The Safety of Driving a Commercial Motor Vehicle After a Stroke
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**Background and Purpose**—Current guidelines for commercial motor vehicle (CMV) drivers to return to driving after a TIA or stroke were last reviewed in 1988. Updated recommendations are presented based on recent evidence.

**Methods**—A 3-member medical expert panel chosen by the U.S. Department of Transportation’s Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration used findings of systematic literature review up to January 2008 to provide updated recommendations for return to driving for CMV drivers after TIA or stroke.

**Results**—Evidence from the systematic review showed that TIA patients are at increased risk for stroke, and stroke patients are at increased risk for motor vehicle crash. Although no studies provide direct evidence of predictability of crash risk of CMV drivers using outcomes of neuropsychological assessments, there is evidence that certain neuropsychological tests can identify on-road driving performance after stroke.

**Conclusions**—The medical expert panel recommended driving cessation for 1 year after a TIA or stroke, and return to driving a CMV should be allowed only after successful completion of a comprehensive neurological evaluation, neuropsychological assessments, and on-road testing. *(Stroke. 2010;41:2991-2996.)*
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The primary mission of the U.S. Department of Transportation’s Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration is to reduce crashes, injuries, and fatalities involving commercial motor vehicles (CMV), including large trucks and buses. One mechanism to facilitate this effort is to update current standards and guidelines and to develop new medical fitness standards and guidelines for medical examiners who are responsible for certifying drivers as fit for duty.

This report summarizes updated recommendations of a panel of 3 experts in the field of stroke medicine (henceforth termed the medical expert panel) based on an extensive review of current literature.1 Until this time, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration’s physical qualification standards and guidelines for medical examiners to determine fitness to drive after stroke and TIA were last formulated in 1988 and (available at http://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/factsresearch/research-technology/publications/medreports.htm). Other countries have similar guidelines that are used in determining medical fitness to drive, such as the Canadian Medical Association Drivers Guide, 7th edition.

**Materials and Methods**

The Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration asked the panel to address 3 key questions: among individuals who have experienced a TIA, what is the risk of experiencing a future stroke?; are individuals who have experienced a stroke at an increased risk for a motor vehicle crash (ie, crash risk)?; and can neuropsychological testing of individuals who have experienced a stroke predict crash risk?

A comprehensive systematic literature search was commissioned by Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration and conducted by Emergency Care Research Institution (J. T. Reston, M. Noble) and Manila Consulting Group (J. Williams, S. J. Tregear) before being reviewed by the medical expert panel. The systematic search accessed several electronic databases, including MEDLINE, PubMed (PreMEDLINE), EMBASE, PsycINFO, CINAHL, TRIS, and the Cochrane Library (through January 10, 2008). The main search terms used in this analysis are presented in the Appendix (available at http://stroke.ahajournals.org), and the search strategy is summarized in Figure 1. Abstracts of identified studies were retrieved and “gray literature” was manually searched. All documents were screened against a set of general and key question-specific inclusion criteria that were determined a priori. General inclusion criteria were that articles must: (1) have been published in the English language; (2) be a full-length publication (abstracts and letters to the editor were excluded); (3) have included ≥10 subjects per group with enrolled subjects aged 18 years or older; and (4) be the most complete version and the primary reference to avoid double-counting of individuals if the same study was reported in multiple publications.

Other criteria that were specific to the 3 questions included studies that: (1) were limited to individuals with TIA or minor stroke only (no reversible ischemic neurological deficits); (2) determined the risk of stroke associated with TIA or the prevalence of TIA in subjects who had a stroke; (3) included a comparison group of comparable
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At 1 month after TIA, patients had a 65-fold increase in the risk of stroke compared to controls. Compared to controls, the RR effect estimate for the time points of 1, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months.

The data suggest that decreases to 16 times at 6 months, 6 times at 12 months, 3 times at 24 months, and 1.6 times at 36 months. The risk is highest in the first month after TIA and remains relatively high during the first year after an event. Additional studies showed that after a TIA, the initial stroke risk may vary and the presence of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and older age predicted stroke risk at 1 year. Other events may occur soon after TIA, such as hospitalizations for cardiovascular events (2.6%), deaths (2.6%), and recurrent TIA (12.7%).

Summary
There is strong evidence that persons with a history of TIA are at an increased risk for stroke. There is moderate evidence that increase in stroke risk is highest immediately after TIA and decreases as a function of time since the event.
Question 2: Are Individuals Who Have Experienced a Stroke at an Increased Risk for a Motor Vehicle Crash?
In 2 of 3 studies with sample sizes of 51 and 17, respectively, stroke survivors had increased risk for vehicle crashes after adjustment for number of miles driven.21,22 The only study that did not adjust for miles driven did not find an increased risk of vehicle crash.23 An on-road driving study showed that stroke survivors were at increased risk for motor vehicle crashes because of poor driving skills.24 The direct evidence for vehicular crash risk after stroke is limited by the small size of the evidence base and low-to-moderate quality of the studies. Indirect evidence from 2 simulator-based driving studies25,26 are inconsistent and also are limited by absence of direct evidence.

Summary
At present, there is minimally acceptable evidence available to suggest that drivers who have experienced a stroke are at an increased risk for crash because the magnitude of the crash risk was not determined.

Question 3: Can Neuropsychological Testing of Individuals Who Have Experienced a Stroke Predict Crash Risk?
At present, there are no studies that provide direct evidence of the ability of neuropsychological tests to predict crash risk in stroke survivors in general and in CMV drivers in particular. Eleven of the 12 moderate-quality26–37 studies found that ≥1 neuropsychological tests predicted outcome of road tests or off-road evaluations.26–36 These findings could not be combined in a quantitative analysis because no 2 studies used the same array of tests or evaluated the same combination of predictor variables. However, certain tests were found to be significant outcome predictors in multiple studies, such as Figure of Rey,27,28,32,36 Motor-Free Visual Perception Test,26,27,29,33,35 and components of the Stroke Driver Screening Assessment28,31,35,37 (ie, dot cancellation test, the road sign recognition test, and what else is in the square test).

Summary
There is moderate evidence that certain neuropsychological tests can predict the outcome of driving performance measured by a road test or in-clinic driving evaluation. Whether neuropsychological tests can predict actual crash risk, especially in CMV drivers, cannot be determined because there is a lack of evidence from which such conclusions can be drawn.

Recommendations of the Medical Expert Panel
The medical expert panel made 5 recommendations based on review of the current literature and levels of evidence of their findings. The recommendations are presented here and are summarized in Table 2.

Recommendation 1: Single TIA or Minor Stroke and CMV Driver Certification
The medical expert panel recommended that all individuals who have experienced a single TIA or minor stroke should be immediately excluded from driving a CMV. Individuals who have remained free of recurrent TIA or minor stroke for a period of at least 1 year and who are otherwise physically fit may qualify to drive a CMV.
Such individuals must demonstrate that they are able to perform their normal duties by undergoing a thorough evaluation of their physical and mental function by a qualified neurologist or other physician who is well-versed and competent in the diagnosis and treatment of patients with TIA or stroke, and who is knowledgeable about the sequelae of stroke. Individuals with severe disabling stroke needing assistance or supervision in their activities of daily living should be disqualified from driving because of the severity of their impairments.
The certification process should include a mandatory on-road driving evaluation. This takes into consideration the length, width, and weight of the CMV and other challenges to driving, including ability to see objects in the blind angle and the spatial requirements of driving a CMV.

Justification
The risk for stroke recurrence is highest immediately after a TIA or minor stroke. This risk remains relatively high for the first year. Traffic accidents only take a few seconds to occur, even before the symptoms associated with a TIA or minor stroke resolve.

Recommendation 2: Preventive Treatment After a Single TIA or Minor Stroke Event
Individuals who receive immediate (secondary) prophylactic treatment after a TIA are at reduced risk for TIA or stroke recurrence compared to those who do not receive treatment or receive treatment later. However, medical expert panel rec-
Table 2. Recommendations of the Medical Expert Panel Based on the Review and the Current Level of Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Questions</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single TIA or Minor Stroke and CMV Driver Certification</td>
<td>All individuals who have experienced a single TIA or minor stroke are immediately excluded from driving a CMV. Individuals who have remained free of recurrent TIA or minor stroke for a period of at least 1 year and who are otherwise physically qualified may qualify to drive a CMV. Such individuals must demonstrate that they are able to perform their normal duties by undergoing a thorough evaluation of their physical and mental function by a qualified neurologist. Individuals who have experienced severe disabling stroke resulting in their needing assistance or supervision in their activities of daily living are to be disqualified from driving because of the severity of their impairments. The certification process should include an on-road driving evaluation, as is required in 49 CFR §391.31 for new truck drivers. Considering the length, width, and weight of the CMV and other challenges to driving, including ability to see objects in the blind angle and the special spatial requirements of driving a CMV, on-road testing after 1 year cessation because of a TIA or stroke should be mandatory. The annual recertification process should include a thorough neurologic assessment performed by a qualified neurologist. Driving history should also be considered and should include the number of total miles driven, evidence of traffic violations, and crash involvement (at fault or not at fault). Any history of TIA or stroke recurrence should result in permanent disqualification from operating a CMV. The medical expert panel recommended that individuals who experience a seizure after a stroke should not be certified as physically qualified to drive a CMV for at least 1 year, even if they are neurologically intact after stroke. This certification process should include mandatory on-road evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventive Treatment After a Single TIA or Minor Stroke Event</td>
<td>The recommendations for these patients are the same as those stated here.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Recertification</td>
<td>The annual recertification process should include a thorough neurologic assessment performed by a qualified neurologist. Driving history should also be considered and should include the number of total miles driven, evidence of traffic violations, and crash involvement (at fault or not at fault). Any history of TIA or stroke recurrence, history of traffic violation, including reckless driving, speeding, and running traffic lights/signs, driving under the influence of alcohol or any illicit drug, or history of involvement in an “at fault” accident should result in permanent disqualification from operating a CMV. Individuals who experience a seizure after a stroke should not be certified as physically qualified to drive a CMV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropsychological Tests and On-Road Evaluation</td>
<td>Neuropsychological tests (eg, figure of Rey test, the dot cancellation test, road sign recognition test, and square matrix test from the SDSA and the motor-free visual perception test) may provide a reasonable guide as to which person will likely pass a driver evaluation test; on-road evaluation should remain the gold standard for certification.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CMV indicates commercial motor vehicle; SDSA, stroke driver screening assessment.

agulation for atrial fibrillation can significantly reduce the risk of stroke recurrence after a TIA or minor stroke. Although immediate treatment for cerebral ischemia reduces the risk of recurrence by 80%, it does not totally eliminate this risk. Because the risk of recurrence of cerebral ischemia remains relatively high in the first year after the initial cerebral ischemic event, CMV drivers should not be allowed to drive during this time period.

**Recommendation 3: Annual Recertification**

The medical expert panel recommended that individuals who have experienced a TIA or stroke and who have been certified as being physically qualified to drive a CMV (see recommendations 1 to 3) should be recertified on an annual basis. The annual recertification process should include a thorough neurologic assessment performed by a qualified neurologist or other physician who is well-versed and competent in the diagnosis and treatment of patients with TIA or stroke and who is knowledgeable about the sequela of stroke.

Driving history should also be considered and should include the number of total miles driven, evidence of traffic violations, and crash involvement (at fault or not at fault).

Any history of occurrence of seizures consequent to stroke should also be taken into consideration. The medical expert panel recommends that individuals who experience a seizure after a stroke should not be certified as physically qualified to drive a CMV for at least 1 year, even if they are neurologically intact after stroke. This certification process should include mandatory on-road evaluation.

**Justification**

Approximately 5% to 20% of all individuals who have a stroke will have subsequent seizures, but epilepsy (recurrent seizures) will develop in only a small subset of this group. The prospective, multicenter Seizures after Stroke Study Group, which enrolled 1897 patients, found an overall incidence of seizures of 8.9%. Recurrent seizures consistent with the development of epilepsy occurred in 2.5% of the patients during a mean follow-up of 9 months. Seizures were more common in the hemorrhagic than in the ischemic stroke group (10.6% vs 8.6%). Most early-onset seizures occurred during the first 2 days after ischemic stroke. Risk factors for poststroke seizures included cortical location, large lesions involving multiple lobes of the brain, and initial stroke severity. Early-onset seizures after stroke commonly have been simple partial seizures (61%), followed by secondarily generalized seizures (28%). Late-onset seizures were more likely to be secondarily generalized. Status epilepticus occurred in 9% of poststroke seizures and was associated with higher functional disability. Findings in these studies suggest that individuals who experience a stroke-related seizure would have experienced a severe stroke and are usually severely and permanently debilitated. Consequently, such individuals will be unable to operate a CMV. Any history of TIA or stroke recurrence should result in permanent disqualification from operating a CMV.

**Recommendation 4: Neuropsychological Tests and On-Road Evaluation**

The medical expert panel recommended that although neuropsychological tests (eg, Figure of Rey test, Motor-
free Visual Perception Test, the dot cancellation test, road sign recognition test, and square matrix tests from the Stroke Driver Screening Assessment) may provide a reasonable guide as to which person will likely pass a driver evaluation test, the ability of neuropsychological tests to predict likelihood of poststroke crash is unknown, and the on-road driving test remains the gold standard for certification.

Justification
One must confirm not only that the individuals who have experienced a TIA or stroke have the mental and physical ability to operate a CMV but also that such individuals must demonstrate that they are actually able to operate a CMV by passing an on-road evaluation, which remains the closest approximation to natural driving performance. On-road test has been used in many studies as the single criterion of driving ability after stroke.30,31,33–36 In a retrospective study that included 104 stroke survivors, performance in an on-road test was the most important predictor of the decision of being fit or not to drive.32 Although a standardized on-road test is a valid and reliable test of driving ability after stroke,51,52 it does not test the full potential for accident proneness. This is because of the unpredictability of traffic during testing and the experience of the examiner in assessing persons with TIA or minor stroke.

Conclusion
The medical expert panel recommended that: (1) CMV drivers with TIA or minor stroke should not be allowed to drive a CMV in the first year after the cerebral ischemic event because this is the period associated with increased relative risk of recurrence of cerebral ischemia; (2) CMV drivers who have been free of TIA or minor stroke for 1 year should undergo a thorough mental and physical evaluation by a certified neurologist or other physician who is well-versed and competent in the diagnosis and treatment of patients with TIA or stroke, and who is knowledgeable about the sequela of stroke, and must pass a pre-driving assessment including a practical road test and subsequently should have a yearly history of traffic violations assessed before being recertified as safe to drive a CMV; and (3) neuropsychological tests provide a reasonable guide as to which person will likely pass a driver evaluation test; however, the ability to predict the likelihood of a poststroke crash risk is unknown.

Apart from the direct recommendations for determining fitness to drive a CMV after a TIA or stroke, the medical expert panel recommended that the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration should consider funding research investigations that will provide direct evidence of the relationship between TIA or minor stroke and CMV driver crash risk and identify the value of a U.S.-adapted version of the Stroke Driver Screening Assessment in predicting on-road performance of drivers, including CMV drivers, after TIA and minor stroke.
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### Supplemental Material

**Appendix**: Topic specific search terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Controlled vocabulary</th>
<th>Keywords</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct crash risk</td>
<td>Accident, Accidents, Accident prevention, Highway safety</td>
<td>Accident, Citations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motor traffic accident, Safety, Traffic accident</td>
<td>Collisions, Crash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic safety, Transportation accidents</td>
<td>Tickets, Wrecks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving</td>
<td>Automobile driving, Car driving, Driver license</td>
<td>Drive, Drivers, Driving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Driving ability, Driving behavior, Drivers</td>
<td>Highway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicles</td>
<td>Automobiles, Motor vehicle(s)</td>
<td>Bus, Buses, Car, Cars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motor vehicle(s), Lorry, Lorries, Motor, Semi-trailers,</td>
<td>Lorries, Motor,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trucks, Vehicles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropsychological tests</td>
<td>Neuropsychological tests</td>
<td>Aphasia, Assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Battery, Evaluations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neurocog, Neuropsych</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>Cerebrovascular accidents, Stroke</td>
<td>Brain infarcts, Cerebral attack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebral infarcts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebral insults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient Ischemic Attack</td>
<td>Transient Ischemic Attack</td>
<td>Transient Ischemic Attack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TIA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Appendix:** Topic specific search terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Controlled vocabulary</th>
<th>Keywords</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct crash risk</td>
<td>Accident, Accidents, Accident prevention, Highway safety, Motor traffic accident, Safety, Traffic accident, Traffic safety, Transportation accidents</td>
<td>Accident, Citations, Collisions, Crash, Tickets, Wrecks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving</td>
<td>Automobile driving, Car driving, Driver license, Driving ability, Driving behavior, Drivers</td>
<td>Drive, Drivers, Driving, Highway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicles</td>
<td>Automobiles, Motor vehicle(s)</td>
<td>Bus, Buses, Car, Cars, Lorry, Lorries, Motor, Semi-trailers, Trucks, Vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropsychological tests</td>
<td>Neuropsychological tests</td>
<td>Aphasia, Assessments, Battery, Evaluations, Neurocog, Neuropsych, Tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>Cerebrovascular accidents, Stroke</td>
<td>Brain infarcts, Cerebral attack, Cerebral infarcts, Cerebral insults, CVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient Ischemic Attack</td>
<td>Transient Ischemic Attack</td>
<td>Transient Ischemic Attack, TIA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>