Cost-Effective Outcome for Treating Poor-Grade SAH

To the Editor

Recently, Wilby et al published the results of a study on the costs and outcome of treating poor-grade subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) patients. Poor-grade SAH was defined as World Federation of Neurological Surgeons (WFNS) grades 4 and 5. The authors showed that aggressive management of these patients is clinically justified since a significant subset of patients can achieve favorable outcomes (defined as Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) scores 4 [moderate disability] and 5 [good]). Thus, although expensive with major demands on critical care resources, the treatment of these patients was found to be cost-effective overall.

We fully agree with the conclusion of the authors, but we would like to make some comments on the management protocol used in this study. After diagnosis of poor-grade SAH, patients in this study were sedated, ventilated, and transferred from the referring hospital to the referral neurosurgical unit. Fluid and electrolyte resuscitation was carried out, hydrocephalus was treated if necessary, but diagnostic angiography and treatment of the culprit aneurysm was postponed. After 24 hours sedation was reversed and a new neurological assessment was performed. Only patients with a Glasgow Motor Score (GMS) of at least 4 were selected for angiography. This means that almost half of the patients (47%) were managed conservatively, all of whom eventually died, and that probably most patients who had already proceeded to diagnosis and treatment of the aneurysm were in WFNS grade 4. We recently presented the results of a prospective study of the endovascular treatment of 11 patients with SAH WFNS grade 5 admitted during a 12-month period. Inclusion criteria were Glasgow Coma Score between 3 and 6 after resuscitation without improvement after ventriculostomy in case of hydrocephalus. All WFNS grade 5 patients were included in our study, unless brain stem reflexes were absent or an aneurysmal intracerebral hemorrhage mandated surgical evacuation. The treatment protocol consisted of early endovascular occlusion of the aneurysm followed by aggressive treatment of intracranial hypertension and prevention of vasospasm by routine hypertensive hypervolemic hemodilution therapy. Of these very-poor-grade patients, 55% reached a favorable outcome (GOS score 4 or 5); the mortality rate was 18%. We believe that, although the number of patients in our study is small, these figures show that even patients with a GMS of less than 4 have a fair chance of experiencing favorable outcomes. Because no admission finding is a sufficient absolute predictor of outcome in patients with aneurysmal SAH, we suggest that treatment is not withheld from patients even in very poor neurological condition, unless brain stem reflexes are absent.

The other major difference between the treatment protocol of the study of Wilby et al and that followed in our own study is the 24-hour delay before further diagnosis and treatment is performed. In their study 15% of the patients for whom surgery was planned died because of rebleeding. It is not clear how many patients suffered from rebleeding during the 24-hour observation period preceding neurological assessment. In some patients of this group, early rebleeding may have been the cause of persistent poor neurological condition. As the authors state in their conclusion, their study emphasizes the need to rapidly proceed to definitive therapy to avoid the high incidence of early rebleeding in the poor-grade patient. In our study more than half of the patients (6 of 11) were treated within 8 hours of aneurysm rupture, and almost all patients (10 of 11) were treated within 24 hours. It is well known that the risk of aneurysmal rebleeding is highest during the first 24 hours after SAH and that rebleeding is more frequent in poor-grade patients, making early occlusion of paramount importance. We believe optimal treatment in the initial phase after bleeding may be crucial to preserve the viability of the already compromised brain. This optimal treatment includes early occlusion of the aneurysm to prevent rebleeding and to allow appropriate prevention of vaso-
series of patients presented by Bracard and Weir et al utilized a time-scale of 72 hours and still reported impressive outcomes. In spite of a rapid delivery of treatment, van Loon also reported a high re-bleed rate of 18%. Overall we agree that active treatment to secure the ruptured aneurysm should follow as soon as the decision to treat is made.

Finally, we were encouraged to see good outcomes of poor-grade patients reported by van Loon et al with a motor score <4. Our current data was similar to that of a previous prospective study reporting a significant improvement in outcome for patients able to mount a flexion response to pain compared with those who were not. None of the patients unable to mount a flexion response to pain after 24 hours of resuscitation and/or treatment of hydrocephalus survived. We continue to recommend that all poor-grade patients with intact brain stem reflexes should receive a period of intensive treatment and clinical reassessments prior to offering a definitive therapeutic maneuver.

Peter J. Kirkpatrick, FRCS(SN)
Martin Wilby, PhD
University Department of Neurosurgery
Addenbrookes Hospital
Cambridge, UK

Cost-Effective Outcome for Treating Poor-Grade SAH
Johannes van Loon and Guido Wilms

Stroke. 2004;35:e83-e84; originally published online March 25, 2004;
doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000123032.18789.2d
Stroke is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2004 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 0039-2499. Online ISSN: 1524-4628

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the
World Wide Web at:
http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/35/4/e83

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in Stroke can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Stroke is online at:
http://stroke.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/