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Background and Purpose—Although a lower serum homocysteine concentration is associated with a reduced risk of stroke in epidemiologic studies, randomized, controlled trials have yielded mixed findings regarding the effect of therapeutic homocysteine lowering on stroke prevention. We performed a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials to assess the efficacy of folic acid supplementation in the prevention of stroke.

Methods—Salient trials were identified by formal literature search. Relative risk (RR) with 95% CI was used as a measure of the association between folic acid supplementation and risk of stroke, after pooling data across trials in a fixed-effects model.

Results—The search identified 13 randomized, controlled trials that had enrolled 39,005 participants for folic acid therapy to reduce homocysteine in which stroke was reported as an outcome measure. Across all trials, folic acid supplementation was associated with a trend toward mild benefit that did not reach statistical significance in reducing the risk of stroke (RR = 0.93; 95% CI, 0.85–1.03; P = 0.16). The RR for nonsecondary prevention trials was 0.89 (95% CI, 0.79–0.99; P = 0.03). In stratified analyses, a greater beneficial effect was seen in the trials testing combination therapy of folic acid plus vitamins B6 and B12 (RR = 0.83; 95% CI, 0.71–0.97; P = 0.02) and in the trials that disproportionately enrolled male patients (men:women > 2; RR = 0.84; 95% CI, 0.74–0.94; P = 0.003).

Conclusions—Folic acid supplementation did not demonstrate a major effect in averting stroke. However, potential mild benefits in primary stroke prevention, especially when folate is combined with B vitamins and in male patients, merit further investigation. (Stroke. 2010;41:1205-1212.)

Key Words: homocysteine • folic acid • stroke • prevention • meta-analysis

Severe hyperhomocysteinemia, a feature of inborn errors of methionine metabolism, is associated with atherosclerosis. In experimental studies, homocysteine causes oxidative stress, enhances inflammatory processes, and damages the vascular endothelium. In epidemiologic studies, elevated homocysteine is linked to ischemic heart disease and stroke. A meta-analysis of prospective observational studies showed that a 25% lower homocysteine level was associated with an 11% lower ischemic heart disease risk and a 19% lower stroke risk. Folate and vitamin B12 are important regulators in homocysteine metabolism, and studies have shown an inverse relation between folate intake and homocysteine level.

Folic acid supplementation has also been associated with a reduction in carotid atherosclerosis progression. These observations suggest that folic acid supplementation holds promise as a potential therapy for stroke prevention.

Multiple clinical trials have now been performed investigating folic acid for the prevention of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular outcomes in both primary and secondary prevention populations. At least 2 prior meta-analyses have pooled results of trials and reached contrasting conclusions, with 1 suggesting no benefit on cardiovascular end points and another indicating mild benefit in reducing stroke risk.

Also, these systematic reviews did not analyze effects of sex, even though some cohort studies found that higher folate intake was associated with reduced ischemic stroke risk in men but not in women. Several large trials have been published in the interval since the most recent meta-analysis and offer more evidence on these issues. We therefore undertook an updated meta-analysis.

Materials and Methods
The study was performed in accordance with the recommendations of the Quality of Reporting of Meta-analysis consensus group.

Search Strategy
We searched MEDLINE (via PubMed), the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, and the clinical trial registry maintained at clinicaltrials.gov with the terms “homocysteine,” “folate,” “folic acid,” and “stroke.”
acid,” “vitamin B12,” “cobalamin,” “vitamin B6,” “pyridoxine,” and “multivitamin” crossed with the terms “cardiovascular disease,” “myocardial infarct,” “myocardial ischemia,” “coronary heart disease,” “angina,” “heart attack,” “stroke,” “cerebrovascular disease,” “cerebrovascular attack,” “brain attack,” “brain infarct,” “brain hemorrhage,” and “intracranial hemorrhage.” We restricted our search to human beings and clinical trials from January 1966 to May 2009. There were no language restrictions. We used the same search strategy to search abstracts in all American Heart Association–sponsored meetings from January 2003 to February 2009 with the American Heart Association Abstract Archive tool (http://www.abstractsonline.com/arch/home.aspx?lookupkey=12345). We also reviewed the Introduction and Discussion sections of retrieved trials and of prior meta-analyses to identify additional trials.

Criteria for inclusion of a study were as follows: (1) the study design was a randomized, controlled trial (RCT); (2) the study included a comparison of folic acid supplementation (with or without vitamins B6 and B12) with inactive or very-low-dose control; (3) the intervention duration was at least 6 months; and (4) total participants and the number of stroke events were reported separately for active treatment and control groups. Participants of any age or of either sex were included. Studies were excluded when the control group received another active therapy that the active treatment group did not receive or when the active treatment group received another therapy in addition to folic acid and B vitamin that the control group did not receive. All data from eligible trials were abstracted in duplicate by 2 investigators independently (M.L. and K.S.H.) with a standard protocol. Discrepancies were resolved by discussion with a third investigator (J.L.S.) and by referencing the original report.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed according to the intention-to-treat principle. The Cochrane Collaboration’s Review Manager software package (RevMan 5) was used for the meta-analysis, and R software was used for the meta-regression. Relative risk (RR) with 95% CI was used as a measure of the association between folic acid supplementation and risk of stroke. Heterogeneity was assessed by the probability value of $\chi^2$ statistics and I², which describes the percentage of variability in the effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than chance. Heterogeneity was considered significant when the probability value of $\chi^2$ statistics was $<$0.05. We regarded an I² of $<$40% as minimal, 40% to 74% as modest, and $>$74% as considerable. We planned to pool data across trials according to the fixed-effects model based on Mantel-Haenszel methods if considerable heterogeneity, $P<0.05$, or I² $\geq 75\%$ was not present. We also compared results obtained from a fixed-effects model with a random-effects model to address concerns about the influence of small-study effects on the results of a meta-analysis in which there is evidence of between-study heterogeneity. Publication bias was estimated visually by funnel plots displaying standard error as the measure of sample size and RR as the measure of treatment effect. We also performed a sensitivity analysis to further explore the robustness of our results. To identify any study that might have exerted a disproportionate influence on the summary treatment effect, we removed each individual trial from the meta-analysis 1 at a time. For all analyses, $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

The literature review identified 21 articles for detailed assessment, among which 7 were excluded for lack of data on stroke and 1 because it was derived from the same study population as another report (Figure 1). Our final analysis included 13 RCTs that had enrolled 39,005 individuals. The study design characteristics are presented in Table 1, and the baseline characteristics of the study participants and their homocysteine change at the end of the trial are presented in Table 2. Of the 13 RCTs, 8 reported adequate generation of the allocation sequence and adequate allocation concealment, whereas 10 reported adequate blinding of participants and outcome assessors. Seven RCTs were done in regions without folic acid fortification, 3 in regions with folic acid fortification, and 3 in both fortified and nonfortified regions (ie, partly fortified). All 13 trials included individuals with preexisting conditions: stroke (1 trial), coronary heart disease (5 trials), manifest cardiovascular disease or multiple risk factors for atherosclerosis (2 trials), and end-stage renal disease or advanced chronic kidney disease (4 trials).
and esophageal dysplasia (1 trial).\textsuperscript{34} Cerebrovascular events analyzed were combined nonfatal and fatal strokes in 10 trials; for 1 trial each, data were available only on fatal stroke; nonfatal and fatal ischemic stroke; and the composite of nonfatal and fatal stroke plus transient ischemic attack. Neuroimaging was explicitly mentioned as part of the stroke event ascertainment process in 7 trials.\textsuperscript{17–19,37,39–41}

After all 13 RCTs were pooled, the effect of folic acid supplementation (with or without vitamins B6 and B12) on the occurrence of stroke was RR = 0.93, 95% CI, 0.85 to 1.03; $P = 0.16$ (Figure 2). The total events were 784 among 20 415 participants (3.8%) in the active treatment group and 791 among 18 590 participants (4.3%) in the control group. There was no substantial asymmetrical appearance on the funnel plot (Supplemental Figure I, available online at http://stroke.ahajournals.org). The estimate from a random-effects model (RR = 0.92; 95% CI, 0.82 to 1.03; $P = 0.16$) was similar to the estimate from a fixed-effects model.

Figure 3 shows the pooled RR for stroke, stratified by stroke subtype, history of stroke, folic acid fortification, homocysteine reduction rate, duration of active treatment, daily dose of folic acid, treatment regimen, preexisting conditions, and sex. The RR for nonsecondary-prevention trials was 0.89 (95% CI, 0.79 to 0.99; $P = 0.03$). The RR for trials of folic acid plus vitamins B12 and B6 was 0.83 (95% CI, 0.71 to 0.97; $P = 0.02$), whereas trials of folic acid alone or folic acid plus vitamin B12 did not show any RR change. The RR for male-predominant trials was 0.84 (95% CI, 0.74 to 0.94; $P = 0.003$), but female-predominant trials did not show a substantial RR change. Male-predominant trials also differed from female-predominant trials in having higher baseline homocysteine concentrations (13.8 vs 12.3 \(\mu\)mol/L), greater reductions in homocysteine levels in the active treatment arm (27.5% vs 18.5%), and higher stroke event rates in control arms (4.3% vs 2.3%).

Reductions in stroke events were also found in the subgroup of trials with substantial achieved homocysteine reductions (\(\geq 20\%\)), RR = 0.87; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.98; $P = 0.02$; and in trials with longer treatment duration (\(\geq 3\) years), RR = 0.87; 95% CI, 0.78 to 0.98; $P = 0.02$. However, meta-regression did

### Table 1. Trial Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Allocation Concealment</th>
<th>Blinding</th>
<th>Active Treatment</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Duration, mo</th>
<th>Cerebrovascular Event Definition</th>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>Folic Acid Fortification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mark et al\textsuperscript{34}</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Folic acid 0.8 mg and vitamins B6 and B12</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Fatal stroke</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liem et al\textsuperscript{35}</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Folic acid 5 mg</td>
<td>Usual care</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Fatal and nonfatal stroke</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toole et al\textsuperscript{37}</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Folic acid 2.5 mg and vitamins B6 and B12</td>
<td>Folic acid 0.02 mg and vitamins B6 and B12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Fatal and nonfatal ischemic stroke</td>
<td>USA, Canada, Scotland</td>
<td>Partly (in USA and Canada but not Scotland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrone et al\textsuperscript{38}</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Folic acid 5 or 15 mg and vitamins B6 and B12</td>
<td>Folic acid 1 mg and vitamins B6 and B12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Fatal and nonfatal stroke</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liem et al\textsuperscript{36}</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Folic acid 0.5 mg</td>
<td>Usual care</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Fatal and nonfatal stroke and transient ischemic attack</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonaa et al\textsuperscript{39}</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Folic acid 0.8 mg and vitamin B12 with or without B6</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Fatal and nonfatal stroke</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonn et al\textsuperscript{40}</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Folic acid 2.5 mg and vitamins B6 and B12</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Fatal and nonfatal stroke</td>
<td>Canada, USA, Brazil, western Europe, Slovakia</td>
<td>Partly (in USA and Canada, but not in other countries)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Righetti et al\textsuperscript{41}</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Daily or every other day folic acid 5 mg and vitamins B6 and B12</td>
<td>Vitamins B6 and B12</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Fatal and nonfatal stroke</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoungas et al\textsuperscript{42}</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Folic acid 15 mg</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Fatal and nonfatal stroke</td>
<td>Australia and New Zealand</td>
<td>Partly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamison et al\textsuperscript{19}</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Folic acid 40 mg and vitamins B6 and B12</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Fatal and nonfatal stroke</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert et al\textsuperscript{17}</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Folic acid 2.5 mg and vitamins B6 and B12</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Fatal and nonfatal stroke</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebbing et al\textsuperscript{18}</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Folic acid 0.8 mg and vitamin B12 with or without B6</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Fatal and nonfatal stroke</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collins and Armitage\textsuperscript{43}</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Folic acid 2 mg and vitamin B12</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Fatal and nonfatal stroke</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
not demonstrate a substantial linear relation between degree of homocysteine reduction and stroke rate \((P=0.73)\) or between length of treatment duration and stroke rate \((P=0.77)\; (\text{Figure } 4)\).

**Discussion**

Our meta-analysis including 13 RCTs with >39 000 participants found a trend toward mild benefit of folic acid supplementation on the risk of stroke among persons at high cardiovascular risk but did not reach statistical significance. This result contrasts with the prior meta-analysis conducted in 2007,\(^13\) which showed a positive result for stroke reduction. The main difference is the inclusion in our analysis of 4 large trials, encompassing 21 881 participants, that have been completed since 2007, which generally found neutral effects.

Several subgroup analyses suggested potential benefit in particular settings, but this must be regarded as hypothesis generating, given the multiplicity of analyses performed. Multiple analyses suggested that trials with treatment regimens of greater intensity or duration were of modest benefit, including trials in which active treatment consisted of vitamins B6 and B12 in addition to folic acid, trials with substantial reductions in homocysteine levels in the active arm, and trials with longer treatment duration. However, meta-regression analyses were discordant, failing to show a linear relation between homocysteine lowering or treatment duration and stroke prevention.

---

**Table 2. Demographics and Homocysteine (Hcy) at Baseline and Changes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>Preexisting Condition</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Men, %</th>
<th>Previous Stroke, %</th>
<th>Diabetes Mellitus, %</th>
<th>Baseline Hcy, (\mu)mol/L</th>
<th>Hcy Reduction, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mark et al(^34)</td>
<td>Esophageal dysplasia</td>
<td>3318</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liem et al(^35)</td>
<td>Acute MI</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toole et al(^37)</td>
<td>Ischemic stroke</td>
<td>3680</td>
<td>66.3±10.8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrone et al(^38)</td>
<td>ESRD</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>60.2±15.1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>33.0±20.4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liem et al(^36)</td>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>65.2±9.8</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.1±4.3</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonaa et al(^39)</td>
<td>Acute MI</td>
<td>2815</td>
<td>63.2±11.6</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.1±4.8</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonn et al(^40)</td>
<td>Vascular disease or multiple risk factors for atherosclerosis</td>
<td>5522</td>
<td>68.9±6.9</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12.2±1.6</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Righetti et al(^41)</td>
<td>ESRD</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>64.5±1.8</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34.9±1.4</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoungas et al(^42)</td>
<td>ESRD</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>56±13</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27±13</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamison et al(^19)</td>
<td>ESRD and ACKD</td>
<td>2056</td>
<td>65.8±11.7</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>22.4 (18.7–27.3)</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert et al(^17)</td>
<td>CVD or multiple risk factors for atherosclerosis</td>
<td>5442</td>
<td>62.8±8.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12.3 (9.6–15.5)</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebbing et al(^18)</td>
<td>CHD and/or aortic valve stenosis</td>
<td>2319</td>
<td>61.7±10.1</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.8±4.5</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collins and Armitage(^13)</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>12 064</td>
<td>64±9</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.5±5</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MI indicates myocardial infarction; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; CHD, coronary heart disease; ACKD, advanced chronic kidney disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease; and NR, not reported. Plus-minus values are SD. Values in parentheses are range.

---

**Figure 2.** RR (risk ratio) with 95% CI estimates for stroke (active treatment vs control), by trial and pooled. M-H indicates Mantel-Haenszel methods.
We also found that active treatment showed clinical benefit in trials in which men predominated among enrolled patients. This result is concordant with observational studies that showed that higher folate intake is associated with reduced ischemic stroke risk in male US health professionals and male Finnish smokers but not in female US nurses. Potential explanations for this sex difference are that men have higher stroke event rates, thus increasing study power to detect treatment effects, and that there are sex differences in the severity and treatment responsiveness of hyperhomocysteinemia, both greater in men than in women.

A mild benefit of folic acid supplementation was shown in trials in which stroke was not a qualifying event but not in secondary prevention trials. This observation raises the possibility that homocysteine lowering is beneficial at early stages of vascular disease elaboration but is less effective in the face of established, advanced disease. In a study with participants without diabetes or cardiovascular disease, B
vitamin supplementation significantly reduced the progression of early-stage subclinical atherosclerosis (carotid artery intima/media thickness) but had no effect on the progression of aortic or coronary artery calcification, markers of late-stage atherosclerosis.11

One explanation that has been advanced for neutral results in individual trials of folate therapy is that the spread of fortification of the food supply with folic acid increased the background dietary intake of folate among all enrolled patients, thus diminishing the potential for the active treatment arm to exert a treatment effect. A physiologic study found that low-dose (0.4 mg/d) folic acid treatment, comparable to daily intake and dietary fortification, improved vascular endothelial function but that high-dose (5 mg/d) folic acid treatment provided no additional benefit.44 Whereas plasma 5-methyltetrahydrofolate levels increased proportionately with treatment dose of folic acid, vascular tissue 5-methyltetrahydrofolate showed no further increment with high-dose compared with low-dose folic acid.44 These findings may partly explain the improvement in stroke mortality after folic acid fortification in Canada and the United States.45 Our meta-analysis found a trend toward treatment benefit in trials conducted only or partly in countries without background fortification of the food supply but no evidence of benefit of pharmacologic folate therapy in trials performed wholly in countries with such fortification.

Figure 4. RR of stroke. A, RR of stroke in relation to percentage change in homocysteine concentrations (Mark et al34 and Liem et al35 were excluded because no homocysteine concentrations recorded). B, RR of stroke in relation to active treatment duration (an outlier, Liem et al,35 was excluded).
Some studies reported that homocysteine reduces the concentration of HDL cholesterol in plasma by inhibiting the hepatic synthesis of apolipoprotein A1, the main HDL apo-
lipoprotein.46,47 These studies not only explain the doc-
umented inverse correlation between plasma concentrations of
HDL cholesterol and homocysteine48 but also raise the real
possibility that a homocysteine-induced inhibition of apoli-
ipoprotein A1 synthesis is the mechanism linking homocys-
teine to the development of atherosclerosis. A low concen-
tration of HDL cholesterol has been shown in epidemiologic
studies to be predictive of stroke,49 and treatment that
increases the level of HDL cholesterol in plasma may be
related to regression of atherosclerosis.50 Because most par-
ticipants in our meta-analysis were persons at high cardio-
vascular risk and in whom an intensive lipid profile inter-
vention was likely to be applied, the additional benefit of
homocysteine lowering might be difficult to demonstrate. On
the other hand, a meta-analysis of prospective observational
studies did not adjust for HDL cholesterol levels, and it is
likely to overestimate the association of homocysteine and
cardiovascular disease.7

Some limitations of our study need to be mentioned.
Meta-analysis is retrospective research that can be con-
strained by the comprehensiveness of searches, methodolog-
ic rigor of the included studies, and publication bias. We
tried to maximize study identification and minimize bias by
developing the study protocol a priori, performing a thorough
search of several databases, and using explicit criteria for
study selection, data collection, and data analysis. An addi-
tional limitation of meta-analyses is that they vary with respect
to the characteristics of participants, duration and
intensity of treatment, the type of cerebrovascular event
identified, the expertise of stroke adjudicators, and other
design features. However, formal testing did not identify
any substantial resulting heterogeneity among trial findings.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis of completed RCTs did
not demonstrate a major benefit of folic acid supplementation
in averting stroke. However, potential mild benefits were
observed in primary stroke prevention, especially when folate
was combined with B vitamins and in male patients. Because
folic acid supplementation is an inexpensive, safe, and widely
applicable intervention, further investigation in these settings
is warranted.
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Abstract

Efficacy of Homocysteine-Lowering Therapy With Folic Acid in Stroke Prevention: A Meta-Analysis

Meng Lee, MD; Keun-Sik Hong, MD, PhD; Shen-Chih Chang, PhD; Jeffrey L. Saver, MD

Background and Purpose: We performed a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials to evaluate the efficacy of folic acid supplementation in stroke prevention.

Methods: We performed a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials that evaluated the efficacy of folic acid supplementation in stroke prevention.

Results: In a meta-analysis of 13 randomized controlled trials involving 39,005 patients, folic acid supplementation was associated with a lower risk of stroke (RR = 0.93; 95% CI, 0.85-1.03; P = 0.16). Subgroup analysis showed a significant effect in trials of folic acid and vitamin B6 and B12 supplementation (RR = 0.83; 95% CI, 0.71-0.97; P = 0.02). In trials including more male patients, the effect of folic acid supplementation was also significant (RR = 0.84; 95% CI, 0.74-0.94; P = 0.003).

Conclusion: Folic acid supplementation is associated with a mild reduction in stroke risk, especially in trials involving folic acid and vitamin B6 and B12 supplementation, and in trials including more male patients. Further research is warranted.