Progesterone Exacerbates Striatal Stroke Injury in Progesterone-Deficient Female Animals
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Background and Purpose—We have previously shown that female animals experience substantial protection from brain injury after reversible middle cerebral artery occlusion (MCAO) compared with their male or ovariectomized female counterparts. The reproductive steroid estrogen has been shown to provide neuroprotection from a variety of experimental insults, but the importance of progesterone as an anti-ischemic treatment has not been well explored. We evaluated histological outcomes after MCAO in ovariectomized female rats with or without acute or chronic progesterone replacement therapy.

Methods—Age-matched, adult female Wistar rats were ovariectomized and treated with 0, 30, or 60 mg/kg progesterone IP 30 minutes before ischemia (n = 12 to 14 per group) or with 30 mg/kg progesterone IP daily for 7 to 10 days before ischemia (n = 16). Each animal subsequently underwent 2 hours of MCAO with the intraluminal filament technique, followed by 22 hours of reperfusion. Ipsilateral parietal cortex perfusion was monitored with laser Doppler flowmetry throughout ischemia. Cortical, caudate-putamen, and hemispheric infarction volumes were determined with 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride staining and digital image analysis.

Results—Intraischemic plasma progesterone levels were 5 ± 3, 102 ± 20,* 181 ± 28,* and 133 ± 25,* ng/mL in the 0, 30, and 60 mg/kg acute progesterone group and the 30 mg/kg chronic progesterone group, respectively (*P < 0.05 compared with 0 mg/kg). Caudate-putamen infarction volume (percent contralateral structure) was significantly increased by chronic progesterone treatment: 45.6 ± 5.1%* in the 30 mg/kg chronic progesterone group and 29.2 ± 5.3%, 35.8 ± 5.1%, and 42.0 ± 5.0% in the 0, 30, and 60 mg/kg acute progesterone groups, respectively (*P < 0.05 compared with 0 mg/kg). Cortical and total hemispheric infarction volumes (percent contralateral structure) were unchanged by progesterone treatment.

Conclusions—Exogenous progesterone therapy does not ameliorate histological injury after MCAO in previously ovariectomized, adult female rats. Furthermore, chronic progesterone administration can exacerbate infarction in subcortical regions. (Stroke. 2000;31:1173-1178.)
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the present study was to determine whether there are differences in infarction volume in progesterone-treated, previously ovariectomized female rats compared with hormone-deficient female rats.

Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted in accordance with the National Institutes of Health guidelines for the care and use of animals in research, and the protocols were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Johns Hopkins University. All methods are as previously described. Briefly, age-matched, sexually mature female Wistar rats (200 to 225 g) were ovariectomized 1 to 2 weeks before progesterone treatments (50 mg/mL progesterone USP; Schein) and MCAO. Ovariectomized female rats received either no hormone (P0, n = 14), 30 mg/kg progesterone (P30, n = 14), or 60 mg/kg progesterone (P60, n = 12) IP 30 minutes before MCAO. In an
Summary of Physiological Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Interval, by Group</th>
<th>P0 (n=14)</th>
<th>P30 (n=14)</th>
<th>P60 (n=12)</th>
<th>Chronic P30 (n=16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Paco₂, mm Hg</td>
<td>Pao₂, mm Hg</td>
<td>MAP, mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preischemia</td>
<td>7.34±0.02</td>
<td>28.9±2.3</td>
<td>100.7±4.9</td>
<td>95±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 min after MCAO</td>
<td>7.37±0.01</td>
<td>24.8±2.0</td>
<td>93.5±6.3</td>
<td>95±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refusion</td>
<td>7.38±0.01</td>
<td>30.9±2.9</td>
<td>107.9±7.5</td>
<td>89±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preischemia</td>
<td>7.34±0.02</td>
<td>31.0±1.7</td>
<td>111.1±5.4</td>
<td>93±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 min after MCAO</td>
<td>7.33±0.02</td>
<td>32.9±2.5*</td>
<td>96.9±5.6</td>
<td>92±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refusion</td>
<td>7.35±0.01</td>
<td>27.4±1.7</td>
<td>102.0±5.7</td>
<td>92±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preischemia</td>
<td>7.31±0.01</td>
<td>33.1±3.0</td>
<td>114.6±5.7</td>
<td>96±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 min after MCAO</td>
<td>7.34±0.02</td>
<td>37.9±3.1*</td>
<td>108.1±5.6</td>
<td>95±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refusion</td>
<td>7.35±0.01</td>
<td>27.8±3.5</td>
<td>121.1±6.0</td>
<td>91±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preischemia</td>
<td>7.34±0.01</td>
<td>41.9±2.3*</td>
<td>121.8±5.4</td>
<td>99±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 min after MCAO</td>
<td>7.40±0.01</td>
<td>43.4±1.9*</td>
<td>109.1±5.3</td>
<td>98±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refusion</td>
<td>7.39±0.01</td>
<td>38.2±1.9*</td>
<td>124.6±7.2</td>
<td>88±3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values given are mean±SEM.

*P<0.05 compared with untreated as determined with 2-way ANOVA.
†P<0.05 compared with untreated as determined with t test with Bonferroni’s correction.

Results

Baseline, intraischemic, and reperfusion arterial blood pressure and blood gases, glucose, and hemoglobin measurements were equivalent among experimental groups (Table). However, arterial CO₂ tension was higher at 60-minute MCAO in all progesterone-treated rats and at preischemia and reperfusion in the chronic P30 group relative to the P0 group, although all values remained within normal limits for the rat. Intraischemic rectal and temporalis muscle temperatures were controlled in all groups at 38.1° to 38.8°C and 36.3° to 37.0°C, respectively. Intraischemic plasma estradiol was not different among groups: 0±0, 0±0, 1±1, and 5±2 pg/mL in P0, P30, P60, and chronic P30 groups, respectively. Progesterone levels were elevated relative to untreated, ovariectomized animals within the ischemic period (Table) but fell by 22 hours of reperfusion: 5±2, 10±3, 33±11, and 8±1 ng/mL in P0, P30, P60, and chronic P30 groups, respectively.

Figure 1 illustrates the lack of effect of progesterone on cortical infarction volume at either acute dose tested compared with the P0 female rats. Similarly, acute treatment did not improve caudate-putamen injury (percent of contralateral caudate-putamen): 29.2±5.3% for P0 versus 35.8±5.1% and
42.0±5.0% for P30 and P60, respectively. However, striatal damage was increased by chronic administration (45.6±5.1%, $P<0.05$ compared with P0). Figure 2 summarizes residual intraischemic LDF (expressed as a percent of baseline signal) and emphasizes the lack of difference in reduction of LDF signal among treatment groups. Mean ischemic LDF in ovariectomized rats with progesterone replacement (24.4±2.7% in P30, 25.7±2.3% in P60, 27.4±2.5% in chronic P30) was not different from that observed in P0 (26.9±2.2%).

**Discussion**

The present study demonstrates 2 important findings. First, exogenous progesterone treatment over a range of physiological and supraphysiological peak plasma levels did not improve brain injury after vascular occlusion in young adult, ovariectomized female rats. Second, chronic hormone exposure at high doses can exacerbate infarction volume after reversible MCAO. Our findings are not explained by differences in physiological parameters among treatment groups. Arterial CO$_2$ tension was statistically higher at 60-minute MCAO in all progesterone-treated rats and at all time points in the chronic P30 group compared with the P0 group. It seems unlikely that progesterone would increase CO$_2$ production. However, many members of the progestin neurosteroid family have mild anesthetic properties. It may be possible that progesterone at high doses provided an additional anesthetic effect with halothane inhalation and resulted in a slightly reduced ventilatory rate and thus retained CO$_2$. However, these small increases in arterial CO$_2$ are unlikely to account for the deleterious effect of progesterone on infarction volume due to changes in tissue pH or altered cerebral perfusion. On the contrary, a relative hypercapnia would increase cerebral blood flow, not depress perfusion. Furthermore, the LDF signal was reduced by a similar percentage of baseline values in all groups, suggesting that the ischemic insult was equivalent among all groups. Differences in the recovery of cerebral flow during early reperfusion cannot be excluded with our measurements, and further studies are needed to quantify absolute cerebral blood flow in progesterone-treated animals. Although there is little evidence that progesterone is vasoactive in the cerebral circulation, large doses of the...
It may be that the abrupt and large drop in plasma progesterone from ischemic values to those levels observed at 22 hours of reperfusion mimicked a withdrawal syndrome and contributed to striatal injury. Our previous study in reproductively senescent female rats, which demonstrated cortical protection with progesterone, used long-term steroid delivery via a subcutaneous hormone implant\textsuperscript{6} rather than a daily injection protocol. This method of hormone administration ensured sustained plasma progesterone levels during the reperfusion period rather than the declining levels we observed.

In women with premenstrual syndrome, anxiety\textsuperscript{23} and increased seizure\textsuperscript{24,25} susceptibility are associated with sharp declines in circulating progesterone levels. Rats undergoing progesterone withdrawal exhibit greater seizure-like activity than do control animals.\textsuperscript{26} Abrupt progesterone withdrawal has been shown to markedly decrease \gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) current decay time, consequently decreasing inhibitory function and increasing seizure susceptibility.\textsuperscript{27} The withdrawal of progesterone also increased expression of the GABA\textsubscript{A} receptor \alpha4 subunit.\textsuperscript{27} Blockade of the \alpha4 gene transcript via antisense oligonucleotide administration prevented the previously observed decreases in GABA current decay time after progesterone withdrawal.\textsuperscript{27} It may be that the increase in striatal injury is due to both GABA current modulation and alterations in GABA\textsubscript{A} receptor \alpha4 subunit levels as a result of sharply declining plasma progesterone levels after ischemia. Glutamate also could be a target of hormonal neuromodulation with deleterious consequences. Naturally occurring sulfate esters of pregnenolone have distinct excitatory properties that are due in part to augmentation of N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor activation by the excitatory amino acid glutamate.\textsuperscript{28} Marginal effects on cortical injury by progesterone may be related to differences in regional expression of GABA, glutamate, and progesterone receptor subunits/subtypes or to different ischemic mechanisms in the striatum versus the cortex.

Potential cooperation in stroke mechanisms between progesterone and estrogen must also be considered. From an endocrinological standpoint, it is well known that the background steroid environment of the brain alters the ability of progesterone or estrogen to alter reproductive signaling and behavior.\textsuperscript{29,30} Our previous work and that of others indicate that estrogen does not require normal plasma progesterone levels to reduce stroke injury.\textsuperscript{2,3,8,31–34} However, estrogen priming is requisite for many progesterone-mediated actions in normal brain.\textsuperscript{29,30,35} We also know that these 2 steroids interact at the receptor level, because estrogen, alone or in combination with progesterone, has been shown to upregulate progesterone receptor expression in brain.\textsuperscript{36} Therefore, it may be that progesterone is most efficacious in an ischemic brain environment that has been estrogen primed.

These data have potential implications for clinical progestin-containing hormone replacement regimens and for an understanding of the relative importance of female sex steroids in stroke. It is currently uncertain whether combined estrogen-progestin therapy constitutes major cerebrovascular risks or benefits for women. Furthermore, unlike the estrogens, potential neuroprotective properties of progesterone have not been well investigated in cerebral ischemia or vascular disease in women.
Although cardioprotection has been reported with postmenopausal estrogen or estrogen-progestin replacement, a limited number of epidemiological studies have evaluated progestins and stroke risk in humans.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that in contrast to the protection against ischemic injury observed in estrogen-treated ovariectomized animals, chronic progestosterone therapy before ischemia at pharmacological doses exacerbates ischemic striatal injury in ovariectomized female rats. The mechanism remains to be determined, and further studies are required to define dose-response relationships in experimental stroke.
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A host of experimental studies in both animals and humans have demonstrated that estrogen has definite cardiovascular protective effects, most notably an advantageous plasma lipid profile and increased activity of endothelium-derived vasodilators. Several recent studies have also clearly demonstrated that estrogen protects against brain injury in animal models of stroke. However, the cardiovascular protective promise of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) has not been borne out by recent prospective human studies. For example, the HERS study found no protective effect of HRT in women with preexisting coronary artery disease. A number of possible explanations for this lack of effect have been suggested; among these is the possibility that progestins, in particular medroxyprogesterone, may have untoward effects. It is in this context that findings of the current study need to be considered. In ovariectomized female rats, acute administration of progesterone had no effect on infarction volume after middle cerebral artery occlusion, but striatal damage was increased by chronic administration of progesterone. As the authors point out, other reports in the literature have found different effects, which could be explained by differences in gender, age of the animal, or progesterone dose or regimen of administration. Another potential area of importance is the possibility that progesterone and estrogen interact; because animals in the present study were ovariectomized, this would be the subject of future investigation. Nevertheless, the finding that administration of progesterone can actually exacerbate stroke injury underscores the importance of a more fundamental understanding of the mechanisms of action of gonadal steroids. Only with such basic insight together with results from carefully controlled clinical studies will it be possible to provide more rational therapy for postmenopausal women.
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