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Ischemic stroke represents 87% of all strokes. As worldwide initiatives move forward with stroke care, healthcare providers and institutions will be called on to deliver the most current evidence-based care. The American Heart Association/American Stroke Association (AHA/ASA) charged a panel of healthcare professionals from several disciplines with developing a practical, comprehensive overview of care for the patient with acute ischemic stroke (AIS). This article focuses on educating nursing and allied healthcare professionals about the roles and responsibilities of those who care for patients with AIS.

Nurses play a pivotal role in all phases of care of the stroke patient. For the purposes of this writing, the panel has defined 2 phases of stroke care: (1) The emergency or hyperacute care phase, which includes the prehospital setting and the emergency department (ED), and (2) the acute care phase, which includes critical care units, intermediate care units, stroke units, and general medical units.

Stroke is a complex disease that requires the efforts and skills of all members of the multidisciplinary team. Nurses are often responsible for the coordination of care throughout the continuum. Coordinated care of the AIS patient results in improved outcomes, decreased lengths of stay, and decreased costs.

In developing this comprehensive overview, the writing panel applied the rules of evidence and formulation of strength of evidence (recommendations) used by other AHA writing groups (Table 1). We also cross-reference other AHA guidelines as appropriate.

Overview of Stroke

It is important that nurses understand the burden of stroke as a public health issue in the United States. This will guide them in developing appropriate skills to care for AIS patients and to educate patients and families about secondary stroke prevention.

Epidemiology of Stroke

The AHA estimates that 780 000 strokes occur each year; 600 000 of these are new strokes, and 180 000 are recurrent strokes. Eighty-seven percent are ischemic strokes, 10% are intracranial hemorrhages (ICH), and 3% are subarachnoid hemorrhages (SAH). In 2007, the overall mortality rate from stroke was 273 000, which makes stroke the third-leading cause of death in the United States. Between 1979 and 2005, the annual number of hospital discharges with stroke as the diagnosis was 900 000. Direct and indirect costs associated with stroke are estimated to be approximately $65.5 billion. Direct costs are attributed to the initial hospitalization, skilled nursing care, physician and nursing care, medications and durable medical equipment, home health care, and acute rehabilitation. Indirect costs include loss of productivity (loss of future earnings) due to morbidity and
Demographics of Stroke

Each year, women experience ~60,000 more strokes than men do. American Indian/Alaskan Native persons have the highest prevalence of stroke (6.7%), followed by persons of multiple races (4.6%). Black men and women have twice the prevalence of stroke as whites (4.0% versus 2.3%). Blacks lead all races or ethnic groups for first-ever stroke. The prevalence of stroke in Hispanic or Latino populations is 3.1% for men and 1.9% for women compared with 2.4% for non-Hispanic white men and 2.7% for non-Hispanic white women. Epidemiologists note the increasing risk of stroke with advancing age, comparable to the risk of Alzheimer’s disease. Unless individuals change modifiable risk factors, the large aging American population is faced with rising stroke morbidity and a greater than ever public healthcare burden.

Table 1. Applying Classification of Recommendations and Levels of Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL A</th>
<th>Multitude populations evaluated*</th>
<th>Data derived from multiple randomized clinical trials or meta-analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective</td>
<td>Sufficient evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendation in favor of treatment or procedure being useful/effective</td>
<td>Some conflicting evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendation’s usefulness/efficacy less well established</td>
<td>Greater conflicting evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendation that procedure or treatment is not useful/effective and may be harmful</td>
<td>Sufficient evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL B</th>
<th>Limited populations evaluated*</th>
<th>Data derived from a single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective</td>
<td>Sufficient evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendation in favor of treatment or procedure being useful/effective</td>
<td>Some conflicting evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendation’s usefulness/efficacy less well established</td>
<td>Greater conflicting evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendation that procedure or treatment is not useful/effective and may be harmful</td>
<td>Evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL C</th>
<th>Very limited populations evaluated*</th>
<th>Only consensus opinion of experts, case studies, or standard of care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective</td>
<td>Only expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendation in favor of treatment or procedure being useful/effective</td>
<td>Only diverging expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommendation’s usefulness/efficacy less well established</td>
<td>Only diverging expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Estimate of Certainty (Precision) of Treatment Effect**

|^| Benefit > Risk | Benefit > Risk Additional studies with focused objectives needed | Benefit > Risk Additional studies with broad objectives needed; additional registry data would be helpful |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **CLASS I**    | Procedure/Treatment SHOULD be performed/administered         | IT IS REASONABLE to perform procedure/administer treatment    |
| **CLASS IIa**  | Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective | Some conflicting evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses |
| **CLASS IIb**  | Recommendation in favor of treatment or procedure being useful/effective | Greater conflicting evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses |
| **CLASS III**  | Recommendation’s usefulness/efficacy less well established | Evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies |

**Etiology of Stroke (Stroke Subtypes)**

Strokes caused by blocked blood vessels to the brain, or ischemic strokes, lead to cerebral infarction, whereas hemorrhagic strokes caused by ruptured vessels in and around the brain lead to ICH and SAH. The AHA has published guidelines for the medical management of patients with ischemic stroke12,13 and strokes caused by ICH14 and SAH.15 Nursing and allied health management is not provided in these guidelines and thus is outlined here.

Ischemic strokes are commonly caused by atherosclerotic disease of extracranial or intracranial vessels that circulate blood to the brain. Approximately 20% of ischemic strokes are caused by large-vessel atherosclerosis (extracranial or intracranial segments of carotid or verteobasilar arteries), and ~25% of ischemic strokes are due to penetrating artery disease (small-vessel disease) that causes lacunar or subcortical strokes. Another 20% are caused by cardiogenic embo-
The exact cause of stroke remains unknown. Approximately 30% of ischemic strokes are termed cryptogenic, for which patient outcome has generated a body of literature and the role of time in determining treatment eligibility and acute stroke. When recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) was approved as the first acute treatment for AIS, the paradigm of care of the stroke patient shifted, and identification of stroke symptoms by EMS and paramedics can be improved with additional stroke-specific education.

In many community and academic institutions, education of EMS providers has become a function of the nurse educator, a role that has expanded to the community. The most widely available stroke teaching tool for this purpose is chapter 9 of the AHA stroke module. Educational videos and other tools are also available for the EMS audience.

Before beginning an EMS stroke education program, the nurse educator should verify local policies and regulations governing acceptable practice for paramedics and EMS in that region or state. For example, in some communities, EMS providers are not permitted to perform some recommended practices for acute stroke care, such as determining finger-stick glucose levels and starting intravenous lines. In other communities, higher standards that require specific assessment skills have been developed for EMS to enable them to respond more aggressively to AIS.

As a part of their continuing education, EMS personnel must also be provided with accurate information about acute stroke care and treatment capabilities in their community. EMS units should know which hospitals are equipped to provide specific emergency stroke care, such as those certified by the Joint Commission or their state health agencies.

Continuing education of EMS personnel is challenging and requires frequent updates. The nurse educator should keep in mind that a typical EMS provider cares for 4 to 10 stroke patients in a given year. As a result, field experience may be limited, and reinforcement of knowledge and practice in caring for acute stroke patients will be necessary. One study concluded that the knowledge gained from stroke training decreased by 50% over 1 year; therefore, educational programs about stroke might be repeated from 1 to several times per year. In some states with mandated stroke systems of care, EMS updates in stroke education will be required.

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) proceedings Improving the Chain of Recovery for Acute Stroke in Your Community is a useful resource for planning and organizing stroke educational programs.

Education Regarding Prehospital Assessment for Acute Stroke

Although accurate identification of stroke symptoms is a critical success factor in early stroke treatment, the nurse educator needs to include additional aspects of prehospital stroke patient management. Recognition of stroke symptoms is an important factor in successful delivery of...
proven acute therapies. Prehospital assessment tools have been developed to help enhance recognition of stroke symptoms and improve the ability to identify stroke patients in the field (Table 2). The most common and well-investigated tools are the Cincinnati Prehospital Stroke Scale and the Los Angeles Prehospital Stroke Screen. Newer stroke identification tools include the Face Arm Speech Test (Table 3), which is similar to the Cincinnati Prehospital Stroke Scale, and the Melbourne Prehospital Stroke Screen, which is similar to the Los Angeles Prehospital Stroke Screen. Tools to rate stroke severity in the field have been developed, including a shortened version of the NIH Stroke Scale (NIHSS) and the Los Angeles Motor Scale. If a specific tool has not been identified for use within a community, the nurse educator should review the common signs and symptoms of stroke such as those identified on the Brain Attack Coalition’s World Wide Web site (http://www.stroke-site.org/index.html). These tools may also be used to teach a quick stroke screening evaluation to hospital personnel, because in-hospital strokes also require prompt recognition and action.

Recommendations

Class I

1. To increase the number of stroke patients who receive timely treatment, educational programs for physicians, hospital personnel, and EMS personnel are recommended (Class I, Level of Evidence B).

2. Stroke education of EMS personnel should be provided on a regular basis, perhaps as often as twice per year, to ensure proper recognition, field treatment, and delivery of patients to appropriate facilities (Class I, Level of Evidence C).

Table 2. Components of Selected Prehospital Assessment Tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cincinnati Prehospital Stroke Scale</th>
<th>Los Angeles Prehospital Stroke Screen</th>
<th>Los Angeles Motor Scale</th>
<th>Face Arm Speech Test</th>
<th>NIHSS-SSN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facial droop</td>
<td>Facial weakness</td>
<td>Facial weakness</td>
<td>Facial palsy</td>
<td>Right leg motor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm weakness</td>
<td>Arm weakness</td>
<td>Arm strength</td>
<td>Arm weakness</td>
<td>Left leg motor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech</td>
<td>Arm weakness</td>
<td>Grip</td>
<td>Grip</td>
<td>Gaze</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Screening criteria (4 items)</td>
<td>Blood glucose</td>
<td>Speech impairment</td>
<td>Language</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SNIHSS indicates shortened National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale.

Table 3. Sample Face Arm Speech Test (FAST)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facial palsy affected side</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>RT</th>
<th>LT</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arm weakness affected side</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>LT</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech impairment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of onset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RT indicates right side; LT, left side.

Table 4. The 5 Key Stroke Syndromes: Classic Signs Referable to Different Cerebral Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Left (dominant hemisphere)</th>
<th>Right visual field deficit</th>
<th>Right hemiparesis</th>
<th>Right hemisensory loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right gaze preference</td>
<td>Left visual field deficit</td>
<td>Left hemiparesis</td>
<td>Left hemisensory loss neglect (left hemi-inattention)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Brainstem
- Nausea and/or vomiting
- Diplopia, dysconjugate gaze, gaze palsy
- Dysarthria, dysphagia
- Vertigo, tinitus
- Hemiparesis or quadriplegia
- Sensory loss in hemibody or all 4 limbs
- Decreased consciousness
- Hiccups, abnormal respirations

Cerebellum
- Truncal/gait ataxia
- Limb ataxia, neck stiffness

Hemorrhage
- Focal neurological deficits as in AIS
- Headache (especially in SAH)
- Neck pain
- Light intolerance
- Nausea, vomiting
- Decreased level of consciousness
Education Priorities for Assessment and Treatment in the Field
Neurological assessment of the AIS patient should always include the ABCs, vital signs, cardiac monitoring during transport, and baseline neurological assessment. Because the field neurological examination will serve as a baseline for assessment of neurological improvement or worsening, the use of a prehospital stroke scale is recommended.

EMS personnel on the scene should ask the patient’s family or bystanders when the patient was last known to be normal or without neurological deficits, i.e., the last known well time. Documentation of this report of onset can be helpful in establishing an accurate time of stroke symptom onset.44,46,47,69 Ideally, standardized definitions should be developed in EMS systems to define the specific onset date and time. The date and time should be defined as the time when the stroke symptoms that brought the patient to the hospital first occurred. A specific time can be identified within a reasonable amount of certainty within ±15 minutes. When possible, the information should be obtained directly from the patient. If the patient is unable to give this information, EMS personnel should look to another reliable source for this information.70 If the time of onset of stroke symptoms is not identifiable, a standard method of time parameters should be used, such as morning (6:00 AM to 11:59 AM), afternoon (noon to 5:59 PM), evening (6:00 PM to 11:59 PM), and overnight (midnight to 5:59 AM).70 EMS providers must emphasize to families the importance of traveling to the hospital with the patient, particularly if symptom onset is within the time frame for rtPA administration and the patient’s language or decision-making capability is compromised. When family members cannot accompany the patient, EMS personnel should document the family’s contact information and provide it to the emergency physician.13

The current guidelines recommend the use of continuous cardiac monitoring during transport of a suspected stroke patient to determine the presence of cardiac arrhythmias.13 If there is no standing field protocol for management of cardiac conditions, EMS personnel should contact the base station or receiving institution if the electrocardiogram demonstrates possible acute myocardial ischemia or atrial fibrillation. Blood pressure should be monitored every 15 minutes, or more often if severe hypertension (systolic blood pressure >200 mm Hg) or relative hypotension (systolic blood pressure <110 mm Hg) is observed during transport. Administration of antihypertensive drugs in the field is not recommended, because induced hypotension carries a possible risk of extending the area of cerebral infarct.47,58,68,71 Supplemental oxygen should be given to hypoxic patients; in ambulances without oximetry capabilities, oxygen can be administered at low levels, e.g., 2 to 3 L/min. If pulse oximetry is available and the patient’s oxygen saturation is >92%, additional oxygen is not needed.13 Transport with the head of the bed elevated ≈30° may help with oxygenation and may minimize the possibility of aspiration.44,71,72 To decrease the risk of aspiration, the patient should receive nothing by mouth (NPO).

Hypoglycemia, a common stroke mimic, can be identified quickly by measuring blood glucose during transport. Fingerstick tests can be performed if the emergency vehicle is appropriately equipped and personnel are trained. Treatment of severe hypoglycemia should be instituted promptly by EMS personnel. Intravenous access can be established in the field, and non–glucose-containing intravenous fluids can be started if the patient is hypotensive. Establishment of intravenous access should not delay transport.44,46,47,57,69,71

Finally, the nurse educator should emphasize the value of early notification of the receiving ED of the arrival of a potential acute stroke patient. Historic cardiac trials have shown that prearrival notification of the ED enhances rapid diagnostic workup, reducing time between symptom onset and treatment.23,25,73

Recommendations
Class I

1. EMS personnel should be trained to administer a validated prehospital stroke assessment, such as the Cincinnati Prehospital Stroke Scale or the Los Angeles Prehospital Stroke Screen (Class I, Level of Evidence B).

2. EMS personnel should be trained to determine the last known well time using standardized definitions to collect the most accurate information (Class I, Level of Evidence B).

3. EMS personnel should use the neurological/stroke assessment approach to gather basic physiological information about the patient and communicate the patient’s condition to the receiving hospital (Class I, Level of Evidence B).

From the Field to the ED: Stroke Patient Triage and Care
Emergency personnel initiate basic triage and care modalities in the field. Once the stroke patient arrives in the ED, patient triage is usually a function of nursing staff. The Emergency Nurses Association and the American College of Emergency Physicians recommend a 5-level Emergency Severity Index as a preferred system for triage in a busy ED.52 This index puts all stroke patients in the level 2 or “needs immediate assessment” category, the same as for an unstable trauma patient or a critical care cardiac patient.46,52,69 The emergency nurse must be able to recognize neurological symptoms that suggest stroke and rapidly assess the initial time of symptom onset or the last known well time.44,46,57

The triage nurse should use specialized checklists, protocols, and other tools to identify stroke patients.10,44,47,57,74 Once stroke is confirmed, the nurse uses these procedures and protocols that define who contacts the acute stroke team or appropriate neurological consultant. Emergency nurses understand that time is critical and are trained in rapid assessment and treatment of stroke patients. Studies have shown that the sooner thrombolytic therapy is started, the greater the benefit.23,44,46,75 It is critical that all emergency nurses and other emergency professional staff know that the NIH-National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) benchmark treatment time for AIS with intravenous rtPA is within 60 minutes of arrival in the ED46 (Table 5). In some cases, this time will need to be shortened to successfully initiate thrombolytic therapy within 3 hours of stroke onset, although there is growing evidence of safety and effective-
Table 5. NINDS Time Targets for Organized Triage of Acute Stroke Patients: Key Evaluation Time Targets for the Potential rtPA Candidate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum intervals recommended by NINDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Door-to–doctor first sees patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door-to–CT completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door-to–CT read</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door-to–thrombolytic therapy starts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurosurgical expertise available*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admitted to monitored bed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT indicates computed tomography.

*On-site or by transfer to another facility.

The First 24 Hours

Brain Imaging

The overriding objective of emergency evaluation of the stroke patient is to determine whether the stroke is an ischemic infarction or ICH and to exclude a nonvascular lesion as the cause of symptoms. Additional objectives are to localize the lesion, determine its age and extent, and document its mechanism. Both computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are acceptable initial imaging modalities for acute evaluation. The most commonly obtained study remains an immediate unenhanced (noncontrast) head CT scan. The emergency nurse prepares the patient for CT or MRI by explaining the test and may help transport the patient to the scanner. The nurse should prenotify the CT department that a patient with suspected acute stroke is in transport. This will allow technicians to reserve the scanner so that the patient can be imaged immediately on arrival. The CT scan should be completed in ≤25 minutes in patients who are eligible for treatment with rtPA. The initial scan is one of the most important diagnostic tests in the emergency phase after stroke. Rapid acquisition and results of imaging will define treatment.

Oxygenation, Positioning, and Oral Intake

Patients with AIS are at risk of hypoxemia and oxygen desaturation. Maximization of oxygenation of all acute stroke patients has been examined in 1 quasi-randomized trial and did not show clear findings of benefit from supplemental oxygen. There is general agreement, however, that hypoxic patients will benefit from supplemental oxygen.

Positioning of the head of the bed must be individualized for each patient. The traditional positioning at 25° to 30° is often used for potentially increased intracranial pressure (ICP), at least until large lobar, ICH, space-occupying lesions or other causes of increased ICP can be ruled out by imaging. Stroke patients with increased ICP and chronic respiratory conditions may need head elevation for maximum
The bed should be elevated at least 30° if the patient is at risk of aspiration or airway obstruction due to dysphagia.27 The optimal position for the head of the bed has not been identified.89–91 Recent studies have suggested that positioning of the head of the bed can facilitate an increase in cerebral blood flow and maximize oxygenation to cerebral tissue.92–94 A study using transcranial Doppler technology found that the head-flat position maximized blood flow to the brain.94 Further studies on head positioning of the AIS patient have not been identified.89–91 Recent studies have suggested that the head-flat position maximized blood flow to the brain.94 Further studies on head positioning of the AIS patient need to be completed; if the patient has a lower risk of increased ICP and is not at risk for aspiration, the head-down position has been shown to be beneficial.94

When significant hemiparesis is present, positioning on the paretic side may be more desirable to allow the patient to communicate and to prevent aspiration.72 AIS patients are more prone to atelecasis as a result of immobility regardless of position. The patient’s neck should be kept straight, airway patency maintained, and slumped sitting avoided to prevent hypoxia.89

Patients are kept NPO, including no oral medications, until ability to swallow can be assessed. Emergency nurses may be trained to perform a bedside swallowing assessment to establish whether the patient can safely receive oral intake and swallow ED medications such as aspirin.13,27,89 If swallowing is impaired, medications can be administered rectally or by nasogastric tube.

Laboratory Assessments
An electrolyte imbalance can sometimes produce stroke-like symptoms. A comprehensive metabolic panel indicates fluid and electrolyte status. The blood and hemostatic system can be assessed by a complete blood count with platelet and coagulation studies such as prothrombin time, international normalized ratio, activated partial thromboplastin time, and fibrinogen. Urinalysis assesses renal function and coexisting urinary tract infection (UTI). Emergent laboratory specimens should be labeled STAT to expedite processing, if the patient is in the time window to receive thrombolitics. Table 7 lists the most commonly ordered stroke laboratory tests to measure eligibility to receive rtPA.

Intravenous Access
Ideally, 2 to 3 intravenous sites should be established if the acute stroke patient will receive thrombolytic therapy. One site is used for administration of intravenous fluids, another for administration of thrombolytic therapy, and the third for administration of intravenous medications.13 Diagnostic laboratory blood specimens should be drawn before intravenous fluids are started. Collection of specimens before the patient undergoes imaging allows simultaneous processing of both laboratory and imaging data and facilitates rapid turnaround.

Intravenous Fluids
Glucose can have detrimental effects in acute brain injury of all types. Therefore, intravenous solutions with glucose (such as D5W [dextrose 5% in water]) should be avoided in AIS patients in the ED.13,27 An infusion rate that maintains normovolemia (75 to 100 mL/h) can help facilitate normal circulating blood volume. Stroke patients often present in a hypovolemic state, which may produce hypotension and cerebral hypoperfusion. In these instances, intravenous fluid
Various conditions that mimic stroke should be ruled out quickly when stroke is suspected. Postseizure patients may present with unilateral weakness (Todd’s paralysis), and stroke-like deterioration can occur in a patient with a brain neoplasm. Migrainous aura may be confused with a transient ischemic attack or stroke.

Blood Pressure Evaluation and Management

Blood pressure measurement is critical in the hyperacute phase of stroke. A history of hypertension is common in AIS patients. A transient rise in blood pressure can also be found in previously normotensive patients; these elevations are expected and may represent the body’s compensatory response to the acute cerebrovascular occlusion, enhancing collateral flow. Unless blood pressure elevations are in the range to cause hypertensive encephalopathy, they are generally not treated, to facilitate adequate cerebral perfusion pressure. The AHA Stroke Council recommends that emergency administration of antihypertensive agents be withheld unless diastolic blood pressure is >120 mm Hg or systolic blood pressure is >220 mm Hg in AIS patients who are not treated with thrombolytics.

For patients treated with intravenous thrombolysis, key data about treatment of hypertension in the emergent phase of stroke come from the 2 NIH-NINDS rtPA trials. In these patients, systolic blood pressure was maintained at <185 mm Hg and diastolic blood pressure at <110 mm Hg. If 2 consecutive readings showed systolic blood pressure >185 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure >110 mm Hg, fast-acting intravenous agents were used cautiously to lower blood pressure.

Normally, an intrinsic process in cerebral blood vessels maintains constant brain blood flow regardless of systemic blood pressure, a concept known as autoregulation. In stroke, however, autoregulation may be impaired, particularly in the penumbra, where the cerebral blood flow is determined by systemic blood pressure. In such cases, it may be necessary to regulate systemic blood pressure. The attending physician will choose short-acting continuous infusion agents with a reliable dose-response relation and safety profile. When reduced blood pressure is desired, labetalol is preferred if the patient has tachycardia at baseline, whereas nicardipine, a pure peripheral vasodilator, is preferable if the patient has bradycardia, congestive heart failure, a history of bronchos-
pasm, or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Neither of these agents appears to adversely affect ICP. Sodium nitroprusside has theoretical drawbacks related to cerebral venu
dilation, with exacerbation of any elevations of ICP and impairment of normal autoregulation.100,101

The AHA guidelines recommend that blood pressure should not be treated in the hyperacute period unless 1 of the following exists: Systolic blood pressure >220 mm Hg or
diastolic blood pressure >120 mm Hg after repeated measure-
ments; cardiac ischemia, heart failure, or aortic dissection is present; thrombolytic therapy is planned; or ICH is iden-
tified.13 Table 10 lists approaches to treatment of elevated
blood pressure in AIS.

If blood pressure does not decrease and cannot be main-
tained below the target levels of 185/110 mm Hg, rtPA
should not be administered. Blood pressure management
during and after treatment with rtPA or other acute recanal-
ization therapy includes monitoring every 15 minutes during
treatment and then for another 2 hours, then every 30 minutes
for 6 hours, and then every hour for 16 hours.

Administration of Thrombolytic Therapy
The nurse is responsible for administration of rtPA to eligible
patients. The rt-PA dose for AIS is less than the recom-
mended dose for myocardial infarction or pulmonary embo-

tism treatment. rtPA is packaged as a crystalline powder and
reconstituted with sterile water. After reconstitution, the
preparation is 100 mg total. The total dose for an individual
patient is calculated by multiplying the patient’s weight per
kilogram (up to 100 kg) by 0.9 mg. The total maximum dose
for a patient with AIS is 90 mg. (The remaining portion of the
preparation that will not be infused should be discarded to
prevent accidental overdose.) To prevent accidental overdose,
it is important to draw the waste dose from the bottle and
verify the waste amount with another nurse before the rtPA
bottle is connected to the intravenous pump tubing and
administered to the patient. rtPA is administered in divided
doses: 10% is given as a bolus over 1 minute, and the
remaining 90% is administered as a continuous infusion over
the next 60 minutes.

Before administering rtPA, the nurse should make sure that
all intravenous lines are inserted. If needed, a Foley catheter
and any other indwelling lines or tubes, including endotra-
cheal tubes, should be inserted as well. There has been 1
report of fatal hemorrhage due to traumatic intubation.102
However, placement of lines and tubes should be rapid and
should not delay administration of rtPA by more than a few
minutes.

Intra-Arterial Thrombolysis
The use of intra-arterial thrombolysis as an alternative to
intravenous rtPA is becoming more widespread. Intra-arterial
therapy is based on the principle of delivering thrombolytic
therapy at higher concentrations directly into the thom-
bus. The intra-arterial approach gives AIS patients more
treatment options and should be initiated by specially trained
interventional radiologists. In selected cases, intra-arterial
thrombolysis extends the window of intervention to 6 hours
after the onset of ischemic stroke symptoms.13
arterial approach is commonly used for treating stroke due to a large thrombus in the middle cerebral artery, a life-threatening vertebrobasilar stroke in the posterior circulation, a large thrombus in the middle cerebral artery, a life-threatening vertebrobasilar stroke in the posterior circulation, and when intravenous rtPA is contraindicated.12,103 An emergent cerebral angiogram is required to place the delivery catheter at the site of the thrombus. The nurse should educate patients and their families about this treatment and should also watch for treatment-related complications.

In 1998, the PROlyse in Acute Cerebral Thromboembolism II (PROACT II) trial tested the effectiveness of the intra-arterial approach using prourokinase in patients with onset of stroke symptoms of <6 hours as a result of occlusion of the middle cerebral artery.104 The primary analysis, intention to treat, was based on a modified Rankin Scale score of 0 to 2 at 3 months. The results were significant at P=0.04. Sixty-six percent of middle cerebral arteries were recanalized in patients treated with recombinant prourokinase compared with 18% in the control group (P<0.001). The ICH rate was 10% in the prourokinase group compared with 2% in the control group. There was no difference in death rate. On the basis of this single phase III trial, the Food and Drug Administration did not approve prourokinase for intra-arterial treatment of stroke, and it has not been marketed. Other thrombolytics, including rtPA and urokinase, have been extrapolated as intra-arterial therapy within 6 hours of symptom onset in selected cases of AIS.

The results of the cerebral angiogram and other radiological findings such as a hyperdense artery sign can help determine treatment options. Some authors have suggested that patients with a dense middle cerebral artery sign did better with intra-arterial thrombolysis than with intravenous thrombolysis, but there are no direct comparisons in clinical trials to prove this.103,205,106

Combined therapy with intravenous thrombolysis and then intra-arterial thrombolysis is used occasionally. The Interventional Management of Stroke study is evaluating the combination of intravenous/intra-arterial administration of low-dose intravenous (0.6 mg/kg) rtPA followed by 22 mg of intra-arterial rtPA therapy. Currently, phase III of the study is comparing conventional intravenous rtPA alone

### Table 10. Recommendations for Treatment of Elevated Blood Pressure in Acute Ischemic Stroke

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Pressure Level</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not eligible for thrombolytic therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic &lt;220 mm Hg or diastolic &lt;120 mm Hg</td>
<td>Observe unless there is other end-organ involvement, eg, aortic dissection, acute myocardial infarction, pulmonary edema, hypertensive encephalopathy. Treat other symptoms of stroke such as headache, pain, agitation, nausea, and vomiting. Treat other acute complications of stroke, including hypoxia, increased ICP, seizures, or hypoglycemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic &gt;220 mm Hg or diastolic &lt;121–140 mm Hg</td>
<td>Labetalol 10–20 mg IV over 1–2 min. May repeat or double every 10 min (maximum dose 300 mg) or Nicardipine 5 mg/h IV infusion as initial dose; titrate to desired effect by increasing 2.5 mg/h every 5 min to maximum of 15 mg/h. Aim for a 10% to 15% reduction of blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic &gt;140 mm Hg</td>
<td>Nitroprusside 0.5 μg/kg per min IV infusion as initial dose with continuous blood pressure monitoring. Aim for a 10% to 15% reduction of blood pressure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible for thrombolytic therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic &gt;185 mm Hg or diastolic &gt;110 mm Hg</td>
<td>Labetalol 10–20 mg IV over 1–2 min; may repeat ×1 or Nitropaste 1–2 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During and after treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Monitor blood pressure</td>
<td>Check blood pressure every 15 min for 2 h, then every 30 min for 6 h, and then every hour for 16 h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Diastolic &gt;140 mm Hg</td>
<td>Sodium nitroprusside 0.5 μg/kg per min IV infusion as initial dose and titrate to desired blood pressure level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Systolic &gt;230 mm Hg or diastolic 121–140 mm Hg</td>
<td>Labetalol 10 mg IV over 1–2 min, may repeat every 10–20 min (maximum dose 300 mg) or labetalol 10 mg IV followed by infusion at 2–8 mg/min. or Nicardipine drip, 5 mg/h, titrate up by 2.5 mg/h at 5- to 15-min intervals (maximum dose 15 mg/h). If blood pressure is not reduced and maintained at desired levels (systolic ≤185 mm Hg and diastolic ≤110 mm Hg), do not administer rtPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Systolic 180–230 mm Hg or diastolic 105–120 mm Hg</td>
<td>Labetalol 10 mg IV over 1–2 min. May repeat or double labetalol every 10–20 min to a maximum dose of 300 mg or give initial labetalol 10 mg IV followed by infusion at 2–8 mg/min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Adams et al.,13 with permission from Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Copyright 2007, American Heart Association.
with rtPA plus endovascular interventions. Further studies will provide more data on the efficacy of intra-arterial thrombolysis.

**Mechanical Devices**
The Merci Retriever (Concentric Medical, Mountain View, Calif) was the first retrieval device approved for clot retraction in AIS patients who were not candidates for rtPA or who had failed intravenous therapy. The Penumbra System (Penumbra Inc, Alameda, Calif) was the second retrieval device approved to remove blood clots in patients with AIS. These devices have been used in combination with intravenous or intra-arterial therapy. Noser et al have suggested that aggressive mechanical clot disruption may help increase recanalization rates compared with intra-arterial thrombolysis. This information is not yet supported by clinical trials.

Several other approaches to recanalization with device catheters are available. The EKOS catheter (EKOS Corp, Bothell, Wash) used in the Interventional Management of Stroke phase I and II trials delivered intra-arterial rtPA with concurrent intra-arterial low-energy ultrasound. Use of transcranial Doppler ultrasound to enhance the thrombolytic activity of intravenous rtPA is being evaluated in phase II clinical trials. These device catheters have been evaluated in safety and technical efficacy trials. Randomized, controlled clinical trials of both the Merci and EKOS devices must be completed to evaluate their clinical efficacy.

**Recommendations**

**Class I**

1. Emergency personnel should be highly trained in stroke care (Class I, Level of Evidence B).
2. Frequent neurological/stroke assessments should be done (Class I, Level of Evidence C); these should be done more frequently for patients receiving rtPA.
3. Supplemental oxygen should be given to patients with an oxygen saturation of <92% and a decreased level of consciousness (Class I, Level of Evidence C). There is little evidence that supplemental oxygen should be provided routinely.
4. The stroke patient’s head should be positioned in neutral alignment with the body, and the head of the bed should be elevated 25° to 30° to help the patient handle oral secretions, especially if dysphagia is present (Class I, Level of Evidence C).
5. Stroke patients in the ED should be kept NPO (not given anything orally) until ability to swallow is assessed (Class I, Level of Evidence B).
6. Intravenous access should be obtained in at least 2 sites, with 1 site for administration of rtPA and 1 site for delivery of intravenous fluids or other medications if the patient is a candidate for rtPA (Class I, Level of Evidence C).
7. Only nondextrose, normotonic intravenous fluids such as normal saline should be used in the AIS patient (Class I, Level of Evidence C).
8. Intravenous rtPA should be administered without delay and should not be excluded in an eligible patient (Class I, Level of Evidence A).
9. See Table 11 for additional medical recommendations.

**Table 11. Medical Recommendations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Class and Level of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT or MRI of the head should be performed emergently in patients who present to the ED within the 3-h window.</td>
<td>Class I, Level of Evidence A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the ED setting, laboratory tests should be obtained and processed rapidly to facilitate rapid assessment of the stroke patient, especially one who is a candidate for rtPA. At a minimum, the following tests should be performed: CBC, including platelets, blood chemistries, and coagulation studies (PT, aPTT, and INR).</td>
<td>Class I, Level of Evidence A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The use of intra-arterial thrombolysis is reasonable in select patients with a large MCA clot presenting within 6 h of stroke onset or who have contraindications to intravenous thrombolysis.</td>
<td>Class I, Level of Evidence B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interventional treatment should be provided by a qualified interventionalist in centers that meet the guidelines for comprehensive stroke centers.</td>
<td>Class I, Level of Evidence C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When intra-arterial rtPA is considered, intravenous rtPA should always be given if the patient is eligible.</td>
<td>Class I, Level of Evidence C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Merci Retriever and Penumbra System is a reasonable intervention for extraction of thrombi, but both require further evaluation of clinical efficacy.</td>
<td>Class IIa, Level of Evidence B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-arterial thrombolysis is reasonable in patients with contraindications to use of intravenous thrombolysis, such as recent surgery.</td>
<td>Class IIa, Level of Evidence B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBC indicates complete blood count; PT, prothrombin time; and MCA, middle cerebral artery.

**Phase 2: Acute Care**
During the acute care phase, nursing care should focus on continued stabilization of the stroke patient through frequent evaluation of neurological status, blood pressure management, and prevention of complications. Medical management focuses on establishing the cause or etiology of AIS, prevention of treatment-related complications, and evaluation of secondary prevention strategies. There is considerable evidence that dedicated stroke teams, units, and coordinated care improve clinical outcomes in the acute care phase.

**Clinical Pathways and Stroke Order Sets**
Specific order sets (standing orders) that address issues such as control of blood glucose, parameters to treat fever, and consultations with other multidisciplinary team members should be developed. The Brain Attack Coalition recommends succinct, organized stroke care in its recommendations for the development of primary stroke centers.

**Specific Care in the Acute Phase: Immediate Medical and Nursing Management**
A key element of the management of patients with acute stroke is to prevent deterioration and medical complications,
such as respiratory problems associated with smoking or pneumonia, hypertension, hyperglycemia, dehydration, malnourishment, fever, coronary artery disease, cerebral edema, infection, and thromboembolism (deep vein thrombosis [DVT] or pulmonary embolism). These all worsen overall patient outcome.13,82,122–131

To provide high-quality care, nurses must coordinate the activities of the multidisciplinary team. Clinical pathways or physician standing orders can guide the team in managing stroke patients and are useful for coordinating diagnostic tests and appropriate therapies and care issues. Clinical pathways improve coordination of acute stroke care and discharge planning, decrease hospital costs, decrease readmission rates, reduce length of hospital stay, and enhance usefulness of outcome measurement and quality improvement.114,132,133

Examples of professional resources including clinical pathways, guidelines, and standing orders can be found on the AHA Web site (http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=3047992) and the Brain Attack Coalition Web site (http://www.stroke-site.org).

Intensive Management

Experts estimate up to 30% of all stroke patients will deteriorate in the first 24 hours.13,129 This statistic supports the need for intensive monitoring by nurses specifically trained in acute stroke care. Patients who receive thrombolytic therapy should also be monitored closely for at least 24 hours after treatment. Care may be provided in a designated intensive care unit or a stroke unit with continuous cardiac telemetry. In either area, nurses are trained in the care of patients after thrombolysis, are aware of bleeding complications, are trained in the use of appropriate neurological assessment tools, and are adept at recognizing the signs of increasing ICP often related to large stroke lesions.86 The nurse-patient ratio is 1:2 for the first 24 hours; then, if the patient’s condition is stable, the ratio is 1:4 as appropriate.53,134

Bleeding assessment after administration of rtPA is the responsibility of the clinical nurse, who monitors the patient for major and minor bleeding complications in the first 24 to 36 hours after administration of rtPA.84,135 ICH is the major bleeding complication associated with thrombolytic therapy.83,135 In the NINDS trials, 6.4% of treated patients had symptomatic ICH, which is defined as “any CT-documented hemorrhage that was temporally related to deterioration in the patient’s clinical condition in the judgment of the clinical investigator” within 36 hours of treatment.135 Studies have shown that there is a natural rate of hemorrhagic transformation in ischemic stroke, and some studies suggest that petechial hemorrhages are frequently found in almost all cerebral infarcts.135–138 The use of thrombolytics increases the risk of serious hemorrhagic transformation. The nurse must identify which patients are at higher risk of ICH. One study has shown that only 3% of patients with an NIHSS score of <10 who were treated with rtPA had symptomatic ICH compared with 17% of those with an NIHSS score >20.135 Other studies have shown that hemorrhagic transformations are more frequent when there has been a deviation from the national guidelines treatment protocol.139–142 Age >80 years was determined to be an independent factor in development of hemorrhage after administration of rtPA.75,143 Hemorrhagic transformation should be suspected if there is a change in level of consciousness, elevation of blood pressure, deterioration in motor examination, onset of new headache, or nausea and vomiting. If hemorrhage is suspected, the rtPA infusion should be discontinued immediately.84 Management of ICH includes immediate physician notification and attainment of rapid brain imaging and laboratory work, including prothrombin time/international normalized ratio, activated partial thromboplastin time, fibrinogen level, complete blood count with platelets, and, if not already done, type and cross-match. The nursing staff must be prepared to administer 6 to 8 U of cryoprecipitate containing factor VIII and 6 to 8 U of platelets.83,84 The physician will decide on further action in collaboration with other team members, such as the consulting neurosurgeon. Facilities that treat patients with thrombolysis should have a hemorrhage algorithm (Table 12) and clinical guidelines to expedite assessment and management of a new ICH. Other major bleeding complications observed after thrombolytic therapy are retroperitoneal, genitourinary, and gastrointestinal hemorrhages. Minor bleeding complications are common, such as oozing from gums and venipuncture sites, as well as hematuria and hemoptysis.84 Assessment of the patient’s skin may identify hematomas or areas of ecchymosis or purpura. If the patient has antecedent venous access, automatic blood pressure cuffs should be used with caution to prevent formation of a hematoma in the patient’s arm. The cuff site should be checked frequently, rotated, and repositioned every 2 hours. If petechiae are noticed under the automatic blood pressure cuff, use of the cuff should be discontinued. To prevent trauma during oral care, soft sponges should be used instead of toothbrushes in the first 24 hours. Invasive procedures such as arterial punctures or insertion of catheters or nasogastric tubes should also be avoided in the first 24 hours after treatment.84

Neurological Stroke Assessment, Including the NIHSS

Intensive monitoring of stroke patients includes frequent monitoring of neurological assessment, including blood pressure, heart rate, and respirations. In patients treated with thrombolysis, blood pressure should be assessed at 15-minute intervals for 2 hours, every 30 minutes for the next 6 hours, and then once per hour until 24 hours after initiation of thrombolytic therapy. A complete bedside NIHSS assessment may be performed on admission to the intensive care unit, and an abbreviated version can be performed with more frequent assessments (Table 9).86 A complete NIHSS scale should be done if there is evidence of neurological decline or an increase in the abbreviated score.55,84

The NIHSS provides valuable prognostic information and has been correlated with infarct volume.13,86,144 Patients with an NIHSS score of <10 have a much more favorable outcome at 1 year than patients with an NIHSS score of >20.144 The nurse can use the NIHSS to identify patients who are at higher risk for ICH after thrombolytic treatment. In the NINDS rtPA trial, patients with an NIHSS score of >22 had a 17% risk of ICH, whereas those with an NIHSS score of <10 had only a...
Ongoing Blood Pressure Management

Blood pressure is a critical vital sign in the AIS patient. It is not uncommon to see variations in blood pressure after AIS.96 Blood pressure is elevated in ≈40% to 80% of all AIS patients,96 especially in the first 24 to 48 hours after stroke, and will fall to 10 to 14 days after the acute phase.147 Elevated blood pressure may increase cerebral perfusion in the ischemic zone, where autoregulation is lost and perfusion is pressure dependent.148 The current guidelines recommend maintaining blood pressure at <180/105 mm Hg for 24 hours in patients who have received thrombolytic therapy.13 It is recommended that antihypertensive treatment be initiated for nonthrombolytic candidates only if systolic blood pressure is >220 mm Hg or diastolic pressure is >110 mm Hg.13

Blood pressure should be monitored and assessed continually for causative factors of rises. Elevated blood pressure may be due to a physiological response to hypoxia, increasing ICP, hemorrhagic transformation, full bladder, pain, nausea, a loud environment, or preexisting hypertension.13,149,150 The ASA blood pressure guidelines may be included in standing physician orders to expedite treatment of elevated blood pressure in the phase that immediately follows thrombolytic therapy.13 At present, the optimum blood pressure in the immediate poststroke period is unclear and controversial, and further scientific evidence is needed.151,152 There is evidence, however, that rapid lowering of blood pressure may induce worsening of neurological symptoms by inducing lowered perfusion pressures to the area of ischemia.149,153,154

Arterial hypotension is rare in the AIS patient but may be associated with volume depletion or decreased cardiac output related to arrhythmias or myocardial ischemia. Patients with hypotension require evaluation with advanced neurological nursing assessment and telemetry monitoring. Treatment consists of volume replacement with normal saline and correction of arrhythmias.

Temperature Management

Fever appears to exacerbate the ischemic injury to neurons and is associated with increased morbidity and mortality,
particularly in acute stroke. Data from additional meta-analyses found a correlation between temperature elevation and cerebral infarct volume. Even an increase of 1°F is a predictor of poorer patient outcome and is an independent factor in short- and long-term mortality rates. The rationale for this additional injury may be related to increased metabolic demands and free radical production. Immediate treatment of the source of the fever will reduce its duration. One approach to maintaining normothermia is to treat temperature elevation with indwelling catheter temperature control systems or surface cooling systems.

Continuous Cardiac Monitoring
Cardiac monitoring is recommended for all ischemic stroke patients. Studies suggest that insular lesions can lead to cardiac arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. Arrhythmias such as ventricular ectopy, tachycardia, and heart blocks have been associated with AIS. Right hemispheric infarcts have been associated with a higher incidence of arrhythmias, possibly due to sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous system dysfunction. Atrial fibrillation, often paroxysmal, is commonly first detected only after it has caused cardioembolic stroke. If cardiac output is compromised, arrhythmias may further aggravate an already compromised cerebral blood flow. If not completed as part of the initial ED workup, a 12-lead ECG can be completed on admission. Many stroke patients have underlying cardiac problems and are at risk for an acute myocardial infarction during the acute stages of stroke. Patients may also need a cardiac evaluation by a cardiologist during the acute stages of stroke. If telemetry is unavailable, a Holter monitor can be used to check for arrhythmias.

Assessment of Oxygenation
Monitoring of oxygen saturation will reduce the risk of neurological deterioration related to hypoxemia. Supplemental oxygen at 2 to 4 L/min is recommended for an oxygen saturation of <92%. Many factors compromise adequate oxygenation, for example, decreased level of consciousness, aspiration, and atelectasis. Vigilant assessment of the patient’s lungs sounds and ability to swallow will keep the nurse aware of pending threats to adequate oxygenation. If an oxygen saturation of 92% cannot be maintained, arterial blood gases and a chest radiograph are recommended. In the absence of hypoxemia, supplemental oxygen is not recommended.

Angioedema
Large prospective cohort studies have shown that orolingual angioedema occurs in a small proportion (1% to 2%) of patients with AIS or acute myocardial ischemia treated with rtPA. This was more commonly seen in patients with frontal cortex and insular ischemia, in patients who had received alteplase and were concurrently taking angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors. In most cases, symptoms were mild and transient. The pharmacological insert that accompanies rtPA addresses this and recommends that patients be monitored during infusion and for several hours afterward for signs of allergic reaction that exhibits as orolingual angioedema (http://www.gene.com/gene/products/information/cardiovascular/activase/insert.jsp). Treatment includes immediate discontinuation of rtPA and administration of antihistamines, intravenous corticosteroids, or epinephrine. It is important for emergency and intensive care unit nurses to evaluate patients closely for throat or mouth edema and look for any difficulty in breathing due to angioedema.

Blood Glucose Monitoring: Hyperglycemia
Hyperglycemia in critically ill patients has long been associated with complications. Infarct expansion, hemorrhagic conversion, and poor clinical outcomes have been reported in the AIS population. Even the benefit of recanalization after use of thrombolytics may be reduced. Increased blood glucose provides additional substrate for anaerobic metabolism, which promotes lactic acidosis and free radical production. Elevated serum glucose is common in the acute phase of stroke and may be related to uncontrolled or undetected diabetes mellitus or stress-induced hyperglycemia associated with cortisol and norepinephrine release at the time of insult. In 1 study, elevated glucose was present in two thirds of AIS patients. Treatment with insulin confers a protective effect in critically ill patients. The ASA 2007 “Guidelines for the Early Management of Adults With Ischemic Stroke” recommend the use of rapid-acting insulin for a blood glucose level >140 mg/dL.

Several studies have shown that elevated blood glucose is an independent factor in poor functional outcomes, increased infarct size, increased length of stay (7 versus 6 days), increased mortality at 30 days, and increased cost ($6611 versus $5262). Not only did patients have poorer outcomes associated with hyperglycemia, but in an analysis of the NINDS rtPA trial, it was found that the risk of hemorrhagic transformation increased by 75% per 100 mg/dL of blood glucose. Poorer outcomes were also seen in patients with a glucose level >140 mg/dL after administration of rtPA. There is speculation that elevated glucose levels may prevent early reperfusion and eliminate the benefit of rtPA.

Several nonrandomized studies have suggested improved outcome if there is an acute reversal of hyperglycemia. The Glucose Insulin in Stroke Trial (GIST), a multicenter, randomized trial, recruited 933 patients and evaluated the use of variable-dose glucose and potassium insulin (GKI) versus saline infusions in stroke patients with glucose levels of 6.0 to 17.0 mmol/L (≈300 mg/dL and defined as mild to moderate hyperglycemia). The purpose of the GKI infusion was to maintain glucose at 4 to 7 mmol/L (euglycemic); there was no glucose intervention in the control group after stroke. Most patients were entered in the study within 24 hours after onset of stroke symptoms. The primary outcome was death at 90 days. The study was stopped early owing to low recruitment. Based on the intention-to-treat data, there was no significant reduction in morbidity or mortality at 90 days in the intervention group (GKI versus control: odds ratio 1.14, 95% confidence interval 0.86 to 1.52, P=0.37). Plasma glucose
concentrations and blood pressure were significantly reduced in the GKI group. The investigators’ interpretation of this study was that although the intervention group had lower glucose and blood pressure levels, there was not a significant clinical benefit. The study was underpowered, and an alternative result could not be excluded. More randomized clinical trials are needed to understand the optimal level of blood glucose and best treatment modalities to produce the best clinical outcomes in stroke patients.

The nurse should monitor the blood glucose level based on the patient’s glucose level at admission. If blood glucose is >140 mg/dL and the patient has received thrombolytic therapy, it may be prudent to monitor glucose every 1 to 2 hours, because there is evidence that these patients are more prone to ICH. Treatment for hyperglycemia may be instituted, depending on individual hospital insulin or oral hypoglycemic treatment protocols. In patients who have not received thrombolysis, glucose may be monitored every 6 hours in the first 24 to 48 hours and continued if the patient is known to have diabetes. It is also important to evaluate the need for diabetic education whether or not the patient is a known or newly diagnosed diabetic.

Hypoglycemia
Hypoglycemia can uncommonly cause focal neurological deficit and mimic stroke. If present, it should be corrected promptly with administration of 1 ampule of 50% dextrose.

Cerebral Edema After Stroke
Cerebral edema is a common complication of large multilobar infarctions. It usually peaks 3 to 5 days after AIS and is not a significant problem in the first 24 hours except in patients with large cerebellar infarcts or in younger stroke patients. Young people usually do not have significant cerebral atrophy, thereby allowing no room for swelling. ICP increases as a result of cerebral edema, and monitoring for increased ICP should be part of the ongoing assessment of AIS patients. When invasive ICP monitoring is not available, the nurse must rely on the less accurate clinical signs of increasing pressure, which can include change in level of consciousness, worsening neurological deficits, new pupillary changes, or changes in respiratory patterns. Changes in level of consciousness are an early sign of increasing ICP, whereas pupillary changes are a late sign. Hydrocephalus may also develop as a result of obstruction of the cerebral spinal fluid pathways. Imaging studies will identify mass effect, which includes frontal horn compression, or shift of the septum pellucidum or the pineal gland. These signs demonstrate that the patient is at risk of clinically worsening and increasing pressure that can lead to brain herniation. The treatment plan goals should be to (1) reduce ICP, (2) maintain cerebral perfusion pressure to prevent worsening cerebral ischemia, and (3) prevent secondary brain injury (Table 13).

Hypotonic fluids containing excess free water should be avoided in patients who have or are at risk for cerebral edema. The nurse must evaluate the patient for hypoxia, hypercarbia, or hypothermia that may lead to elevated ICP. The head of the bed should be elevated 20° to 30°, the neck should be in a neutral position to facilitate venous drainage, and the airway should be assessed for patency. As ICP increases, the patient’s blood pressure may rise to maintain adequate cerebral perfusion pressure. The use of an aggressive antihypertensive agent with venodilating effects, such as nitroprusside, should be avoided because it can cause cerebral venodilation and can lead to a more elevated ICP. For cerebellar infarcts and hemorrhages in which hydrocephalus and a generalized increase in ICP are an issue, an ICP catheter is usually not inserted. For large hemispheric infarcts and hemorrhages, herniation rather than generalized increased ICP is the main concern, and ICP monitoring is generally not helpful. ICP treatment may include modest hyperventilation to decrease Pco₂ by 5 to 10 mm Hg to produce enough vasoconstriction to temporarily lower ICP. However, hyperventilation is only a temporary measure, and brain perfusion may be compromised as vasoconstriction occurs. Frequent neurological assessments must be done to look for potential changes in brain perfusion. On the basis of studies conducted primarily among the head injury patient population, it has become clear that some nursing care activities increase ICP transiently in some patients; however, it is not possible to identify any given activity that is uniformly detrimental to patients with increased ICP. Therefore, nurses need to evaluate each patient’s physiological response to routine care.

Osmotic diuretics such as furosemide or mannitol can be used to treat cerebral edema. Intravenous mannitol (0.25 to 0.50 g/kg) administered over 20 minutes can be given every 6 hours. Serum and urine osmolality should be monitored if mannitol is used; however, a Cochrane systematic review found no evidence that routine use of mannitol reduced cerebral edema or improved stroke outcome in AIS. Lasix 40 mg can be used as adjunctive therapy but should not be used long-term. Barbiturates can be used for severe cerebral edema. Continuous electroencephalographic monitoring

Table 13. Nursing Alert: Recognizing Increased ICP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signs and symptoms of increasing ICP—a medical emergency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early signs: decreased level of consciousness, deterioration in motor function, headache, visual disturbances, changes in blood pressure or heart rate, changes in respiratory pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late signs: pupillary abnormalities, more persistent changes in vital signs, changes in respiratory pattern with changes in arterial blood gases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention: thorough neurological assessment, notify physician immediately, emergency brain imaging, maintain ABCs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General measures to prevent elevation of ICP

HOB up 30° or as physician specifies; reverse Trendelenburg position may be used if blood pressure is stable. Head position may be one of the single most important nursing modalities for controlling increased ICP.

Good head and body alignment: prevents increased intrathoracic pressure and allows venous drainage.

Pain management: provide good pain control on a consistent basis

Keep patient normothermic

HOB indicates head of bed.
should be performed if barbiturates are administered. Hypothermia can also be used to treat elevated ICP. Unfortunately, all of these modalities are short-lived and palliative at best. The recommendations for reducing cerebral edema need further study with regard to AIS. Currently, there is no clinical evidence that the measures discussed reduce cerebral edema or improve outcome in patients with ischemic brain swelling. If hydrocephalus is present, fluid drainage through an intraventricular catheter can rapidly reduce ICP. Surgical decompression (hemisecranectomy) is the most definitive and invasive treatment of massive cerebral edema. Large cerebellar infarctions and hemorrhages that cause direct cerebellar compression of the brain stem are best treated with surgical decompression. Surgical evacuation may be done in patients with large hemispheric infarcts, but survivors have severe residual neurological deficits. Subsequent to a 2002 Cochrane review that found some evidence for benefit from surgical decompression, larger nonrandomized prospective trials have shown a reduction in the mortality rate of patients who have hemicraniectomy of large lesions involving the middle cerebral artery territory. A recent pooled analysis of 3 randomized, controlled clinical trials (DEcompressive Cranietomy In MALignant middle cerebral artery infarcts [DECIMAL], DEcompressive Surgery for the Treatment of malignant INfarction of the middle cerebral artery [DESTINY], and Hemicraniectomy After Middle cerebral artery infarction with Life-threatening Edema Trial [HAMLET]) in patients with malignant middle cerebral infarct showed that decompressive surgery performed within 48 hours of stroke onset reduced mortality and yielded more favorable outcomes.

Seizures
Seizures are a possible complication of large cortical strokes and can be potentially life-threatening if not controlled. They can occur at the time of AIS, during the first few days after the event, or several months later. No study has specifically tested the usefulness of anticonvulsant medications in preventing or controlling seizures after stroke. Drugs proven to be of value in preventing seizures from other causes, however, are recommended for patients who have had more than 1 seizure after stroke. Routine prophylactic administration of anticonvulsant drugs to stroke survivors who have not had seizures should be avoided. A study of 3552 SAH patients in 21 countries found that anticonvulsant drugs were commonly used but were associated with more in-hospital complications and poorer outcomes. No similar studies were found regarding AIS.

If an anticonvulsant drug is required, the nurse must educate the patient and family about the seizure condition, pharmacological management, the medication regimen for treatment of seizures, and the side effects and precautions. The patient must be told to never adjust or take additional medications without consulting the physician. Patients may not have another seizure, but they and their families should be educated that the risk is ongoing.

Recommendations

Class I

1. Stroke neurological assessments should be performed every 4 hours after the hyperacute phase of stroke, and then frequency should be based on the patient’s stability and other comorbid conditions (Class I, Level of Evidence B).

2. Temperatures >99.6°F should be managed aggressively (Class I, Level of Evidence C).

3. Continuous cardiac monitoring of the stroke patient should be provided for at least 24 to 48 hours after stroke to detect potential cardiac problems (Class I, Level of Evidence B).

4. Careful, frequent monitoring and assessment for worsening of neurological deficits or bleeding should be performed up to 24 hours after thrombolytic therapy (Class I, Level of Evidence B).

5. Hyperglycemia should be treated in patients with a serum glucose concentration >140 mg/dL (Class I, Level of Evidence C).

6. Management of arterial hypertension in the acute phase should be approached with caution because of the lack of data available to guide management (Class I, Level of Evidence C).

7. Oxygenation should be evaluated with an oxygen saturation monitor (Class I, Level of Evidence C).

8. To prevent aspiration pneumonia, the patient’s lungs should be auscultated, and the patient should be evaluated for signs of respiratory compromise and dysphagia (Class I, Level of Evidence C). Nurses should report seizure activity, and treatment should begin immediately (Class I, Level of Evidence B). Prophylactic treatment of seizures should not be given.

Class IIa

1. It is reasonable to use clinical pathways, protocols, or preprinted stroke order sets to organize care of the stroke patient (Class IIa, Level of Evidence B).

Diagnostic Testing During the Acute Phase

Overview of Neuroimaging in Stroke
Diagnostic imaging techniques include CT, MRI, magnetic resonance angiography, CT angiography, conventional angiography, and carotid and transcranial ultrasound of the cervical and cephalic arteries. Imaging of extracranial and intracranial cerebral blood vessels may be essential for understanding the mechanism of AIS. The presence of a high-grade stenosis, occlusion, dissection, or vascular anomaly indicates the events that led to the stroke. The nurse will need to educate the patient and family regarding each test and what to expect.

Computed Tomography
Imaging in the hyperacute phase was discussed above. A repeat CT or MRI brain scan should be done 24 hours after the initial stroke event in patients treated with thrombolysis or in any situation in which the patient is clinically deteriorating to assess hemorrhagic transformation and infarct progression. The CT scan is still considered the gold standard in AIS.
treatment, although recent technology has led to more sophisticated multimodal approaches in stroke imaging with CT evaluation. CT perfusion and CT angiography provide a map of cerebral blood volume, cerebral blood flow, and mean transit time. These studies identify the ischemic core and pневma regions that can guide the decision for further interventional treatment.

**Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

MRI demonstrates evidence of ischemic injury to the brain earlier than CT for all ischemic stroke subtypes. Magnetic resonance angiography is a useful noninvasive procedure for evaluating extracranial and intracranial vessels. Newer multimodal techniques (eg, diffusion-weighted imaging and perfusion-weighted imaging) have further increased the sensitivity of MRI. The advantage of diffusion-weighted imaging is early identification of the ischemic area within minutes of onset of acute stroke. Perfusion-weighted imaging indicates the hemodynamic status of cerebral blood flow. A diffusion-perfusion mismatch shows an ischemic penumbra change in perfusion without a diffusion abnormality. This is an opportunity to identify irreversible versus reversible cerebral tissue. If there is no mismatch, the hope of salvageable tissue is less. Treatment modalities and time to treatment may change as more is learned about the ischemic penumbra.¹³,206–208

**Ultrasonography**

Carotid duplex scanning is the standard ultrasound test initially used to screen for cervical internal carotid stenosis. Demonstration of stenosis >60% is highly accurate; however, differentiation between severe (95% to 99%) stenosis and 100% occlusion is not completely reliable.

**Cerebral Angiography**

Cerebral angiography is the best tool to accurately evaluate the surface characteristics of a stenosed artery and is considered the “gold standard” for measuring the degree of stenosis of a cervical or cephalic artery. After the procedure, the nurse will perform frequent measurement of vital signs, neurological assessment, femoral and pedal pulse checks, and groin checks. The radiologist should be notified if a groin hematoma or change in velocity of the pedal pulse develops. Postprocedure activity is restricted according to the closure device used and the patient’s status.

**Transesophageal and Transthoracic Echocardiography**

All patients with ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack should undergo a comprehensive assessment of cardiovascular risk to identify those with the highest likelihood of morbidity and mortality due to unrecognized coronary heart disease or the presence of a cardioembolic source of stroke.¹³,209,210 Transesophageal echocardiography is excellent for identifying ventricular sources, such as a dyskinetic ventricular wall segment, whereas transesophageal echocardiography excels at identifying atrial and aortic sources, such as patent foramen ovale or aortic arch atherosclerosis. A transesophageal echocardiogram is sensitive for detecting apical thrombi and atrial septal defects or patent foramen ovale.

Transesophageal echocardiography is the less invasive of the 2 procedures and is the most commonly ordered initial test to evaluate for a cardioembolic source of stroke. During a transesophageal echocardiogram, the nurse must monitor the patient closely and position the patient to decrease risk of aspiration if nausea or vomiting occurs.²¹⁰,²¹¹

**Chest Radiograph**

A chest radiograph is no longer routinely recommended during the ED evaluation of stroke unless an underlying pulmonary or cardiac problem is suspected. Obtaining a chest radiograph may take valuable time that is needed to administer rtPA within the 3-hour window. Therefore, a chest radiograph is not a high priority in the ED but is done during the acute phase of hospitalization.

**Recommendation**

**Class I**

1. All nurses should be familiar with the basic neuroimaging testing for stroke patients so that they can educate and prepare patients and families (Class I, Level of Evidence C).

**General Supportive Care of Stroke: Remembering the Basics**

Medical and nursing management both focus on the prevention of subacute complications of stroke, including malnutrition, aspiration, pneumonia, UTI, bowel or bladder dysfunction, DVT, pulmonary embolism, contractures, joint abnormalities, and skin breakdown. Depression is also common after stroke.²¹² Even in specialized stroke units, up to 63% of patients experience 1 or more complications after acute stroke. The most common complications during the first week in a Norwegian stroke unit were pain, fever, progressing stroke, and UTI; immobilization-related complications, such as pressure ulcers and clinical signs of DVT and pulmonary embolism, had a very low incidence in this modern stroke unit.²¹³ However, many stroke patients are not cared for in specialized units, so it behooves nurses to continue to be vigilant for the following areas of care related to prevention or rapid detection of complications.⁵,⁷,⁵⁷,¹¹⁴–¹²¹,¹³²,²¹⁴–²²³

**Infection**

Pneumonia and UTI are frequently seen in the acute phase after stroke. Fever or a change in level of consciousness should give the nurse a high index of suspicion for infection. Stroke patients frequently present to the hospital with a compromised chest radiograph or a UTI.

Pneumonia is a serious complication occurring in the first 48 to 72 hours after AIS and accounts for approximately 15% to 25% of deaths associated with stroke.¹³,²²⁴ Stroke-associated pneumonia increases length of stay, mortality, and hospital costs.²²⁴ The most common cause of pneumonia is aspiration due to dysphagia.²²⁵ Immobility and atelectasis can also lead to development of pneumonia. The patient’s airway and oxygenation must be monitored closely; some patients may require endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation. Early mobility and good pulmonary care can help prevent pneumonia.²²⁴ Preventive measures in intubated pa-
Bowel and Bladder Care
Constipation is the most common bowel problem. The nurse should assess the patient’s premorbid bowel elimination pattern, bowel sounds, and abdominal distention, if present. The patient should also be evaluated for hydration and impaction. The nurse must request medications if needed or develop a bowel program, which can integrate the use of stool softeners, laxatives, and enemas to prevent constipation early after stroke.

The most common urinary complication is incontinence, which occurs 30% to 60% of the time in the early recovery period. An infant in the frontal lobe or the pons can lead to incontinence. Voiding problems include neurogenic bladder; hyperreflexia with urge incontinence, urgency, and frequency; and urinary retention with or without overflow incontinence. After the indwelling catheter is removed, intermittent catheterization may be necessary to retrain the bladder. Intermittent catheterization should occur every 4 to 6 hours to prevent filling of the bladder beyond 500 mL and to stimulate normal physiological filling and emptying. Intermittent catheterization is recommended if postvoid residual urine volume is >100 mL.

Urinary incontinence can increase the incidence of dermatitis, skin breakdown, UTIs, and perineal thrust. Urinary incontinence also interferes with rehabilitation and is the major factor in patients being discharged to nursing homes. Voiding strategies should be incorporated into the daily plan of care. The nurse must initiate a bladder-training program to decrease the number of incontinent episodes. The patient should be offered a commode, bedpan, or urinal every 2 hours during waking hours and every 4 hours at night. Neurological deficits may complicate the task of going to the bathroom. High fluid intake during the day and decreased fluid intake in the evening should be encouraged.

Mobility and the Musculoskeletal System
Stroke patients may be initially kept on bed rest but should be mobilized when they are hemodynamically stable. Early mobilization reduces risk of atelectasis, pneumonia, DVT, and pulmonary embolism. Complications from immobility account for up to 51% of deaths in the first 30 days after ischemic stroke. Immobility can also lead to contractures, orthopedic complications, atrophy, and nerve pressure palsies. The nurse should monitor the first transfer from bed to an upright position, because some patients may have neurological worsening during movement. Joints on the paralyzed side must be positioned higher than joints proximal to it. The nurse must assess for deformities that may be found on the affected side (eg, shoulder adduction). Subluxation of the affected shoulder is common, and special care should be taken to avoid pulling on the affected arm and shoulder when repositioning or moving the patient. Nursing interventions, including range-of-motion and positioning techniques, can prevent joint contractures and atrophy.

Pulmonary Embolism and DVT
Pulmonary embolism occurs more commonly than is suspected clinically and accounts for a substantial number of deaths after AIS. Wijdicks found a sudden death rate of 50% in patients with pulmonary embolism that occurred between 3 and 120 days after the initial stroke event. In that study, prophylaxis for DVT was noted in only 4 of 30 patients. Pulmonary embolism was associated with DVT diagnosed clinically or at autopsy in 11 patients and in the paralyzed leg. More recently, Indredavik and colleagues found pulmonary embolism in fewer than 2.5% of patients during the first week in a specialized stroke unit. DVT and pulmonary emboli were more likely to occur in the first 3 months after stroke, with an incidence of 2.5% and 1.2%, respectively.

Both ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke patients are at risk for developing DVT, especially as a result of paralysis or impaired mobility. Prevention of DVT is one of the core performance measures for primary stroke center certification, and initiation of prevention has become a quality indicator in several populations. Safe ambulation should be started as soon after stroke as possible. Pneumatic compression devices and compression stockings can be used to prevent pulmonary embolism.

Until recently, anticoagulants such as low-molecular-weight heparinoids and unfractionated heparin were also used. The results of the Prevention of VTE after Acute Ischemic stroke with LMWH enoxaparin (PREVAIL) Trial showed that a 40-mg injection of enoxaparin once daily was more effective than 5000 IU of unfractionated heparin twice a day for prevention of DVT in AIS patients. Stroke patients taking anticoagulants should be assessed for bleeding daily.

Falls
Falls are a common cause of injury in stroke patients, with hip fractures the most prevalent injury. Hip fractures in the first...
7 days after stroke are associated with a poor prognosis and have been recognized as a consequence of hemiplegia since the 1950s. Most fractures occur on the paretic side. Patients with right hemispheric infarcts that cause neglect or inattention have the highest fall risk.

Minimization of fall risk is a global responsibility. Nurses must implement fall-prevention programs and educate other staff and family members about risks and fall precautions. These may include identifying patients at risk, use of alarm systems, use of special equipment (eg, enclosure beds), and placing call buttons and the patient’s belongings near the patient to prevent the patient from reaching for something and risking a fall. Voiding times should be scheduled to prevent falls that occur when a patient tries to go to the bathroom. In some cases, it may be necessary to have a sitter stay with the patient to ensure the patient’s safety.

Skin Care
Stroke patients are at risk for skin breakdown because of loss of sensation and impaired circulation, older age, decreased level of consciousness, and inability to move themselves because of paralysis. Related complications such as incontinence can accelerate skin breakdown. Major pressure areas are the heels, sacrum, and lateral malleoli. Patients should be examined for skin breakdown when repositioned and after sitting. Special care should be taken when moving patients to avoid excessive friction or pressure. Patients should not be left in 1 position for >2 hours. The skin must be kept clean and dry, and special mattresses should be used where indicated. The Braden Scale is commonly used to predict the risk of the development of pressure ulcers (decubitus). Nursing personnel can use this predictive model to design the care of stroke patients with immobility and those who are at risk for the development of skin breakdown.

Detection of Dysphagia and Prevention of Aspiration
Aspiration is frequently a result of dysphagia. In 2001, Galvan found that approximately half of all aspirations due to dysphagia are “silent” and go unrecognized until there is a pulmonary manifestation or complication. Order sets should include a swallow assessment before oral intake, performed by a nurse; evaluation by a speech language pathologist; and institution of NPO status with intravenous normal saline at 75 to 100 mL/h until evaluation by the speech language pathologist. Swallow assessment requires an evidence-based tool. The Massey Bedside Swallowing Screen has been successfully evaluated for interrater reliability and predictive validity.

Optimally, swallow assessment is performed soon after the patient’s arrival in the ED. Until then, the patient should remain on NPO status, which means no ice chips, no oral medications, no water, and no exceptions. The nurse should assess swallowing by direct observation, looking for the presence of choking, coughing, a wet voice, a delay in initiating swallow, uncoordinated chewing or swallowing, extended time eating or drinking, pocketing of food, and loss of food from the mouth. When oral intake is authorized, the nurse should follow the speech language pathologist’s recommendations, which include improving the patient’s ability to concentrate while eating with minimal distractions. These assessments and interventions may make the difference between improved recovery and increased morbidity or even mortality.

Nutritional Compromise
Fifty percent of patients with severe strokes were reported to be malnourished at 2 to 3 weeks after the stroke. Malnutrition was associated with higher complications and poorer functional outcomes. To avoid nutritional compromise, nutritional intervention should occur no later than 3 to 4 days after diagnosis of dysphagia. The Ontario Heart and Stroke Association suggests that early gastrostomy should be considered if it is anticipated that dysphagia will continue beyond 6 weeks; however, dysphagia resolves in at least 87% of stroke patients.

A nutritional assessment of a patient can be performed by taking a diet history, with simple assessments such as body mass index or more complex anthropometric measures such as midarm circumference or triceps skin-fold thickness. In the stroke patient, it can be difficult to obtain a diet history because of communication problems, and anthropometric measures may not be accurate owing to paralysis of the arm. When compromise of the patient’s nutritional health is suspected, serum albumin levels may be checked; this is often cited as an index for long-term maintenance but may not be reflective of nutritional status. Serum albumin falls in acute illness owing to increased catabolism. No specific tool has been developed or tested in acute stroke patients to evaluate nutritional assessments.

A dietitian can provide a more accurate assessment of nutritional health and nutrient needs. Without adequate nutrition, there is a risk of weight loss, impaired immune system, increased weakness, increased length of stay, and mortality. Nutritional assessment should be performed on the stroke unit at the time of admission and throughout the hospital stay. The simplest but most valuable thing the nurse can do to monitor nutrition is to monitor the patient’s weight and weight change over time and monitor the patient’s dietary intake. Stroke may compromise the patient’s ability to self-feed, which can impact self-esteem. A pleasant environment that encompasses patience and encouragement fosters hope in the stroke patient. Use of seasonings, the serving of foods at appropriate temperatures, and augmentation of food presentation may enhance appeal and compensate for the patient’s loss of taste or smell. An interdisciplinary approach to treating dysphagia will result in early detection and early intervention to minimize the impact on the patient’s life, family, and healthcare costs.

Recommendations
Medical complications after stroke are common. The basics of care become critical care in the stroke patient. An overriding concept is patient and family education at all steps of the acute care process.

Class I

1. Infections, such as pneumonia and UTI, should be identified and treated immediately with antibiotics (Class I, Level of Evidence B).
2. Early bowel and bladder care should be instituted to prevent complications such as constipation and urinary retention or infection (Class I, Level of Evidence A). Use of indwelling catheters should be avoided if possible because of the risk of UTI (Class I, Level of Evidence A).

3. Early implementation of anticoagulant therapy or physical compression modalities should be considered for all stroke patients who cannot ambulate at 2 days and who are at risk for DVT or pulmonary embolus (Class I, Level of Evidence A). Early mobility should always be attempted if safe for the patient (Class I, Level of Evidence B).

4. Fall precautions should be initiated, and the stroke patient should be told not to ambulate without assistance (Class I, Level of Evidence B).

5. Frequent turning should be instituted in bedridden patients to prevent skin breakdown (Class I, Level of Evidence A). Use of the Braden Scale in nursing practice can assist in the prediction of stroke patients at high risk of developing pressure ulcers (Class I, Level of Evidence A). Range-of-motion exercises should start in the early phase of acute stroke care once risk has been assessed (Class I, Level of Evidence C).

6. A swallow screen should be performed in the first 24 hours after stroke, preferably by the speech language pathologist (Class I, Level of Evidence B). Nurses should be familiar with bedside swallow assessment if a formal evaluation cannot be done within the specified period. Stroke patients should be kept NPO until the screen has been performed (Class I, Level of Evidence B). Further studies of dysphagia in the setting of acute stroke should be performed.

7. Patients who cannot swallow should have a nasogastric tube placed, or if severity warrants, a percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tube should be placed (Class I, Level of Evidence B). Assessment of proper hydration is included in this recommendation.

Class IIa

1. If an indwelling catheter is required, excellent pericare and prevention of infection modalities should be instituted to prevent complications (Class IIa, Level of Evidence C).

2. The stroke patient can be fed either by intravenous infusion or through nasogastric or percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tubes (Class IIa, Level of Evidence B).

Class IIb

1. Nurses may provide passive range-of-motion exercises between physical therapy visits to help patients maintain joint mobility and prevent complications of immobility (Class IIb, Level of Evidence C).

The Nurse’s Role in Secondary Prevention of Stroke

Nurses historically have played an important role in patient and family education, both at the bedside and in the outpatient setting. Stroke education includes explaining stroke, discussing risk factors (with emphasis placed on those risk factors that are modifiable), and describing secondary prevention measures, including compliance with prescribed medications. The AHA guidelines for secondary prevention of stroke are being revised. Pertinent recommendations from the 2006 guidelines are provided in Table 14. Nurses play a significant role in education and lifestyle modification strategies, and therefore, they must stay current with changing standards and how they translate into practice. Suggestions for reducing blood pressure include adopting the DASH (Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension) diet, engaging in regular aerobic activity, and moderating alcohol intake. Nurses should be familiar with smoking cessation programs and materials and should include this information in the discharge plan of care. Guidelines for smoking cessation for both the clinician and the consumer are available from the Office of the Surgeon General (www.surgeongeneral.gov/tobacco/default.htm) and the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research.

For the stroke patient with atrial fibrillation, the nurse should explain that use of warfarin (to maintain an international normalized ratio of 2 to 3) is recommended for prevention of stroke unless an absolute contraindication to anticoagulation exists. The nurse should also discuss the potential side effect of bleeding, interactions between warfarin and other drugs or certain foods, and the importance of compliance. The patient should be informed that weekly testing is required when warfarin is begun, then monthly testing when the international normalized ratio is stable. Specific educational aids are found in Table 15.

Nurses can educate stroke patients about secondary prevention modalities. Patient education is a standard performance measure in primary stroke center certification (see http://www.jointcommission.org/CertificationPrograms/PrimaryStrokeCenters/stroke_measure_set.htm or http://www.jointcommission.org/CertificationPrograms/PrimaryStrokeCenters/guide_table_contents.htm). Patients should be taught the rationale for administration of an antiplatelet drug and its potential side effects. They should also be taught that antiplatelet therapy is lifelong, not short term.

Discharge Planning

The discharge destination of stroke patients requires comprehensive planning. The NINDS suggests that 30% of stroke survivors will recover almost completely or with minor impairments, and 10% will require care in a skilled nursing facility. The remaining 15% will die soon after stroke onset. Of all survivors, 14% will experience recurrent stroke within 1 year of the primary event. Eventually, 68% to 74% of stroke survivors will require the care of family members in the home. Detailed planning for postacute stroke care will optimize outcomes and reduce risk and may control financial burden.

The average length of hospital stay for a stroke patient in 2006 was 4.9 days, which may not be enough time to learn the true impact of a stroke. Order sets that include stroke team referrals will lead to initiation of early rehabilitation intervention. Such referrals include physiotherapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, nutritional assessment, psychology,
### Table 14. Recommendations for Secondary Stroke Prevention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Class/Level of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Hypertension** | Antihypertensive treatment is recommended for prevention of recurrent stroke and other vascular events in persons who have had an ischemic stroke and are beyond the hyperacute period.  
Because this benefit extends to persons with and without a history of hypertension, this recommendation should be considered for all ischemic stroke and TIA patients.  
An absolute target BP level and reduction are uncertain and should be individualized, but benefit has been associated with an average reduction of <10/5 mm Hg, and normal BP levels have been defined as <120/80 by JNC-7.  
Several lifestyle modifications have been associated with BP reductions and should be included as part of a comprehensive approach antihypertensive therapy.  
Optimal drug regimen remains uncertain; however, available data support the use of diuretics and the combination of diuretics and an ACEI. Choice of specific drugs and targets should be individualized on the basis of reviewed data and consideration, as well as specific patient characteristics (eg, extracranial cerebrovascular occlusive disease, renal impairment, cardiac disease, and DM). | I/A I/B I/C |
| **Diabetes** | More rigorous control of blood pressure and lipids should be considered in patients with diabetes.  
Although all major classes of antihypertensive medications are suitable for the control of BP, most patients will require >1 agent. ACEIs and ARBs are more effective in reducing the progression of renal disease and are recommended as first-choice medications for patients with DM.  
Glucose control is recommended to near-normoglycemic levels among diabetics with ischemic stroke or TIA to reduce microvascular complications.  
The goal for Hb A1c should be ≤7%. | I/B I/A |
| **Cholesterol** | Ischemic stroke or TIA patients with elevated cholesterol, comorbid CAD, or evidence of an atherosclerotic origin should be managed according to NCEP III guidelines, which include lifestyle modification, dietary guidelines, and medication recommendations.  
Statin agents are recommended, and the target goal for cholesterol lowering for those with CHD or symptomatic atherosclerotic disease is an LDL-C of <100 mg/dL and LDL-C <70 mg/dL for very-high-risk persons with multiple risk factors.  
Patients with ischemic stroke or TIA presumed to be due to an atherosclerotic origin but with no preexisting indications for statins (normal cholesterol levels, no comorbid CAD, or no evidence of atherosclerosis) are reasonable to consider for treatment with a statin agent to reduce the risk of vascular events.  
Ischemic stroke or TIA patients with low HDL-C may be considered for treatment with niacin or gemfibrozil. | I/A IIa/B IIb/B |
| **Smoking** | All ischemic stroke or TIA patients who have smoked in the past year should be strongly encouraged not to smoke.  
Avoid environmental smoke.  
Counseling, nicotine products, and oral smoking cessation medications have been found to be effective for smokers. | I/C I/C IIA/B |
| **Alcohol** | Patients with prior ischemic stroke or TIA who are heavy drinkers should eliminate or reduce their consumption of alcohol.  
Light to moderate levels of ≤2 drinks per day for men and 1 drink per day for nonpregnant women may be considered. | I/A IIb/C |
| **Obesity** | Weight reduction may be considered for all overweight ischemic stroke or TIA patients to maintain the goal of a BMI of 18.5 to 24.9 kg/m² and a waist circumference of ≤35 in for women and ≤40 in for men. Clinicians should encourage weight management through an appropriate balance of caloric intake, physical activity, and behavioral counseling. | IIb/C |
| **Physical activity** | For those with ischemic stroke or TIA who are capable of engaging in physical activity, at least 30 min of moderate-intensity physical exercise most days may be considered to reduce risk factors and comorbid conditions that increase the likelihood of recurrence of stroke. | IIb/C |

(Continued)
### Table 14. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Class/Level of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>For those with disability after ischemic stroke, a supervised therapeutic exercise regimen is recommended.</td>
<td>IIB/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommendations for interventional approaches to patients with stroke caused by large-artery atherosclerotic disease</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracranial carotid disease</td>
<td>For patients with recent TIA or ischemic stroke within the past 6 months and ipsilateral severe (70% to 99%) carotid artery stenosis, CEA is recommended by a surgeon with a perioperative morbidity and mortality of &lt;6%.</td>
<td>I/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For patients with recent TIA or ischemic stroke and ipsilateral moderate (50% to 69%) carotid stenosis, CEA is recommended, depending on patient-specific factors such as age, gender, comorbidities, and severity of initial symptoms.</td>
<td>I/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>When degree of stenosis is &lt;50%, there is no indication for CEA.</td>
<td>III/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Among patients with recent TIA or ischemic stroke and ipsilateral severe (70% to 99%) carotid artery stenosis, CEA is recommended by a surgeon with a perioperative morbidity and mortality of &lt;6%.</td>
<td>I/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>When CEA is indicated, surgery within 2 weeks rather than delayed surgery is suggested.</td>
<td>III/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Among patients with symptomatic severe stenosis (&gt;=70%) in whom the stenosis is difficult to access surgically, medical conditions are present that greatly increase the risk for surgery, or when other specific circumstances exist such as radiation-induced stenosis or restenosis after CEA, CAS is not inferior to endarterectomy and may be considered.</td>
<td>IIb/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAS is reasonable when performed by operators with established periprocedural morbidity and mortality rates of 4% to 6%, similar to that observed in trials of CEA and CAS.</td>
<td>III/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Among patients with symptomatic carotid occlusion, EC/IC bypass surgery is not recommended routinely.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracranial vertebrobasilar disease</td>
<td>Endovascular treatment of patients with symptomatic extracranial vertebral stenosis may be considered when patients are having symptoms despite medical therapies (antithrombotics, statins, and other treatments for risk factors).</td>
<td>IIb/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracranial arterial disease</td>
<td>The usefulness of endovascular therapy (angioplasty and/or stent placement) is uncertain for patients with hemodynamically significant intracranial stenoses who have symptoms despite medical therapies (antithrombotics, statins, and other treatments for risk factors) and is considered investigational</td>
<td>IIb/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommendations for patients with cardioembolic stroke types</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation (AF)</td>
<td>For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA with persistent or paroxysmal (intermittent) AF, anticoagulation with adjusted-dose warfarin (target INR, 2.5; range, 2.0–3.0) is recommended.</td>
<td>I/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In patients unable to take oral anticoagulants, aspirin 325 mg/d is recommended.</td>
<td>I/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acute MI and LV thrombus For patients with an ischemic stroke caused by an acute MI in whom LV mural thrombus is identified by echocardiography or another form of cardiac imaging, oral anticoagulation is reasonable, aiming for an INR of 2.0 to 3.0 for at least 3 months and up to 1 y.</td>
<td>IIA/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aspirin should be used concurrently for the ischemic CAD patient during oral anticoagulant therapy in doses up to 162 mg/d, preferably in the enteric-coated form.</td>
<td>I/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA who have dilated cardiomyopathy, either warfarin (INR, 2.0 to 3.0) or antiplatelet therapy may be considered for prevention of recurrent events.</td>
<td>IIb/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvular heart disease, Rheumatic mitral valve disease</td>
<td>For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA who have rheumatic mitral valve disease, whether or not AF is present, long-term warfarin therapy is reasonable, with a target INR of 2.5 (range, 2.0–3.0).</td>
<td>IIA/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antiplaquelet agents should not be routinely added to warfarin in the interest of avoiding additional bleeding risk.</td>
<td>III/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral valve prolapse (MVP)</td>
<td>For ischemic stroke or TIA patients with rheumatic mitral valve disease, whether or not AF is present, who have a recurrent embolism while receiving warfarin, adding aspirin (81 mg/d) may be indicated.</td>
<td>IIa/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC</td>
<td>For patients with MVP who have ischemic stroke or TIA, long-term antiplatelet therapy is reasonable.</td>
<td>IIa/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA and MAC not documented to be calcific, antiplatelet therapy may be considered.</td>
<td>IIb/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Among patients with mitral regurgitation resulting from MAC without AF, antiplatelet or warfarin therapy may be considered.</td>
<td>IIb/C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
and social services. Once assessed by each discipline, the patient’s discharge planning process may begin.

Neurological findings identified during the acute phase and throughout recovery will direct medical management, rehabilitation, and the nursing plan of care. Deficits include altered level of consciousness, confusion, behavioral disturbances, cognitive deficits in higher functions such as memory and ability to learn, motor deficits, disturbance in balance and coordination, somatosensory deficits, disorders of vision, unilateral neglect, speech and language deficits, swallowing disorder (dysphagia), and affective disorder. The rehabilitation medicine team (physiatrist, and speech, physical, and occupational therapists) will evaluate the patient during the acute hospitalization and develop a rehabilitation plan for discharge that will address the neurological deficits and appropriate rehabilitation needs. In addition, the rehabilitation team will give recommendations on the next level of care placement. The nurse caring for the patient must communicate the discharge plan to the patient and family and be the liaison between the patient/family and rehabilitation team and discharge planners. Table 16 identifies key strategies or components that will help nurses to facilitate successful discharge planning and transition to the next level of care.

The goal of discharge planning is to ensure a safe transition between the acute care facility, rehabilitation, outpatient settings, primary care physician, and community while maintaining a continuity of care that will optimize rehabilitation potential and ensure proper secondary prevention as appropriate. Discharge planners (eg, social workers, case managers) will integrate the concerns and expectations of the interdisciplinary team with those of the patient, family, and associated support system(s). Meeting the discharge needs for less obvious deficits (eg, memory problems) may pose as great a challenge as addressing needs related to overt hemiparesis or aphasia. Teaching patients, families, and support systems how to identify and deal with the nuances of stroke will facilitate community reintegration and optimize outcomes. A study from a Cochrane review found that recovering stroke patients placed on a structured care pathway demonstrated significant improvement in functional ability and quality of life. Another study found that improved patient outcomes were highly dependent on support systems, including family support and an organized systems approach to meeting the discharge needs of the patient and family.

What if the stroke victim is too disabled to participate in or benefit from rehabilitation? Hospital staff plays a crucial role in discharge decisions. Continued care options are skilled nursing care to assess for future rehabilitation potential, skilled nursing care alone, palliative care, or hospice care.

In a 2003 report on public health and aging, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention noted that among the Medicare population, postacute care was one of the fastest-growing categories, and stroke had the highest number of post–acute care beneficiaries. Additional findings suggest that after adjustment for stroke severity, organized home health care for this population resulted in overall improved functional outcomes and increased cost-effectiveness compared with other discharge facility options. Reported costs of nursing home care approached $15.2 billion compared with a home healthcare cost of $3.8 billion. These 2 resources should alert discharge planners to the potential for and challenges of an increasing trend to home discharge.

Although outcomes for home care of stroke survivors are positive, home care may be associated with negative health outcomes for caregivers. Therefore, appropriate referrals to community resources, physician follow-up, support groups, governmental agencies, free services, faith-based communities, and research opportunities must be incorporated into discharge planning. However detailed it may be, discharge planning may not address all family questions or prepare family members for future issues. It is, however, the backdrop against which healing continues.

The Advanced Practice Nurse’s Role in Acute Stroke Care

The role of the nurse-practitioner or clinical nurse specialist is vital to the care of AIS patients throughout the continuum.
Implement and coordinate program activities.53

The APN plays an essential role in planning and leading a team to develop clinical tools, organizing team members and mobilization. The hospital had 2306 fewer patient-days and a significantly improved when APNs functioned as outcome managers and collaborated with the multidisciplinary team to care for AIS patients.286 Patients had shorter lengths of stay, lower rates of UTI and skin breakdown, and less time until mobilization. The hospital had 2306 fewer patient-days and a total cost savings of $2 467 328.

Stroke programs have shown that APNs have been key in improving recognition and management of AIS patients.287–290 The use of APNs in the Calgary Stroke Program enhanced patient care and patient satisfaction with continuity of care throughout hospitalization. Improvements in the care process were also noted: CT image time was reduced from 60 to 30 minutes, door-to-needle time in administration of rtPA decreased from 90 to 60 minutes, and consultation was faster.

The APN can integrate education, research, management, leadership, and consultation into the clinical role in making decisions about clinical management, in diagnostic reasoning, and in developing therapeutic interventions for the care of AIS patients. APNs are assuming leadership roles in developing programs such as dysphagia teams,
incontinence teams, and anticoagulation clinics and are actively involved in discharge, palliative care, and secondary prevention clinics.291–299

The Nurse’s Role in Stroke Education in the Community

A landmark study evaluating the knowledge of patients presenting to the ED was first conducted in 1997 and repeated in 1998 and 2003.300 The first study showed that 40% of patients admitted did not know the signs, symptoms, or risk factors of stroke. A need for further public education to increase awareness of stroke warning signs was identified, and all healthcare professionals were encouraged to become involved in community education.300 In 1998, a population-based interview was conducted in the greater Cincinnati, Ohio, area to establish the public’s knowledge of risk factors and warning signs of acute stroke.301 Fifty-seven percent correctly named only 1 of the 5 warning signs of stroke defined by the NINDS, and 68% could identify only 1 stroke risk factor. Only 57% of patients with hypertension said that they were at risk for stroke. Sixty percent of patients <75 years of age correctly identified 1 warning sign of stroke compared with only 47% of patients >75 years of age.301 As a result of organized community education in the greater Cincinnati region, community awareness of stroke warning signs significantly improved over time, but knowledge of risk of stroke based on individual risk factors did not improve.302

Studies have shown that some groups have less knowledge about stroke, delay seeking medical attention, and do not phone 9-1-1. These groups include the elderly, women, those with lower levels of education, and racial/ethnic populations such as blacks and Latinos. Healthcare professionals must educate the public about stroke warning signs and risk factors and actions to take when symptoms occur.303,304

The effect of stroke screening on knowledge and behavioral changes has been studied.305 One screening used the National Stroke Association guidelines. Participants were evaluated before and after screening and 3 months after the event. Knowledge increased from 59% to 94% immediately after the event but at 3 months was only 77%. Only 27% of patients attending the screening had implemented an intervention to decrease stroke risk.305 Similar studies showed that ≈50% of those attending a stroke screening made at least 1 behavioral change to reduce stroke risk and that community education improved the participant’s knowledge of stroke warning signs and symptoms.306–308

The National Stroke Association states that “80% of strokes can be prevented” (http://www.stroke.org/site/PageServer?pagename=PREVENT). This should compel nurses to educate the public about primary and secondary stroke prevention, including stroke awareness and stroke risk factors, and to call 9-1-1 if warning signs of stroke occur. The acronym FAST (Face, Arm, Speech, Time) is being used as a way to educate the public. “The Beauty Shop Project” educated beauticians on the FAST acronym and asked them to educate their clients about acute stroke signs by emphasizing the FAST acronym. After the project, 51% of participants could identify at least 3 stroke warning signs compared with 41% at the beginning of the project. Ninety-three percent recognized the need to call 9-1-1 compared with 85% before the project began (http://www.webmd.com/stroke/news/20070207/hip-hop-to-stroke-awareness).

The Massachusetts Health Promotion Clearinghouse Catalog has a 3-minute video on stroke awareness titled Stroke Heroes Act FAST. Three scenarios educate viewers about recognizing and responding to the signs of stroke using the FAST model (http://www.maclrearinghouse.com/CatalogHDSP.htm). In 2004, the National Stroke Association created “Hip Hop Stroke,” a stroke education program for school-aged children. The interactive program is presented for 1 hour a day for 3 days. Hip-hop music and the character “Brainiac” teach children about lifestyle changes, warning signs of stroke, and the importance of calling 9-1-1 (http://www.stroke.org/site/PageNavigator/HipHopStroke).

Nurses have many avenues through which to educate the public: Churches, schools, and community and professional organizations. The AHA/ASA and National Stroke Association have educational information on their Web sites, as well as materials for stroke education (Table 15). Stroke education can be done through blood pressure, lipid, and glucose screenings; health education forums; and smoking cessation, exercise, and nutritional programs.

The Nurse’s Role in Certification of Primary Stroke Centers

Certification of stroke centers has gained national recognition since publication of the Brain Attack Coalition recommendations in June 200010 amid concern that stroke centers should
require certification by a rigorous examining body. The Joint Commission, with the support of the AHA and ASA, developed a certification program using the ideas proposed in the Brain Attack Coalition paper as the template for the model (http://www.jointcommission.org/CertificationPrograms/PrimaryStrokeCenters/). In 2004, the certification program was rolled out. More than 200 hospitals were certified by the end of 2006.5

Nurses will play an important role in obtaining and maintaining stroke center certification (Table 17), just as they do in the Joint Commission’s general hospital accreditation. Nurses will ensure that hospital personnel are properly trained and knowledgeable about all aspects of stroke care and will interact with the multidisciplinary team throughout the care continuum. Nurses ensure that a patient’s needs are met by all the disciplines involved in care and in many cases help integrate this care so that patients are discharged having received optimum care through best practices. Nurses will work to ensure that all aspects of this complicated disease are managed in a way that will produce positive outcomes (Table 18).

The AHA/ASA patient education toolkit is available to assist nurses and other healthcare professionals in providing patient and family education about stroke. The toolkit can be ordered online through the AHA/ASA Web site (www.strokeassociation.org) or by calling the AHA/ASA directly (1–888-4-STROKE). Information about Joint Commission primary stroke center certification can be found at the Joint Commission Web site (www.jointcommission.org/CertificationPrograms/PrimaryStrokeCenters/).

The Nurse’s Role in Quality Improvement Initiatives
Nurses historically have been at the forefront of inpatient hospital quality improvement programs. This role will continue to expand as nurses institute quality improvement programs for secondary prevention such as Get With the Guidelines Stroke, a patient management tool, or the Coverdale registry, both of which are used to collect data for primary stroke center certification.309,310 Get With the Guidelines Stroke offers evidence-based, best-practice information that can be compared with other hospitals using this quality improvement tool. Information derived from this data collection program can also assist in patient and family education.

In summary, the present scientific statement describes nursing’s vital role in the first 2 phases of stroke, the emergency or hyperacute phase and the acute phase. Using strength of evidence, the recommendations on the organization and integration of care are designed to improve outcomes, decrease lengths of stay, decrease costs, and decrease event recurrence.30

**Table 18. Performance Measures From the Joint Commission Primary Stroke Center Certification Program**

| Stroke-1 | Deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis |
| Stroke-2 | Discharged on antithrombotic therapy |
| Stroke-3 | Patients with atrial fibrillation receiving anticoagulation therapy |
| Stroke-4 | Thrombolytic therapy administered |
| Stroke-5 | Antithrombotic therapy by end of hospital day two |
| Stroke-6 | Discharged on statin medication |
| Stroke-7 | Dysphagia screening |
| Stroke-8 | Stroke education |
| Stroke-9 | Smoking cessation/advice/counseling |
| Stroke-10 | Assessed for rehabilitation |
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In the article by Summers et al, “Comprehensive Overview of Nursing and Interdisciplinary Care of the Acute Ischemic Stroke Patient: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association,” which published ahead of print on May 28, 2009, and appeared in the August 2009 issue of the journal, a correction was needed.

On page 2920, in Table 10, the entry in the first column for “Eligible for thrombolytic therapy, Pretreatment, Systolic >185 mm Hg or diastolic >110 mm Hg,” the titration dose for nicardipine drip in the corresponding second column was incorrectly listed. Therefore, “…titrate up by 0.25 mg/h at…has been changed to, “…titrate up by 2.5 mg/h at…”

This correction has been made to the current online version of the article, which is available at http://stroke.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/40/8/2911.