Cortical Language Activation in Stroke Patients Recovering From Aphasia With Functional MRI
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Background and Purpose—Two mechanisms for recovery from aphasia, repair of damaged language networks and activation of compensatory areas, have been proposed. In this study, we investigated whether both mechanisms or one instead of the other take place in the brain of recovered aphasic patients.

Methods—Using blood oxygenation level–dependent functional MRI (fMRI), we studied cortical language networks during lexical-semantic processing tasks in 7 right-handed aphasic patients at least 5 months after the onset of left-hemisphere stroke and had regained substantial language functions since then.

Results—We found that in the recovered aphasic patient group, functional language activity significantly increased in the right hemisphere and nonsignificantly decreased in the left hemisphere compared with that in the normal group. Bilateral language networks resulted from partial restitution of damaged functions in the left hemisphere and activation of compensated (or recruited) areas in the right hemisphere. Failure to restore any language function in the left hemisphere led to predominantly right hemispheric networks in some individuals. However, better language recovery, at least for lexical-semantic processing, was observed in individuals who had bilateral rather than right hemisphere–predominant networks.

Conclusions—The results indicate that the restoration of left-hemisphere language networks is associated with better recovery and inversely related to activity in the compensated or recruited areas of the right hemisphere. (Stroke. 1999;30:2331-2340.)
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Despite a large body of work on the roles of the left and right hemispheres during recovery from aphasia, there is limited understanding of cortical reorganization of language networks after stroke. Studies of recovering stroke patients with aphasia using cerebral blood flow (CBF) and metabolism measured at rest and during language tasks have demonstrated increased right-hemisphere involvement in language processing.1–4 This has been further supported by worsening of language impairments in aphasic patients with a left-hemisphere infarct who suffered a subsequent right-hemisphere stroke.5 A similar decline in language abilities has been observed in patients with left-hemisphere stroke who underwent amytal inactivation of right-hemisphere function.6 The right hemisphere also has been shown to contribute more to recovery of language comprehension than to production.7 Improvement of language also has been associated with the recruitment of undamaged regions in the left hemisphere.1–3 Even with these findings, little is known about the precise role of the right hemisphere, the impact of undamaged left-hemisphere language regions, and the interaction of the 2 hemispheres in recovery from aphasia after left-hemisphere ischemic stroke.

The purpose of this study was to investigate brain mechanisms for recovery from aphasia via the study of the functional anatomy of aphasic patients who regained substantial language ability after left-hemisphere stroke. Using blood oxygenation level–dependent (BOLD)8 functional MRI (fMRI), we studied cortical language networks during lexical-semantic processing tasks in aphasic patients at least 5 months after stroke onset. We hypothesize that language activity in the compensated or recruited areas of the right hemisphere is inversely related to the restoration of left-hemisphere language networks.

Subjects and Methods

Subjects
Seven recovering aphasic stroke patients (2 men, 5 women; age range 20 to 56 years) participated in a picture-naming study (Table 1). Four (patients 1, 3, 6, and 7) also participated in a verb generation study. Inclusion criteria for patients were as follows:
TABLE 1. Right-Handed Recovering Stroke Patients With Aphasia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Occlusion</th>
<th>Infarct Location</th>
<th>Lesion Extent (A-P/S-I/L-R), mm</th>
<th>Aphasia Type, day 1/day 4</th>
<th>Initial ADPASS, percentile</th>
<th>Aphasia Type at Study</th>
<th>ADPASS at Study, percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>L frontal and operculum</td>
<td>25/48/33</td>
<td>Mixed nonfluent/TCM</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32†</td>
<td>Anomic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>L frontotemporoparietal and basal ganglia</td>
<td>82/66/34</td>
<td>Global/Global</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>22*</td>
<td>Anomic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>ICA</td>
<td>L inferior parietotemporal</td>
<td>80/54/40</td>
<td>Wernicke’s/Wernicke’s</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5†</td>
<td>Conduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>L temporoparietal and insula</td>
<td>21/36/39</td>
<td>Wernicke’s/Wernicke’s</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27*</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>L frontoparietal and basal ganglia</td>
<td>25/42/33</td>
<td>Global/TCS</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>30*</td>
<td>TCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>ICA</td>
<td>L anterior superior frontal</td>
<td>42/42/38</td>
<td>TCM/TCM</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5‡</td>
<td>Anomic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>L frontoparietal and insula</td>
<td>39/36/31</td>
<td>Global/Broca’s</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>144†</td>
<td>Anomic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MCA indicates middle cerebral artery; ICA, internal carotid artery; TI, time interval between stroke onset and the fMRI study; Lesion Extent, largest extent of lesion in each direction; A-P, anterior-posterior; S-I, superior-inferior; L-R, left-right; TCM, transcortical motor; and TCS, transcortical sensory.

*Patient participated in picture naming paradigm only.
†Patient participated in picture naming and verb generation paradigms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aphasia Type</th>
<th>Aphasia Type</th>
<th>ADPASS at Study, percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCS</td>
<td>Anomic</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wernicke’s</td>
<td>Conduction</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>TCS</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Task Paradigms

**Picture Naming Paradigm**

In the activation condition, a set of 36 black-and-white line drawings of common concrete objects, chosen from the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test, was shown to the subject. The subject was instructed to silently name the objects as they appeared. In the control condition, nonsense black-and-white line drawings were shown to the subject to remove activation for early visual processing. The subject was instructed to look at the nonsense line drawings without trying to name them or to find any recognizable feature in them. The nonsense drawings matched the angle of the visual field subtended in the activation condition and approximately matched the visual complexity of the line drawings.

**Verb Generation Paradigm**

In the activation condition, a set of 36 common concrete nouns was shown to the subject, who was instructed to silently generate a verb associated with each presented noun (eg, shown the word “cake,” the subject thinks “eat”). In the control condition, 5 forward slashes were presented to the subject to remove activation for early visual processing. The subject was asked to merely look at these slashes without doing anything.

For both paradigms, task sets were divided equally into 4 task performance periods and interleaved with 4 control condition periods. During each task performance period, 9 items were presented at a rate of 1 every 2 s, except in the case of patient 2, for whom 6 items were presented at a rate of 1 every 3 s to compensate for her slower performance. Thus, each period was 18 s long, and an entire paradigm was completed in 144 s. A PC-driven, MRI-compatible video system was used to project language tasks onto a screen placed at one end of the scanner bore. Subjects viewed the presented tasks through mirrored goggles while lying supine in the scanner.

Before the scanning, all subjects were trained for the tasks, using stimuli sets different from those presented during scanning. All patients showed that they could perform a task within 2 to 3 s (the rate used during fMRI scanning) and correctly performed at least 70% of the training tasks. If a patient did not meet these standards, the fMRI study was postponed, and the patient was tested again after 3 months.

During fMRI scanning, subjects were interviewed about their task performance immediately after each paradigm performance. They were asked how many pictures (or verbs) they were able to name (or generate). If there was a large discrepancy compared with prescan testing, the patients’ data were discarded. One patient who could not reliably describe his performance was excluded from the study (and not reported in this article).

**Image Processing**

Functional images were brought into alignment by in-planer translation and rotation before statistical analysis. If major movement of
the head occurred, motion artifact could not be corrected (usually
>1.5-mm translation) and the data were discarded.

Statistical analysis of the functional images was accomplished by a
combination of complex temporal cross-correlation (cc), with sine
cosine waves having the periodicity of task performance (36
s)\textsuperscript{14,15} and cluster-size thresholding.\textsuperscript{16} All pixels were thresholded at a
level of cc magnitude equal to 0.406 and cc phase centered at \(-45^\circ\)
and ranging between \(-90^\circ\) and \(45^\circ\), to yield an estimated 1-tailed
type I error of 0.005. Pixels passing the cc threshold were subject to
cluster-size thresholding (\(\geq 7\) (pixels)\(\times 0.88\ mm^2=6.2\ mm^2\)), result-
ing in an estimated false-positive rate of <0.0006 per pixel to justify
the multiple (pixel) comparisons in an image. This cluster-size
threshold, based on true neural activity that tends to stimulate signal
changes over contiguous pixels, can independently and reliably
reject false-positives without incurring unacceptable losses of power
(increase of false-negatives) due to decreased false-negatives.\textsuperscript{16}

Using the phase of the complex temporal cross-correlation of a
pixel, we assessed whether the infarct in the brains of chronic stroke
patients caused any hemodynamic time delay of the activated pixels
in the left (infarcted) hemisphere compared with the right (nonin-
farcted) hemisphere.

Localization of Activated Pixels

The pixels that passed both cc and cluster-size thresholds in the
functional images were overlapped on the T1-weighted MR images.
Then, each activated pixel was localized to its specific anatomic
gyrus in each individual hemisphere, with use of the anatomic
T1-weighted images and a brain atlas of computerized images.\textsuperscript{17}
Based on previous observations that language networks are widely
distributed and may extend beyond classic language areas,\textsuperscript{18,19}
we computed activated volumes in 2 extended putative cortical language
regions. The anterior region (labeled inferior frontal lobe [IFL] in the
Results section) included the inferior and middle frontal gyri, and
the posterior region (labeled inferior parietal and superior temporal lobes
[IPS] in Results) included the supramarginal, angular, and super-
ior temporal gyri.

Hemispherical Language Lateralization

A lateralization index (LI)\textsuperscript{20-22} was calculated by subtracting the
activated volume observed in a region of the right hemisphere \((V_R)\)
from the activated volume in the same region of the left hemisphere
\((V_L)\) and dividing the difference \((V_L-V_R)\) by the total activated
volume in that region \((V_L+V_R)\). Thus, an LI=\((V_L-V_R)/(V_L+V_R)\) of
1.0 corresponded to complete left lateralization, an LI of 0.0
indicated bilateral activation, and an LI of \(-1.0\) indicated complete
right lateralization. Statistical differences of activated volumes in both
hemispheres between the patient and normal group were
assessed by the Student \(t\) test. If the total activated volumes of the 2
groups were approximately equal, an LI could be used to identify any
shift of hemispheric language lateralization in the patient group
compared with the normal group. To validate this, in the 2 cortical
regions defined above, differences of activated volumes in the left
and right sides and LIs between the patient and normal groups were
assessed. The Mann-Whitney Rank test and Student \(t\) test were used
to analyze LIs and activated volumes between the 2 groups,
respectively. Because these statistical analyses (left, right, and total)
done in 2 separated cortical regions, \(P<0.01\) was used as a
significance level to justify multiple comparisons.

Factors Contributed to Recovery

Factors contributed to recovery of naming ability were assessed. A
multiple regression model (probability 0.05 of F-to-enter) was used
to test whether naming scores measured by the ADP at the time of
fMRI study were significantly determined by any of following
factors: recovery time (measured by time intervals between stroke
onset and the study), fMRI measures (eg, activated volumes in left
and/or right cortical regions and LIs in the anterior or posterior
cortical regions), initial naming deficits, and age.

Results

Control Subjects

Cortical networks activated during picture naming involved 2
primary loci: the left inferior frontal lobe (IFL), including the
inferior frontal gyri (IFG) and middle frontal gyri (MFG), and
the left inferior parietal/superior temporal lobes (IPS)\textsuperscript{6}, in-
cluding the supramarginal, angular, and superior temporal gyri
(SMG, AG, and STG, respectively). A typical example is shown
in Figure 1 (top row). To a smaller extent, there was activation
in the right homologous regions. The respective mean\(\pm\)SEM
volumes of activated tissue in the left and right IFL were
0.59\(\pm\)0.09 cm\(^3\) and 0.21\(\pm\)0.04 cm\(^3\), with a mean LI of 0.51
(Table 2). The respective mean\(\pm\)SEM volumes of activated
tissue in the left and right IPS were 0.84\(\pm\)0.14 cm\(^3\) and
0.29\(\pm\)0.05 cm\(^3\), with a mean LI of 0.45 (Table 2). Other
activated cortical brain regions included the occipital lobe,
insula, superior parietal lobule (SPL), and anterior cingulate
gyrus. The cortical regions adjacent to the intraparietal sulcus
(IPS) were rarely activated. The average volumes of activated
cortical tissue in the left and right hemispheres were 2.93\(\pm\)0.29
cm\(^3\) and 1.39\(\pm\)0.20 cm\(^3\) (mean\(\pm\)SEM), respectively.
TABLE 2. Activated Volumes in the Left and Right Hemispheres and LIs from the Patient and Normal Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Picture Naming</th>
<th>Verb Generation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patients, mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L volume, cc</td>
<td>0.29±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R volume, cc</td>
<td>0.69±0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI</td>
<td>-0.43±0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSTL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L volume, cc</td>
<td>0.43±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R volume, cc</td>
<td>0.74±0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI</td>
<td>-0.10±0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L indicates left hemisphere; R, right hemisphere.

The verb generation task activated a cortical network that mainly included the left IFL and IPSTL, and the regions adjacent to the left IPS. A typical example is shown in Figure 1 (bottom row). To a smaller extent, the right homologous regions were also activated. During this task, respective activated volumes of tissue in the left and right IFL were 1.34±0.19 cm³ and 0.34±0.08 cm³ (mean±SEM), with a mean LI of 0.583 (Table 2). Activated volumes in the respective left and right IPSTL were 0.67±0.15 cm³ and 0.26±0.07 cm³ (mean±SEM), with a mean LI of 0.475 (Table 2). Activated volume in the left IPS was 0.59±0.13 cm³ (mean±SEM). Other activated cortical structures included the occipital lobe, and to a small degree the insula, and anterior cingulate gyrus. The mean volumes of cortical activation in the left and right hemispheres were 3.56±0.43 cm³ and 1.59±0.22 cm³ (mean±SEM), respectively.

Although for the control group as a whole, left-hemispheric language dominance was observed during both tasks, in men LI primarily lateralized to left; in women, approximately half had left lateralization and the other half bilateral representation. No age difference was observed.

Clinical Presentation of Patients
At initial clinical evaluation (on the first day of symptom onset), 3 patients (patients 2, 5, and 7) had global aphasia, 2 (patients 3 and 4) had Wernicke’s aphasia, 1 (patient 6) had a transcortical motor aphasia, and 1 (patient 1) had mixed aphasists (Table 1). In 4 patients (patients 2, 3, 4, and 6), the initial aphasis types were stable over the first 4 days. In the other 3 patients, by 4 days after onset of stroke their aphasis profiles had evolved (Table 1). Aphasia was caused by occlusion of the left middle cerebral artery in 5 patients (patients 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7), by occlusion of the left internal carotid artery in 3 patients, and by dissection of the left internal carotid artery in 6. Figure 2 illustrates the extent of the infarct of the patients, and a brief description of the location of the infarct is also provided in Table 1. The largest dimension of the infarct, measured on cross-sectional T2-weighted MR images obtained at the time of the fMRI study, varied from 39 to 82 mm (Table 1). By the time of study, 5 to 144 months after the onset of aphasia, all patients had made substantial improvement in their language abilities, with the ADPASS at least in the 73rd percentile, but the majority still had a mild aphasia (Table 1). Their scores on a naming subtest of ADP varied from the 63rd to 91st percentiles (Table 3).

Activation Patterns of Individual Patients
To elucidate the individuality of activation patterns in aphasic patients, we will briefly highlight below some of the unique differences in each patient.

Patient 1 participated in the picture naming and verb generation tasks 32 months after stroke onset, through which her ADPASS has improved from the 8th to the 89th percentiles (Table 1). During picture naming, extensive activated tissue volumes were observed in the left SMG (0.75 cm³, 290% of mean activated control volume), the cortical regions adjacent to the left IPS (1.35 cm³, 830% of control volume), the right STG and MTG (0.89 cm³, 440% of control volume), and the right IFG (0.325 cm³, 200% of control volume). No activation was observed in the left IFG and the MFG, part of which was damaged by infarct.

During verb generation, tissue volumes were activated in the left MFG anterior to the infarct (0.49 cm³, 35% of control volume), the right SMG and AG (0.39 cm³, 370% of control volume), and the right insula (0.21 cm³, 240% of control volume). Activity in the left inferior parietal lobe (SMG and AG) and left IPS was negligible despite this region’s being structurally intact.

Patient 2 participated in the picture naming paradigm 22 months after stroke onset, through which her ADPASS has improved from <1st to the 81st percentiles (Table 1). During the naming study, activation was in right-hemisphere structures almost exclusively (accounting for 92% of all cortical activity). Activated volumes were found in the right SMG and AG (0.96 cm³, 825% of mean control volume), the right IFG and MFG (0.47 cm³, 225% of normal volume), the right insula (0.48 cm³, 600% of normal volume), and the right STG (1.31 cm³, 740% of normal volume). The left hemisphere was markedly void of activity (Figure 3); activation was detected only in the left MFG and left STG (65% and 20% of control volume, respectively).
Patient 3 participated in the picture naming and verb generation tasks 5 months after stroke onset, through which her ADPASS has improved from the 18th to the 79th percentiles (Table 1). During picture naming, large-order activation was observed in the right IFG and MFG (0.97 cm³, 470% of mean control volume), the right STG (0.35 cm³, 200% of control volume), and the right insula (940% of control volume), and the cingulate gyrus bilaterally (anterior, 500% of control volume; posterior, 600% of control volume). Activated volumes were decreased in the left SMG and AG (0.16 cm³, 30% of control volume) and the left STG (0.09 cm³, 50% of control volume).

During verb generation, large-order activation was observed in the right SMG and AG (0.56 cm³, 530% of control volume), the right anterior cingulate gyrus (0.11 cm³, 200% of control volume), and the STG and MTG bilaterally (right, 1.02 cm³, 570% of control volume; left, 0.70 cm³, 230% of normal volume). Activation in left and right inferior frontal regions did not differ from normals. Despite the infarct’s involving only a small portion of the anterior-inferior parietal lobe, the entire inferior parietal lobe failed to activate.

Patient 4 participated in the picture naming paradigm 27 months after his stroke, through which his ADPASS has improved from the 30th to the 99th percentiles (Table 1). During picture naming, there was marked activation in the right IFG and MFG (1.83 cm³, 880% of control volume) and 3 separate posterior brain regions: the left postcentral gyrus immediately anterior to the infarct (0.48 cm³, 800% of normal volume), the left inferior parietal region, including the SPL posterior to the infarct (0.64 cm³, 570% of control volume), and the left STG inferior to the infarct (0.54 cm³, 150% of control volume). Left inferior frontal activity was normal.

Patient 5 participated in the picture naming paradigm 30 months after stroke, through which her ADPASS has improved from <1st to the 73rd percentiles (Table 1). During

### Table 3. Variables to Determine the Extent of Recovery of Naming Ability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Naming Scores at Study, percentile</th>
<th>LI in IFL (IFG + MFG)</th>
<th>TI, mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Regression Model**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77.351</td>
<td>26.895</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>25.497</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.034</td>
<td>4.237</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>6.347</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.094</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>4.506</td>
<td>4.506</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A multiple regression model was used to test that naming scores measured at the time of fMRI study were significantly correlated with LI in the IFL and recovery time ($F = 21.2, P < 0.017$). TI indicates time intervals between stroke onset and the study.
picture naming there was bilateral activation in both inferior frontal and inferior parietal regions. In the right IFG and MFG, activated volumes did not differ from mean control volumes (0.21 cm³); however, left IFG and MFG activity was markedly reduced to 0.16 cm³ (25% of control volume) and shifted anterior to the infarct. The activated volume in the right SMG and AG was increased (0.62 cm³ 530% of normal volume), whereas left SMG and AG activated volumes were normal compared with control volume (0.51 cm³). Minimum activation was observed in superior temporal regions.

Patient 6 participated in the picture naming and verb generation paradigms 5 months after stroke, through which her language abilities have improved, her aphasia type has changed from mixed transcortical aphasia to anomia, and her ADPASS has reached the 92nd percentile (Table 1). During picture naming, activated volumes were observed in the IFG and MFG bilaterally (left, 0.58 cm³, equal to mean control volume; right, 0.35 cm³, 170% of control volume) and in the AG bilaterally (left, 0.22 cm³, equal to control volume; right, 0.26 cm³, 510% of control volume). There was a small volume of activation in the left anterior STG. No activity was recorded in undamaged left SMG, despite this region’s being activated in normal controls.

During verb generation, the activated network in this patient compared with control subjects was mirrored across the midhemispheric line (Figure 4). Large volumes were activated in the right SMG and AG (0.98 cm³, 930% of mean control volume), the banks of the right IPS (1.57 cm³, 740% of control volume), the right STG (0.36 cm³, 200% of control volume), and the IFG and MFG bilaterally (left, 2.46 cm³, 180% of control volume; right, 2.40 cm³, 700% of control volume). Small volumes of tissue were also activated along the banks of the left IPS (0.35 cm³, 60% of control volume) and the left STG (0.14 cm³, 50% of control volume). As with picture naming, activity was depressed in the left inferior parietal lobe, including the SMG and AG.

Patient 7 participated in the study 12 years after his stroke. At the time of study, he still suffered anomia, and his ADPASS was at the 84th percentile (Table 1). Data collected during picture naming were discarded due to motion artifact. During verb generation, activated volumes were observed in the bilateral IFG and MFG (left, 1.09 cm³, equal to mean control volume; right, 0.81 cm³, 240% of control volume), the right MTG (0.49 cm³, 21-fold larger than control volume), the right SMG and AG (1.06 cm³, 10-fold larger than control volume), and the left STG (0.32 cm³, equal to control volume). Such bilateral activation in the IFG and MFG was rarely observed in normal males. The activated volume along the banks of the left IPS was 0.39 cm³ (60% of control volume), whereas the left SMG and AG were void of activity, despite being structurally intact.

**Summary of Patient Group**

(1) The infarct that caused language deficits in the patients did not reduce the total activated brain volumes after patients had substantially regained their language abilities. The mean total activated volumes observed in the patient group during picture naming and verb generation were $4.27 \pm 0.73$ cm³ (mean±SEM) and $5.35 \pm 1.25$ cm³ (mean±SEM), respectively, and were not significantly different from those observed in the control group ($3.79 \pm 0.44$ cm³ and $5.15 \pm 0.57$ cm³, respectively) (Figure 5). However, activated volumes in the patient group were increased in the right hemisphere and decreased in the left hemisphere during both paradigms compared with those in the normal group (Figure 5). The increased right-hemisphere activation in the patient group was statistically significant ($P<0.03$) during picture naming and marginally significant ($P<0.06$) during verb generation. The decreased activation in the left hemisphere of the patient group was not significant during either paradigm ($P>0.1$).

(2) The increased role of the right hemisphere in lexical-semantic processing of the patients was clearly evidenced by activation in the 2 extended putative cortical language regions (IFL and IPSTL). During picture naming, activation in the IFL and IPSTL of the patient group was significantly right...
Discussion

The purpose of this study was to investigate neural mechanisms for recovery from aphasia via the study of the functional anatomy of aphasic patients who regained substantial language ability after left-hemisphere stroke. Two neural mechanisms for recovery have been proposed: restitution of damaged left-hemisphere language regions and activation of compensatory right-hemisphere areas.5,24 Previous PET studies have provided supporting evidence for the redistribution of language networks bilaterally after left-hemisphere stroke. Improved outcome in aphasic patients was associated with increased cerebral glucose metabolism in the infarct, its contralateral region, and Broca’s area during spontaneous speech.23 Left frontal and right perisylvian activation has been observed in patients recovering from Wernicke’s aphasia.3 Such right-hemisphere involvement has been assumed to rely on reactivation of compensatory regions that are part of a preexisting bilateral language network. Also, structural repair of damaged language regions has been called a major factor in the restitution of left-hemisphere language functions.3,24 We observed that in 7 patients recovering from aphasia, repair of left-damaged language functions in combination with right-hemisphere activation was associated with a better outcome. Patients with right-predominant networks recovered substantially but still had obvious language dysfunction.

In PET studies, the restitution of left-hemisphere language functions has been mainly attributed to structural repair of the damaged region rather than to the compensatory activation of the areas bordering the infarct.3,24 The use of intersubject averaging may obscure the evidence of activation in border zones. In our fMRI study, shifted language activity within 1 or 2 gyri of the infarct margin is often observed in patients whose initial aphasia is less severe, eg, patients 1 and 4. Both structural repair of damaged tissue and activation in these border zone regions should be considered to contribute to the restitution of damaged left-hemisphere networks.
Whether right-hemisphere activation in recovering aphasics involves the re-activation of a preexisting language network or recruitment of new language areas is unanswered. No evidence in the literature clearly supports one hypothesis over another. In a recent study of 37 normal right-handed adults, lexical-semantic processing networks were completely left dominant in only 32% of the subjects and bilaterally distributed in 26%, with a higher prevalence of bilaterality in females than males. In the remaining subjects, the distribution of the networks varied between completely left dominant and bilateral. Visual tachistoscopic studies have shown that the right hemisphere has some rudimentary language ability. Split-brain studies have shown that the right hemisphere is capable of processing simple linguistic inputs but is not quite capable of language output. These findings suggestively support, but not exclusively, the hypothesis that after left-hemisphere damage, preexisting language areas in the right hemisphere are reactivated.

There is little doubt that the right hemisphere contributes to recovery from aphasia after left-hemisphere stroke. The question remains as to its precise role and how the hemispheres interact during recovery. A longitudinal (1-year) CBF study of recovering aphasic patients given a word comprehension task showed that good recovery in patients with left inferior-frontal lesions was associated with diffuse right-hemisphere CBF activation within 3 months after stroke but with an increase in left posterior temporal—inferior parietal activation at 5 to 12 months after stroke. In contrast, patients with left posterior temporal—parietal lesions and poor recovery had higher CBF in the right inferior frontal region 5 to 12 months after stroke than within 3 months. In our patients, who still had mild residual anomia at the time of the study but had improved substantially in naming ability, we observed a significant rightward shift of activation during picture naming compared with that in normal controls. The degree of right shift in the inferior frontal lobe was inversely correlated with the extent of recovery of naming ability (Table 3). In other words, better recovery was associated more with bilateral activation in the inferior frontal lobe than with activation that was predominantly right sided. For example, in patients 1 and 2, who had not only severe left frontal damage but also slow recovery of naming ability, activation was primarily on the right side. In patient 6, whose infarct spared the inferior frontal lobe and who recovered naming ability more quickly, activation in the inferior frontal lobe was bilateral, with weak left dominance. During aphasia recovery, the right inferior frontal lobe was recruited in these patients, forming either a right-dominant or bilateral network. Nevertheless, the right inferior frontal lobe alone seems less effective than both inferior frontal lobes working together. The statistical analysis of the data across the sample indicates that the restoration of left-hemisphere networks is associated with better recovery and inversely related to language activity in the right hemisphere. A recent investigation of the aphasic brain reported a similar finding: the metabolic recovery of the left superior temporal cortex was significantly inversely correlated with fluency task—induced activation of the right superior temporal cortex and the number of errors made in the Token test. The authors concluded that the permanent loss of left superior temporal activity reinforced the compensatory activity of the right hemisphere and that the left-hemispheric structural reorganization was significantly more effective than the right-hemispheric compensation. Our data provide support for these types of recovery mechanisms. A longitudinal study in the future will evaluate these hypotheses further.

The observation of the conservation of the total activated volumes in this study also supports the concept of left- and right-hemisphere interaction during recovery. The infarct did not significantly reduce or increase the total activated volumes in our patients. Intuitively, a bilateral or right-sided network would require more cortical regions to accomplish a language task than the original left-sided network, particularly since the right hemisphere seems to be less effective for language than the left hemisphere. Our data do not support this assumption. Instead, they provide further evidence that the compensatory (or recruited) activity in the right hemisphere is inversely related to the degree of recovery in the left hemisphere. We are aware that we considered only the regions activated above a threshold, and subthreshold activity could be relevant as well. The conservation of the total activated volumes needs to be further evaluated in a large longitudinal study. Along with the scientific interest of the conservation of the total activated volumes in both hemispheres, this unique finding also allows us to use the LI to assess a language hemispheric shift, because activated volumes decrease on one side and increase on the other. This has been validated in our data by assessing activated volumes in the left and right hemispheres, respectively, as well as the LI (Table 2). Furthermore, 2 other fMRI studies have validated the lateralization index during semantic decision tasks when compared with intracarotid amobarbital assessment of hemispheric dominance in epilepsy patients.

Speech-language disorders can be caused by disruption anywhere in the complex language networks. In the aphasic brain, failure to activate undamaged left-hemisphere structures usually activated in healthy controls is common. Instead, other right- or left-hemisphere regions are often activated. For example, in patient 6, who had a superior frontal infarct, no activation was seen in the left inferior parietal regions, but the right mirror region was activated (Figure 4). The deactivation in the left inferior parietal region appears to be caused by a disconnection from the rest of the language network, which is subsequently connected with the right homologous region for compensation of the loss of function. Similar observations have been made of metabolic depression that extended beyond the infarct into other regions of the affected hemisphere and even into the unaffected hemisphere.

We studied brain activation in a group of aphasic patients who had different lesion sites and linguistic processing disturbances. This heterogeneity reflects the nature of the stroke population. The different patterns of the redistributed language networks in recovering aphasics also reflect individualized recovery process, which can be affected by many factors, including lesion size and location, recovery time, aphasia severity, age, and therapeutic intervention. With individual patients, the redistributed language networks may...
also vary greatly according to specific language task demands and depending on the specific functions disrupted after stroke onset. Functional MRI appears to be an ideal method to investigate these factors in patients with different behavioral, anatomic, and pathological profiles. Despite the variation, all of our patients had recovered a considerable degree of language function since stroke onset. In this initial study, we grouped the patients’ data to elucidate general roles of the left and right hemispheres in language recovery after stroke. Patients who progress toward normal, even though they have different types and degrees of language disruption initially, may share similar recovery mechanisms.

In this study, we used language tasks generally applied to heterogeneous aphasic populations. For example, we chose a confrontation-naming task because naming problems occur in all types of aphasia, although failure to name an object can have different underlying processing disturbances. An additional consideration of the chosen tasks was that the underlying cognitive-linguistic components and their associated functional anatomy have been well established. According to information-processing models, picture naming is composed of (1) early visuospatial processing of a picture, (2) visual recognition of an object, (3) semantic processing, and (4) phonological retrieval of the word. Our control condition, viewing nonsense line drawings, reasonably removes early visual processing but might not eliminate processing associated with object recognition. Separating object recognition processing from the retrieval of an object’s name is quite difficult. Neuroimaging data, however, indicate several cortical regions associated with object recognition—the right lateral-posterior occipital lobe and the bilateral posterior fusiform gyri. We therefore excluded these areas from our analysis of language activation. On the other hand, semantic processing and phonological retrieval of a word have been shown to be left-hemisphere–dominant functions in normal right-handers. Verb generation involves (1) visuospatial processing of the seen word, (2) orthographic processing of the individual letters and whole word, (3) semantic processing, and (4) phonological retrieval of the word. Also, a verb is functionally distinct from a noun. To remove early visual processing, we used 5 forward slashes as a control condition, which has been previously used in an fMRI study of word reading. Again, orthographic processing of the individual letters and whole word is left-hemisphere dominant in normal right-handers. Considering that our patients had no occipital infarcts and no visual and object-recognition deficits, there is little reason to suppose that the anatomic locations of these functions in the patients differed from those in normal persons. Therefore, the cortical regions included in our analysis most likely are not part of the networks involved in the visuospatial processing and object recognition. Another challenge faced in the study was controlling for task difficulty and attention. Increase of task difficulty may enlarge activated volumes. In this initial study, we selected a group of patients who had mild deficits after a prolonged period of recovery. The task, although designed to be simple, may still be cognitively challenging for patients. This is an unavoidable difficulty for this kind of study. Because the total volume of activated tissue was approximately equal in the control and patient groups, task difficulty most likely was not a major factor altering brain activity patterns in the patients.

Because of concerns over motion artifact caused by mouth movement, language production during the fMRI scanning was done “silently,” with subjects thinking their answers, not speaking them. As a result, we did not monitor subject performance during scanning, which may have been a shortcoming in our method. Nevertheless, “silent language” produced activation patterns similar to those of spoken language. To ensure that subjects followed the instructions and performed the tasks, before scanning we evaluated and documented the subjects’ naming and verb generation abilities. We also interviewed them immediately after each paradigm to confirm that they had been attentive and performed the task successfully.

In summary, with fMRI we investigated cortical language activation in patients recovering from aphasia after a single left-hemisphere ischemic infarct. The results indicate that 2 factors contributed to recovery—restoration of damaged left-hemisphere language networks and activation of compensated (or recruited) areas in the right hemisphere. The results also suggest that after focal left-hemisphere damage, a bilaterally reorganized language network works more effectively than a right-predominant network. Finally, it appears that compensatory right-hemisphere language activity is inversely related to the restoration of the left-hemisphere language networks, which is associated with a better outcome.
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