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Background and Purpose—Generally it is accepted that spatial neglect occurs predominantly after stroke of the human right hemisphere. In contrast, it remained controversial whether extinction follows the same hemispheric asymmetry. The opinion prevails that the laterality of visual extinction is not as pronounced as it is for spatial neglect.

Methods—To directly compare the incidence of the 2 disorders within the same sample, spatial neglect and visual extinction were investigated during a 1-year period in 83 consecutively admitted patients with unilateral right or left hemisphere stroke.

Results—The incidence of visual extinction and of spatial neglect was not significantly different, neither after left hemisphere (2.4% neglect; 4.9% extinction) nor after right hemisphere (26.2% neglect; 24.3% extinction) stroke.

Conclusions—Visual extinction seems to be as asymmetrically associated with the human right hemisphere as is spatial neglect. (Stroke. 2007;38:3172-3174.)
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Spatial neglect is a lateralized disorder with a characteristic failure to explore or react to stimuli presented in contrallesional space.1 Visual extinction, however, is a failure to detect a contrallesional target in the presence of a competing ipsilesional stimulus. In contrast to neglect, extinction is apparent only when 2 stimuli compete for the subject’s attention.

Generally it is accepted that spatial neglect occurs predominantly after disruption of the human right hemisphere.2–9 The disorder seems to be as asymmetrically represented in the right hemisphere, as are language functions in the human left hemisphere. In contrast, it remained controversial whether extinction follows the same hemispheric asymmetry as spatial neglect. In the literature, the opinion prevails that the laterality of visual extinction is not as pronounced as it is for spatial neglect. It has been suggested that the association with damage of the right hemisphere is much weaker in visual extinction than in spatial neglect.10–14

However, the empirical basis underlying this assumption is weak. Whereas the asymmetrical representation has been frequently demonstrated for spatial neglect, to our knowledge only very few studies investigated hemispheric lateralization for visual extinction by comparing directly right-side and left-side stroke patients.5,15 Moreover, their results remained controversial. Although Odgen15 found a preponderance of extinction after left brain damage (16% with left versus 6% with right brain damage), Stone et al16 reported the opposite pattern (2% after left versus 23% after right brain damage).

The controversial results could be attributable to the fact that the studies included patients with different etiologies (tumor/stroke) or used different clinical methods/cut-off scores for evaluation.

The present study aimed to reinvestigate the question whether visual extinction follows the same hemispheric asymmetry as spatial neglect by comparing the incidence of both disorders—visual extinction and spatial neglect—in a prospective 1-year study assessing a group of acute stroke patients with unilateral left-side or right-side lesions.

Patients and Methods

During a 1-year period, all patients admitted to the Center of Neurology at Tübingen University with acute unilateral right or unilateral left hemispheric brain lesion caused by first-ever stroke were clinically tested for spatial neglect and for visual extinction. Brain lesions were documented by MRI or by spiral computed tomography in all subjects. Patients with bilateral brain lesions, pathological cerebral atrophy, former strokes, patients with tumors, as well as patients in whom imaging revealed no lesion were excluded. Moreover, 11 patients with unilateral right brain damage (RBD) and 14 with left brain damage (LBD) had to be excluded because of poststroke complications inducing coma, death, or marked reduction in vigilance that did not allow the neuropsychological investigation outlined here. The remaining 1-year sample of patients with unilateral LBD or RBD comprised 93 subjects. Clinical and demographic data of these patients are given in the Table.

The patients were systematically assessed for spatial neglect using the “Bells Test,”16 which has been shown to be a sensitive measure for the exploration deficit of patients with spatial neglect.17 It consists of a horizontally oriented 21×29.7-cm sheet of paper with
Table. Demographic and Clinical Data of the Patients With LBD or RBD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LBD</th>
<th>RBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>23 females, 28 males</td>
<td>14 females, 28 males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, mean (SD)</td>
<td>65.0 (13.7)</td>
<td>58.6 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etiology</td>
<td>32 infarct, 9 hemorrhage</td>
<td>37 infarct, 5 hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since lesion, d, mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.5)</td>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paresis of contralesional side, % present</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual field deficits, % present</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aphasia, % present</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the sample of 93 investigated patients, 42 (45.2%) had RBD and 51 (54.8%) LBD. From the patients with LBD, 10 females, 28 males, and 28 males. In the patients with LBD, 1 (2.4%) of the patients had spatial neglect and 2 (4.9%) had visual extinction. Again, the difference in frequency for spatial neglect and for visual extinction was not significant ($\chi^2_{df=1}=0.2, P=0.6$).

In the group of RBD patients, only 4 subjects exhibited both spatial neglect and visual extinction. In the group of LBD patients, spatial neglect and visual extinction were not observed in combination.

Results

In the sample of 93 investigated patients, 42 (45.2%) had RBD and 51 (54.8%) LBD. From the patients with LBD, 10 could not be assessed for spatial neglect and for visual extinction because of severe global aphasia. Thus, 42 patients with RBD and 41 with LBD could be formally tested.

The Figure illustrates that the frequency of spatial neglect was very similar to the frequency of visual extinction in the 2 patient groups. In the 42 patients with RBD, 11 showed spatial neglect and 9 patients visual extinction. The difference in frequency for spatial neglect and for visual extinction was not significantly different ($\chi^2_{df=1}=0.2, P=0.6$).

In the 42 patients with RBD, 11 showed spatial neglect and 9 patients visual extinction. Again, the difference in frequency for spatial neglect and for visual extinction was not significant ($\chi^2_{df=1}=0.27; P=0.58$).

In the group of RBD patients, only 4 subjects exhibited both spatial neglect and visual extinction. In the group of LBD patients, spatial neglect and visual extinction were not observed in combination.

Discussion

Our study aimed to investigate the incidence of visual extinction and of spatial neglect after RBD versus LBD within the same patient sample. Neither in the LBD nor in the RBD group did we find significant differences in incidence for visual extinction compared with spatial neglect.

The question thus arises whether visual extinction and spatial neglect represent the same or distinct phenomena. In the literature the terms “extinction” and “spatial neglect” have been described interchangeably, reflecting these authors’ view of seeing no or only little difference between the 2 disorders. Very similarly, other studies described extinction as a mild form of neglect. Our present observation that the frequencies of spatial neglect and of visual extinction are comparable after left as well as after right hemisphere damage could be taken as an argument in favor of the view that the 2 disorders are manifestations of the same phenomenon.

However, whereas we found comparable frequencies for both disorders in the 2 patient groups, only half of the sample with RBD and none of the sample with LBD showed visual extinction and spatial neglect in combination. Stroke patients presenting either one or the other disorder have frequently been described in previous studies. The observation of a double dissociation of the 2 phenomena has led to a suggestion contrasting the view outlined here, namely to the suggestion of distinct anatomo-functional systems underlying both extinction and neglect. Supporting anatomical evidence for this latter view derived from a recent study comparing the typical lesion location in patients with visual extinction and in patients with spatial neglect. The authors observed closely related but anatomically distinct areas of brain damage in the 2 groups. In the patients with visual extinction, the center of lesion overlap was found more caudally and dorsally in the temporo-parietal junction.

In summary, our present results clearly revealed that the incidence of visual extinction and of spatial neglect was not significantly different, neither with LBD nor with RBD. We thus conclude that visual extinction is as asymmetrically associated with the human right hemisphere as is spatial neglect.
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In the study of brain mechanisms of visual extinction, we aimed to determine the incidence of visual extinction after left versus right hemisphere stroke. Our findings revealed a higher incidence of visual extinction in patients with left hemisphere strokes compared to those with right hemisphere strokes. This suggests that the left hemisphere plays a more significant role in the processing of visual information than the right hemisphere. Further research is needed to understand the underlying mechanisms and potential therapeutic implications.