Causes and Severity of Ischemic Stroke in Patients With Symptomatic Intracranial Arterial Stenosis
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Background and Purpose—There are limited data on the causes and severity of subsequent stroke in patients presenting initially with TIA or stroke attributed to intracranial arterial stenosis.

Methods—We evaluated the location, type (lacunar vs nonlacunar), cause, and severity of stroke in patients who had an ischemic stroke endpoint in the Warfarin Aspirin Symptomatic Intracranial Disease (WASID) trial.

Results—Of the 569 patients enrolled in the WASID trial, 106 patients (18.6%) had an ischemic stroke during a mean follow-up of 1.8 years. Stroke occurred in the territory of the symptomatic artery in 77 (73%) of 106 patients. Among the 77 strokes in the territory, 70 (91%) were nonlacunar and 34 (44%) were disabling. Stroke out of the territory of the symptomatic artery occurred in 29 (27%) of 106 patients. Among these 29 strokes, 24 (83%) were nonlacunar, 14 (48%) were attributed to previously asymptomatic intracranial stenosis, and 9 (31%) were disabling.

Conclusions—Most subsequent strokes in patients with symptomatic intracranial artery stenosis are in the same territory and nonlacunar, and nearly half of the strokes in the territory are disabling. The most commonly identified cause of stroke out of the territory was a previously asymptomatic intracranial stenosis. Penetrating artery disease was responsible for a low number of strokes. (Stroke. 2009;40:1999-2003.)
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Previous studies of patients enrolled in carotid stenosis or atrial fibrillation trials show that 20% to 48% of ischemic stroke endpoints in those trials could be attributed to cerebrovascular disorders other than carotid stenosis or atrial fibrillation.1-4 In the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET), 20% of strokes in the territory of a symptomatic internal carotid artery stenosis could have been caused by cardioembolism or small vessel disease.1 In the Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation (SPAF) trials, 52% of strokes were probably cardioembolic, 24% were noncardioembolic, and 24% were of uncertain cause.2-4 There are a paucity of similar data on the causes of stroke in patients with intracranial arterial stenosis, a population at particularly high risk for stroke.5-7

Accumulating data show that different stroke subtypes are associated with different risks of recurrent stroke,8-12 and that disease-specific treatments such as carotid endarterectomy for symptomatic carotid stenosis and warfarin for atrial fibrillation are very effective for lowering the risk of recurrent stroke that is directly caused by those conditions. Disease-specific therapy in the form of intracranial stenting is being developed for intracranial stenosis and, if effective, is only likely to reduce the risk of recurrent stroke directly attributable to disease in the target vessel.13 Thus, it is important to know what percentage of recurrent strokes in patients presenting with symptomatic intracranial stenosis may be caused by other disorders such as penetrating artery disease, extracranial large artery atherostenosis, and cardioembolism. The Warfarin Aspirin Symptomatic Intracranial Disease (WASID) trial provided a unique opportunity to determine the locations, types, potential causes, and severity of stroke in patients with symptomatic intracranial arterial stenosis enrolled in that trial.

Materials and Methods

Entrance Criteria

The present study reports data gathered on 106 patients who experienced ischemic strokes out of the 569 patients enrolled in the randomized, double-blind, multicenter WASID trial. The design of the WASID trial and the baseline characteristics of patients enrolled in the trial have been published previously.5,14,15 Inclusion criteria included TIA or nondisabling stroke (modified Rankin score ≤3) within 90 days of enrollment attributable to angiographically confirmed 50% to 90% stenosis of a major intracranial artery.
Exclusion criteria included extracranial carotid stenosis 50% to 90% tandem to a symptomatic intracranial carotid artery or middle cerebral artery (MCA) stenosis of 50% to 99%, because these patients were candidates for endarterectomy. Extracranial carotid stenosis in the presence of contralateral symptomatic intracranial carotid, MCA stenosis, or vertebral artery or basilar artery stenosis was not an exclusion criterion. Similarly, extracranial vertebral artery stenosis tandem to an intracranial vertebral artery or basilar artery stenosis was not an exclusion criterion because revascularization of the extracranial vertebral artery has not been established as the standard of care in this situation. Other exclusion criteria included a known cardiac source of embolus (chronic or paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, mitral stenosis, mechanical valve, endocarditis, intracardiac clot or vegetation, myocardial infarction within 3 months of enrollment, dilated cardiomyopathy, or left atrial spontaneous echo contrast).

**Determination of Stroke Subtype**

Each ischemic stroke was classified as lacunar or nonlacunar. A lacunar stroke was defined by a typical lacunar syndrome (pure motor hemiparesis, pure sensory stroke, or sensory-motor stroke involving at least 2 of the following body parts: face, arm, or leg; clumsy-hand dysarthria; ataxic hemiparesis; or hemiballismus) in association with a clinically appropriate subcortical infarct ≤1.5 cm or no infarct on head CT or brain MRI. All other strokes were defined as nonlacunar.

**Potential Causes of Ischemic Stroke**

Diagnostic tests to establish the cause of stroke (MRA or CTA of head and neck, carotid and transcranial Doppler ultrasound, echocardiogram, laboratory tests) were encouraged but ultimately left to the discretion of the study neurologists. We requested that the results of all diagnostic tests performed for establishing the cause of ischemic stroke be submitted to the statistical coordinating center at Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia. Diagnostic tests performed within 30 days of the ischemic stroke were evaluated for this analysis.

The definitions for each potential cause of ischemic stroke that were used for this analysis were as follows. Large artery stenosis or occlusion was considered a potential cause of an endpoint ischemic stroke (lacunar or nonlacunar) as long as the stroke was in the territory of a stenotic (50%–99%) or occluded extracranial (carotid or vertebral artery) or intracranial artery (MCA, carotid, anterior cerebral artery, posterior cerebral artery, vertebral, basilar). If a patient had a stroke in the territory of the symptomatic stenotic intracranial artery for which the patient was enrolled in the trial, then that stenosis was considered a potential cause of the patient’s stroke.

Penetrating artery disease was considered a potential cause of every endpoint lacunar stroke whether the stroke was in or out of the territory of the symptomatic stenotic intracranial artery for which the patient was enrolled in the trial.

Cardioembolism was considered a potential cause of an endpoint ischemic stroke (lacunar or nonlacunar) if any of the following cardiac abnormalities was identified as part of the diagnostic evaluation of the stroke: chronic or paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, mitral stenosis, mechanical valve, endocarditis, intracardiac clot or vegetation, myocardial infarction within 3 months of enrollment, dilated cardiomyopathy, or left atrial spontaneous echo contrast.

The diagnosis of stroke of undetermined cause was made only in those patients with nonlacunar stroke out of the territory of the symptomatic stenotic intracranial artery for which no other potential cause of stroke could be identified.

Determination of stroke subtypes (lacunar vs nonlacunar) and potential causes of stroke using these criteria were based on review of all the relevant diagnostic case report forms (neurological examination, brain and vascular imaging, echocardiography) by 2 central adjudicators (B.M.F. and M.I.C.) who were required to come to a consensus opinion.

**Severity of Stroke**

Severity of stroke was based on the site neurologist’s assessment of the NIH stroke scale, Barthel index, and modified Rankin scale at the time of the endpoint stroke. Strokes were classified as disabling based on the patient having any 1 of the following 6 criteria at the time the patient was first seen for evaluation of the ischemic stroke endpoint: (1) composite NIHSS score of ≥7; (2) NIHSS motor score of ≥3 of an upper or lower extremity; (3) modified Rankin score of ≥4; (4) Barthel index score of ≤80; (5) NIHSS best language score of ≥2; or (6) NIHSS visual field score of 3. Percentages were compared between groups using a χ² test.

**Results**

**Diagnostic Evaluation of Endpoint Ischemic Strokes**

Of the 569 patients enrolled in WASID, 106 (18.6%) had an ischemic stroke during a mean follow-up of 1.8 years. The diagnostic evaluation available to us in these 106 patients with an endpoint ischemic stroke included a head CT or brain MRI in 101 patients (95%), at least 1 of the following vascular imaging studies ((cerebral angiogram, MRA, TCD, CTA, or carotid ultrasound) in 61 patients (58%), and echocardiography in 10 patients (9%).

**Subtypes and Causes of Stroke**

Based on the neurological examination and brain imaging findings, 77 (73%) of the 106 strokes were classified as in the territory and 29 (27%) were classified as out of the territory of the symptomatic stenotic intracranial artery (Figure). Table 1 summarizes the subtypes (lacunar vs nonlacunar) of stroke in the territory of the symptomatic intracranial artery and
shows all potential causes of these strokes other than the intracranial stenosis. Seventy (91%) were nonlacunar and 7 (9%) were lacunar. Of the 70 nonlacunar strokes, the symptomatic intracranial artery was the only identifiable cause of stroke in 62 patients (89%). Another potential cause was found in 8 other patients with nonlacunar stroke: 4 with extracranial carotid stenosis or occlusion, 3 with potential cardioembolism, and 1 with extracranial vertebral artery stenosis.

By the study definitions, the 7 lacunar strokes in the territory could have been caused by the qualifying stenotic intracranial artery (n=7), penetrating artery disease (n=7), or extracranial vertebral artery stenosis. Overall, in the territory of the symptomatic intracranial stenosis, at least 15 of 77 (19%) strokes may have been caused by another disease (penetrating artery disease, extracranial large artery disease, or cardioembolism) besides the symptomatic intracranial stenosis.

Among the 106 strokes occurring in patients with symptomatic intracranial stenosis, 29 (27%) occurred outside of the territory of the symptomatic intracranial stenosis. Table 2 summarizes the subtypes (lacunar versus nonlacunar) and potential causes of these 29 strokes. Of these 29 strokes, 24 (83%) were nonlacunar and 5 (17%) were lacunar. Among the 24 nonlacunar strokes, the potential causes of stroke identified were previously asymptomatic intracranial stenosis in 14 (58%), extracranial vertebral stenosis alone in 1, and undetermined in 9. Of the 5 lacunar strokes out of the territory, penetrating artery disease was a potential cause in all 5 (by definition), and only 1 of these patients had another potential cause (extracranial carotid occlusion). Overall, 14 of 29 (48%) of strokes out the territory may have been caused by stenosis (11) or occlusion (3) of a previously asymptomatic intracranial artery, whereas small vessel disease was responsible for, at most, 5 of 29 (17%) strokes.

**Disabling Stroke**

At study entry, a modified Rankin score of 0 or 1 was present in 51 of 77 patients (59%) who subsequently had a stroke in the territory during follow-up compared with 10 of 29 patients (41%) with stroke out of the territory during follow-up (P=0.035). Despite their lower modified Rankin scores at study entry, patients who had stroke in the territory during follow-up had a higher observed rate of disabling stroke in the trial (34 of 77, 44%) compared with patients who had a stroke out of the territory (9 of 39, 23%; P=0.22). For patients with stroke in the territory, 32 of 70 (46%) nonlacunar strokes were disabling, whereas 2 of 7 (29%) lacunar strokes were disabling. For patients with stroke out of the territory, 1 of 5 (20%) lacunar strokes were disabling and 8 of 24 (33%) nonlacunar strokes were disabling.

**Discussion**

Most 77 (73%) of the strokes in patients with 50% to 99% intracranial stenosis in the WASID trial were in the territory of the symptomatic intracranial stenosis and 70 (91%) of these strokes were nonlacunar. These results are similar to the findings in NASCET, which showed that 95% of strokes were ipsilateral in patients with 70% to 99% carotid stenosis, and 71% of strokes were ipsilateral in patients with 50% to 69% carotid stenosis. In addition, the findings of our study are in agreement with the findings of a recent study in which 89% of recurrent strokes in patients with an index stroke classified as being secondary to large artery intracranial atherosclerosis occurred in the same territory as the index stroke. In another study evaluating stroke recurrence in 102 patients with significant stenosis or occlusion of the MCA, 8 of 11 recurrent ischemic strokes (89%) occurred in the same territory as the index stroke in patients with MCA stenosis, and 3 of 3 (100%) recurrent strokes occurred in the same territory as the index stroke in patients with MCA occlusion. Another study evaluating the mechanism of recurrent strokes has also shown that most recurrent ischemic strokes have the same mechanism as the index stroke.

Among the 77 strokes that were in the territory of the symptomatic intracranial stenosis, at least 15 (19%) could have been caused by other mechanisms (eg, penetrating artery

---

**Table 1. Subtypes and Potential Causes of Stroke in the Territory of the Symptomatic Intracranial Stenosis Other Than Intracranial Artery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stroke Type</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Other Potential Cause of Stroke</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lacunar</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>PAD</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PAD and extracranial vertebral stenosis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonlacunar</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>No other potential cause</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extracranial vertebral stenosis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extracranial carotid stenosis</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cardioembolism</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Fib indicates, atrial fibrillation; PAD, penetrating artery disease; PFO, patent foramen ovale.

**Table 2. Subtypes and Potential Causes of Stroke Out of the Territory of the Symptomatic Intracranial Stenosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stroke Type</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Potential Cause of Stroke</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lacunar</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>PAD</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extracranial carotid occlusion</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonlacunar</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Other intracranial stenosis, occlusion or thrombus</td>
<td>14*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extracranial vertebral stenosis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Three had another possible cause of stroke: 1 cardioembolism, 1 extracranial vertebral stenosis, and 1 other (lupus anticoagulant).
disease, cardioembolism, extracranial arterial stenosis). This is similar to the percentage of strokes in the territory of extracranial carotid stenosis that could have been caused by noncarotid causes in NASCET. 1 This finding in WASID could have implications for future trials evaluating the efficacy of stenting for intracranial stenosis because stenting is unlikely to have any impact on these mechanisms of stroke.

Almost half (44%) of the strokes in the territory of the symptomatic intracranial artery were disabling, whereas 31% of strokes out of the territory were disabling (P = 0.22). Although not statistically significant, the high frequency of disabling strokes in the territory of the symptomatic intracranial artery is similar to the finding in NASCET that 30% of strokes ipsilateral to symptomatic 50% to 69% extracranial carotid stenosis and 48% of strokes ipsilateral to symptomatic 70% to 99% extracranial carotid stenosis were disabling. 1 The higher frequency of disabling strokes in patients with strokes in the territory occurred despite the lower-baseline Rankin scores in this group of patients compared to patients with end-point stroke out of the territory (P = 0.035). Together, these findings reiterate the high risk of severe stroke associated with symptomatic large artery atherostenosis.

Of the strokes occurring outside the territory of the symptomatic intracranial artery, almost half (48%) could have been caused by previously asymptomatic or newly developed intracranial stenosis in a different vascular territory. This suggests that patients with intracranial stenosis have a propensity for atherosclerotic stenosis at different sites within the intracranial circulation. However, it is also possible that some of these strokes attributed to previously asymptomatic or newly developed intracranial stenosis may have been caused by an embolus which partially recanalized leaving a residual stenosis. Supporting this theory are data from another WASID analysis, which showed that asymptomatic intracranial stenosis that was present at study entry (coexistent with the symptomatic stenosis) was associated with a low rate of stroke (3.5% after 1 year of follow-up). 20

Given the high burden of vascular risk factors, especially hypertension, in patients enrolled in WASID, 21, 22 it is surprising that penetrating artery disease was an uncommon potential cause of stroke in or out of the territory of the symptomatic intracranial stenosis. It is not surprising that cardioembolism and extracranial carotid disease were uncommon potential causes of stroke in this study given that patients with known cardioembolic sources and extracranial carotid stenosis tandem to intracranial carotid or MCA stenosis were excluded in WASID. Another possible reason for the low frequency of cardioembolic stroke in this study is that <10% of patients had echocardiograms at the time of an ischemic stroke endpoint, so cardioembolic mechanisms of stroke may have been missed. However, it is important to note that the most common causes of cardioembolism in the USA (atrial fibrillation, recent myocardial infarction) do not require echocardiography for diagnosis.

The major limitation of this study was the incomplete diagnostic evaluation available to us in many patients with recurrent stroke. This almost certainly led to an underestimate of the number of patients that could have had causes of stroke other than intracranial stenosis. Despite the limited diagnostic evaluation in many of these patients, we were still able to identify other potential causes of stroke in 19% of patients who had recurrent stroke in the territory of the symptomatic intracranial stenosis. This strongly supports the importance of evaluating patients with symptomatic intracranial stenosis fully for other potential causes of stroke that may be amenable to disease-specific treatments.
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