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Abstract—The efficacy of oral anticoagulation (OAC) for stroke prevention in patients with nonrheumatic atrial fibrillation (AF) has clearly been established. However, a substantial number of patients with AF who are at high risk for thromboembolic events are not candidates for long-term OAC. The left atrial appendix (LAA) is the most common place of thrombosis in patients with AF, and it can easily be excluded from the systemic circulation at the time of cardiac surgery by excision, ligation, suturing, or stapling. Currently, removal of the LAA at the time of mitral valve surgery is recommended to reduce future stroke risk. The ongoing LAA Occlusion Study (LAAOS) is evaluating the efficacy of the routine LAA occlusion in patients undergoing elective coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Recently, two devices specifically designed for percutaneous transcatheter LAA occlusion have been introduced: the Percutaneous LAA Transcatheter Occlusion (PLAATO; Appriva Medical Inc) and WATCHMAN LAA system (Atritech, Inc). More than 200 PLAATO devices were implanted worldwide in patients with nonrheumatic AF who were at high risk for ischemic stroke and not candidates for long-term OAC. In a follow-up time of 258 patient-years, an estimated 61% reduction in stroke risk was achieved with PLAATO procedure. The WATCHMAN Left Atrial Appendage System for Embolic PROTECTion in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation (PROTECT AF) study was designed to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of the WATCHMAN device in patients with nonvalvular AF who are eligible for long-term OAC. The trial is assessing whether the treatment arm (WATCHMAN device) is noninferior to the control arm (warfarin). Although present results suggest that LAA occlusion may reduce the long-term stroke risk, available data are still very limited. At present, percutaneous LAA occlusion may be an acceptable option in selected high-risk patients with AF who are not candidates for OAC. The current understanding of LAA exclusion for the prevention of stroke in patients with nonrheumatic AF is the major focus of this review. (Stroke. 2007;38[part 2]:624-630.)
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Atrial fibrillation (AF) affects 3% to 5% of the population older than 65 years and it is responsible for 15% to 20% of ischemic strokes. Overall, the risk of stroke in patients with nonrheumatic AF is approximately 5% per year.

Left Atrial Appendage and Thromboembolism in Atrial Fibrillation

In patients with AF, the most common place of thrombosis is the left atrial appendage (LAA). In a review of 23 studies in which LAA was examined by autopsy, transesophageal echocardiography (TEE), or direct intraoperative inspection, intracardiac thrombus was identified in 13% of cases of both nonvalvular and valvular AF. Furthermore, 57% of atrial thrombi in valvular AF occurred in the appendage, whereas in nonvalvular AF, 90% of left atrial thrombi were located in the atrial appendage (Table 1).

Strategies for Prevention of Thromboembolism in Atrial Fibrillation

There are three logical ways to prevent cardioembolic events in patients with AF. The first is chronic anticoagulation. The second is to exclude the LAA as a major embolic source from the circulation. The third is to prevent emboli from entering vital parts of the circulation.

Multiple randomized, controlled trials have clearly established the efficacy of oral anticoagulation (OAC) in lowering the risk of stroke and death in patients with nonrheumatic AF. However, chronic OAC is contraindicated in 14% to 44% patients with AF who are at risk of stroke. Even in eligible patients, there are various barriers against prescription and maintenance of long-term OAC mainly related to the narrow therapeutic window requiring rigorous laboratory follow up. In clinical practice, oral anticoagulants are prescribed to only 15% to 66% of patients with AF who are at high risk for thromboembolic events and have no clear contraindication to their use.

Because of the fact that in most patients, the LAA is a discrete anatomic structure, it may be relatively easily excluded from systemic circulation (LAA exclusion) by excision at the time of cardiac surgery. Other techniques for LAA exclusion are obliteration or occlusion of this anatomic structure by ligation,
sutures, staplers, or implantable devices. Recently, less invasive thoracoscopic and percutaneous transcatheter procedures using these techniques have been introduced for LAA exclusion. This is the major focus of this review.

Exclusion of the Left Atrial Appendage
During Open Chest Surgery

Exclusion of LAA from systemic circulation was suggested when it was observed that in rheumatic heart disease, the major site of thrombi is the left atrium and its appendage.\textsuperscript{11–13} After the feasibility of canine LAA resection was shown by Hellerstein and his associates,\textsuperscript{11} Maden\textsuperscript{12} performed a LAA excision in two patients with AF and rheumatic mitral valve disease in 1949. In 1950, Beal et al\textsuperscript{13} reported two cases of left and one case of right atrial appendectomy for prophylaxis of thromboembolism.

Johnson et al\textsuperscript{14} reported prophylactic LAA excision in 437 patients undergoing open heart surgery, including 17 patients with preoperative AF. No later strokes were attributed to AF. In subsequent years, no patients were found to have atrial clots on TEE. The authors concluded that routine LAA excision is safe and should be considered whenever the chest is opened. Consistent with this, the absence of LAA ligation and the presence of left atrial thrombus were the only independent predictors of an embolic event during a mean follow up of 69.4 months in patients with previous mitral valve replacement.\textsuperscript{15}

The LAA Occlusion Study (LAAOS) is evaluating the efficacy of the LAA occlusion in patients undergoing elective coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) surgery with any one of the following four risk factors for AF and stroke: age >75 years, hypertension and age >65 years, previous stroke, or a history of AF.\textsuperscript{16} Patients on OAC were not excluded. At the time of CABG surgery, suitable patients are randomized to undergo LAA occlusion or serve as a control in a 2:1 fashion favoring LAA occlusion. Recently, results of the pilot study (52 patients in the LAA occlusion and 25 patients in the control group) evaluating safety and efficacy of LAA occlusion performed at the time of CABG surgery were published.\textsuperscript{17} Among patients having a postoperative TEE, complete occlusion of the LAA was achieved in 45% (five of 11)

![Figure 1. LAA angiogram performed during PLAATO procedure. a, LAA angiogram before device implantation provides information on the size and morphology of the LAA cavity and ostium. Completeness of LAA occlusion could be evaluated by (b) proximal and (c) distal dye injection after PLAATO device deployed into the LAA. d, PLAATO device. LA indicates left atrial; PTFE, polytetrafluoroethylene.](image-url)
of cases using sutures and in 72% (24 of 33) using a stapler. In addition to the use of a stapler, increased surgeon experience was associated with a higher rate of LAA occlusion. Two patients in the LAA occlusion group had perioperative thromboembolic events and no additional stroke was noted during an average follow up of 13±7 months.

LAA occlusion is widely accepted, but the evidence base is limited. In many centers, the LAA is removed during mitral valve surgery to reduce stroke risk in patients with valvular heart disease undergoing cardiac operation.22,19

Thoracoscopic Left Atrial Appendectomy

Odell et al20 performed the first thoracoscopic obliteration (five with a stapler and five with an Endoloop) of the LAA in dogs and human cadavers and showed the feasibility of this method. Johnson et al14 performed thoracoscopic LAA excision in seven patients with chronic AF as an isolated surgical procedure. Blackshear et al21 reported results of the first study with thoracoscopic obliteration of LAA in 15 (loop snare in eight patients and a stapler in seven patients) patients with at least one risk factor for stroke and either an absolute contraindication to OAC or documentation of prior LAA thrombosis despite adequate OAC. The procedure was completed in 14 of 15 patients; one patient required urgent conversion to open thoracotomy because of bleeding. Patients were followed for 8 to 60 months. One fatal stroke occurred 55 months after surgery and one nondisabling stroke 3 months after surgery happened. Two deaths were observed: one after coronary bypass surgery performed 34 months after the initial operation and one from hepatic failure in a patient with chronic hepatitis C. This study confirmed that the procedure is technically feasible, but its effect on prevention of stroke needs to be evaluated.

Newly developed minimally invasive/thoracoscopic tools for LAA occlusion have emerged recently.22,23 The tools are either a stapler24,25 or clipping device.22,23 The clipping device, constructed from two stainless steel strips covered with a knit braided polyester fabric (AtriCure, Inc), was successfully implanted at the base of the left atrial appendage in animals.22,23 Accordingly, numerous recent reports suggest a recent expansion of minimal invasive/thoracoscopic LAA occlusion, especially when performed in conjunction with the minimally invasive MAZE procedure for ablation of atrial fibrillation.24,25

Percutaneous Transcatheter Occlusion of the Left Atrial Appendage

Currently, there are two devices specifically designed for LAA occlusion: the Percutaneous LAA Transcatheter Occlusion (PLAATO; Appriva Medical Inc) and the WATCHMAN LAA system (Atritech, Inc).

The PLAATO System

The PLAATO was the first device developed specifically for LAA occlusion.26,27 The PLAATO device consists of a self-expandable nitinol cage covered with an occlusive expanded polytetrafluoroethylene membrane (Figure 1). The membrane occludes the orifice of the LAA but allows tissue incorporation into the device, and small anchors along the struts to assist with device anchoring and encourage healing. After venous and transcatheter puncture, the device is delivered under TEE and fluoroscopic guidance through a specially designed sheath and deployed into the LAA. The delivery system allows for the collapse and repositioning or complete removal of the implant in the case of a suboptimal result. Adequacy of LAA occlusion can be assessed by distal (through a special lumen in the device) and proximal (through the distal sheath in the left atrium) dye injections (Figure 1) as well as TEE imaging. Several sizes of the device (range of diameters: 15 to 32 mm) have been developed to accommodate the variations in LAA anatomy and a device with 20% to 40% oversizing has been preferred. Other details of implantation technique have been explained elsewhere.26,28

Initial animal study and early clinical experience have shown the feasibility of the PLAATO procedure.26,27 Recently, results of two concurrent feasibility studies that were conducted in Europe and North America were published.28 The LAA occlusion was successful in 108 of 111 (97.3%) patients with nonrheumatic AF who were at high risk for ischemic stroke and not candidates for long-term OAC. A total of nine procedure-related serious adverse events occurred in seven patients. None of the serious adverse events were considered to be device-related. Successful LAA occlusion was achieved in 97.7%, 100%, and 98% of patients immediately after the procedure, at 1 month, and at 6 months, respectively. There was no mobile thrombus, mitral valve damage, or pulmonary vein obstruction seen on TEE performed up to 6 months after the procedure. No device migration or dislodgement was noted. There were seven major adverse events in five patients, including two strokes and four cardiac or neurologic deaths, during the average

Figure 2. WATCHMAN LAA device. The implant has a 160-μm polyethylene membrane on the proximal face of a nitinol cage and incorporates a row of fixation barbs around the midperimeter.
follow up of 9.8 months (90.7 documented implant years). A total of six patients (5.4%) died during the study period and none were adjudicated as device- or procedure-related. Of the 111 enrolled patients, two experienced a stroke 173 and 215 days after the implant procedure. Three transient ischemic attacks (TIA) occurred in two patients. The observed and estimated annual stroke rate was 2.2% and 6.3%, respectively, representing a 65% relative stroke risk reduction with the PLAATO procedure. Currently, data on 210 patients who underwent the PLAATO procedure worldwide are available.29 Device implantation was successful in 205 of 210 (97.6%) patients. In a follow up of 258 patient-years (mean follow up of 14.7 months), five patients had a stroke with an estimated 61% reduction from the expected annual risk of stroke. Nine patients died for various reasons not related to the procedure or the device.

Preliminary data regarding the long-term risk of thrombus formation and the development of pulmonary venous obstruction with the PLAATO procedure were recently reported.30 In 48 patients (84 patient-years), echocardiographic examinations were performed 24 hours before the procedure; during the occlusion; before discharge from the hospital; and after 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 36, and 48 months. Presence of thrombi could be documented in two cases in follow up. In one patient, a thrombus was detected on the surface of the occluding device; another patient showed a thrombus at the interatrial septum. Peak flow velocities of the pulmonary veins were not significantly higher after positioning of the device. In 34 patients who underwent the PLAATO procedure, cerebral MRI was performed before the procedure 48 hours after and 6, 12, and 24 months after the procedure to assess for cerebral microembolism.31 MRI performed before the occlusion detected the presence of former cerebral embolism in 12 of the 34 patients. Follow-up MRI studies excluded the presence of new strokes in all patients.

**WATCHMAN LAA System**

Another percutaneous device for LAA occlusion is the WATCHMAN LAA system (Atritech, Inc., Minneapolis, Minn). The device is placed in the LAA through a transseptal approach. The implant has a 160-μm polyethylene membrane on the proximal face of a nitinol cage and incorporates a row of fixation barbs around the midperimeter (Figure 2). The WATCHMAN device is available in five sizes (from 21 to 33 mm). The device has been implanted since 2002 in Europe and since 2003 in the United States.32 Patients were assessed at 45 days and 6 months postprocedure with TEE and chest x-ray and had annual clinical assessments thereafter. Clinical end point was rate of ischemic stroke, systemic embolism, and major bleeding. In 66 patients worldwide, the device has been implanted successfully with 101.4 cumulative implant years. Two patients experienced device embolization; both were successfully retrieved percutaneously and no further embolizations occurred. Five pericardial effusions (two of them needing percutaneous puncture) and one major air embolism occurred without long-term sequelae. At follow up, if the device was found to be stable and no thrombus was detected on TEE, then warfarin was stopped. In 97.1% of patients warfarin could be stopped at 6 months.
TABLE 3. Summary of Reported Rate of Incomplete LAA Occlusion*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Time</th>
<th>Criteria for Incomplete LAA Occlusion</th>
<th>Incomplete LAA occlusion rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgical LAA occlusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healey, 200517</td>
<td>8 weeks after surgery</td>
<td>A residual LAA length of &gt;1.0 cm or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Presence of leak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanderian, 200626</td>
<td>2 weeks to 9 years after surgery</td>
<td>Patent LAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Residual LAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flow in the LAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katz, 200027</td>
<td>Postoperatively and 6 days to 13 years</td>
<td>Presence of leak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(mean: 64 months) after surgery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia-Fernandez, 200315</td>
<td>1–329 months (median: 69.4) after surgery</td>
<td>Presence of leak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schneider, 200538</td>
<td>23–159 days (mean: 51) after surgery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericatheter transcatheter LAA occlusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostermayer, 200528</td>
<td>6 months after procedure</td>
<td>Moderate to severe leak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meier, 200334</td>
<td>1 day to 5 months after procedure</td>
<td>Flow in the LAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Incomplete occlusion was evaluated with transesophageal echocardiography in all studies.

Ascending aortic dissection. Autopsy documented a stable, well-endothelialized device. Preliminary data suggest the WATCHMAN LAA system is safe and feasible. A prospective, randomized study comparing the WATCHMAN device with long-term warfarin therapy is currently recruiting patients.33 The WATCHMAN Left Atrial Appendage System for Embolic PROTECTION in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation (PROTECT AF) study is designed to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of the WATCHMAN device in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation who are eligible for long-term OAC. The trial is assessing whether the treatment arm (WATCHMAN device) is noninferior to the control arm (warfarin) and is expected to enroll 200 patients.

LAA can also be occluded by the Amplatzer septal occluder device with a somewhat similar technique to that used in the closure of interatrial septal defects.34 Short-term results from 15 patients who underwent LAA occlusion with this approach are favorable. Moreover, successful transcatheter patch obliteration of the LAA was also reported.35 Currently available data on experience with the percutaneous transcatheter LAA occlusion is summarized in Table 2.

**Potential Concerns With Left Atrial Appendage Exclusion**

Despite the advantage of direct visualization of the LAA, incomplete occlusion is one of the major concerns with surgical approach (Table 3). In the LAOSS study of patients having a postoperative TEE, incomplete occlusion of the LAA was observed in 55% of cases using sutures and in 28% of those using a stapler.37 One retrospective study evaluated success rates of different surgical LAA occlusion techniques by TEE performed 2 weeks to 9 years after surgery.38 Among 134 patients who underwent the procedure between 1993 and 2004, incomplete LAA occlusion was detected in two of 21 (9.5%) patients with excision, 55 of 76 (72%) patients with suture, and 23 of 35 (66%) patients with staples suggesting that excision is the most efficient way to exclude LAA. It appears that partial exclusion reduces the efficacy of this procedure. In one series, TEE revealed incomplete LAA ligation in 18 of 50 (36%) patients who underwent mitral valve surgery and ligation of the LAA.37 Moreover, spontaneous echo contrast or thrombus was identified within appendages in nine of 18 (50%) patients with incomplete ligation, and four of these 18 (22%) patients had thromboembolic events. In a retrospective echocardiographic study, incomplete ligation of LAA was noted in six of 58 patients who underwent mitral valve surgery, and it was associated with a significant increase in embolic events.15 Among six consecutive patients who had LAA closure at the time of valve surgery, complete LAA closure was detected in only one patient on TEE performed 23 to 159 days (mean: 51 days) postoperatively.38 All patients with incomplete LAA closure had spontaneous echocardiographic contrast or thrombus in the LAA and one of these patients experienced a stroke 4 weeks after surgery.

There are also some potential procedural or device-related risks with percutaneous closure of LAA. Although rare, oversizing, device migration, dislodgement or embolization, and cardiac perforation may be potential problems; and repeat procedures may be required. Iatrogenic small atrial septal defects usually disappeared within 6 months of the procedure. Persistence of atrial septal defects up to 6 months was observed in three of 48 (6%) patients evaluated with TEE.30 Traumatic damage to adjacent structures, including left pulmonary veins and the circumflex branch of the left coronary artery may occur. The most common complication after percutaneous closure of LAA is hemopericardium, and this developed in 6% of patients (13 of 210) and more than half of these cases developed cardiac tamponade.29 One patient had to be operated on for this complication.29 Despite being a percutaneous technique, more than half of the PLAATO procedures (51.3%) were performed under general anesthesia.28

It should noted that not all LAAs may not be suitable for occlusion. For example, LAA may be too large or too fragile.
In the LAAOS study, LAA was not suitable for occlusion in 20 (21%) during surgery.\textsuperscript{17} There are other concerns related to the function of the LAA. The LAA may play a role in mediating thirst\textsuperscript{49} because it is the most important source of atrial natriuretic factor and may have a modulator role in the regulation of left atrial volume–pressure relationships. Thus, LAA occlusion may contribute to the altered left atrial compliance.\textsuperscript{40} Reduced atrial natriuretic peptide release and water retention was observed in patients with bilateral atrial appendectomy.\textsuperscript{41} On the other hand, preserving the right atrial appendage may attenuate this effect.\textsuperscript{42} Therefore, fluid retention may not be a problem with isolated LAA occlusion.

**Conclusion**

Although present results suggest that the LAA occlusion may reduce the long-term stroke risk, available data are still very limited. Currently, two pivotal randomized studies are underway (LAAOS and PROTECT AF), and it is worthwhile to wait for the results of these studies before making a definite conclusion. Nevertheless, at present, data on embolic risk reduction with percutaneous LAA occlusion is encouraging, and it may be an acceptable option in selected high-risk patients with AF who are not candidates for OAC. In addition, a LAA occlusion may be able to reduce recurrence in patients who developed stroke despite being on OAC.
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