Relationship of Blood Pressure, Antihypertensive Therapy, and Outcome in Ischemic Stroke Treated With Intravenous Thrombolysis

Retrospective Analysis From Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke—International Stroke Thrombolysis Register (SITS-ISTR)
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Background and Purpose—The optimal management of blood pressure (BP) in acute stroke remains unclear. For ischemic stroke treated with intravenous thrombolysis, current guidelines suggest pharmacological intervention if systolic BP exceeds 180 mm Hg. We determined retrospectively the association of BP and antihypertensive therapy with clinical outcomes after stroke thrombolysis.

Methods—The SITS thrombolysis register prospectively recorded 11 080 treatments from 2002 to 2006. BP values were recorded at baseline, 2 hours, and 24 hours after thrombolysis. Outcomes were symptomatic (National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score deterioration ≥4) intracerebral hemorrhage Type 2, mortality, and independence at (modified Rankin Score 0 to 2) 3 months. Patients were categorized by history of hypertension and antihypertensive therapy within 7 days after thrombolysis: Group 1, hypertensive treated with antihypertensives (n=5612); Group 2, hypertensive withholding antihypertensives (n=1573); Group 3, without history of hypertension treated with antihypertensives (n=995); and Group 4, without history of hypertension not treated with antihypertensives (n=2632). For 268 (2.4%) patients, these data were missing. Average systolic BP 2 to 24 hours after thrombolysis was categorized by 10-mm Hg intervals with 100 to 140 used as a reference.

Results—In multivariable analysis, high systolic BP 2 to 24 hours after thrombolysis as a continuous variable was associated with worse outcome (P<0.001) and as a categorical variable had a linear association with symptomatic hemorrhage and a U-shaped association with mortality and independence with systolic BP 141 to 150 mm Hg associated with most favorable outcomes. OR (95% CI) from multivariable analysis showed no difference in symptomatic hemorrhage (1.09 [0.83 to 1.51]; P=0.58) and independence (1.03 [0.93 to 1.10]; P=0.80) but lower mortality (0.82 [0.73 to 0.92]; P=0.0007) for Group 1 compared with Group 4. Group 2 had a higher symptomatic hemorrhage (1.86 [1.34 to 2.68]; P=0.0004) and mortality (1.62 [1.41 to 1.85]; P<0.0001) and lower independence (0.89 [0.80 to 0.99]; P=0.04) compared with Group 4. Group 3 had similar results as Group 1.

Conclusions—There is a strong association of high systolic BP after thrombolysis with poor outcome. Withholding antihypertensive therapy up to 7 days in patients with a history of hypertension was associated with worse outcome, whereas initiation of antihypertensive therapy in newly recognized moderate hypertension was associated with a favorable outcome. (Stroke. 2009;40:2442-2449.)

Key Words: antihypertensive ■ blood pressure ■ infarction ■ ischemia ■ stroke ■ thrombolysis

The optimal management of blood pressure (BP) during the acute phase of stroke is still not well established. The general message in guidelines is not to intervene unless for extreme BP values or unusual medical conditions.1,2 This conservative attitude is based on observations that BP usually settles without intervention during the first week after hospitalization,3,4 and on our limited understanding of the complex pathophysiology of elevated BP during acute stroke. In
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hypertensive patients, cerebral autoregulation is potentially shifted to a higher level, whereas in the ischemic penumbra, cerebral autoregulation is disrupted and cerebral perfusion pressure is directly related to systemic BP. There is fear of reducing cerebral perfusion pressure, which is critical for cerebral blood flow in the penumbral zone, in particular in light of adverse effects of BP-lowering using nimodipine in the acute phase of stroke.8–10

There are several unanswered questions about the management of hypertension during the acute phase of stroke: Should ongoing antihypertensive therapy be continued? Should antihypertensive therapy be initiated in newly recognized high BP? If yes, what threshold level of BP would be required to justify antihypertensive treatment? These issues have been discussed for decades, but unfortunately, recommendations are still weak due to lack of adequate evidence from randomized, controlled trials (RCTs) and variable outcome results from small observation studies9,11 and a systematic review.12

These questions are also highly relevant for patients treated with intravenous thrombolysis because of the concern that increasing BP during and early after thrombolysis could increase the risk of hemorrhage. Intravenous alteplase is the only approved pharmacological treatment for acute ischemic stroke. The Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke Monitoring Study (SITS-MOST) shows that intravenous thrombolysis is safe and effective in routine clinical practice when used within 3 hours of symptom onset even by centers with little previous experience of stroke thrombolysis.16

The recent SITS—International Stroke Thrombolysis Register (ISTR)17 study and European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study III (ECASS III)18 show benefit of thrombolysis up to 4.5 hours of symptom onset. More patients will be treated with thrombolysis in routine clinical practice and therefore evidence to guide BP management is urgently needed. According to the European Summary of Product Characteristics, thrombolysis is contraindicated in patients with ischemic stroke with systolic BP levels >185 mm Hg and/or diastolic BP >110 mm Hg, although intervention is permitted to control BP acutely to this level. Current European Stroke Organization1 and American Stroke Association2 guidelines recommend treatment intervention if systolic BP exceeds 180 mm Hg or diastolic BP exceeds 105 mm Hg during and early after thrombolysis treatment.

In the absence of data guiding BP management during and after intravenous thrombolysis in acute ischemic stroke, we decided to explore our extensive observational database retrospectively. The purpose of this analysis was to examine the relationship of BP and antihypertensive therapy with outcomes in patients with and without a history of hypertension treated with intravenous thrombolysis using the SITS-ISTR.19

Methods

Study Population

All patients registered in SITS-ISTR between December 2002 and October 2007 were included in the study. Details of the methodology, including data collection and management for SITS-ISTR and SITS-MOST, have been described previously. In short, SITS-ISTR is a prospective, multinational Internet-based register for patients treated with thrombolysis after acute ischemic stroke. Baseline and demographic characteristics, stroke severity measure by National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score (possible range 0 to 42, with 0 representing normal neurological function and 42 maximal deficit), time intervals, risk factors, medication history, and admission and follow-up imaging scans data were documented. BP was recorded at baseline, 2 hours, and 24 hour after thrombolysis. BP measurement followed standard clinical practice with no centrally provided instruction. Antihypertensive therapy was recorded at baseline and within 7 days after thrombolysis. Outcome measurements included NIHSS at 2 hours, 24 hours, and 7 days; modified Rankin score at 3 months; and presence of hemorrhage on follow-up imaging scans.

Ethical Consideration and Source Data Verification

Because SITS-ISTR is an ongoing audit of stroke thrombolysis, approval from regulatory authorities and ethics committees, and patient informed consent, is not always mandatory. The requirements differ among participating countries. Approvals were obtained in countries where required. The SITS-MOST study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Karolinska Institute in Stockholm, Sweden, as well as by the Swedish Medical Products Agency. The SITS International Coordination Office performed regular online monitoring of the SITS-ISTR data. Moreover, monthly downloads of individual patient data were checked for error or inconsistency. A total of 6483 patients in the present study was from SITS-MOST in which sample source data verification was performed by monitors under the supervision of national coordinators.

Definitions and Classifications

In the present study, history of hypertension was defined by either history of hypertension (n=6670) or treatment with antihypertensives at stroke onset (n=5320, 90% of these patients had a history of hypertension). Of 5320 patients who received antihypertensives at baseline, 4683 patients received only oral, 345 patients only intravenous, and 292 both oral and intravenous therapies.

Within 7 days, 4715 patients received only oral antihypertensive therapy, 409 patients were treated with only intravenous antihypertensive therapy, and 1263 patients received both oral and intravenous antihypertensive therapy.

Patients were categorized according to the history of hypertension and antihypertensive therapy within 7 days after thrombolysis: Group 1, patients with a history of hypertension treated with antihypertensive therapy (n=5612); Group 2, patients with a history of hypertension not treated with antihypertensive therapy (n=1573); Group 3, patients without a history of hypertension treated with antihypertensive therapy (n=995); and Group 4, patients without a history of hypertension not treated with antihypertensive therapy (n=2632). Subdivision into these 4 groups was performed to enable us to study the effect of antihypertensive therapy in patients with and without a history of hypertension based on the ongoing discussion in the literature for decades.2

In 617 patients, information on antihypertensive therapy within 7 days after thrombolysis was missing and we used the principle of the last value carried forward from baseline. Of these, 328 (53.2%) received antihypertensive therapy at stroke onset, 283 (45.9%) did not, and in 6 (0.9%), treatment was not known.

Postthrombolysis systolic BP and diastolic BP were defined as the average of values at 2 hours and 24 hours.

Outcome Measurements

Outcome measurements were:

1. Symptomatic (NIHSS deterioration ≥4 points or death within 24 hours) intracerebral hemorrhage Type 2 in the 22- to 36-hour follow-up imaging scans after the start of thrombolysis treatment, termed the SITS-MOST definition. This conservative definition was included in the SITS-MOST study
Table 1. Baseline Characteristics for All Patients and Categorized by History of Hypertension and Antihypertensive Therapy Within 7 Days After Thrombolysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data are Median (IQR) or n/N (%)</th>
<th>All Patients (n = 11 080)</th>
<th>Group 1 (n = 5612) Patients With a History of Hypertension Treated With Antihypertensives</th>
<th>Group 2 (n = 1573) Patients With a History of Hypertension Not Treated With Antihypertensives</th>
<th>Group 3 (n = 995) Patients Without a History of Hypertension Treated With Antihypertensives</th>
<th>Group 4 (n = 2632) Patients Without a History of Hypertension Not Treated With Antihypertensives</th>
<th>P Value Group 1 versus Group 2</th>
<th>P Value Group 3 versus Group 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>70 (60–76)</td>
<td>72 (64–77)</td>
<td>71 (62–77)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>68 (59–75)</td>
<td>62 (50–72)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, female</td>
<td>4594/11 080 (41.5)</td>
<td>2440/5612 (43.5)</td>
<td>675/1573 (42.9)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>362/995 (36.4)</td>
<td>1024/2632 (38.9)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose</td>
<td>117 (102–141)</td>
<td>121 (104–148)</td>
<td>118 (102–143)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>115 (101–137)</td>
<td>110 (97–128)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS</td>
<td>13 (8–18)</td>
<td>12 (8–17)</td>
<td>15 (9–19)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>12 (8–17)</td>
<td>12 (8–17)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>1853/10 866 (17.4)</td>
<td>1300/5525 (23.5)</td>
<td>304/1551 (19.6)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>104/992 (10.5)</td>
<td>161/2623 (6.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>3352/9653 (34.7)</td>
<td>2105/4861 (43.3)</td>
<td>511/1396 (36.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>201/896 (22.6)</td>
<td>493/2370 (20.8)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>2800/10 776 (26.0)</td>
<td>1743/5440 (32.0)</td>
<td>410/1538 (26.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>235/971 (24.2)</td>
<td>367/2591 (14.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous stroke</td>
<td>1359/10 906 (12.5)</td>
<td>841/5510 (15.3)</td>
<td>219/1551 (14.2)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>81/969 (8.2)</td>
<td>191/2609 (7.2)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>4210/10 325 (40.8)</td>
<td>1890/5166 (36.6)</td>
<td>588/1477 (39.8)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>419/944 (44.4)</td>
<td>1196/2509 (47.7)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>3387/10 963 (30.9)</td>
<td>2253/5456 (40.6)</td>
<td>499/1557 (32.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>193/988 (19.5)</td>
<td>405/2622 (15.5)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>880/10 814 (8.1)</td>
<td>607/5460 (11.1)</td>
<td>124/1540 (8.1)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>60/982 (6.1)</td>
<td>81/2598 (3.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset to treatment time</td>
<td>145 (115–170)</td>
<td>143 (115–168)</td>
<td>145 (120–170)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>145 (115–165)</td>
<td>145 (119–170)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>151 (138–168)</td>
<td>160 (142–170)</td>
<td>150 (135–165)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>154 (140–168)</td>
<td>140 (130–157)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP</td>
<td>82 (74–90)</td>
<td>84 (75–93)</td>
<td>80 (71–90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>84 (77–90)</td>
<td>80 (71–90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR indicates interquartile range.

Statistical Testing

Descriptive statistics for baseline and demographic data were presented for all patients and according to history of hypertension and antihypertensive therapy within 7 days after thrombolysis. For categorical variables, proportions (%) were calculated by dividing the number of events with the total number excluding missing or unknown cases. For calculation of a statistically significant difference between proportions, we used the Mann–Whitney U test. Multivariable analyses were performed to examine the association between BP as continuous as well as categorical variables and dichotomized outcomes. For each outcome, a separate multivariable analysis was performed after adjustment for the following variables: age, gender, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, atrial fibrillation, congestive heart failure, previous stroke, independency before current stroke measured by modified Rankin Score 0 to 1, smoking, aspirin treatment at stroke onset, antiplatelet other than aspirin, baseline NIHSS, baseline blood glucose, body weight, categorized by history of hypertension and antihypertensive therapy within 7 days after thrombolysis, signs of current infarction in the baseline imaging study, and stroke onset to treatment time.

In the univariate analysis, the upper and lower limits of the CIs used a score method with continuity correction for calculation of the 95% CI of proportions for symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage (SICH), mortality, and independence. All analyses were performed using STATISTICA software. Version 8.0. Multivariable analyses were performed by logistic regression analysis using generalized linear or nonlinear models.

Results

Patients and Demographics

In total, 11 080 patients with ischemic stroke treated with intravenous thrombolysis with confirmed baseline data were recorded in SITS-ISTR at 403 centers from 28 countries, of whom 96.4% (10 686 of 11 080) were from Europe. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics for all patients and is categorized by history of hypertension and antihypertensive therapy within 7 days after thrombolysis.

BP as a Continuous Variable and Its Association With Main Outcomes

Figure 1 shows BP course by main outcome parameters. Systolic BP was consistently higher in patients with poor outcomes. The diastolic BP course was similar in patients with good and poor outcomes at 3 months.

There was no statistical significant difference in systolic BP course between patients with early (2 hours after thrombolysis) and late (after 2 hours) onset of symptomatic hemorrhage per SITS-MOST (baseline 160 versus 157 [P = 0.32], 2 hours 160 versus 158 [P = 0.63], and 24 hours 152 versus 155 [P = 0.67]) or symptomatic hemorrhage per RCT definition (baseline 156 versus 154 [P = 0.31], at 2 hours 154 versus 153 [P = 0.52], and at 24 hours 152 versus 149 [P = 0.14]).

In the multivariable analysis, average high systolic BP at 2 to 24 hours was associated with high rates of symptomatic hemorrhage per SITS-MOST definition (P < 0.0001), symptomatic hemorrhage per RCT (P < 0.0001), mortality at 3 months (P = 0.0004), and low rates of functional independence (P < 0.0001). In the multivariable analysis...
when baseline BP was entered alone in the model, high baseline systolic BP was associated with high rates of symptomatic hemorrhage per SITS-MOST definition ($P \leq 0.03$) and symptomatic hemorrhage per RCT ($P \leq 0.02$) and high baseline diastolic BP with high rate of mortality at 3 months ($P \leq 0.02$).

BP as a Categorized Variable and Its Association With Main Outcomes

Based on the strong association with outcome of postthrombolysis average systolic BP up to 24 hours, and the possibility of nonlinearity of the association between BP and outcome, patients were further categorized as follows: systolic BP <100 mm Hg (n=64), 100 to 120 mm Hg (n=1024), 121 to 140 mm Hg (n=3414), 141 to 150 mm Hg (n=2137), 151 to 160 mm Hg (n=1769), 161 to 170 mm Hg (n=1215), 171 to 180 mm Hg (n=656), and >180 mm Hg (n=243). Because systolic BP >140 mm Hg is generally accepted as hypertension, we categorized systolic BP >140 mm Hg by each 10 mm Hg. In the multivariable analysis, systolic BP 100 to 140 mm Hg was used as the reference group for calculation of ORs.

Figure 2 shows the adjusted ORs derived from multivariable analysis of main outcomes for the systolic BP categories. For symptomatic hemorrhage rates, the association between systolic BP increase and the OR was almost linear; the higher the systolic BP, the greater the risk of symptomatic hemorrhage. For mortality and functional independence at 3 months, the association between systolic BP increase and the OR was U-shaped and bell-shaped, respectively. The best outcome (lowest mortality and highest independency) was observed in patients with systolic BP values 141 to 150 mm Hg. The adjusted OR for symptomatic hemorrhage per SITS-MOST definition was 4 times higher for patients with a postthrombolysis systolic BP exceeding 170 mm Hg compared with those within the interval of 141 to 150 mm Hg. For symptomatic hemorrhage per RCT definition and for mortality at 3 months, the ORs were double and for the rate of independence at 3 months, the OR was less than half when systolic BP exceeded 170 mm Hg compared with those within the interval of 141 to 150 mm Hg.
Postthrombolysis Antihypertensive Therapy Within 7 Days And Its Association With Outcome in Patients With and Without a History of Hypertension

In total, 10,812 patients could be classified by history of hypertension and antihypertensive therapy after thrombolysis, whereas 268 (2.4%) patients could not be classified due to unknown or missing data. Table 1 shows that patients with a history of hypertension (Groups 1 and 2) compared with patients without such a history (Groups 3 and 4) were older, had higher levels of blood glucose, higher prevalence of diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, atrial fibrillation, congestive heart failure, previous stroke, and use of aspirin before the current stroke.

Table 2 shows the univariate results of the main outcomes for all patients and hypertension groups. Patients without a history of hypertension treated with antihypertensive therapy within 7 days after thrombolysis (Group 3) had similar outcomes as patients without a history of hypertension who were not treated with antihypertensive therapy (Group 4). The worst outcome was seen in patients who had a history of hypertension but did not receive antihypertensive therapy after thrombolysis (Group 2).

Figure 3 shows adjusted ORs derived from multivariable analysis of outcomes for hypertension groups. Patients with a history of hypertension treated with antihypertensive therapy (Group 2) had the worst outcome. Patients without a history of hypertension treated with antihypertensive therapy (Group 3) had lower mortality, a trend to a higher functional independence rate, and lower rates of symptomatic hemorrhage.

In a sensitivity analysis, we considered only patients enrolled in STITS-MOST but found no appreciable difference in the trends observed.

Discussion

Our results, based on the largest database on intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke, emphasize the strong association of postthrombolysis systolic BP as a continuous variable during the first 24 hours after thrombolysis not only with the incidence of symptomatic hemorrhage, but also with mortality and functional independence at 3 months follow-up. Postthrombolysis high systolic BP up to 24 hours as a continuous variable was associated with poor outcome in all parameters. When systolic BP was categorized, the higher the systolic BP, the higher the risk of symptomatic hemorrhage. For mortality and independence, the relationship was U-shaped with systolic BP 141 to 150 mm Hg associated with the most favorable results, and although the rate of symptom-
atic hemorrhage declined at even lower systolic BP levels, this interval appeared in our observational study as the optimal level of BP postthrombolysis. Previous studies of patients with stroke not receiving thrombolysis observed most favorable outcomes associated with a baseline systolic BP approximately 150 mm Hg.22,23

We also found that providing antihypertensive therapy after intravenous thrombolysis in patients with either a history of hypertension (Group 1) or moderately elevated BP without a history of hypertension (Group 3) did not seem to affect outcomes adversely; in contrast, withholding antihypertensive therapy in patients with a history of hypertension (Group 2) was associated with high mortality, a high symptomatic hemorrhage rate, and a low rate of functional independence. This finding was confirmed in the multivariable analysis after adjustment for other prognostic factors. In contrast, Brott et al15 (n=624) found that postrandomization antihypertensive therapy for thrombolysis-treated patients was associated with less favorable outcomes compared with those who were hypertensive and did not receive antihypertensive therapy. Lindsberg et al24 (n=75) also found that using antihypertensive therapy after thrombolysis reduced the likelihood of favorable outcome. These studies were smaller than ours and applied different outcome measures.

The BP course differed between patients with good and bad outcomes. The differences were more pronounced for systolic BP than for diastolic BP suggesting that moderate systolic BP change influences outcome parameters more than moderate diastolic BP change in stroke thrombolysis. In previous studies, diastolic BP reduction was associated with poor outcome.9,10,24 In our present study, those who had symptomatic hemorrhage had a significantly higher baseline systolic BP compared with patients without symptomatic hemorrhage. The systolic BP did not decline significantly up to 24 hours from baseline in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per SITS-MOST definition and at 2 hours in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per RCT definition. Systolic BP course was similar whether or not or antihypertensive therapy for thrombolysis-treated patients was associated with less favorable outcomes compared with those who were hypertensive and did not receive antihypertensive therapy. Lindsberg et al24 (n=75) also found that using antihypertensive therapy after thrombolysis reduced the likelihood of favorable outcome. These studies were smaller than ours and applied different outcome measures.

The BP course differed between patients with good and bad outcomes. The differences were more pronounced for systolic BP than for diastolic BP suggesting that moderate systolic BP change influences outcome parameters more than moderate diastolic BP change in stroke thrombolysis. In previous studies, diastolic BP reduction was associated with poor outcome.9,10,24 In our present study, those who had symptomatic hemorrhage had a significantly higher baseline systolic BP compared with patients without symptomatic hemorrhage. The systolic BP did not decline significantly up to 24 hours from baseline in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per SITS-MOST definition and at 2 hours in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per RCT definition. Systolic BP course was similar whether or not or antihypertensive therapy for thrombolysis-treated patients was associated with less favorable outcomes compared with those who were hypertensive and did not receive antihypertensive therapy. Lindsberg et al24 (n=75) also found that using antihypertensive therapy after thrombolysis reduced the likelihood of favorable outcome. These studies were smaller than ours and applied different outcome measures.

The BP course differed between patients with good and bad outcomes. The differences were more pronounced for systolic BP than for diastolic BP suggesting that moderate systolic BP change influences outcome parameters more than moderate diastolic BP change in stroke thrombolysis. In previous studies, diastolic BP reduction was associated with poor outcome.9,10,24 In our present study, those who had symptomatic hemorrhage had a significantly higher baseline systolic BP compared with patients without symptomatic hemorrhage. The systolic BP did not decline significantly up to 24 hours from baseline in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per SITS-MOST definition and at 2 hours in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per RCT definition. Systolic BP course was similar whether or not or antihypertensive therapy for thrombolysis-treated patients was associated with less favorable outcomes compared with those who were hypertensive and did not receive antihypertensive therapy. Lindsberg et al24 (n=75) also found that using antihypertensive therapy after thrombolysis reduced the likelihood of favorable outcome. These studies were smaller than ours and applied different outcome measures.

The BP course differed between patients with good and bad outcomes. The differences were more pronounced for systolic BP than for diastolic BP suggesting that moderate systolic BP change influences outcome parameters more than moderate diastolic BP change in stroke thrombolysis. In previous studies, diastolic BP reduction was associated with poor outcome.9,10,24 In our present study, those who had symptomatic hemorrhage had a significantly higher baseline systolic BP compared with patients without symptomatic hemorrhage. The systolic BP did not decline significantly up to 24 hours from baseline in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per SITS-MOST definition and at 2 hours in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per RCT definition. Systolic BP course was similar whether or not or antihypertensive therapy for thrombolysis-treated patients was associated with less favorable outcomes compared with those who were hypertensive and did not receive antihypertensive therapy. Lindsberg et al24 (n=75) also found that using antihypertensive therapy after thrombolysis reduced the likelihood of favorable outcome. These studies were smaller than ours and applied different outcome measures.

The BP course differed between patients with good and bad outcomes. The differences were more pronounced for systolic BP than for diastolic BP suggesting that moderate systolic BP change influences outcome parameters more than moderate diastolic BP change in stroke thrombolysis. In previous studies, diastolic BP reduction was associated with poor outcome.9,10,24 In our present study, those who had symptomatic hemorrhage had a significantly higher baseline systolic BP compared with patients without symptomatic hemorrhage. The systolic BP did not decline significantly up to 24 hours from baseline in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per SITS-MOST definition and at 2 hours in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per RCT definition. Systolic BP course was similar whether or not or antihypertensive therapy for thrombolysis-treated patients was associated with less favorable outcomes compared with those who were hypertensive and did not receive antihypertensive therapy. Lindsberg et al24 (n=75) also found that using antihypertensive therapy after thrombolysis reduced the likelihood of favorable outcome. These studies were smaller than ours and applied different outcome measures.

The BP course differed between patients with good and bad outcomes. The differences were more pronounced for systolic BP than for diastolic BP suggesting that moderate systolic BP change influences outcome parameters more than moderate diastolic BP change in stroke thrombolysis. In previous studies, diastolic BP reduction was associated with poor outcome.9,10,24 In our present study, those who had symptomatic hemorrhage had a significantly higher baseline systolic BP compared with patients without symptomatic hemorrhage. The systolic BP did not decline significantly up to 24 hours from baseline in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per SITS-MOST definition and at 2 hours in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per RCT definition. Systolic BP course was similar whether or not or antihypertensive therapy for thrombolysis-treated patients was associated with less favorable outcomes compared with those who were hypertensive and did not receive antihypertensive therapy. Lindsberg et al24 (n=75) also found that using antihypertensive therapy after thrombolysis reduced the likelihood of favorable outcome. These studies were smaller than ours and applied different outcome measures.

The BP course differed between patients with good and bad outcomes. The differences were more pronounced for systolic BP than for diastolic BP suggesting that moderate systolic BP change influences outcome parameters more than moderate diastolic BP change in stroke thrombolysis. In previous studies, diastolic BP reduction was associated with poor outcome.9,10,24 In our present study, those who had symptomatic hemorrhage had a significantly higher baseline systolic BP compared with patients without symptomatic hemorrhage. The systolic BP did not decline significantly up to 24 hours from baseline in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per SITS-MOST definition and at 2 hours in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per RCT definition. Systolic BP course was similar whether or not or antihypertensive therapy for thrombolysis-treated patients was associated with less favorable outcomes compared with those who were hypertensive and did not receive antihypertensive therapy. Lindsberg et al24 (n=75) also found that using antihypertensive therapy after thrombolysis reduced the likelihood of favorable outcome. These studies were smaller than ours and applied different outcome measures.

The BP course differed between patients with good and bad outcomes. The differences were more pronounced for systolic BP than for diastolic BP suggesting that moderate systolic BP change influences outcome parameters more than moderate diastolic BP change in stroke thrombolysis. In previous studies, diastolic BP reduction was associated with poor outcome.9,10,24 In our present study, those who had symptomatic hemorrhage had a significantly higher baseline systolic BP compared with patients without symptomatic hemorrhage. The systolic BP did not decline significantly up to 24 hours from baseline in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per SITS-MOST definition and at 2 hours in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per RCT definition. Systolic BP course was similar whether or not or antihypertensive therapy for thrombolysis-treated patients was associated with less favorable outcomes compared with those who were hypertensive and did not receive antihypertensive therapy. Lindsberg et al24 (n=75) also found that using antihypertensive therapy after thrombolysis reduced the likelihood of favorable outcome. These studies were smaller than ours and applied different outcome measures.

The BP course differed between patients with good and bad outcomes. The differences were more pronounced for systolic BP than for diastolic BP suggesting that moderate systolic BP change influences outcome parameters more than moderate diastolic BP change in stroke thrombolysis. In previous studies, diastolic BP reduction was associated with poor outcome.9,10,24 In our present study, those who had symptomatic hemorrhage had a significantly higher baseline systolic BP compared with patients without symptomatic hemorrhage. The systolic BP did not decline significantly up to 24 hours from baseline in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per SITS-MOST definition and at 2 hours in patients classified as symptomatic hemorrhage per RCT definition. Systolic BP course was similar whether or not or antihypertensive therapy for thrombolysis-treated patients was associated with less favorable outcomes compared with those who were hypertensive and did not receive antihypertensive therapy. Lindsberg et al24 (n=75) also found that using antihypertensive therapy after thrombolysis reduced the likelihood of favorable outcome. These studies were smaller than ours and applied different outcome measures.
history of hypertension and antihypertensive treatment were imbalanced at baseline. We performed multivariable analysis to adjust for these imbalances when evaluating outcome. However, it should be noted that multivariable analysis may not account for all imbalances. Third, we did not know the exact time when patients were treated with antihypertensive therapy within 7 days after thrombolysis. Therefore, we cannot propose the optimal time to initiate antihypertensive therapy after stroke thrombolysis. Fourth, we did not record the type of antihypertensive drug used for intervention and antihypertensive drugs given for other indication such as congestive heart failure and angina pectoris. Finally, missing data may also have influenced the results. Despite these limitations, it is worth noting that our study was based on the largest database for stroke thrombolysis so far and data were collected prospectively. Some of the ongoing trials may answer some of these uncertainties.

Conclusion
Our results suggest a more active BP-lowering approach early after intravenous thrombolysis than reflected by current guidelines. However, due to potential limitations of observational studies, results from RCTs are required for a definitive recommendation.
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