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Common Carotid Artery Intima-Media Thickness: Towards a Definition of Abnormal Values in Symptomatic Cerebrovascular Disease

To the Editor:

We welcome the recent article by Tsivgoulis and colleagues showing that higher values of common carotid artery intima-media thickness (CCA-IMT) may represent an independent risk factor for stroke recurrence. We reached the same conclusion after carrying out a study, independently planned and executed in a different geographical area in Greece. We had previously shown that increased CCA-IMT values are independently associated with de novo development of cognitive impairment during the first year after their stroke. These results suggest that CCA-IMT measures may be of clinical use in the long-term management of stroke patients. We would, therefore, like to raise a few issues.

In our study, 284 patients with first ever ischemic stroke were followed up to 12 months. Of those, 5.6% (95% CI: 3.5% to 9.0%) had a recurrent stroke (all ischemic). Patients with recurrent strokes had significantly higher CCA-IMT values compared with the ones who remained recurrence-free (0.881±0.117 mm versus 0.789±0.156; P=0.008). Among all the parameters tested (age, sex, history of arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, ischemic heart disease, current smoking, size/side of the lesion and degree of carotid stenosis) only CCA-IMT was found to be an independent risk factor for recurrent strokes.

The most striking point is that the mean CCA-IMT in the whole population, as well as the difference in mean CCA-IMT values between those with and without recurrent stroke, was lower in our study compared with that of Tsivgoulis et al. The implication is that the 2 studies suggest different cut-off points for increased risk of future events in stroke patients. The ultrasonographic methodology, population characteristics and risk-factor profiles were similar in both studies. We assume that the differences can be most probably attributed to the specific technique used in each laboratory including the operator’s judgment. Such discrepancies could be even larger with an entirely different measurement protocol: for example, multiple-site measurements. A common definition of abnormal CCA-IMT values seems under these circumstances problematic. It might be useful for each site to produce its own range of normal values by site measurements. A common definition of abnormal CCA-IMT values might reflect the detrimental effect of several risk factors on the arterial wall and thus associate strongly with recurrences, which are thought to be of multifactorial etiology. Purpose-designed studies are needed to verify whether CCA-IMT measures can be used in clinical practice to identify patients in higher risk for future events. Methodological variations among different sites and the presence of cerebrovascular disease should be taken into account while attempting to move towards a definition of abnormal CCA-IMT in stroke patients.

Disclosures

None.

Penelope Talelli, PhD
Sobell Department
Institute of Neurology
London, UK

John Ellul, DM
Department of Neurology
University of Patras
Rio–Patras, Greece


Common Carotid Artery Intima-Media Thickness: Towards a Definition of Abnormal Values in Symptomatic Cerebrovascular Disease
Penelope Talelli and John Ellul

Stroke. 2006;37:2655; originally published online September 21, 2006;
doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000244822.21865.b4
Stroke is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2006 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 0039-2499. Online ISSN: 1524-4628

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/37/11/2655

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in Stroke can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Stroke is online at:
http://stroke.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/