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Abstract:
The Process of Recovery After Hemiplegia

A group of 39 patients with hemiplegia due to cerebral infarction was followed from the time of onset for a period of at least 20 weeks. The subjects were selected on the basis of freedom from serious concomitant disease but having neurological disability persisting at least four weeks. The process of recovery was followed with standard neurological and functional tests.

Some neurological recovery occurred in 34 patients. Recovery is usually best in the lower limb, but upper limb movement, sensation, body image, mental ability, and speech may also recover to some extent. Recovery may begin as early as the first week or as late as the seventh. Little neurological improvement took place after the fourteenth week and the average interval from onset to 80% final recovery was six weeks. Functional recovery closely follows neurological recovery.

It is suggested that much of the early recovery and that of the upper limb could be due to return of circulation to ischemic areas. Transfer of function to undamaged neurons is suggested as a mechanism of late recovery, especially of the lower limbs and of speech. The role of cerebral edema is uncertain.

Introduction

Some knowledge of the natural history of any disease condition is necessary, not only in order to plan management and to judge the effect of treatment, but also to test the credibility of theories of the underlying mechanism. One must try to understand what disease processes and what mechanisms of natural recovery are taking place and in what way treatment can be expected to modify them. It is well known that hemiplegia following cerebral thrombosis or embolism has a tendency to recover. What processes in the brain and circulation contribute to this recovery? Although much of the work of rehabilitation after a stroke is directed toward prevention of complications and of other factors which obstruct recovery, it may well be that some of the natural processes could be aided or speeded up if one could understand exactly what is going on. Furthermore, any regime of management must be judged against a standard of what is likely to occur naturally, without specific treatment. A number of physiological and psychological processes can be considered as contributing toward recovery. It is interesting to compare the time course of such factors with the actual sequence of recovery as seen in the hemiplegic.

Subjects

Thirty-nine patients admitted to the Manitoba Rehabilitation Hospital were selected. These patients were all transferred from acute hospitals within four weeks of a sudden stroke producing hemiplegia and diagnosed as either thrombosis or embolism. Patients transferred later than one month from onset, and those suffering from serious general disease or complications, were excluded from the series. There were 15 women, ranging in age from 28 to 77 years, and 24 men, ranging in age from 22 to 72 years. The average age was 55. Twenty-five of the patients had a right
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AGE, SEX AND SIDE OF HEMIPLEGIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MALE</th>
<th>FEMALE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RIGHT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEMIPLEGIA</td>
<td>2 1 2 3 6 1</td>
<td>0 0 3 1 4 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGES</td>
<td>0 0 3 1 4 2</td>
<td>4 2 0 1 2 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Distribution of patients studied.

hemiplegia, with speech disorder in 19 of these, and 14 had a left hemiplegia. The cause of the hemiplegia was considered to be embolism from known cardiac abnormality in three of the cases and was diagnosed as thrombosis in the others.

Measurement of the Hemiplegia

The normal type of diagnostic neurological examination is insufficient to give any useful data about the process of recovery of hemiplegia. Some form of quantitative, or at least semiquantitative, estimate of the neurological disorder is needed. The ordinary MRC grading of muscle strength is not satisfactory because, in hemiplegia, the muscles do not recover individually or independent of posture and associated movements. On the other hand, a pure measure of motility, such as that described by Stern et al.,1 may be beyond the ability of most of the patients and so fails to register much useful recovery which does occur. For this reason, a simplified numerical assessment of motor and sensory function and higher mental functions was undertaken with a parallel assessment of functional recovery. Those items were selected which have been shown by experience as likely to show improvements. Recovery of hemianopia, for instance, is exceedingly uncommon and therefore was not included in the numerical assessment. Table 1 indicates the points system used. In the upper limb, shoulder movement was graded 3—1 point for ability to contract the trapezius, 2 for active abduction of the shoulder in the sitting position, 3 for full power

TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neurological Score</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>3 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper limbs 9 points</td>
<td>Shoulder</td>
<td>Trapezius contracts</td>
<td>Aduction less than 90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow</td>
<td>Flexion synergy</td>
<td>Hand to mouth</td>
<td>Active flexion and extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>Flexion synergy</td>
<td>Active extension</td>
<td>Independent finger movements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower limbs 9 points</td>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>Flexion in standing position</td>
<td>Flexion in supine position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>Extension in sitting position</td>
<td>Can bear weight on leg</td>
<td>Active flexion and extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot</td>
<td>Withdrawal synergy</td>
<td>Independent dorsiflexion</td>
<td>Stable ankle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory 2 points</td>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>2-point discrimination less than 5 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot</td>
<td>Position sense in hallux</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental function 2 points (6 points)</td>
<td>&quot;Draw-a-man&quot;</td>
<td>Jigsaw men</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Form board</td>
<td>Koh's blocks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech 3 points</td>
<td>Comprehension of simple commands</td>
<td>Adequate communication of needs</td>
<td>Normal speech</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of abduction and external rotation. At the elbow 3 points—1 for the first trace of elbow flexion in the synergic flexion movement of the arm, 2 for ability to touch the mouth with the hand, 3 for good power of extension and flexion of the elbow. For hand and finger movement 3 points—1 for active grip along with the flexion synergy, 2 for active extension as well as flexion of the fingers and wrist, 3 for return of independent finger movements. In the leg, 3 points for hip movement—1 for ability to flex the hip in the sitting position, 2 for ability to raise the leg from the bed in the lying position, 3 for sufficient abduction at the hip to prevent Trendelenberg sign on lifting the unaffected leg in the standing position. At the knee, 3 points—1 for active extension of the knee in the sitting position, 2 for ability to bear weight on the leg without hyperextending the knee, 3 for normal knee flexion and extension. At the foot—1 point for contraction of tibialis anterior, along with general flexion synergy of the leg, 2 for ability to actively dorsiflex the foot with the heel on the ground, 3 for contraction of the peronei sufficient to prevent the foot going into inversion when dorsiflexed. Two points were given for sensory function—1 for 2-point discrimination on the index finger at a threshold of 5 mm or less, and 1 for passive movement perception in the great toe on the affected side. Two points were given for higher mental function—1 for body image testing with the “draw a man” test, or jigsaw puzzle man, and 1 for constructional ability with the Koh block test. Three points were given for speech—1 for comprehension of simple commands, 2 for fluency and 3 for normal speech. Spasticity was graded 2 in arms and legs. Separate functional classification was graded up to a total of 15, as follows (table 2).

Transferring: 1 point for ability to transfer from bed to chair with assistance, 2 for same transfer without assistance, and 3 for complete safety in transferring.

Wheelchair management: 1 point.

Walking: 1 point for ability to walk between parallel bars with assistance, 2 for ability to walk with tripod cane with assistance of one person, 3 for ability to walk with cane independently, and 4 for gait with even stride, with or without short leg brace.

Climbing stairs: 1 point for ability to climb four stairs with assistance of one person, and 2 points for ability to climb with aid of rail only.

Dressing: 1 point for ability to put on upper garment, 2 for upper and lower garment, and 3 for normal dressing, including fastenings.

Toilet: 1 point for continence of urine, 2 points for ability to manage washing and toilet activities independently.

These were assessed as soon as the patient was first seen, usually within a day or two of the stroke. Some of the tests, such as the mental function, could not be done at this early stage. All the tests were then repeated weekly, from the first week of transfer to the Manitoba Rehabilitation Hospital until the time of discharge, and then follow-up visits up to a year after the stroke. The relative weighting of the various sections could certainly have been done differently. For instance, we have recently put more stress on mental function and its recovery. It has proved simple to score the Koh block test out of four, with four sets of patterns of increasing difficulty. A much more sensitive and satisfactory grading of speech has been undertaken with the aid of a Porch Index of Communicative Ability.

Results

Only five patients in this series failed to improve as much as 5 points on the above scale and made no significant neurological recovery. The other 34 all made some recovery, the most striking being from a score
Mean time course of recovery in patients studied.

Recovery of movement in the lower limb is one of the most functionally important processes in rehabilitation of the hemiplegic. In 28 of the patients some movement was beginning to return by four weeks, but two patients still had total lower limb paralysis at the sixth week and yet regained useful movement before the twelfth. On this type of numerical assessment, motor recovery in the leg accounts for just under half the total number of points on the recovery score. As is well known, recovery in the upper limb is much less complete in most cases of cerebral thrombosis.

In 11 patients there was no recovery at all of upper limb movement, and a further 10 had not more than 2 points recovery, which amounts to a weak flexion and abduction synergy of the shoulder and elbow alone. In the remaining 18 patients, recovery of a more useful degree took place, but only nine reached a figure of 6 points or more, and therefore had function sufficient for a useful assisting limb. One of the latter did not start to show any movement at all until the seventh week after the stroke. As in the lower limb, recovery was more or less complete by the twelfth week, and little neurological improvement on this scale took place after that time.

Recovery of sensation may also take place during the second and third month. Five
patients recovered a 2-point discrimination in the fingers of the affected hand, and six patients recovered position sense in the affected hallux. This recovery took place between the third and ninth weeks. In patients who are confused or have depressed consciousness in the postictal period, recovery of alertness is very striking during the second and third weeks. At this stage, however, proper testing of mental function is usually impossible. However, later recovery of mental function also may be seen during the second month. Five patients showed striking improvement in ability to complete the Koh block test when this was administered serially. Such improvement was noted as early as the fifth week and as late as the thirteenth week in one patient. Of
the 13 patients tested with the 4-point Koh block test, eight were producing normal scores in the first test (four right and four left hemiplegics); two right hemiplegics were unable to complete the fourth and most difficult pattern and at later testing could complete them all. One right hemiplegic was completely unable to do any of the patterns at the fifth week, and at the seventh week had improved so that he could complete a perfect score. Two other patients made a partial recovery. Five patients also improved on the body image test (fig. 6) between the fifth and thirteenth weeks. In some patients, a complete constructional apraxia will change into a unilateral body image impairment and in others (fig. 7), neglect of the affected side of space will become rectified, even though hemianopia does not recover. Only two patients showed any change in their visual fields. They improved from a tendency to suppress the affected half fields to an apparently normal field of vision. This occurred on one occasion at the third week and in the other patient at the fourth.

Using the simple 3-point speech assessment, 14 of 19 aphasics showed some recovery of speech, expression and comprehension between the first and twelfth weeks. Six patients had serial tests with the Porch Index of Communicative Ability and all showed improvements ranging from an 11% to a 75% gain over their initial score. This improvement was continuing to take place as late as the twentieth week in one case.

**Spasticity**

Only one of these patients presented spasticity during the first week. By the eighth week (fig. 8), all but three showed some degree of spasticity and only two of the 39 patients never developed clinical spasticity (fig. 8). The degree of spasticity increased rapidly, particularly between the fourth and the seventh week, and then continued to mount up to the twentieth week from onset. Some patients showed fairly early onset of spasticity which then declined as functional recovery occurred.

All patients had a very poor functional score on admission to the Manitoba Rehabilitation Hospital. The average rate of recovery is shown in figure 2. Functional recovery generally occurred in the same time sequence as neurological recovery, but some patients were able to make reasonable functional rehabilitation with very little active movement of the lower limb and none of the upper. Three patients showed a decline probably related to ment after discharge from hospital, perhaps due to improved motivation. Two other patients showed a decline probably related to poor home environment. Patients with a functional score of less than 8 generally required heavy assistance, and most had to be transferred to nursing homes. On this scale, a functional score below 12 usually means that considerable assistance in the home is necessary.

**The Mechanism of Recovery After Cerebral Infarction**

Return of functional ability after hemiplegia depends to a considerable extent on neurological recovery in the previously paralyzed limbs. It also depends on the patient's motivation, on
Progression from constructional apraxia to unilateral neglect in a patient with left hemiplegia. Onset of stroke January 8.

Figure 7

Progression from constructional apraxia to unilateral neglect in a patient with left hemiplegia.

The skill of those involved in retraining the hemiplegic, and on the home circumstances. Neurological recovery, on the other hand, appears to depend mainly on the intrinsic cerebral and circulatory processes which cannot as yet be much influenced by medical or physical treatment.

The first mechanism is probably return of circulation of ischemic areas. Studies of regional cerebral circulation by Lassen and others has brought back into prominence the important circulatory effects of localized obstruction of the cerebral circulation. It is clear that vigorous reactive hyperemia occurs all around the territory of the obstructed vessel and will tend to restore circulation to at least some areas with blood supply cut off by the immediate event. It is well known that neurons cannot survive a prolonged period of severe ischemia. Clinical observation and experimental studies suggest that neurons die after about five minutes' loss of total blood supply. There is considerably less work on the effects of subtotal ischemia and on the recovery pattern of neurons damaged in this way, but not to the point of death. Miller and Myers have described how the nervous system of monkeys can withstand up to 20 minutes' total arrest of the circulation if the cardiopulmonary system and the acid-base balance are returned promptly to normal after this time. At the extreme limits compatible with any recovery, the
animals remained comatose for one or two days and exhibited neurological signs for as long as a month following the circulatory arrest. A similar process is seen after cardiac arrest in man. Fox reports recovery still occurring at 25 days, and possibly for up to three months. In cases observed personally, recovery of mental function appears to continue at least as long as a month.

In the classical case of transient cerebral ischemia, recovery occurs within 24 hours, and it seems clear that actual infarction of brain substance has not occurred. When infarction does occur, it seems reasonable to suppose that the infarct is surrounded by a variable area of ischemia, which may be brought back to a functional status by return of the circulation. It seems unlikely, however, that recovery of the circulation could be responsible for continuing improvement in neurological function as late as the tenth or twelfth week following the initial episode.

The second obvious mechanism of recovery is transfer of function from damaged neurons to others. Mooney, for instance, states that “spontaneous recovery must imply a recruitment of neurons into new job classifications.” Kinsbourne has shown that recovery of speech in the hemiplegic may depend to a considerable extent on use of the nondominant hemisphere. Injection of Amytal into the right carotid artery may abolish speech in the right hemiplegic who is partially recovered from aphasia. Return of speech has also been described after dominant-hemispherectomy as in the cases of Hillier and of Smith; both these patients had been previously operated on for tumor and both had postoperative aphasia with partial recovery. After hemispherectomy, speech improved up to the third week in one case, and up to the fifth month in the other. Hemispherectomy in the adult is usually followed by return of movement in the lower limb sufficient to permit walking, and this generally takes place in the first one to three months. These findings suggest that at least part of the recovery of the lower limbs after hemiplegia may be due to intact mechanisms in the brain stem, the basal ganglia and the opposite hemisphere. After removal of one cerebral hemisphere, the upper limb generally does not recover, a situation comparable to many cases of cerebral thrombosis.

A third mechanism of recovery is the resolution of cerebral edema. Edema is probably the cause of depressed consciousness during the first week after a large infarct. How much it contributes to contralateral paralysis is uncertain. Serial angiograms and the results of isotope brain scan suggest that edema is largely resolved by four weeks.

It is generally assumed that neurons cannot regenerate in the central nervous system. Some recent reports suggest that this is not entirely true, and that small terminal dendrites can regenerate, sprout and form new functional connections following central nervous system damage. Rose et al. have shown this following localized radiation damage of the cortex and Wall and Egger have demonstrated this after trauma to the nucleus cuneatus.

Conclusions
It is possible that retraining of the patient may encourage the process of transfer of function to undamaged areas of the nervous system but, in general, physical treatment would seem to have little effect on any of the above mechanisms. The patient with a severe hemiplegia who is going to have a permanent disability does need retraining, but the most important medical effects of rehabilitation therapy are in the prevention of complications. In addition to the obvious complications produced by recumbency, there are the two major enemies of the hemiplegic, despair and dependency.

It is hoped that further studies on the recovery of cerebral function following circulatory arrest will clarify the importance of circulatory recovery in hemiplegia. Careful follow-up after the removal of brain tissue for nonprogressive disease will give additional information on the processes of transfer of function within the nervous system. It could then be possible that treatment aimed at these major processes of recovery after hemiplegia might have some beneficial results.
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