transformed image. The transformation that yielded the minimum difference in magnitude between the paired images was selected as the optimal correction for the subject movement. A 0.125-degree rotation and 0.12-mm translation were used to test for minimum rotation and translation, respectively. If large movement of the head occurred, motion artifact could not be corrected (usually >1.5 mm translation) and the data were discarded. Such patients were later called back for another study. Three studies were repeated due to motion artifact.

Statistical analysis of activated pixels was based on combinations of temporal cross-correlation and cluster size thresholding to justify multiple comparisons in an image. The pixels were thresholded at a level of 0.0025 per pixel. A second threshold of a cluster size at ≥5 pixels was further applied, resulting in the estimated probability of false-positive of $P<.0006$ per pixel. Finally, activation images were overlaid on T1-weighted anatomic images for further analysis.

Localization of the activated pixels in specific anatomic regions was achieved using the T1 weighted images and Human Brain Anatomy in Computerized Images. Specifically, the number of pixels in the
TABLE 1. Motor Activation of Right-Handed Normal Subjects (n=8)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Contra</th>
<th>Ipsi</th>
<th>Contra</th>
<th>Ipsi</th>
<th>Contra</th>
<th>Ipsi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensorimotor</td>
<td>88.6±20.2</td>
<td>12.3±5.7</td>
<td>85.4±20.7</td>
<td>17.8±9.2</td>
<td>87.0±17.8</td>
<td>15.0±5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>23.3±11.6</td>
<td>9.6±5.8</td>
<td>8.4±4.0</td>
<td>4.9±3.5</td>
<td>15.8±6.6</td>
<td>7.3±3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC, paraCL</td>
<td>5.3±2.7</td>
<td>7.8±2.7</td>
<td>2.3±1.1</td>
<td>0.8±0.8</td>
<td>3.8±1.6</td>
<td>4.3±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPL, SMG, AG</td>
<td>3.4±1.3</td>
<td>13.1±12.0</td>
<td>1.9±1.9</td>
<td>5.0±3.4</td>
<td>2.6±0.9</td>
<td>9.1±7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>163.3±37.9</td>
<td>126.3±21.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contra (Ipsi); indicates activation was observed in the hemisphere contralateral (ipsilateral) to the hand. Values are number of pixels, mean±SEM.

Table 1. No significant differences in ipsilateral SM cortex values of activated pixels in each specific region are given in Table 1. The fMRI results obtained from eight right-handed normal subjects were summarized in Table 1. The mean and standard error of the contralateral activation of the SM cortex were 88.6±20.2 and 85.4±20.7 pixels for the right and left hands, respectively, and for ipsilateral activation of SM cortex, 12.3±5.7 and 17.8±9.2 pixels for the right and left hands, respectively. Activation was also observed in contralateral and ipsilateral premotor cortex, AC gyrus, paraCL, SPL, SMG, and AG. The mean and standard error of activated pixels in each specific region are given in Table 1. No significant differences in ipsilateral SM cortex activation (which might indicate use of uncrossed motor pathway) were found between the dominant and non-dominant hemispheres (P= .64, nonparametric Wilcoxon signed rank test). Therefore, we pooled data from both hemispheres (Table 1) to perform statistical comparisons with the patient group.

Results

Summary of Normal Subjects

Table 1 summarizes the fMRI results obtained from eight right-handed normal subjects. In control subjects, activation obtained from sequential finger opposition primarily occurred in the contralateral SM cortex with minor ipsilateral activation for both hands. The mean±SEMs of the contralateral activation in the SM cortex were 88.6±20.2 and 85.4±20.7 pixels for the right and left hands, respectively, and for ipsilateral activation of SM cortex, 12.3±5.7 and 17.8±9.2 pixels for the right and left hands, respectively. Activation was also observed in contralateral and ipsilateral premotor cortex, AC gyrus, paraCL, SPL, SMG, and AG. The mean and standard error of activated pixels in each specific region are given in Table 1. No significant differences in ipsilateral SM cortex activation (which might indicate use of uncrossed motor pathway) were found between the dominant and non-dominant hemispheres (P= .64, nonparametric Wilcoxon signed rank test). Therefore, we pooled data from both hemispheres (Table 1) to perform statistical comparisons with the patient group.

Individual Patient Results

Clinical presentations of the eight stroke patients at the onset of stroke as well as at the time of study are summarized in Table 2. Severity of stroke and motor deficit, measured at the same two time points by the total and the subgroup motor NIHSS scores, are given in Table 3. Overall recovery at the time of study, documented by the modified Rankin score, is also listed in Table 3. The time required to perform 20 finger oppositions of each hand and the prolonged time for paretic hand performance are given in Table 3. In the following section, a brief description of the clinical presentation and fMRI findings of each stroke patient will be given.

Patient 1, a 25-year-old woman, suffered her first ever ischemic stroke due to dissection of the left internal carotid artery (the only subject with extracranial artery involvement) that caused a solitary infarct (largest dimension 7.9 cm) in the left anterior cerebral artery territory (Table 2). The infarct extended from the superior frontal gyrus to the superior portion of the precentral gyrus, and to the paraCL, and partially spared the hand motor area (Fig 1). At stroke onset, she experienced right hemiplegia, right hemisensory loss, and a transcortical mixed aphasia. At the time of study (5 mo...
after the stroke), she had recovered sufficiently to perform finger opposition of her paretic hand at a rate of 2.4 Hz, compared with 3.5 Hz for her unaffected hand. She continued to suffer right hemiparesis and transcortical motor aphasia.

Her normal (left) hand activated the contralateral SM cortex and the lateral premotor cortex. Minor activation was also observed in the ipsilateral SM cortex and the contralateral SPL and SMG. The number of activated pixels in each region is listed in Table 4. Activation produced by her paretic (right) hand movements was primarily observed in the contralateral SM cortex, ipsilateral SMG, and lateral premotor cortex (Fig 1). A small volume in ipsilateral SM cortex was also activated. The location of activation in contralateral SM cortex during the paretic hand movements was shifted inferiorly, in comparison to that produced by her unaffected hand movements. The activated volume in the ipsilateral SMG (123 pixels) of this patient was profoundly enlarged when compared within the patient group (the mean of the number of activated pixels was 28, see Table 4) and when compared with the control group (the mean of 9 pixels, see Table 1).

Patient 2, a 19-year-old woman, suffered an ischemic infarct (largest dimension 9.1 cm) in the left hemisphere involving the frontal, anterior parietal, and temporal cortices (Table 2). This was caused by branch occlusion of the MCA. The infarct further extended into the posterior portion of the putamen and globus pallidus. At the onset of her stroke, she experienced right hemiplegia and global aphasia. At the time of the study (14 months after stroke onset), she suffered the most severe hand motor impairment among the patient group; she could only tap three fingers of the paretic hand and took the most prolonged time (50 seconds) to perform the task.

During her normal (left) hand movements, only the contralateral SM cortex was activated. However, the paretic (right) hand activated minimal volumes in the contralateral SM, AC, and paraCL. Instead, the ipsilateral motor cortex, premotor, SMG, and SPL were activated. A total number of 39 pixels was activated in SMG and SPL. This was four times the mean value of the control group.

Patient 3, a 41-year-old woman, suffered a first-ever ischemic stroke due to branch occlusion of the left MCA, resulting in an infarct of the left frontal and parietal cortices (largest dimension 6.0 cm) (Fig 2). At stroke onset, she experienced right hemiplegia and global aphasia (Table 2). Five months after the stroke, she regained her right hand movement, even though she continued to suffer right hemiparesis involving face and arm and motor aphasia. The residual impairment of the paretic (right) hand was further demonstrated by 13 seconds of prolonged performance time compared with the normal (left) hand (Table 3). She also developed minor synkinesia of the unaffected (left) hand. Her unaffected hand was twitching with a magnitude of less than 1 mm while the paretic hand performed a motor task.

The unaffected hand movements of this patient produced activation in the contralateral SM cortex, premotor cortex, and SPL. For the paretic hand movements, no activation was observed in the contralateral hemisphere (infarct site); instead, ipsilateral SM and premotor cortex was markedly activated at a locus nearly identical to that activated by the unaffected hand movements (Fig 2).

Patient 4, a 60-year-old man, suffered the largest infarct within the group, due to right MCA occlusion. The infarct was located in the right frontal region, extending posteriorly to the parietal cortex and inferiorly to the temporal cortex (largest dimension 12.0 cm). The infarct spared the striatocapsular region and the hand area of the primary motor cortex. The patient was the only subject who had received acute thrombolytic therapy with tissue plasminogen activator (TPA). At the onset of the stroke, he experienced left hemiplegia, neglect, and gaze palsy. At the time of study (5 months after stroke onset), he had recovered the most completely within the patient group, even though he continued to suffer left sensorimotor deficit involving face and arm. Both of his hands had almost identical kinetic ability (38 and 39 seconds required for 20 finger oppositions of the normal and paretic hands, respectively); however, the magnitude of the paretic hand movements was less than the unaffected hand.

### TABLE 3. Measurements of Stroke Severity and Motor Deficits of Stroke Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt. No.</th>
<th>NIHSS Scores</th>
<th>Time Interval, mo</th>
<th>NIHSS Scores</th>
<th>Rankin Scores</th>
<th>Time for 20 Finger Oppositions, s</th>
<th>Prolonged Time, s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NIHSS, indicates NIH Stroke Scale; LL, lower limb; UL, upper limb; NP, normal hand; and PH, paretic hand.

*Patients 5 and 8 were evaluated at another hospital at stroke onset; therefore, the NIHSS scores were not available.
†Time was obtained from finger's open-close movements.
This patient’s unaffected (right) hand movements activated the contralateral SM cortex and bilateral SMA and AC gyrus. Activation from his paretic (left) hand was observed in contralateral SM cortex, bilateral SMG, contralateral AC gyrus, and ipsilateral lateral premotor cortex. The activated volumes in the ipsilateral lateral premotor and prefrontal regions were approximately 12-fold larger than the average value of the normal control group. No activation was observed in the ipsilateral SM cortex during the paretic hand movements (Table 4).

Patient 5, a 51-year-old woman, suffered her first ischemic stroke due to branch occlusion of the right MCA, resulting in an infarct (largest dimension 5.5 cm) in the right fronto-parieto-temporal cortex (Fig 3). She experienced left hemiplegia and neglect at stroke onset. At the time of the study (43 months after stroke onset), she continued to suffer left hemi-
TABLE 4. Motor Activation of Stroke Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Normal Hand Movement</th>
<th>Paretic Hand Movement</th>
<th>Contra Hemisphere</th>
<th>Ipsi Hemisphere</th>
<th>Contra Hemisphere</th>
<th>Ipsi Hemisphere</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Sensorimotor</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC, paraCL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPL, SMG, AG</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sensorimotor</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC, paraCL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPL, SMG, AG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Sensorimotor</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC, paraCL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPL, SMG, AG</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sensorimotor</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC, paraCL</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPL, SMG, AG</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Sensorimotor</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC, paraCL</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPL, SMG, AG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Sensorimotor</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC, paraCL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPL, SMG, AG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Sensorimotor</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC, paraCL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPL, SMG, AG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Sensorimotor</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC, paraCL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPL, SMG, AG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensorimotor (mean ± SEM)</td>
<td>136 ± 28.9</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>48.4 ± 23.5</td>
<td>52.0 ± 14.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal (mean ± SEM)</td>
<td>14.1 ± 7.9</td>
<td>6.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>9.6 ± 5.4</td>
<td>42.5 ± 24.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC, paraCL (mean ± SEM)</td>
<td>6.4 ± 3.7</td>
<td>3.1 ± 3.1</td>
<td>11.4 ± 3.6</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPL, SMG, AG (mean ± SEM)</td>
<td>7.8 ± 4.5</td>
<td>4.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>28.3 ± 14.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (mean ± SEM)</td>
<td>182.5 ± 41.8</td>
<td>198.1 ± 56.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are number of pixels. Contra indicates contralateral and Ipsi ipsilateral.
paresis of face and arm. A minimally prolonged time (5 seconds) was required for her paretic (left) hand to perform the finger opposition task, in comparison to the unaffected hand. No synkinesia was observed.

This patient’s unaffected (right) hand movements activated the contralateral SM cortex, SMA, and lateral premotor cortex. Her paretic (left) hand movements activated ipsilateral SM and premotor cortex, and bilateral SMA (Fig 3). The volume activated in the ipsilateral SM cortex was approximately 10-fold greater than the mean volume of the control group. Minimal activation was observed in the contralateral SM cortex (Table 4).

Patient 6, a 70-year-old woman, suffered an infarct (largest dimension 5.0 cm) in the right parietal cortex due to branch occlusion of the right MCA. At stroke onset, she experienced a left SM paresis of face, arm, and leg and neglect. At the time of study (19 months after stroke onset), she continued to suffer SM deficits of left face, arm, and leg. Compared with the unaffected hand, her paretic hand required a prolonged time of 20 seconds to perform the finger task, indicating moderate recovery.

During her unaffected hand movements, the contralateral SM cortex was activated. The paretic hand movements activated the contralateral motor cortex and AC gyrus. This patient is the only one in whom no ipsilateral activation was observed.

Patient 7, a 64-year-old man, suffered a solitary infarct (largest dimension 2.4 cm) in a region of the right corona radiata. At stroke onset, he experienced pure hemiparesis of the left face, arm, and leg. The severity of the stroke, documented by the total NIHSS score of 5, was the least of the group. By the time of the study (10 months after stroke onset), the patient developed minor synkinesia of the normal (right) hand. The motor deficits of the paretic hand were indicated by the longer time (16 seconds) required to perform the finger task, compared with the unaffected hand (Table 3).

The unaffected (right) hand movements activated contralateral SM cortex. The paretic hand movements produced bilateral activation in SM cortex, SMA, and lateral premotor cortex. The activated pixels in the ipsilateral SM cortex and the premotor region were 6 and 28 times, respectively, larger than those observed in normal control subjects (Tables 1 and 4).

Patient 8, a 36-year-old woman, suffered an ischemic stroke due to occlusion of lenticulostriate arteries. The infarct (largest dimension 5.0 cm) was located in the right striatocapsular region, extending inferiorly to the putamen and globus pallidus. She experienced left hemiplegia at the time of stroke onset. At the time of the study (24 months after stroke onset), she continued to experience left SM deficits in the face, arm, and leg. Based on the finger opposition task, the motor impairment of her paretic hand was next to the most severe within the patient group (Table 3).
During unaffected (right) hand movements, the contralateral SM cortex was activated. During the paretic (left) hand movements, there was activation of bilateral SM cortex. Activation of the ipsilateral SM cortex (59 pixels) was at a locus nearly identical to that activated by the unaffected hand movement.

Group Results
In this study, the motor deficit of the patient’s paretic hand was also assessed by the time required to perform 20 finger oppositions. The time required for the unaffected hand performance (Table 3) was significantly correlated with age ($r = .75, P = .032, n = 8$, linear regression). The prolonged time required for the paretic hand to carry out the task as compared with the unaffected hand (Table 3) was significantly correlated with the summed NIHSS score for the upper limb and hand ($r = .74, P < .036, n = 8$, linear regression). No correlation was found between the residual motor deficit of the paretic hand (either measured by the prolonged time for the finger opposition or by the summed NIHSS score of the upper limb and hand) and the activated volumes in ipsilateral SM cortex among the six patients who had the increased ipsilateral activation ($r_s = - .543, P > .2$, Spearman rank correlation).

In the patient group, paretic hand movements produced the nearly identical number of total activated pixels (mean ± SEM, 198 ± 56 pixels) as did their unaffected hand movements (mean ± SEM, 182 ± 42 pixels). No significant difference in the total number of activated pixels was detected between the patient and control groups ($P > .45$, nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test). These data establish the bases for comparison of normal and paretic hands within the patient group as well as between patient and control groups, even though variability of effort required to perform the motor task existed between the two groups and between the two hands within the patient group.

During paretic hand movements, the volume of activated ipsilateral SM cortex was extensive in six of eight recovering stroke patients compared with control subjects. Bilateral activation of the primary SM cortex was recorded in three of these patients; ipsilateral activation alone was recorded in three...
patients who suffered major cerebral infarctions with hemiplegia. Only two patients had mild synkinesia, which alone cannot be responsible for the increased ipsilateral activation in primary SM cortex. Furthermore, in two male patients, the parietic hand movements activated more extensive volumes of ipsilateral premotor and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex compared with control subjects. In two patients with left frontal infarction, profound activation was observed in the right SMG (Brodmann area 40) and in the right premotor cortex during the ipsilateral parietal hand movements. Even when one considers that only six patients had ipsilateral activation, in the total patient group the parietic hand produced a statistically significant increase in the number of activated pixels in the ipsilateral SM cortex (mean, 52 pixels) compared with that observed in the control group (mean, 15 pixels) (P < .03, Mann-Whitney U test).

Discussion

The technique of fMRI used in this study is based on BOLD contrast between rest and activated states of human brain. During activation of normal brain, oxygenation of cerebral blood increases because the increase of cerebral blood flow (29% to 50%) exceeds the increase in oxygen extraction (5%). Although in ischemic tissues the blood flow–metabolism coupling is impaired, it remains normal in noninfarcted tissue. For example, PET studies of patients with striatocapsular infarction confirmed a cerebral blood flow increase during task performance in ipsilateral primary motor cortex, lateral prefrontal cortex, and insula. For the purpose of this fMRI study we focused on functional activation in the noninfarcted hemisphere and normal tissue regions in the damaged hemisphere.

Our study has shown different patterns of cortical motor activation in recovering hemiparetic patients, who suffered cortical lesions in the majority. The primary SM cortex in noninfarcted hemispheres of six of the eight recovering hemiparetic patients was activated during movements of the ipsilateral (paretic) hand. In three patients who suffered infarcts that spared the hand area of the primary motor cortex (two subcortical and one superior frontal), the parietic hand movements led to bilateral activation of the SM cortex. In three other patients with precentral gyrus infarction, only ipsilateral activation occurred during parietic hand movements. These activation patterns were significantly different from those observed in our normal control subjects. One possible explanation is that in some patients recovering from hemiparesis after unilateral ischemic stroke, functional motor pathways may reorganize in an attempt to recruit any prestroke link between the parietic hand and the primary motor cortex in the noninfarcted hemisphere via uncrossed corticospinal tracts or other indirect uncrossed pathways. In normal humans, uncrossed corticospinal tracts, comprising about 10% to 15% of all of the corticospinal fibers, rarely participate in distal limb movements. This is supported by our observation in the control group of 20% or less ipsilateral activation in each hemisphere, as well as similar results from other activation studies. In nonhuman primates, the majority of primary motor cortex neurons subserved contralateral movement; only 7% to 8% of neurons were associated with ipsilateral distal limb movement. Our findings are further supported by studies in patients with pyramidal tract infarcts and in young patients (mean age, 15 years) with hemiparesis who suffered perinatal unilateral brain injury. A PET study of individual motor patterns after striatocapsular stroke found that increased ipsilateral activation in primary SM cortex was observed only in patients with associated movements, so it could not be determined whether this ipsilateral activation reflected recruitment of uncrossed corticospinal pathways or was secondary to the associated movements. In the present study, however, increased ipsilateral primary sensorimotor activation could not be explained in this way, because four of the six patients with this finding had no associated movements. Furthermore, as observed in one (patient 3) of our two patients with synkinesia, the fact that there was exclusive ipsilateral activation during parietic hand movements indicates that the noninfarcted hemisphere must control both voluntary and associated movements. Therefore, other physiological explanations for ipsilateral activation, such as reorganization of functional motor pathways or recruitment of the preexisting uncrossed pathways, must be considered.

In two patients (patients 1 and 2) with the large left fronto-temporal infarcts, we observed extensive activation in the right SMG (Brodmann area 40) and right premotor cortex during ipsilateral parietal hand movements. Parietal lobe lesions are known to impair skilled movements and to affect performance of symbolic gestures, motor production, and ideation. Neuromaging patterns of cerebral activation (eg, in premotor, SMA, and parietal regions) during both mental rehearsal of a motor task and its execution add further support that the posterior parietal cortex is an important component of motor pathways. Specific contributions of the parietal cortex in motor behavior were recently studied during the mental representation of hand movements after parietal cortex damage. This study demonstrated that parietal cortex lesions selectively impaired capability of predicting the time necessary to perform differentiated finger movements and visually guided pointing gestures. Furthermore, Weiller et al have proposed an accessory motor system involving Brodmann area 40 and those anterior portions of the insula functionally connected to the motor network via direct projections to the inferior prefrontal cortex or the centromedian nucleus of the thalamus. One possible explanation for our finding of enhanced activation in the right SMG after left ischemic infarction is that ipsilateral nonprimary cortical motor areas and their efferent corticocortical pathways may compensate for disruption of the output of the contralateral primary motor cortex and for inefficiency of the ipsilateral primary motor cortex and the uncrossed corticospinal tracts. In a separate study, the posterior parietal cortex, particularly the right hemisphere, was activated in trial-and-error motor sequences. Activation of the posterior parietal cortex was also observed during self-paced complex finger movements. The specific contribution or possibly multiple roles of Brodmann area 40 in complex motor behavior remain to be determined, however. Because the right SMG area (Brodmann area 40) may also be part of a spatial attention network, the possibility cannot be ruled out that the right
SMG activation in our patients is due to enhanced attention required during paretic hand movements.

Problematic in all activation and task performance studies is how to control for the effort of subjects and the associated nonspecific cognitive components. The outcome of increased effort may be enlarged activation volumes and nonspecific cognitive components may recruit newly activated regions. In previous normal motor studies, the pace of movement was controlled because it is linearly proportional to the magnitude of activation. However residual motor impairment in recovering hemiparetic patients may exaggerate the variability in effort if the speed of movements is controlled. Also, prolonged finger movements may cause fatigue and increased effort by hemiparetic patients, or even normal subjects. Because this may result in the use of proximal limb muscles in addition to hand muscles, this might explain expanded regions of motor cortex activation. We attempted to overcome this in our pilot study by using a self-paced, more automatic finger opposition task with comfortable performance time (24 s) for most patients.

A 3T magnet and GRE pulse sequence were used for this study. The approach to studying motor function with GRE pulse sequences has several limitations. First, the examined volume was limited to a 30-mm thick section of the brain rather than the entire head. Second, the GRE pulse sequence is more sensitive to motion than echo-planar imaging (EPI). Third, $T_2^*$-weighted images, acquired by either GRE or gradient-echo EPI techniques, are sensitive not only to tissue blood oxygenation changes but also to BOLD signals in venules and veins. However, the percentage of BOLD signals arising from capillaries and brain tissue increases with magnetic field strength. In this respect, then, a 3T magnet is superior to a 1.5T scanner.

In summary, we have demonstrated that fMRI allows study of recovery of motor function after ischemic stroke in humans. We were able to investigate differences in motor activation of individual patients and to explore the group results. Our study suggests that BOLD contrast is sensitive to functional recovery and organization of motor pathways. In the future, fMRI may be a useful tool to monitor and study poststroke rehabilitation and may be important in aiding our understanding of the mechanisms of poststroke motor recovery.
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