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Abstract—Leukoaraiosis is a common finding in stroke patients and has been strongly associated with risk of incident stroke and dementia. Leukoaraiosis may also be an independent predictor of stroke outcomes. There is increasing evidence from neuroimaging to support the concept that some leukoaraiosis is caused by white matter infarcts, which may be particularly frequent in patients with aggressive small vessel diseases such as cerebral amyloid angiopathy. The relatively similar distribution of leukoaraiosis regardless of the distribution of vascular pathology suggests a conserved vulnerability to white matter injury across various vascular diseases, possibly related to resting patterns of blood flow. More insights into the pathophysiology of leukoaraiosis are sorely needed to reduce the burden of disability associated with this common condition. (Stroke. 2010;41[suppl 1]:S139-S143.)
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Leukoaraiosis, or white matter lesions, are frequently seen on brain neuroimaging in older persons. Despite more than 15 years of extensive study, there remain significant unanswered questions regarding the pathogenesis of these very common lesions, which are strongly associated with cognitive dysfunction.

Clinical Relevance of Leukoaraiosis in Stroke Patients

There is, without question, an association between leukoaraiosis and stroke. Leukoaraiosis is more common and more severe in patients with ischemic stroke or intracerebral hemorrhage, compared with healthy persons. Leukoaraiosis is a feature of the small vessel cerebrovascular pathologies that lead to stroke, including hypertensive arteriopathy, cerebral amyloid angiopathy, and CADASIL (cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy). Most studies looking at the association between leukoaraiosis and stroke are cross-sectional, because few longitudinal studies that include brain imaging are of adequate size and duration to capture enough stroke events for analysis. One important exception is the Framingham Heart Study. Data from this study were recently used to show that the presence of severe leukoaraiosis at baseline more than doubles the future risk of stroke (Table).1 Additionally, those with severe leukoaraiosis had more than double the risk of future all-cause mortality and almost 4 times the risk of subsequent dementia. These important confirmatory data are consistent with risk estimates from other large population-based studies, including the Rotterdam Scan Study2 and the Cardiovascular Health Study,3 and demonstrate the clinical relevance of leukoaraiosis.

However, leukoaraiosis may be more than a marker of increased stroke risk. There is accumulating evidence that leukoaraiosis is a surprisingly strong predictor of stroke outcomes as well. In the population-based Greater Cincinnati Stroke Study, ischemic stroke patients with severe leukoaraiosis had a 90-day modified Rankin scale (mRS) score that was, on average, 0.47 points higher than patients without leukoaraiosis (95% CI, 0.07 to 0.87; P = 0.02).4 Likewise, a single center study from Massachusetts General Hospital showed that mean mRS at 6 months after stroke was 0.8 higher in ischemic stroke patients in the top quartile of leukoaraiosis compared with the bottom quartile (P = 0.01) and that leukoaraiosis was an independent predictor of the odds of a higher mRS after adjustment for potential confounders (P = 0.05).5 These recent studies expand on our prior knowledge by showing that leukoaraiosis affects outcome from cortical ischemic stroke, as well as small vessel stroke, in large samples with multivariable adjustment for other patient characteristics and ischemic stroke volume. One of the potential mechanisms for this association is that patients with leukoaraiosis may be at greater risk for ischemic stroke extension, possibly because leukoaraiosis may be a marker of poor collateral vessel health.6 Other possibilities include that leukoaraiosis may impair brain recovery mechanisms, may be associated with a lack of brain reserve capacity for injury, or may be a marker of risk of new vascular events. An increased frequency of tissue plasminogen activator–associated brain hemorrhage in patients with leukoaraiosis may account for some portion of the excess poor outcomes7 but is unlikely to account for a large portion because few ischemic stroke patients receive thrombolysis. Further studies are needed to distinguish these mechanisms and to determine whether neurorehabilitation strategies need to take leukoaraiosis into account.
Infarction: An Underrecognized Cause of Leukoaraiosis?

The above studies suggest that preventing leukoaraiosis not only may reduce the population health burden from cognitive impairment but also may reduce neurological disability by improving outcomes from ischemic stroke. It is certainly possible that control of vascular risk factors will prevent progression of leukoaraiosis, although more randomized trials are needed to prove this. Much of the variation in leukoaraiosis severity is not accounted for by traditional risk factors, however, and there is a large heritable component to the risk. Therefore, it is highly likely that there are as-yet discovered disease mechanisms that play a role in the pathogenesis of leukoaraiosis. A large body of research has established validated reliable quantitative and semi-quantitative means of measuring leukoaraiosis and has established the clinical relevance of these lesions beyond any doubt; however, fresh insights into pathogenesis have been relatively lacking. The fact that leukoaraiosis may have multiple causes including arterial diseases, such as hypertensive arteriopathy and cerebral amyloid angiopathy, and possibly venous diseases, further complicates investigation of pathogenic mechanisms. Studies to discriminate various mechanisms of leukoaraiosis should be a priority for future research.

Two recent studies have raised the possibility that white matter infarction may be a much more important contributor to leukoaraiosis than recognized previously. In 1 study that included 90 patients with acute lacunar stroke, only 25 of the strokes (28%) evolved into the typical cavitated lesion with CSF-like fluid in the center (Figure 1), with the remainder evolving into what would otherwise appear to be white matter infarction on MRI evolve into T2-hyperintense white matter lesions (A and B, fluid attenuated inversion recovery [FLAIR] MRI at 4 and 65 days after acute infarction in the left internal capsule) rather than chronic cavitated lesions (C and D, FLAIR MRI at 17 days and 7 months after acute infarction in the right corona radiata). This figure was graciously provided by Dr Gillian M. Potter and Dr Joanna M. Wardlaw.

### Table. Association of Extensive Leukoaraiosis With Incident Events in the Framingham Heart Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stroke</th>
<th>Dementia</th>
<th>Death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hazard Ratio 95% CI</td>
<td>Hazard Ratio 95% CI</td>
<td>Hazard Ratio 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive leukoaraiosis</td>
<td>2.28 1.02–5.13</td>
<td>3.97 1.10–14.30</td>
<td>2.27 1.41–3.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from 2229 participants with baseline MRI, mean age 62±9 years, followed prospectively for 5–6 years on average. Extensive leukoaraiosis (n=313) was defined as white matter lesion volume, measured using quantitative methods and expressed as percentage of the total intracranial volume, >1 SD above the age-adjusted mean. Estimates were adjusted for age, gender, and cardiovascular risk factors.
lesions. This surprising finding suggests that the burden of small cerebral infarction is substantially underestimated by studies that define small infarcts on the basis of cavitated lacunar lesions. Furthermore, many studies have investigated the risk factors and consequences of cavitated lacunes and white matter lesions separately; however, this distinction is possibly less relevant now that we recognize that many acute small infarcts do not cavitate. It seems probable that small infarcts, singly or in confluence, may in fact account for some portion of leukoaraiosis. Another study of 78 patients with cerebral amyloid angiopathy found that incidental small asymptomatic infarcts were seen on MRI performed for other indications in 12 patients (15%, Figure 2). Patients with more cerebral microbleeds, indicating greater severity of cerebral amyloid angiopathy, were more likely to have small asymptomatic infarcts, suggesting that small infarcts may be a feature of aggressive small arterial diseases. These 2 studies are small and retrospective and warrant confirmation in larger prospective cohorts. Improved sensitivity of neuroimaging for tiny infarcts may demonstrate that they are much more frequent than currently recognized. In fact, pathological studies have shown that microinfarcts, too small to be seen by conventional neuroimaging, are relatively common in the brains of demented persons.

**Distribution of Leukoaraiosis Does Not Match Distribution of Vascular Pathology**

A puzzling feature of leukoaraiosis, with possible relevance to the pathogenesis of the lesions, is the relatively conserved anatomic distribution across individuals and different vascular diseases. As labeled in countless clinical radiology reports, leukoaraiosis is frequently considered “nonspecific” with regard to the underlying cause, vascular or other. Exceptions are that in CADASIL, there is relative preferential involvement of the external capsule and anterior temporal white matter; and in cerebral amyloid angiopathy, there is relatively more posterior than anterior leukoaraiosis. Even so, in CADASIL and cerebral amyloid angiopathy patients, as well as others, the greatest burden of lesions is in the periventricular white matter around the frontal and occipital horns, with varying amounts of involvement of areas of subcortical white matter. The probability of subcortical white matter involvement varies inversely with the distance from the ventricular margin.

To investigate the relationship between vascular pathology and regional leukoaraiosis, we compared the leukoaraiosis distribution between patients with vascular pathologies that have a near inverse distribution, cerebral amyloid angiopathy, and hypertensive arteriopathy. Cerebral amyloid angiopathy affects the arteries of the leptomeninges and cortex, with little involvement of the penetrating arteries in the white matter and essentially no involvement of the perforating arteries at the base of the brain and brain stem. By contrast, hypertensive arteriopathy most severely affects the penetrating arteries at the base of the brain, with relatively less involvement of the arteries of the cortex. Thus, one might expect striking differences in the distribution of leukoaraiosis between these vastly different vascular pathologies.

To test this hypothesis, we compared probability maps of leukoaraiosis distribution in 32 patients presenting with deep hemispheric intracerebral hemorrhage caused by hypertension, 55 patients with probable or possible cerebral amyloid angiopathy by validated research criteria, and 26 patients with mixed lobar and deep hemorrhages that may have either hypertensive arteriopathy or a combination of hypertensive arteriopathy plus cerebral amyloid angiopathy (not unexpected because both are relatively common vascular pathologies of aging). The overall distribution of leukoaraiosis was much more similar than different across the groups (Figure 3), notwithstanding a greater frequency of brain stem

![Figure 2](http://stroke.ahajournals.org/figs/1403998716513.png)

**Figure 2.** Incidental small acute cerebral infarcts can be detected with surprisingly high frequency in patients with cerebral amyloid angiopathy. Here, small lesions are seen in the periventricular white matter in 2 patients (A through C and D through F) with hyperintensity on diffusion-weighted sequence (A and D), hypointensity on apparent diffusion coefficient sequence (B and E), and hyperintensity on fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) (C and F). Reproduced from Kimberley et al with permission from Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
hyperintensity in the group with presumed hypertensive hemorrhage, expected because vascular amyloid is not found to any significant extent pathologically in the brain stem arteries.

Thus, our quantitative study provides evidence to support the clinical impression that leukoaraiosis distribution is more similar than different across widely different vascular diseases and is thus relatively nonspecific. An interesting question, heretofore little considered, is the reason why the leukoaraiosis distribution is so relatively constant. Our data suggest that there may be a relatively conserved regional vulnerability to ischemia, related either to white matter vulnerability to ischemic injury, or resting blood flow patterns. The periventricular region is the end zone of white matter perfusion and SPECT atlas data confirm that blood flow is lowest there; it is possible that flow-limiting vascular pathology at diverse locations might therefore result in the lowest perfusion of this vulnerable region.13 Furthermore, our data in patients with cerebral amyloid angiopathy show that leukoaraiosis occurs at a distant site (the periventricular white matter) from the site of vascular pathology (predominantly in the cortex or leptomeninges). This implicates a flow-related mechanism for the pathogenesis of leukoaraiosis, rather than blood–brain barrier dysfunction with increased permeability, at least in cerebral amyloid angiopathy.

Conclusions
Leukoaraiosis is a common neuroimaging finding that is associated with stroke outcome, as well as stroke incidence. Although the pathogenesis of leukoaraiosis is probably multifactorial, new evidence suggests that some portion of leukoaraiosis is the consequence of small white matter infarcts in the vulnerable periventricular region in patients with aggressive cerebral small arterial diseases. A challenge to the field is to move beyond measurement of leukoaraiosis and associations with typical vascular risk factors and outcomes, to identification of novel risk factors that shed light on pathogenesis and offer potential therapeutic targets. Although this review has highlighted recent neuroimaging findings with implications for pathogenesis, further insights will likely also come from studies of serum biomarkers, genetics, and vascular and brain pathology.
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