Brain Ischemic Preconditioning Does Not Require PARP-1
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Background and Purpose—Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 (PARP-1) is involved in ischemic preconditioning of the heart and cultured neurons, but its role in brain ischemic preconditioning is unknown.

Summary of Report—We report that 5-minute bilateral common carotid artery occlusion (BCCAO) in the mouse prompted reduction of infarct volumes triggered 24 hours later by 20-minute middle cerebral artery occlusion (MCAO). Pharmacological PARP-1 inhibition between BCCAO and MCAO did not impair preconditioning. The contents of the PARP-1 substrate NAD, those of its product poly(ADP-ribose), caspase-3 activation, and PARP-1 expression did not change after BCCAO within the preconditioned tissue. PARP-1 KO mice were similarly protected by the 5-minute BCCAO.

Conclusion—Data demonstrate that, at variance with the heart, PARP-1 is dispensable for brain ischemic preconditioning.
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Ischemic preconditioning (IPC) occurs in animals and humans affected by cardiovascular disorders. Understanding the biochemical events activated by IPC is of relevance to stroke therapy. Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 (PARP-1) uses nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) to form poly(ADP-ribose) (PAR) and is a fundamental mediator of experimental stroke injury. Prior work indicates that myocardial IPC worsens infarct in PARP-1 KO mice, and the PARP-1 inhibitor 3-aminobenzamide impairs heart preconditioning in WT animals. Conversely, caspase-3-dependent PARP-1 cleavage underlies IPC in cultured neurons. PARP-1 cleavage also occurs in Zinc-preconditioned cultured neurons, but not in ischemically preconditioned retinas. We sought to determine whether PARP-1 is involved in brain ischemic preconditioning.

Materials and Methods
C57Bl/6 male PARP-1 KO and WT mice (Harlan; Milan, Italy) obtained from homozygous breeding pairs were used. For IPC, we simplified an IPC protocol described by Cho and colleagues. Mice were anesthetized and common carotid arteries occluded (BCCAO) for 5 minutes with microclips. After 24 hours, mice underwent transient middle cerebral artery occlusion (MCAO) as reported. Mice were killed by decapitation and their brains received a neuroprotective dose of 20 mg/kg i.p. at 0, 8, and 24 hours later by 20-minute middle cerebral artery occlusion (MCAO). Pharmacological PARP-1 inhibition between BCCAO and MCAO did not impair preconditioning. The contents of the PARP-1 substrate NAD, those of its product poly(ADP-ribose), caspase-3 activation, and PARP-1 expression did not change after BCCAO within the preconditioned tissue. PARP-1 KO mice were similarly protected by the 5-minute BCCAO.

Next, mice were injected with the PARP-1 inhibitor N-(6-Oxo-5,6-dihydropyridazin-2-yl)-N,N-dimethylacetamide (PJ34) in the time window comprised between BCCAO and MCAO (Figure 1A). PJ34 was chosen because of its potency (IC50 110 nmol/L) and neuroprotective activity. Mice received a neuroprotective dose of 20 mg/kg i.p. at 0, 8, and
16 hours after BCCAO. In preconditioned animals, PJ34 had no effect on infarct volumes (10/11006 1.7 mm3; n=10, Figure 1D and 1E) or areas (Figure 1D and 1F). PJ34 (20 mg/Kg i.p.) was also injected 24, 16, and 8 hours before a 20-minute MCAO in naive mice. PJ34 pretreatment did not affect infarct volumes (25/11006 5 in vehicle- and 23/11006 6 mm3 in PJ34-pretreated mice; n=5/group; not shown), thereby excluding drug carryover during and after MCAO.

Striatal PAR content was not changed after 5 minutes BCCAO or the following 30 minutes (Figure 2A and 2B), 4, 6, 12, and 24 hours of reperfusion (not shown). PAR contents did not increase even after longer BCCAO of 10 and 15 minutes (Figure 2C and 2D). Findings indicate that PARP-1 is not activated by 5- to 15-minute BCCAO. Although PARP-1 activation prompts NAD and ATP consumption,12 striatal NAD contents were not affected by BCCAO reperfusion or

Figure 1. Effect of PJ34 on brain IPC. A, Schematic representation of the different experimental protocols. Ischemic areas (B) and volumes (C) of control and preconditioned (PC, 5 minutes BCCAO/30 minutes MCAO) mice. Ischemic areas (D) and volumes (E) of control, preconditioned (PC, 5-minute BCCAO/20-minute MCAO), and preconditioned plus PJ34 mice. PJ34 was injected at 20 mg/kg i.p. after BCCAO and 8 and 16 hours later. F, Infarct areas in coronal section of control, preconditioned (PC), and preconditioned plus PJ34 mice. ***P<0.001 vs Control. ANOVA plus Tukey post hoc test.

Figure 2. Effects of BCCAO on PAR, PARP-1, and NAD/ATP in the mouse striatum. Effect of 5-minute BCCAO to 30-minute reperfusion (A) or 5- to 15-minute BCCAO and 30-minute reperfusion (REP; C) on striatal PAR. B and D, Densitometric evaluation of PAR content shown in control and preconditioned striatum. Effect of 5-minute BCCAO ±15-minute reperfusion on NAD (E) and ATP (F) striatal content. In A, basal brain PAR contents from a PARP-1 WT and KO are shown. The reduced PAR content in the KO brain is in keeping with evidence that null mice synthesize ADP-ribose polymers.14 The effect of a 60-minute pretreatment with PJ34 20 mg/kg i.p. on NAD content in reperfused mice is shown in E. The effect of 5-minute BCCAO and different times of reperfusion on levels of 17 kDa-Caspase-3, Caspase-3 activity, and PARP-1 cleavage in the striatum are shown in G, I, and L, respectively. H, Densitometric evaluation of 17-kDa caspase-3 in control and preconditioned striatum. L, PARP-1 expression in extracts from control or etoposide-treated (Eto) Jurkat cells (J. Cells) is shown as positive control of PARP-1 cleavage. An experiment representative of 3 or 2 is shown in A, L and C, G, respectively. In E, F, and I, columns represent the mean±SEM of 3 experiments.
by 60-minute pretreatment with 20 mg/kg PJ34 (Figure 2E). BCCAO prompted a drop of striatal ATP content that vanished on 15 minutes reperfusion (Figure 2F). Neither the active fragment of Caspase-3 (Figure 2G and 2H) nor caspase-3 activity (Figure 2I) increased in the preconditioned brain tissue in the time window comprised between BCCAO and MCAO. Accordingly, the 85-kDa PARP-1 fragment, a prototypical caspase-3 proteolytic product, was not present in the preconditioned brain tissue (Figure 2L).

In keeping with prior work, infarct volumes in KO mice were smaller than in WT (19±2 versus 27±3 mm³, respectively; P<0.05, Student t test). Five-minute BCCAO protected KO mice from 20 minutes MCAO (infarct volumes 9±1.6 mm³, n=7, Figure 3A and 3B). The extent of protection by preconditioning in KO animals was similar to that in WT (59 and 63%, respectively).

Discussion

We report that PARP-1 expression and activity are not required for IPC in the mouse brain. Given that the PARP-1 inhibitor 3-aminobenzamide impairs myocardial IPC, data suggest that ischemic tolerance of the heart mechanistically differs from that of brain. Evidence that in PARP-1 KO mice IPC reduces heart ischemic tolerance but increases that of brain corroborates this hypothesis. Caution, however, should be exercised when comparing IPC in different tissues. Our findings indicate that PARP-1 is dispensable for brain ischemic preconditioning, but do not exclude the possibility that it contributes to IPC when activated.

Caspase-3–dependent PARP-1 cleavage underlies IPC of cultured neurons, as confirmed by Lee and colleagues. Caspase-3 activation is also involved in brain IPC of hypertensive rats. The findings that the active fragment of caspase-3, its enzymatic activity or PARP-1 cleavage do not increase in preconditioned mouse brains suggest that caspase-3 activation is not a prerequisite for brain ischemic preconditioning.

Overall, data suggest that PARP-1 plays different roles during IPC or maturation of brain infarct, and furthers our understanding of the molecular signaling responsible for setting the threshold of ischemic tolerance. Given that PARP-1 inhibitors are being investigated in clinical trials, our findings may have important clinical implications.
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