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Background—The purpose of this statement is to provide an overview of cerebral venous sinus thrombosis and to provide recommendations for its diagnosis, management, and treatment. The intended audience is physicians and other healthcare providers who are responsible for the diagnosis and management of patients with cerebral venous sinus thrombosis.

Methods and Results—Members of the panel were appointed by the American Heart Association Stroke Council’s Scientific Statement Oversight Committee and represent different areas of expertise. The panel reviewed the relevant literature with an emphasis on reports published since 1966 and used the American Heart Association levels-of-evidence grading algorithm to rate the evidence and to make recommendations. After approval of the statement by the panel, it underwent peer review and approval by the American Heart Association Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee.

Conclusions—Evidence-based recommendations are provided for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of recurrence of cerebral venous thrombosis. Recommendations on the evaluation and management of cerebral venous thrombosis during pregnancy and in the pediatric population are provided. Considerations for the management of clinical complications (seizures, hydrocephalus, intracranial hypertension, and neurological deterioration) are also summarized. An algorithm for diagnosis and management of patients with cerebral venous sinus thrombosis is described. (Stroke. 2011;42:1158-1192.)
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Thrombosis of the dural sinus and/or cerebral veins (CVT) is an uncommon form of stroke, usually affecting young individuals. Despite advances in the recognition of CVT in recent years, diagnosis and management can be difficult because of the diversity of underlying risk factors and the absence of a uniform treatment approach. CVT represents ≈0.5% to 1% of all strokes. Multiple factors have been associated with CVT, but only some of them are reversible. Prior medical conditions (e.g., thrombophilias, inflammatory bowel disease), transient situations (e.g., pregnancy, dehydration, infection), selected medications (e.g., oral contraceptives, substance abuse), and unpredictable events (e.g., head trauma) are some predisposing conditions.

Given the diversity of causes and presenting scenarios, CVT may commonly be encountered not only by neurologists and neurosurgeons but also by emergency physicians, internists, oncologists, hematologists, obstetricians, pediatrics, and family practitioners. Our purpose in the present scientific statement is to review the literature on CVT and to provide recommendations for its diagnosis and management. Writing group members were appointed by the American Heart Association (AHA) Stroke Council’s Scientific Statement Oversight Committee and the Council on Epidemiology and Prevention. The panel included members with several different areas of expertise. The panel reviewed relevant articles on CVT in adults and children using computerized searches of the medical literature through July 2010. These articles were supplemented by other articles known to the authors. The evidence is organized within the context of the AHA framework and is classified according to the joint AHA/American College of Cardiology Foundation and supplementary AHA Stroke Council methods of classifying the level of certainty and the class and level of evidence (Tables 1 and 2). After review by the panel members, the manuscript was reviewed by expert peer reviewers and members of the Stroke Council Leadership Committee and was subsequently approved by the AHA’s Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee.

Although information about the cause and clinical manifestations of CVT is included for the convenience of readers who may be unfamiliar with these topics, the group’s recommendations emphasize issues regarding diagnosis, management, and treatment. The recommendations are based on the current available evidence and were approved by all members of the writing group. Despite major progress in the evaluation and management of this rare condition in recent years, much of the literature remains descriptive. In some areas, evidence is lacking to guide decision making; however, the writing group made an effort to highlight those areas and provide suggestions, with the understanding that some physicians may need more guidance, particularly in making decisions when extensive evidence is not available. Continued research is essential to better understand issues related to the diagnosis and treatment of CVT. Identification of subgroups at higher risk would allow a more careful selection of patients who may benefit from selective interventions or therapies.

**Epidemiology and Risk Factors for CVT**

CVT is an uncommon and frequently unrecognized type of stroke that affects approximately 5 people per million annually and accounts for 0.5% to 1% of all strokes. CVT is more commonly seen in young individuals. According to the largest cohort study (the International Study on Cerebral Venous and Dural Sinuses Thrombosis [ISCVT]), 487 (78%) of 624 cases occurred in patients <50 years of age (Figure 1). Clinical features are diverse, and for this reason, cases should be sought among diverse clinical index conditions. A prior pathological study found a prevalence of CVT of 9.3% among 182 consecutive autopsies. No population studies have reported the incidence of CVT. Very few stroke registries included cases with CVT. This may result in an overestimation of risk associated with the various conditions owing to referral and ascertainment biases. In the Registro Nacional Mexicano de Enfermedad Vascular Cerebral (RENAVENASC), a multihospital prospective Mexican stroke registry, 3% of all stroke cases were CVT. A clinic-based registry in Iran reported an annual CVT incidence of 12.3 per million. In a series of intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) cases in young people, CVT explained 5% of all cases.

**Cause and Pathogenesis: Underlying Risk Factors for CVT**

Predisposing causes of CVT are multiple. The risk factors for venous thrombosis in general are linked classically to the Virchow triad of stasis of the blood, changes in the vessel wall, and changes in the composition of the blood. Risk factors are usually divided into acquired risks (e.g., surgery, trauma, pregnancy, puerperium, antiphospholipid syndrome, cancer, exogenous hormones) and genetic risks (inherited thrombophilia).

Table 3 summarizes the evidence for a cause-and-effect relationship between prothrombotic factors and CVT. Evidence for the strength and consistency of association, biological plausibility, and temporality is summarized. These criteria are most closely met for deficiency of antithrombin III, protein C, and protein S; factor V Leiden positivity; use of oral contraceptives; and hyperhomocysteinemia, among others.

**Prothrombotic Conditions**

The most widely studied risk factors for CVT include prothrombotic conditions. The largest study, the ISCVT, is a multinational, multicenter, prospective observational study with 624 patients. Thirty-four percent of these patients had an inherited or acquired prothrombotic condition. The prevalence of different prothrombotic conditions is summarized in Table 3. Recently, another group in the United States reported that 21% of 182 CVT case subjects in 10 hospitals had a prothrombotic condition.

**Antithrombin III, Protein C, and Protein S Deficiency**

Two studies have analyzed the role of natural anticoagulant protein deficiencies (antithrombin III, protein C, and protein S) as risk factors for CVT. One study compared 121 patients with a first CVT with 242 healthy control subjects. The other study compared 51 patients with CVT with 120 healthy control subjects. Only 1 patient (2%) had antithrombin III deficiency. The combined odds ratio (OR) of CVT when these 2 studies were combined was 11.1 for protein C deficiency (95% confi-
Antiphospholipid and Anticardiolipin Antibodies

The first study mentioned above found a higher prevalence of antiphospholipid antibodies in patients with CVT (9 of 121) than in control subjects (0 of 242).36 In another study from India with 31 CVT patients, anticardiolipin antibodies were detected in 22.6% of CVT patients compared with 3.2% of normal control subjects.12 Similar findings (5.9%) were observed in the ISCVT study.10

Factor V Leiden Gene Mutation and Resistance to Activated Protein C

Resistance to activated protein C is mainly caused by the presence of the factor V Leiden gene mutation, which is a common inherited thrombophilic disorder. A recent meta-analysis of 13 studies, including 469 CVT cases and 3023 control subjects,28 reported a pooled OR of CVT of 3.38 (95% CI 2.27 to 5.05) for factor V Leiden, which is similar to its association with venous thromboembolism (VTE) in general.28

Hyperhomocysteinemia

Hyperhomocysteinemia is a risk factor for deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and stroke but has not been clearly associated with an increased risk of CVT. Five case-control studies evaluated

---

Table 1. Applying Classification of Recommendations and Level of Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESTIMATE OF CERTAINTY (PRECISION) OF TREATMENT EFFECT</th>
<th>SIZE OF TREATMENT EFFECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEVEL A Multiple populations evaluated*</td>
<td>CLASS IA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data derived from multiple randomized clinical trials or meta-analyses</td>
<td>CLASS Iib</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Suggested phrases for writing recommendations:

- should
- may/might be considered
- is recommended
- is reasonable
- may/might be reasonable
- is indicated
- can be useful/effective/beneficial
- is probably recommended
- usefulness/effectiveness is unknown/unclear/uncertain
- may be considered
- is useful/effective/beneficial
- or indicated
- may/might be indicated

---

Data available from clinical trials or registries about the usefulness/efficacy in different subpopulations, such as gender, age, history of diabetes, history of prior myocardial infarction, history of heart failure, and prior aspirin use. A recommendation with Level of Evidence B or C does not imply that the recommendation is weak. Many important clinical questions addressed in the guidelines do not lend themselves to clinical trials. Even though randomized trials are not available, there may be a very clear clinical consensus that a particular test or therapy is useful or effective.

†For recommendations (Class I and Ila; Level of Evidence A and B) only regarding the comparative effectiveness of one treatment with respect to another, these words or phrases may be accompanied by the additional terms “in preference to” or “to choose” to indicate the favored intervention. For example. “Treatment A is recommended in preference to Treatment B for . . . ” or “it is reasonable to choose Treatment A over Treatment B for . . . ” Studies that support the use of comparator verbs should involve direct comparisons of the treatments or strategies being evaluated.
Hyperhomocysteinemia in patients with CVT.\textsuperscript{13,16,17,29,30} Researchers from Milan\textsuperscript{13} reported on 121 patients with a first CVT and 242 control subjects, finding hyperhomocysteinemia in 33 patients (27\%) and 20 control subjects (8\%; OR 4.2, 95\% CI 2.3 to 7.6). Low levels of serum folate and the 677TT methylene-tetrahydrofolate reductase genotype were not associated with CVT risk, independent of homocysteine level.\textsuperscript{13}

A study of 45 patients with CVT and 90 control subjects in Mexico reported an adjusted OR of CVT of 4.6 (95\% CI 1.6 to 12.8) associated with high fasting homocysteine and an OR of 3.5 (95\% CI 1.2 to 10.0) associated with low folate.\textsuperscript{29} A small Italian study of 26 consecutive patients with CVT and 100 healthy control subjects reported that 38.5\% of case subjects and 13\% of control subjects had hyperhomocysteinemia (OR 4.2, 95\% CI 1.6 to 11.2).\textsuperscript{16} No significant differences were found in the prevalence of prothrombin or methylene-tetrahydrofolate reductase mutation. No factor V Leiden mutation was found. Another Italian group\textsuperscript{17} found a strong and significant association of the prothrombin G20210A mutation (30\% versus 2.5\% in patients versus control subjects, respectively, $P=0.001$; OR 16.2, $P=0.002$) and hyperhomocysteinemia (43.3\% versus 10\%, $P=0.002$; OR 6.9, $P=0.002$).

Pregnancy and Puerperium

Pregnancy and the puerperium are common causes of transient prothrombotic states.\textsuperscript{57} Approximately 2\% of pregnancy-associated strokes are attributable to CVT.\textsuperscript{31} The frequency of CVT in the puerperium is estimated at 12 cases per 100 000 deliveries, only slightly lower than puerperal arterial stroke.\textsuperscript{58}

In a study from Mexico, \textasciitilde50\% of CVT occurred during pregnancy or puerperium.\textsuperscript{32} Most pregnancy-related CVT occurs in the third trimester or puerperium. Seven of 8 CVTs among 50 700 admissions for delivery in Canada occurred postpartum.\textsuperscript{53} During pregnancy and for 6 to 8 weeks after birth, women are at increased risk of venous thromboembolic events.\textsuperscript{34} Pregnancy induces several prothrombotic changes in the coagulation system that persist at least during early puerperium. Hypercoagulability worsens after delivery as a result of volume depletion and trauma. During the puerperium, additional risk factors include infection and instrumental delivery or cesarean section. One study reported that the risk of peripartum CVT increased with increasing maternal age, increasing hospital size, and cesarean delivery, as well as in the presence of hypertension, infections, and excessive vomiting in pregnancy.\textsuperscript{35} Recently, it was reported that in pregnant women, hyperhomocysteinemia was associated with increased risk of puerperal CVT (OR 10.8, 95\% CI 4.0 to 29.4) in a study of 60 case subjects and 64 control subjects.\textsuperscript{30}

Oral Contraceptives

A 1998 study compared the prevalence of several risk factors, including use of oral contraceptives, among 40 female patients with CVT, 80 female patients with DVT of the lower extremities, and 120 female control subjects.\textsuperscript{36} Nearly all CVT case subjects were using oral contraceptives (96\%), which conferred 22.1-fold increased odds of CVT (95\% CI 5.9 to 84.2). The OR for women with the prothrombin G20210A mutation who used oral contraceptives was 149.3 (95\% CI 31.0 to 711.0) compared with those with neither characteristic. Stratification for the presence of factor V Leiden or prothrombin mutation and the use
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Prevalence, %*</th>
<th>Consistency†</th>
<th>Strength of Association‡</th>
<th>Biological Plausibility†</th>
<th>Temporality‡</th>
<th>Biological Gradient‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prothrombotic conditions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antithrombin III deficiency</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>Yes2,13</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein C deficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes2,13</td>
<td>11.1 (1.9–66.0)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein S deficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes2,13</td>
<td>12.5 (1.5 to 107.3)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiphospholipid and anticardiolipin antibodies</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Yes2,41†</td>
<td>8.8 (1.3–57.4)†</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance to activated protein C and factor V Leiden</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes16–27</td>
<td>3.4 (2.3 to 5.1)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation G20210A of factor II</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes20</td>
<td>9.3 (5.9 to 14.7)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperhomocysteinemia</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Yes12,17,32,33</td>
<td>4.6 (1.6–12.0)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy and puerperium</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Yes1–2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral contraceptives</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>Yes13,17,23,27,30,36</td>
<td>5.6 (4.0–7.9)†</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drugs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgen, danazol, lithium, vitamin A, IV immunoglobulin, ecstasy</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer related</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Yes3–41</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local compression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercoagulable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antineoplastic drugs (tamoxifen, L-asparaginase)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameningeal infections (ear, sinus, mouth, face, and neck)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes2–42</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical precipitants</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Yes15–47</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complication of epidural blood patch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous intracranial hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar puncture</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other hematologic disorders</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes5–51</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron deficiency anemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephrotic syndrome</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycythemia, thrombocythemia</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic diseases</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Yes5,33</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic lupus erythematosus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behçet disease</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory bowel disease</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid disease</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoidosis</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None identified</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVT indicates cerebral venous thrombosis; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; NA, nonapplicable/nonavailable; and IV, intravenous.

*Prevalence as per Ferro et al.10 Percentages for CVT associated with oral contraceptives or pregnancy/puerperium are reported among 381 women 50 years of age.

†Cause-and-effect relationship determined as follows: (1) Consistency of association: Has the association been repeatedly observed by different investigators (yes/no)? (2) Strength of association: How strong is the effect (relative risk or OR)? (3) Biological plausibility: Does the association make sense, and can it be explained pathophysiological (yes/no)? (4) Temporality: Does exposure precede adverse outcome (yes/no)? (5) Biological gradient: Does a dose-response relationship exist (yes/no)? Evidence of a strong and consistent association, evidence of biological plausibility, a notable risk of recurrent events, and detection of a biological gradient are suggestive of causation rather than association by chance alone. Modified from Grimes and Schulz54 with permission of the publisher. Copyright © 2002, Elsevier.

‡Evidence for the biological gradient is not specific for CVT but for VTE: Anticardiolipins and CVT—based on a case-matched control study (Christopher et al)15; oral contraceptives—from Denti et al;28; cancer—results among 7029 patients with cancer, 20 of whom (0.3%) developed CVT, combined with results from Ferro et al (OR 27.9, 95% CI 16.5 to 47.2)10; hyperhomocysteinemia and CVT—Martelli et al.19 For patients with the prothrombin 20210 mutation, having a heterozygous mutation increases the risk of developing a first venous thrombotic event by approximately 2 to 3 times the background risk (or 2 to 3 in 1000 people each year). Having homozygous prothrombin mutations increases the risk further, but it is not yet well established how much the risk is increased (Varga et al).25
of oral contraceptives showed similar point estimates for the coagulation abnormalities alone and the use of oral contraceptives alone, whereas the presence of both risk factors gave an OR of 30.0 (95% CI 3.4 to 263.0) for factor V Leiden and 79.3 (95% CI 10.0 to 629.4) for the prothrombin mutation. A study in the Netherlands found that of 40 female CVT patients, 85% used oral contraceptives, with an adjusted OR of 13 (95% CI 5 to 37). The combination of oral contraceptives with a prothrombotic condition also dramatically increased the risk of CVT. A study from Brazil showed similar results. In a meta-analysis that included 16 studies, the authors reported an increased risk of CVT in oral contraceptive users (relative risk 15.9, 95% CI 6.98 to 36.2). In another meta-analysis of 17 studies, an increased risk of CVT was found in patients who used oral contraceptives (OR 5.59, 95% CI 3.95 to 7.91; P<0.001). It is clear that the use of oral contraceptives is associated with an increased risk of CVT, that the great majority of younger nonpregnant women with CVT are oral contraceptive users, and that the risk of CVT with oral contraceptive use in women is greater among those with a hereditary prothrombotic factor.

Cancer
In the ISCVT, 7.4% of cases of CVT were associated with cancer. It has been speculated that CVT could be more frequent in cancer patients, particularly in patients with hematologic malignancies; however, there are no studies with a control group. Potential mechanisms for an association of cancer with CVT include direct tumor compression, tumor invasion of cerebral sinuses, or the hypercoagulable state associated with cancer. Chemotherapeutic and hormonal agents used for cancer treatment may also play a role.

Other Uncommon Causes
New neuroimaging procedures have increased the ability to detect CVT in recent years and have also helped to identify other potential causes, including infections, mainly in parameningeal locations (ear, sinus, mouth, face, and neck). These causes only explained 8.2% of all cases in the ISCVT series. In contrast, CVT caused by infection is more common in children. In a recent series of 70 children with CVT in the United States, 40% had infection-related CVT. Conversely, a French study of 62 adults with isolated lateral sinus thrombosis found that only 3 cases were related to parameningeal infections.

Other conditions have been associated with CVT in case reports or small series, including paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria, iron deficiency anemia, thrombocytopenia, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura, nephrotic syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease, systemic lupus erythematosus, Behçet disease, mechanical precipitants, epidural blood patch, spontaneous intracranial hypotension, and lumbar puncture.

Clinical Diagnosis of CVT
Principal Clinical Findings
The diagnosis of CVT is typically based on clinical suspicion and imaging confirmation. Clinical findings in CVT usually fall into 2 major categories, depending on the mechanism of neurological dysfunction: (1) Those that are related to increased intracranial pressure attributable to impaired venous drainage and (2) those related to focal brain injury from venous ischemia/infarction or hemorrhage. In practice, many patients have clinical findings due to both mechanisms, either at presentation or with progression of the underlying disease. Headache, generally indicative of an increase in intracranial pressure, is the most common symptom in CVT and was present in nearly 90% of patients in the ISCVT. Similar headache frequency has been reported in other populations studied. The headache of CVT is typically described as diffuse and often progresses in severity over days to weeks. A minority of patients may present with thunderclap headache, suggestive of subarachnoid hemorrhage, and a migrainous type of headache has been described. Isolated headache without focal neurological findings or papilledema occurs in up to 25% of patients with CVT and presents a significant diagnostic challenge. CVT is an important diagnostic consideration in patients with headache and papilledema or diplopia (caused by sixth nerve palsy) even without other neurological focal signs suggestive of idiopathic intracranial hypertension. When focal brain injury occurs because of venous ischemia or hemorrhage, neurological signs and symptoms referable to the affected region are often present; most common are hemiparesis and aphasia, but other cortical signs and sensory symptoms may occur. Psychosis, in conjunction with focal neurological signs, has also been reported.

Clinical manifestations of CVT may also depend on the location of the thrombosis (Figure 2). The superior sagittal sinus is most commonly involved, which may lead to headache, increased intracranial pressure, and papilledema. A motor deficit, sometimes with seizures, can also occur. Scalp edema and dilated scalp veins may be seen on examination. For lateral sinus thromboses, symptoms related to an underlying condition (middle ear infection) may be noted, including constitutional symptoms, fever, and ear discharge. Pain in the ear or mastoid region and headache are typical. On examination, increased intracranial pressure and distention of the scalp veins may be noted. Hemianopia, contralateral weakness, and aphasia may sometimes be seen owing to cortical involvement. Approximately 16% of patients with CVT have thrombosis of the deep cerebral venous system (internal cerebral vein, vein of Galen, and straight sinus), which can lead to thalamic or basal ganglial infarction. Most patients present with rapid neurological deterioration. CVT may be confused with other medical conditions. Cortical vein thrombosis is also uncommon, and specific clinical syndromes related to the larger cortical veins are rarely seen (eg, temporal lobe hemorrhage associated with vein of Labbé thrombosis).

Several important clinical features distinguish CVT from other mechanisms of cerebrovascular disease. First, focal or generalized seizures are frequent, occurring in ~40% of patients. Second, an important clinical correlate to the anatomy of cerebral venous drainage is that bilateral brain involvement is not infrequent. This is particularly notable in cases that involve the deep venous drainage system, when bilateral thalamic involvement may occur, causing alterations in level of consciousness without focal neurological findings. Bilateral motor signs, including paraparesis, may also be present due to sagittal sinus thrombosis and bihemispheric injury. Finally, patients with...
CVT often present with slowly progressive symptoms. Delays in diagnosis of CVT are common and significant. In the ISCVT, symptom onset was acute (≤48 hours) in 37% of patients, subacute (48 hours to 30 days) in 56% of patients, and chronic (≥30 days) in 7% of patients. The median delay from onset of symptoms to hospital admission was 4 days, and from symptom onset to diagnosis, it was 7 days.10

Other Clinical and Laboratory Findings

Routine Blood Work

A complete blood count, chemistry panel, sedimentation rate, and measures of the prothrombin time and activated partial thromboplastin time are indicated for patients with suspected CVT. These studies may demonstrate abnormalities suggestive of an underlying hypercoagulable state, an infectious process, or an inflammatory state, all of which may contribute to the development of CVT.

Recommendations

1. In patients with suspected CVT, routine blood studies consisting of a complete blood count, chemistry panel, prothrombin time, and activated partial thromboplastin time should be performed (Class I; Level of Evidence C).

2. Screening for potential prothrombotic conditions that may predispose a person to CVT (eg, use of contraceptives, underlying inflammatory disease, infectious process) is recommended in the initial clinical assessment (specific recommendations for testing for thrombophilia are found in the long-term management section of this document) (Class I; Level of Evidence C).

Lumbar Puncture

Unless there is clinical suspicion of meningitis, examination of the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is typically not helpful in cases with focal neurological abnormalities and radiographic confirmation of the diagnosis of CVT. Elevated opening pressure is a frequent finding in CVT and is present in >80% of patients. An elevated opening pressure may be a clue for diagnosing CVT in patients who present at the emergency department with headaches. Elevated cell counts (found in ~50% of patients) and protein levels (found in ~35%) are often present, but their absence should not discourage consideration of the diagnosis of CVT.10 There are no specific CSF abnormalities in CVT. Therapeutic considerations are described in "Management and Prevention of Early Complications (Hydrocephalus, Intracranial Hypertension, Seizures).”

D-Dimer

Measurement of D-dimer, a product of fibrin degradation, has a diagnostic role in exclusion of DVT or pulmonary embolus when used with pretest probability assessment. A number of small studies, all with methodological limitations, demonstrated high sensitivity for the identification of patients with CVT and a potential role for exclusion of the diagnosis, although this finding was not universal.77–81 As is the case with its use in DVT and pulmonary embolism (PE), the specificity of D-dimer was poor, because there are many causes of elevated D-dimer. In a well-designed prospective, multicenter study of 343 patients presenting to the emergency department with symptoms that suggested CVT, a positive D-dimer level (defined as a level >500 μg/L) was found in 34 of 35 patients with confirmed CVT and 27 of 308 patients without CVT.82 This yielded a sensitivity of 97.1%, a specificity of 91.2%, a negative predictive value of 99.6%, and a positive predictive value of 55.7%, which supports a clinically useful role of D-dimer in excluding CVT. A normal D-dimer level according to a sensitive immunoassay or rapid ELISA may help identify patients with a low probability of CVT.82,83 A subsequent study of 73 patients with confirmed CVT found normal D-dimer levels in 7 patients (10%).83 Five of the 7 patients with confirmed CVT and negative D-dimer presented with isolated headache, which suggests that this subgroup might be particularly at risk of false-negative results of D-dimer testing. In contrast, of the 57 patients with confirmed CVT who presented with isolated intracranial hypertension or encephalic signs, only 2 (3.5%) had negative D-dimer testing.

Several factors may account for some of the discrepant findings noted above. First, D-dimer levels decline with time from onset of symptoms, which suggests that patients who
present with subacute or chronic symptoms are more likely to have negative D-dimer levels. Second, the anatomic extent of thrombosed sinuses may correlate with D-dimer levels, which suggests that patients with lesser clot burden may have false-negative D-dimer testing results. Finally, a number of different D-dimer assays are available with variable test performance characteristics.

**Recommendation**

1. A normal D-dimer level according to a sensitive immunoassay or rapid enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) may be considered to help identify patients with low probability of CVT (Class IIb; Level of Evidence B). If there is a strong clinical suspicion of CVT, a normal D-dimer level should not preclude further evaluation.

**Common Pitfalls in the Diagnosis of CVT**

There are several clinical scenarios in which misdiagnosis, or delay in diagnosis, of CVT frequently occurs.

**Intracranial Hemorrhage**

Approximately 30% to 40% of patients with CVT present with ICH. Identification of these patients is critical given that the pathophysiology underlying hemorrhage in such cases is distinct from other causes of ICH, and this has important treatment implications. Features suggestive of CVT as a cause of ICH include prodromal headache (which is highly unusual with other causes of ICH), bilateral parenchymal abnormalities, and clinical evidence of a hypercoagulable state. These features may not be present, however, and a high index of clinical suspicion is necessary. Isolated subarachnoid hemorrhage may also occur due to CVT, although this is rare (0.8% of patients in ISCVT). Hemorrhage location is an important consideration in estimating the likelihood of CVT and is discussed elsewhere in this statement (see “Imaging in the Diagnosis of CVT” for further details).

**Recommendation**

1. In patients with lobar ICH of otherwise unclear origin or with cerebral infarction that crosses typical arterial boundaries, imaging of the cerebral venous system should be performed (Class I; Level of Evidence C).

**Isolated Headache/Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension**

In 1 series, 25% of patients with CVT presented with isolated headache, and another 25% presented with headache in conjunction with papilledema or sixth nerve palsies suggestive of idiopathic intracranial hypertension. In a series of 131 patients who presented with papilledema and clinically suspected idiopathic intracranial hypertension, 10% had CVT when magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed. Imaging of the cerebral venous system has been recommended for all patients with the clinical picture of idiopathic intracranial hypertension, because the distinction between CVT and idiopathic intracranial hypertension has important prognostic and treatment implications, and the yield of imaging is significant. For patients with isolated headache, the proper strategy for identification of CVT is much less clear. Headache is an extremely common symptom, and the vast majority of patients with isolated headache will not have CVT. The cost-effectiveness and yield of routine imaging are highly uncertain. Factors that may suggest the diagnosis, and thus prompt imaging evaluation, include a new, atypical headache; headache that progresses steadily over days to weeks despite conservative treatment; and thunderclap headache. In addition, a greater level of clinical suspicion for CVT should be maintained in patients with a hypercoagulable state.

**Recommendations**

1. In patients with the clinical features of idiopathic intracranial hypertension, imaging of the cerebral venous system is recommended to exclude CVT (Class I; Level of Evidence C).

2. In patients with headache associated with atypical features, imaging of the cerebral venous system is reasonable to exclude CVT (Class IIa; Level of Evidence C).

**Isolated Mental Status Changes**

Occasionally, patients with CVT will present with somnolence or a confusional state in the absence of obvious focal neurological abnormalities. Such clinical presentations are more common in the elderly and with thrombosis of the deep venous system. Although a number of mechanisms may underlie this clinical presentation, an important cause is bilateral thalamic lesions due to involvement of the deep venous system. Computed tomography (CT) scanning, especially if performed early in the clinical course, may be unremarkable; MRI will usually demonstrate abnormalities in such cases.

**Imaging in the Diagnosis of CVT**

Over the past 2 decades, diagnostic imaging has played an increasing role in the diagnosis and management of CVT. Diagnostic imaging of CVT may be divided into 2 categories, which will be reviewed in more detail below: Noninvasive modalities and invasive modalities. The goal is to determine vascular and parenchymal changes associated with this medical condition. In some cases, the diagnosis is made only with cerebral digital subtraction angiography.

**Noninvasive Diagnostic Modalities: CT, MRI, and Ultrasound**

**Computed Tomography**

CT is widely used as the initial neuroimaging test in patients who present with new-onset neurological symptoms such as headache, seizure, mental alteration, or focal neurological signs. CT without contrast is often normal but may demonstrate findings that suggest CVT. Anatomic variability of the venous sinuses makes CT diagnosis of CVT insensitive, with results on a plain CT being abnormal only in ~30% of CVT cases. The primary sign of acute CVT on a noncontrast CT is hyperdensity of a cortical vein or dural sinus. Acutely thrombosed cortical veins and dural sinuses appear as a homogenous hyperdensity that fills the vein or sinus and are most clearly visualized when CT slices are perpendicular to the dural sinus or vein (Figure 3). However, only approximately one third of CVT demonstrates direct signs of hyperdense dural sinus. Thrombosis of the posterior portion of the superior sagittal sinus may appear as...
a dense triangle, the dense or filled delta sign. An ischemic infarction, sometimes with a hemorrhagic component, may be seen. An ischemic lesion that crosses usual arterial boundaries (particularly with a hemorrhagic component) or in close proximity to a venous sinus is suggestive of CVT. Subarachnoid hemorrhage and ICH are infrequent. Subarachnoid hemorrhage was found in only 0.5% to 0.8% of patients with CVT, and when present, it was localized in the convexity as opposed to the area of the circle of Willis usually observed in patients with aneurysmal rupture.

Contrast-enhanced CT may show enhancement of the dural lining of the sinus with a filling defect within the vein or sinus. Contrast-enhanced CT may show the classic “empty delta” sign, in which a central hypointensity due to very slow or absent flow within the sinus is surrounded by contrast enhancement in the surrounding triangular shape in the posterior aspect of the superior sagittal sinus. This finding may not appear for several days after onset of symptoms but does persist for several weeks.

Because symptoms of CVT may be overlooked or associated with delays in seeking medical attention, CVT may be seen only during the subacute or chronic stage. Compared with the density of adjacent brain tissue, thrombus may be isodense, hypodense, or of mixed density. In this situation, contrast CT or CT venography (CTV) may assist the imaging diagnosis.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

In general, MRI is more sensitive for the detection of CVT than CT at each stage after thrombosis (Table 4; Figure 4). CVT is diagnosed on MRI with the

Table 4. Comparison of the Advantages and Disadvantages of CT and MRI in the Diagnosis of CVT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CT + CTV</th>
<th>MRI + MRV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong></td>
<td>Good visualization of major venous sinuses</td>
<td>Visualization of the superficial and deep venous systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quick (5–10 min)</td>
<td>Good definition of brain parenchyma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily available</td>
<td>Early detection of ischemic changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fewer motion artifacts</td>
<td>No radiation exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can be used in patients with a pacemaker, defibrillator, or claustrophobia</td>
<td>Detection of cortical and deep venous thrombosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Detection of macrobleeding and microbleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disadvantages</strong></td>
<td>Exposure to ionizing radiation</td>
<td>Time consuming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Risk of contrast reactions</td>
<td>Motion artifacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Risk of iodinated contrast nephropathy (eg, in patients with diabetes, renal failure)</td>
<td>Availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low resolution for small parenchymal abnormalities</td>
<td>Limited use in patients with cardiac pacemaker or claustrophobia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor detection of cortical and deep venous thrombosis</td>
<td>Confers a low risk of gadolinium-induced nephrogenic systemic fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slow flow states, complex flow patterns, and normal anatomic variations in dural sinus flow can affect the interpretation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sensitivity/specificity</strong></td>
<td>Small studies comparing multiplanar CT/CTV vs DSA showed 95% sensitivity and 91% specificity*</td>
<td>The sensitivity and specificity of MRI/MRV are not known owing to the lack of large MRI/MRV head-to-head studies with DSA. Echoplanar T2 susceptibility-weighted imaging combined with MRV are considered the most sensitive sequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall accuracy 90% to 100%, depending on vein or sinus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Practical application</strong></td>
<td>Acute onset of symptoms</td>
<td>Acute or subacute onset of symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency setting</td>
<td>Emergency or ambulatory setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multidetector CTV can be used as the initial test when MRI is not readily available</td>
<td>Patients with suspected CVT and normal CT/CTV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In patients with suspected deep CVT, because complex basal dural sinuses and their emissary channels are more commonly seen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT indicates computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; CVT, cerebral venous thrombosis; CTV, CT venography; MRV, magnetic resonance venography; and DSA, digital subtraction angiography. *Wetzel et al.93
detection of thrombus in a venous sinus. Findings are variable but may include a “hyperintense vein sign.” Isolated cortical venous thrombosis is identified much less frequently than sinus thrombosis. The magnetic resonance signal intensity of venous thrombus varies according to the time of imaging from the onset of thrombus formation. Acute thrombus may be of low intensity. In the first week, venous thrombus frequently appears as isointense to brain tissue on T1-weighted images and hypointense on T2-weighted images owing to increased deoxyhemoglobin. By the second week, thrombus contains methemoglobin, which results in hyperintensity on T1- and T2-weighted images (Figure 5). With evolution of the thrombus, the paramagnetic products of deoxyhemoglobin and methemoglobin are present in the sinus. A thrombosed dural sinus or vein may then demonstrate low signal on gradient-echo and susceptibility-weighted images of magnetic resonance images.

Figure 4. Proposed algorithm for the management of CVT. The CVT writing group recognize the challenges facing primary care, emergency physicians and general neurologists in the diagnosis and management of CVT. The aim of this algorithm is to provide guidance to physicians in the initial management of CVT. Anticoagulation remains the principal therapy and is aimed at preventing thrombus propagation and increasing recanalization. This algorithm is not comprehensive, nor applicable to all clinical scenarios and patient management must be individualized. Limited evidence is available on the benefits of decompressive hemicraniectomy and endovascular therapy for the management of CVT as reflected by the low grade and level of recommendations. Anticipated future advances in imaging techniques, new pharmacological agents and endovascular procedures may provide other therapeutic alternatives to be considered in patients with CVT, and in the future these guidelines will be periodically updated to reflect the changing evidence. CVST indicates cerebral venous and sinus thrombosis; LMWH, low molecular weight heparin; Tx, therapy; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; CTV, CT venogram; MRV, MR venogram. Intracranial hemorrhage that occurred as the consequence of CVST is not a contraindication for anticoagulation. Endovascular therapy may be considered in patients with absolute contraindications for anticoagulation therapy or failure of initial therapeutic doses of anticoagulant therapy.
Thus, contrast-enhanced MRI and either CTV or MRV may be necessary to establish a definite diagnosis.

The secondary signs of MRI may show similar patterns to CT, including cerebral swelling, edema, and/or hemorrhage. Occasionally, diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and perfusion-weighted MRI may assist in making the diagnosis. DWI may show high signal intensity as restricted diffusion- and perfusion-weighted MRI with prolonged transit time.

Brain parenchymal lesions of CVT are better visualized and depicted on MRI than at CT (Figure 6). Focal edema without hemorrhage is visualized on CT in ~8% of cases and on MRI in 25% of cases. Focal parenchymal changes with edema and hemorrhage may be identified in up to 40% of patients. The discrepancy in frequency of detection may be due in part to varying timing of imaging after thrombosis. Petechial or confluent hemorrhage may also represent an underlying hemorrhagic venous infarction. This may include DWI abnormalities consistent with acute infarction, but the degree of DWI findings may be reduced in venous infarction compared with arterial infarction. An altered enhancement pattern suggestive of collateral flow or of venous congestion may be seen. There are some characteristic patterns of brain parenchymal changes that distinguish CVT from other entities. Also, to some extent, lesions related to specific sinuses are regionally distributed. Brain parenchymal changes in frontal, parietal, and occipital lobes usually correspond to superior sagittal sinus thrombosis (Figure 8). Temporal lobe parenchymal changes correspond to lateral (transverse) and sigmoid sinus thrombosis. Deep parenchymal abnormalities, including thalamus hemorrhage, edema, or intraventricular hemorrhage, correspond to thrombosis of the vein of Galen or straight sinus. MRI signal can also predict radiographic outcome to some extent, because DWI abnormality within veins or sinus predicts poor recanalization.

CT Venography

CTV can provide a rapid and reliable modality for detecting CVT (Figure 9). CTV is much more useful in subacute or chronic situations because of the varied density in thrombosed...
sinus (Figure 10). Because of the dense cortical bone adjacent to dural sinus, bone artifact may interfere with the visualization of enhanced dural sinus. CTV is at least equivalent to MRV in the diagnosis of CVT. However, drawbacks to CTV include concerns about radiation exposure, potential for iodine contrast material allergy, and issues related to use of contrast in the setting of poor renal function. In some settings, MRV is preferable to CTV because of these concerns (Table 4).

**Magnetic Resonance Venography**

The most commonly used MRV techniques are time-of-flight (TOF) MRV (Figures 11 and 12) and contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance. Phase-contrast MRI is used less frequently, because defining the velocity of the encoding parameter is both difficult and operator-dependent.
The 2-dimensional TOF technique is the most commonly used method currently for the diagnosis of CVT, because 2-dimensional TOF has excellent sensitivity to slow flow compared with 3-dimensional TOF. It does have several potential pitfalls in imaging interpretation (see “Potential Pitfalls in the Radiological Diagnosis of CVT: Anatomic Variants, Thrombus Signal Variability, and Imaging Artifacts” below). Despite the challenges, other sequences such as gradient echo, susceptibility-weighted imaging, and contrast MRI/MRV may assist in these situations. Nonthrombosed hypoplastic sinuses will not have abnormal low signal in the sinus on gradient echo or susceptibility-weighted images. The chronic thrombosed hypoplastic sinus will have marked enhanced sinus and no flow on 2-dimensional TOF venography. Contrast-enhanced MRI offers improved visualization of cerebral venous structures.

In patients with persistent or progressive symptoms despite medical treatment, repeated neuroimaging (including a CTV or MRV) may help identify the development of a new ischemic lesion, ICH, edema, propagation of the thrombus, or other brain parenchymal lesions.

**Figure 13.** Noncontrast computed tomographic scan in a newborn with deep cerebral venous thrombosis and bilateral thalamic (white arrows) infarcts.

Deep CVT

The deep venous system is readily seen on CT and MRI and may be less impacted by artifact because of the separation from bony structures (Figure 13). A potential pitfall at the junction of the straight sinus and vein of Galen on TOF MRI is the appearance of absence of flow if image acquisition is in an axial plane to the skull. This pitfall may be overcome with contrast-enhanced MRI and DWI. Invasive Diagnostic Angiographic Procedures

**Cerebral Angiography and Direct Cerebral Venography**

Invasive cerebral angiographic procedures are less commonly needed to establish the diagnosis of CVT given the availability of MRV and CTV. These techniques are reserved for situations in which the MRV or CTV results are inconclusive or if an endovascular procedure is being considered.

**Cerebral Angiography**

Arteriographic findings include the failure of sinus appearance due to the occlusion; venous congestion with dilated cortical, scalp, or facial veins; enlargement of typically diminutive veins from collateral drainage; and reversal of venous flow. The venous phase of cerebral angiography will show a filling defect in the thrombosed cerebral vein/sinus (Figure 14). Because of the highly variable cerebral venous structures and inadequate resolution, CT or MRI may not provide adequate visualization of selected veins, especially cortical veins and in some situations the deep venous structures. Hypoplasia or atresia of cerebral veins or dural sinuses may lead to inconclusive results on MRV or CTV and can be clarified on the venous phase of cerebral angiography. Acute
Dural sinus and cortical vein thrombosis typically causes a delay in cerebral venous circulation, and cerebral angiography will demonstrate delayed and slow visualization of cerebral venous structures. Normally, the early veins begin to opacify at 4 to 5 seconds after injection of contrast material into the carotid artery, and the complete cerebral venous system is opacified in 7 to 8 seconds. If cerebral veins or dural sinuses are not visualized in the normal sequences of cerebral angiography, the possibility of acute thrombosis is suspected. This finding accounts for the observed delayed cerebral perfusion seen with perfusion-weighted MRI with prolonged transit time.

Direct Cerebral Venography
Direct cerebral venography is performed by direct injection of contrast material into a dural sinus or cerebral vein from microcatheter insertion via the internal jugular vein. Direct cerebral venography is usually performed during endovascular therapeutic procedures. In direct cerebral venography, intraluminal thrombus is seen either as a filling defect within the lumen in the setting of nonocclusive thrombosis or as complete nonfilling in occlusive thrombosis. Complete thrombosis may also demonstrate a “cupping appearance” within the sinus. Venous pressure measurements may be performed during direct cerebral venography to identify venous hypertension. Normal venous sinus pressure is less than 10 mm Hg. The extent of parenchymal change correlates with increased venous pressure and with the stage of thrombosis, with changes being maximal in acute thrombosis.

Other Diagnostic Modalities
Transfontanellar ultrasound may be used to evaluate pediatric patients, including newborn or young infants with open anterior or posterior fontanels. Ultrasound, along with transcranial Doppler, may be useful to support the diagnosis of CVT and for ongoing monitoring of thrombus and parenchymal changes.

Perfusion Imaging Methods
Anecdotal evidence using positron emission tomography showed a reduction of the cerebral blood flow after ligation of the superior sagittal sinus with a concomitant venous infarction. An increased regional cerebral blood volume was also observed in a young adult with sagittal sinus thrombosis. A prolonged mean transit time and increased cerebral blood volume have been suggested as venous congestion, contrary to the pattern observed in patients with an ischemic arterial stroke (prolonged mean transit time with reduction in cerebral blood volume).

Potential Pitfalls in the Radiological Diagnosis of CVT: Anatomic Variants, Thrombus Signal Variability, and Imaging Artifacts
The positive findings of intraluminal thrombus are the key to a confident diagnosis of CVT by CT or MRI. Unfortunately, these findings are not always evident, and the diagnosis rests on nonfilling of a venous sinus or cortical vein (Figure 15). Given the variation in venous anatomy, it is sometimes impossible to exclude CVT on noninvasive imaging studies. Anatomic variants of normal venous anatomy may mimic sinus thrombosis, including sinus atresia/hypoplasia, asymmetrical sinus drainage, and normal sinus filling defects related to prominent arachnoid granulations or intrasinus septa.

Angiographic examination of 100 patients with no venous pathology showed a high prevalence of asymmetrical lateral (transverse) sinuses (49%) and partial or complete absence of 1 lateral sinus (20%). Flow gaps are commonly seen on TOF MRV images, which sometimes affects their interpretation. The hypoplastic...
dural sinuses may have a more tapering appearance than an abrupt defect in contrast-enhanced images of the sinus. The lack of identification of a thrombus within the venous sinus on MRI or contrast-enhanced MRV or CTV is helpful to clarify the diagnosis.160

As mentioned, sinus signal-intensity variations may also affect the interpretation of imaging in the diagnosis of CVT.70 Direct cerebral venography may be difficult to interpret owing to retrograde flow of contrast from the point of injection, and the venous pressure may not be accurate because of relative compartmentalization within the system.70

**Recommendations**

1. Although a plain CT or MRI is useful in the initial evaluation of patients with suspected CVT, a negative plain CT or MRI does not rule out CVT. A venographic study (either CTV or MRV) should be performed in suspected CVT if the plain CT or MRI is negative or to define the extent of CVT if the plain CT or MRI suggests CVT (Class I; Level of Evidence C).

2. An early follow-up CTV or MRV is recommended in CVT patients with persistent or evolving symptoms despite medical treatment or with symptoms suggestive of propagation of thrombus (Class I; Level of Evidence C).

3. In patients with previous CVT who present with recurrent symptoms suggestive of CVT, repeat CTV or MRV is recommended (Class I; Level of Evidence C).

4. Gradient echo T2 susceptibility-weighted images combined with magnetic resonance can be useful to improve the accuracy of CVT diagnosis70,129,151 (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B).

5. Catheter cerebral angiography can be useful in patients with inconclusive CTV or MRV in whom a clinical suspicion for CVT remains high (Class IIa; Level of Evidence C).

6. A follow-up CTV or MRV at 3 to 6 months after diagnosis is reasonable to assess for recanalization of the occluded cortical vein/sinuses in stable patients (Class IIa; Level of Evidence C).

**Management and Treatment**

**Acute Management and Treatment of CVT**

To address treatment of CVT in adults, we reviewed systematic reviews and guideline statements of the Cochrane Collaboration,161 the American College of Chest Physicians,162,163 and the European Federation of Neurological Sciences,164 in addition to performing a literature review using search terms in PubMed: (“cerebral vein thrombosis” OR “cerebral venous thrombosis” OR “sinus thrombosis”) AND randomized trial; (“cerebral vein thrombosis” OR “cerebral venous thrombosis” OR “sinus thrombosis”) AND treatment guideline. Secondary sources of data included reference lists of articles reviewed and cohort studies that related treatment to outcomes. A summary algorithm for the diagnosis and management of patients with CVT is provided (Figure 4).

**Setting**

Organized care has been defined as collaborative, high-quality, standardized, effective and cost-effective care given by an interdisciplinary team using protocols based on best practices.165 According to the Stroke Unit Trialists’ Collaboration, the most important components of organized stroke care are assessment by a stroke neurologist, admission to a stroke unit with stroke-directed nursing care, physiotherapy, and occupational therapy.166–169 Organized care is one of the most effective interventions to reduce mortality and morbidity after acute stroke.166,167 For example, stroke unit care was associated with a 14% reduction in the odds of death at 1 year (OR 0.86, 95% CI 0.76 to 0.98; P=0.02), death or institutionalization (OR 0.82, 95% CI 0.73 to 0.92; P<0.001), and death or dependency (OR 0.82, 95% CI 0.73 to 0.92; P=0.001). These benefits were independent of age, sex, stroke severity, and stroke subtype.167,169,170

CVT is an uncommon but potentially serious and life-threatening cause of stroke. On the basis of findings for stroke unit care in general, management of CVT in a stroke unit is reasonable for the initial management of CVT to optimize care and minimize complications. Additional specialist input as needed to provide therapeutic anticoagulation is appropriate.

**Initial Anticoagulation**

There are several rationales for anticoagulation therapy in CVT: To prevent thrombus growth, to facilitate recanalization, and to prevent DVT or PE. Controversy has ensued because cerebral infarction with hemorrhagic transformation or ICH is commonly present at the time of diagnosis of CVT, and it may also complicate treatment. A summary table is provided with data from observational studies and randomized clinical trials10,84,136,171–181 (Table 5) of CVT.

There are 2 available randomized controlled trials comparing anticoagulant therapy with placebo or open control in patients with CVT confirmed by contrast imaging. Taken together, these trials included only 79 patients. One trial of 20 patients assessed intravenous unfractionated heparin (UFH) using dose adjustment to achieve an activated partial thromboplastin time twice the pretreatment value compared with placebo.171 This study used a heparin bolus of 3000 U followed by continuous intravenous infusion. The primary outcome was a CVT severity scale at 3 months, which evaluated headache, focal signs, seizures, and level of consciousness. The secondary outcome was ICH. The trial was stopped early after 20 of the planned 60 patients were enrolled because there was a benefit of treatment. Among 10 patients in the heparin group, 8 recovered completely and 2 had mild deficits at 3 months. Among 10 patients in the placebo group, 1 recovered completely, 6 had minor deficits, and 3 died by 3 months. Two patients treated with placebo and none treated with heparin developed ICH. One patient in the placebo group had unconfirmed pulmonary embolus.

The other trial of 59 patients compared subcutaneous nadroparin dosed on the basis of body weight (180 anti-factor Xa units per kilogram daily in 2 divided doses) with placebo for 3 weeks followed by 3 months of oral anticoagulation (without placebo control) in those randomized to nadroparin.172 The study was blinded during the first 3 weeks and open label thereafter. Primary outcomes were scores for activities of daily living, the Oxford Stroke Handicap Scale, and death. Secondary end points were symptomatic ICH and other major bleeding. At 3 months, 13% of patients in the
nadroparin group had a poor outcome compared with 21% given placebo (treatment difference in favor of nadroparin −7%; 95% CI −26% to 12%). There was no symptomatic ICH in either group (1 nonfatal hemorrhage with nadroparin and 1 fatal unconfirmed pulmonary embolus with placebo). Six patients on active treatment (12%) and 8 control subjects (28%) had full recovery over 3 months.

Meta-analysis of these 2 trials revealed a nonstatistically significant relative risk of death or dependency with anticoagulation (relative risk 0.46, 95% CI 0.16 to 1.31), with a risk difference in favor of anticoagulation of −13% (95% CI −30% to 3%). The relative risk of death was 0.33 (95% CI 0.08 to 1.21), with a risk difference of −13% (95% CI −27% to 1%).

A third trial randomized 57 women with puerperal CVT confirmed only by CT imaging and excluded those with hemorrhage on CT.182 Treatment was with subcutaneous heparin 5000 IU every 6 hours, dose adjusted to an activated partial thromboplastin time 1.5 times baseline for at least 30 days after delivery. Outcome assessment was not blinded.

### Table 5. Data From Observational Studies and Clinical Trials of CVT That Addressed Anticoagulation Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Years Recruited</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>F/U</th>
<th>Died, n</th>
<th>Fully Recovered, n*</th>
<th>Disabled, n</th>
<th>ICH</th>
<th>Other Hemorrhage</th>
<th>VTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Einhaupl171</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1982–4</td>
<td>RCT: 10-UFH 2×PTT</td>
<td>3 mo</td>
<td>0-UFH 8-UFH 2-UFH</td>
<td>0 NR 0-UFH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1-Placebo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Bruijn172</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1992–6</td>
<td>RCT: 30-Nadroparin</td>
<td>3 mo</td>
<td>2-UFH 20-UFH 8-UFH</td>
<td>0 1-UFH 0-UFH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1-Placebo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Bruijn173</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1992–6</td>
<td>RCT as above</td>
<td>18.5 mo</td>
<td>0 16†</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferro174</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>1980–98</td>
<td>Hospital stay</td>
<td>96§</td>
<td>6 (Rankin ≥3) 4</td>
<td>UFH-AVK 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Systemic</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daif175</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1975–90</td>
<td>4-UFH 36-No ACO</td>
<td>63 mo</td>
<td>1-UFH 1-UFH</td>
<td>2-UFH NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preter176</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1985–2002</td>
<td>30-UFH 48-AVK ≥3 mo</td>
<td>3.5 y</td>
<td>3 (5.6%) NR NR</td>
<td>8 (6 off AVK)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maqueda177</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1995–8</td>
<td>UFH+AVK: 36 mo</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15 (31%) 23</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cakmak178</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1996–2000</td>
<td>UFH/LMWH+AVK</td>
<td>3 mo</td>
<td>0 14 2</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferro179 and Giroth180</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>1998–2001</td>
<td>64% UFH 57% LMWH Most AVK 80% at 6 mo**</td>
<td>16 mo</td>
<td>36 (6%) de novo</td>
<td>17 ACO; 19 no ACO</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stol179</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1985–2001</td>
<td>63-UFH 2×PTT</td>
<td>12 mo+ 5-Lysis</td>
<td>30-None 2-Lysis</td>
<td>9-LDUFH 36 mo 54 had AVK ×1 y</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stol179</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1985–2001</td>
<td>63-UFH 2×PTT</td>
<td>12 mo+ 5-Lysis</td>
<td>30-None 2-Lysis</td>
<td>9-LDUFH 36 mo 54 had AVK ×1 y</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mak181</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1995–1998</td>
<td>12 (Heparin) 3–26 mo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brucker182</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1995–2001</td>
<td>42 Heparin+OAC</td>
<td>1 40</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVT indicates cerebral venous thrombosis; F/U, follow-up; ICH, new intracerebral hemorrhage during follow-up; VTE, venous thromboembolism; RCT, randomized controlled trial; UFH, unfractionated heparin; PTT, partial thromboplastin time; NR, not reported; AVK, anti-vitamin K; ACO, anticoagulation; LMWH, low-molecular-weight heparin; LDUFH, low-dose unfractionated heparin; and OAC, oral anticoagulation.

*Definitions for disability vary among studies.
†Recovered completely.
‡Thirty-one of 49 patients with ICH received anticoagulation; 81 of 93 without ICH received anticoagulation.
§Patient was asymptomatic.
¶Anticoagulation was associated with a 3.8-fold (95% CI, 1.5–9.6) increased odds of full recovery; not associated with death risk.
#No comparisons made by treatment status. Nine patients developed recurrent CVT (11.7%), all while not taking anticoagulation therapy.
**Seven had a predisposing condition; it is unknown whether they had stopped anticoagulation therapy.
A total of 12.7% died or were dependent with early anticoagulation vs 18.3% without early anticoagulation (P=0.05).
Three patients in the control group either died or had residual paresis compared with none in the heparin group.

In the special situation of CVT with cerebral hemorrhage on presentation, even in the absence of anticoagulation, hemorrhage is associated with adverse outcomes. Highlighting this, in 1 trial of nadroparin, all 6 deaths in the trial overall occurred in the group of 29 patients with hemorrhage on their pretreatment CT scan. None of the deaths were attributed to new or enlarged hemorrhage. These 29 patients were equally divided between treatment groups. Thus, cerebral hemorrhage was strongly associated with mortality but not with cerebral bleeding on treatment. Other studies suggested low rates of cerebral hemorrhage after anticoagulation for CVT.

In the special situation of a patient with a major contraindication for anticoagulation (such as recent major hemorrhage), the clinician must balance the risks and benefits of anticoagulation, depending on the clinical situation. In these settings, as for venous thrombosis in general, consultation with an expert in anticoagulation management may be appropriate, and low-intensity anticoagulation may be considered if possible in favor of no anticoagulation until such time as it might be safe to use full-intensity anticoagulation.

Data From Observational Studies

A number of observational studies, both prospective and retrospective, are available, primarily from single centers. Not all studies reported specifically on outcomes of anticoagulation treatment, because the majority of patients in most studies were treated with intravenous UFH or low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) at the time of diagnosis, with eventual use of vitamin K antagonists. Data are summarized in Table 5. Mortality rates were low, typically <10%, often due to the underlying disease (eg, cancer) rather than CVT and rarely due to ICH. The majority of patients fully recovered neurological function, and few became disabled.

In a retrospective study of 102 patients with CVT, 43 had an ICH. Among 27 (63%) who were treated with dose-adjusted intravenous heparin after the ICH, 4 died (15%), and 14 patients (52%) recovered completely. Of the 13 patients who did not receive heparin, mortality was higher (69%) with lower improvement in functional outcomes (only 3 patients completely recovered). The largest study by far was the ISCVT, which included 624 patients at 89 centers in 21 countries. Nearly all patients were treated with anticoagulation initially, and mortality was 8.3% over 16 months; 79% had complete recovery (modified Rankin score of 0 to 1), 10.4% had mild to moderate disability (mRS score 2 to 3), and 2.2% remained severely disabled (mRS score 4 to 5). Few studies had sufficient numbers of patients not treated with anticoagulation to adequately address the role of anticoagulation in relation to outcome. Data from observational studies suggest a range of risks for ICH after anticoagulation for CVT from zero to 5.4%.

In conclusion, limited data from randomized controlled clinical trials in combination with observational data on outcomes and bleeding complications of anticoagulation support a role for anticoagulation in treatment of CVT, regardless of the presence of pretreatment ICH. On the basis of the available data, it is unlikely that researchers will have equipoise on this question, so a new randomized trial may not be feasible. Anticoagulation appears safe and effective. There was consensus in the writing group to support anticoagulation therapy in the management of patients with CVT. If anticoagulation is given, there are no data supporting differences in outcome with the use of UFH in adjusted doses or LMWH in CVT patients. However, in the setting of DVT or PE, a recent systematic review and meta-analysis of 22 studies showed a lower risk of major hemorrhage (1.2% versus 2.1%), thrombotic complications (3.6% versus 5.4%), and death (4.5% versus 6.0%) with LMWH.

Other Treatments

Fibrinolytic Therapy

Although patients with CVT may recover with anticoagulation therapy, 9% to 13% have poor outcomes despite anticoagulation. Anticoagulation alone may not dissolve a large and extensive thrombus, and the clinical condition may worsen even during heparin treatment. In general, thrombolytic therapy is used if clinical deterioration continues despite anticoagulation or if a patient has elevated intracranial pressure that evolves despite other management approaches.

Many invasive therapeutic procedures have been reported to treat CVT. These include direct catheter chemical thrombolysis and direct mechanical thrombectomy with or without thrombolysis. There are no randomized controlled trials to support these interventions compared with anticoagulation or with each other. Most evidence is based on small case series or anecdotal reports. Here, we review the studied approaches.

Direct Catheter Thrombolysis

In direct catheter thrombolysis, a standard microcatheter and microguidewire are delivered to the thrombosed dural sinus through a sheath or guiding catheter from the jugular bulb. Mechanical manipulation of the thrombus with the guidewire increases the amount of clot that might be impacted by the thrombolytic agent, potentially reducing the amount of fibrinolytic agent used.

In a retrospective multicenter study of CVT in the United States, 27 (15%) of 182 patients received endovascular thrombolysis. Ten patients were receiving concomitant anticoagulation therapy. Recanalization was achieved in 26 patients (96%), 4 developed an intracranial hemorrhage, and 1 patient (4%) died.
A systematic review that included 169 patients with CVT treated with local thrombolysis showed a possible benefit for those with severe CVT, which indicates that fibrinolytics may reduce case fatality in critically ill patients. ICH occurred in 17% of patients after thrombolysis and was associated with clinical worsening in 5%.  

Mechanical Thrombectomy/Thrombolysis

Balloon-Assisted Thrombectomy and Thrombolysis

Despite systemic thrombolysis or mechanical manipulation of the clot with direct fibrinolytic agent delivery, the sinus thrombosis may persist. Balloon-assisted thrombolysis may be more efficient because the inflated balloon may reduce washout of fibrinolytic agents, potentially lessening the dose of fibrinolytic agents required, the occurrence of hemorrhage,212,213 and procedure time. The balloon may be used to perform partial thrombectomy before thrombolysis.  

Catheter Thrombectomy

For patients with extensive thrombus that persists despite local administration of a fibrinolytic agent, rheolytic catheter thrombectomy may be considered. One such device is the AngioJet (MEDRAD, Inc, Warrendale, PA), which uses hydrodynamic thrombolytic action occurring at the tip of the catheter via the Venturi effect from high-velocity saline jets. Thrombus is disrupted and directed down the second lumen of the device. Perforation of the venous sinus wall may occur rarely, at a rate that is unknown but reported in the existing small series. It may be avoided by removal of the AngioJet after partial recanalization of the thrombosis and follow-up with additional microcatheter thrombolysis.  

The Merci retrieval device (Concentric Medical, Mountain View, CA) has also been used to remove thrombus in the cerebral venous system. This technique also requires direct catheter access to the venous sinus. The small corkscrew-shaped device is dispensed via the tip of the catheter, advanced into the thrombus, and then slowly pulled back into the catheter with the adherent thrombus. Here again, the device may be used to perform partial recanalization, followed by thrombolysis to avoid damaging the wall or trabeculae of the dural sinus.  

Aspirin

There are no controlled trials or observational studies that directly assess the role of aspirin in management of CVT.

Steroids

Steroids may have a role in CVT by decreasing vasogenic edema, but steroids may enhance hypercoagulability. In a matched case-control study among the 624 patients in the ISCVT, 150 patients treated with steroids at the discretion of their healthcare provider were compared with 150 patients not so treated, matched to those treated on the basis of prognostic factors for poor outcome of CVT. Those treated with steroids thus had similar characteristics as control subjects, except they were more likely to have vasculitis. At 6 months, there was a trend toward a higher risk of death or dependence with steroid treatment (OR 1.7, 95% CI 0.9 to 3.3), and this did not differ after the exclusion of those with vasculitis, malignancy, inflammatory disease, and infection. Among those with parenchymal brain lesions on CT/MRI, results were striking, with 4.8-fold increased odds of death or dependence with steroid treatment (95% CI 1.2 to 19.8). Sensitivity analyses that used different analytic approaches yielded similar findings.

Antibiotics

Local (eg, otitis, mastoiditis) and systemic (meningitis, sepsis) infections can be complicated by thrombosis of the adjacent or distant venous sinuses. The management of patients with a suspected infection and CVT should include administration of the appropriate antibiotics and the surgical drainage of infectious sources (ie, subdural empyemas or purulent collections within the paranasal sinuses).

Management and Prevention of Early Complications (Hydrocephalus, Intracranial Hypertension, Seizures)

Seizures

Seizures are present in 37% of adults, 48% of children, and 71% of newborns who present with CVT. No clinical trials have studied either the optimal timing or medication choice for anticonvulsants in CVT. Whether to initiate anticonvulsants in all cases of CVT or await initial seizures before treatment is controversial. Because seizures increase the risk of anoxic damage, anticonvulsant treatment after even a single seizure is reasonable.  

In the absence of
seizures, the prophylactic use of antiepileptic drugs may be harmful (the risk of side effects may outweigh its benefits).196,197,209

A few studies have reported the occurrence and characteristics of patients with seizures accompanying CVT. Among 91 patients, 1 study reported that 32% presented with seizures and 2% developed them during hospitalization; only 9.5% developed late seizures, and seizures were not a predictor of prognosis at 1 year. Early seizures were 3.7-fold more likely (95% CI 1.4 to 9.4) in those with parenchymal lesions on CT/MRI at diagnosis and 7.8-fold more likely (95% CI 0.8 to 74.8) in those with sensory defects. A more recent report from the ISCVT showed 245 (39%) of 624 patients presented with seizures and 43 (6.9%) experienced early seizure within 2 weeks after diagnosis. Besides seizures on presentation, only a supratentorial parenchymal lesion on CT/MRI at diagnosis (present in 58%) was associated with occurrence of early seizures (OR 3.1, 95% CI 1.6 to 9.6). Furthermore, among those with a supratentorial lesion and no presenting seizure, the use of antiepileptic drugs was associated with a 70% lower risk of seizures within 2 weeks, although this was not statistically significant (OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.04 to 2.6). On the basis of these findings, the authors suggested the prescription of antiepileptic agents in acute CVT patients with supratentorial lesions who present with seizures.197

Hydrocephalus
The superior sagittal and lateral dural sinuses are the principal sites for CSF absorption by the arachnoid granulations, highly vascular structures that protrude across the walls of the sinuses into the subarachnoid space and drain into the venous system. In CVT, the function of the arachnoid granulations may be impaired, potentially resulting in failure of CSF absorption and communicating hydrocephalus (6.6%).14,198

Obstructive hydrocephalus is a less common complication of CVT and results from hemorrhage into the ventricular system. This is typically associated with thrombosis that involves the internal cerebral veins and may be associated with thalamic hemorrhage. This syndrome is well described in term neonates but occurs at all ages.201,205 Neurosurgical evacuation of CSF with ventriculostomy, or in persistent cases, ventriculoperitoneal shunt, is necessary. The brain is under increased venous pressure, and tissue perfusion is at increased risk compared with other situations with obstructive hydrocephalus. Therefore, close monitoring and neurosurgical consultation are important, because intervention may be required at lesser severities of ventricular enlargement.

Intracranial Hypertension
Up to 40% of patients with CVT present with isolated intracranial hypertension.183 This is characterized by diffuse brain edema, sometimes seen as slit ventricles on CT scanning. Clinical features include progressive headache, papilledema, and third or sixth nerve palsies. Intracranial hypertension is primarily caused by venous outflow obstruction and tissue congestion compounded by CSF malabsorption.

No randomized trials are available to clarify the optimal treatment; however, rational management of intracranial hypertension includes a combination of treatment approaches. First, measures to reduce the thrombotic occlusion of venous outflow, such as anticoagulation and possibly thrombolytic treatment, may result in resolution of intracranial hypertension. Second, reduction of increased intracranial pressure can be accomplished immediately by lumbar puncture with removal of CSF until a normal closing pressure is achieved. Unfortunately, lumbar puncture requires temporary cessation of anticoagulants, with an attendant risk of thrombus propagation. Despite the lack of randomized clinical trials, acetazolamide is a commonly used therapeutic alternative for the treatment of intracranial hypertension with CVT.139 It may have a limited role in the acute management of intracranial hypertension for patients with CVT. Acetazolamide, a carbonic anhydrase inhibitor, is a weak diuretic and decreases production of CSF. Although used occasionally, corticosteroids are not efficacious216 and carry risks of associated hyperglycemia and high lactate, which are deleterious to an ischemic brain. Serial lumbar punctures may be necessary when hypertension is persistent. In refractory cases, a lumbo-peritoneal shunt may be required.199 Because prolonged pressure on the optic nerves can result in permanent blindness, it is of paramount importance to closely monitor visual fields and the severity of papilledema during the period of increased pressure. Ophthalmologic consultation is helpful for this. Although rarely required, optic nerve fenestration is a treatment option to halt progressive visual loss.

Decompressive craniectomy has been used in patients with malignant arterial stroke to treat elevated intracranial pressure unresponsive to conventional treatment. In a pooled analysis of randomized trials, surgical decompression within 48 hours of stroke onset reduced case fatality and improved functional outcome.204 Limited evidence is available on the role of decompressive craniectomy in CVT with either brain edema, venous infarction, neurological deterioration, or impending cerebral herniation.200,202,203 A disadvantage of craniectomy is that it precludes anticoagulation for the immediate postoperative period.

Recommendations
1. Patients with CVT and a suspected bacterial infection should receive appropriate antibiotics and surgical drainage of purulent collections of infectious sources associated with CVT when appropriate (Class I; Level of Evidence C).
2. In patients with CVT and increased intracranial pressure, monitoring for progressive visual loss is recommended, and when this is observed, increased intracranial pressure should be treated urgently (Class I; Level of Evidence C).
3. In patients with CVT and a single seizure with parenchymal lesions, early initiation of antiepileptic drugs for a defined duration is recommended to prevent further seizures (Class I; Level of Evidence C).
4. In patients with CVT and a single seizure without parenchymal lesions, early initiation of antiepileptic drugs for a defined duration is probably recommended to prevent further seizures (Class IIa; Level of Evidence C).
5. In the absence of seizures, the routine use of antiepileptic drugs in patients with CVT is not recommended (Class III; Level of Evidence C).
For patients with CVT, initial anticoagulation with adjusted-dose UFH or weight-based LMWH in full anticoagulant doses is reasonable, followed by vitamin K antagonists, regardless of the presence of ICH (Level of Evidence B). (For further details, refer to “Acute Management and Treatment of CVT: Initial Anticoagulation.”)

7. Admission to a stroke unit is reasonable for treatment and for prevention of clinical complications of patients with CVT (Level of Evidence C).

8. In patients with CVT and increased intracranial pressure, it is reasonable to initiate treatment with acetazolamide. Other therapies (lumbar puncture, optic nerve decompression, or shunts) can be effective if there is progressive visual loss. (Level of Evidence C).

9. Endovascular intervention may be considered if deterioration occurs despite intensive anticoagulation treatment (Level of Evidence C).

10. In patients with neurological deterioration due to severe mass effect or intracranial hemorrhage causing intractable intracranial hypertension, decompressive hemicraniectomy may be considered (Level of Evidence C).

11. For patients with CVT, steroid medications are not recommended, even in the presence of parenchymal brain lesions on CT/MRI, unless needed for another underlying disease (Level of Evidence B).

Long-Term Management and Recurrence of CVT

Risk of Recurrence With and Without Anticoagulation

Prevention strategies focus on preventing recurrence of CVT or other VTE in those CVT patients at high risk of these outcomes. There are no available risk stratification schemes in CVT, but patients with certain thrombophilic conditions or medical conditions, such as cancer, might be considered high risk. There are no randomized clinical trials of long-term prevention of first or recurrent CVT. Overall, there is an approximately 6.5% annual risk of any type of recurrent thrombosis.10,11,16

Because there are no secondary prevention trials of anticoagulation in adults with CVT, evaluation of prevention strategies can only be performed with observational studies that evaluate recurrence of CVT or VTE with or without ongoing anticoagulation. In a cohort of 154 patients treated at Mayo Clinic between 1978 and 2001, 56 patients initially received both heparin and warfarin, 12 received heparin only, and 21 received warfarin only.61 Seventy-seven (50%) were treated with warfarin for an average of 9 months, with 25 committed to lifelong therapy.61 During 36 months of follow-up (464 patient-years), there were 23 recurrent VTEs in 20 patients (13%), the majority in the first year. Ten patients had recurrent CVT (2.2 per 100 patient-years), and 11 had DVT or PE (2.8 per 100 patient-years). Nine of the recurrent events occurred while the patients were taking warfarin. After 8 years of follow-up, there was no impact of warfarin on survival or recurrence-free survival.61

In a cohort of 54 CVT patients treated consecutively at University Hospital Gasthuisberg, Leuven, Belgium, 8 (14.8%) had a recurrence of VTE (7 with DVT or PE, 1 with CVT and mesenteric vein thrombosis) over a median of 2.5 years of follow-up (4.5 per 100 patient-years). Median time to recurrence was 2.5 months (range 2 weeks to 4 years). Only 2 of these 8 patients were taking anticoagulants at the time of recurrence, 1 with an international normalized ratio (INR) of 1.6 and the other with an INR of 2.1. Among the 6 patients with recurrent VTE who were not taking anticoagulants, recurrence occurred between 2 weeks and 10 months after the index event. Those with recurrence more often had a thrombophilic disorder, had a history of DVT, and had not received oral anticoagulation because of perceived contraindications.176

In the ISCVT study, among 624 patients with CVT, there were 14 (2.2%) recurrent CVTs and 27 (4.3%) other thrombotic events (16 DVT, 3 PE, 2 ischemic stroke, 2 transient ischemic attack, and 4 acute limb ischemia) over a mean follow-up of 16 months.10 Seventeen (41.5%) of the 41 patients with recurrent or other thrombotic events were receiving anticoagulants, but the type of anticoagulation and the number who were receiving therapeutic doses of anticoagulation were unknown.10 It was not reported whether anticoagulation was given long-term and whether recurrent events differed based on its use.

Risk of Recurrence Without Anticoagulation

In the ISCVT study, among 624 patients with CVT, there were 14 (2.2%) recurrent CVTs and 27 (4.3%) other thrombotic events (16 DVT, 3 PE, 2 ischemic stroke, 2 transient ischemic attack, and 4 acute limb ischemia) over a mean follow-up of 16 months.10 Seventeen (41.5%) of the 41 patients with recurrent or other thrombotic events were receiving anticoagulants, but the type of anticoagulation and the number who were receiving therapeutic doses of anticoagulation were unknown.10 It was not reported whether anticoagulation was given long-term and whether recurrent events differed based on its use.

In a cohort of 77 CVT patients diagnosed in France between 1975 and 1990, was followed up for 63 months.175 Nine (11.7%) had a recurrence of CVT, 8 during the first 12 months, and none were receiving anticoagulation at the time of recurrence. Eleven patients (14.3%) had other thrombotic events, including retinal vein thrombosis, PE, and arterial thromboses.175 Use of anticoagulation at the time of recurrent thromboses that were not CVTs was not reported.

More recently, 145 patients with a first CVT were followed up for a median of 6 years after discontinuation of anticoagulation therapy. CVT recurred in 5 patients (3%), and other manifestations of VTE (defined as DVT of the lower limbs or PE) were seen in 10 additional patients (7%). The recurrence rate accounted for 3.4% of all VTEs in the first 16 months (or 2.03 per 100 person-years; 95% CI 1.16 to 3.14) and 1.3% of CVTs in the first 16 months (or 0.53 per 100 person-years; 95% CI 0.16 to 1.10). Approximately half of the recurrences occurred within the first year after discontinuation of anticoagulant therapy. Mild thrombophilia abnormalities were not associated with recurrent CVT, but severe thrombophilia showed an increased risk of DVT or PE.210 In summary, the prevalence of CVT recurrence was similar in the Italian and ISCVT studies (1.3% and 2.2%, respectively) at the 16-month follow-up.
The overall risk of recurrence of any thrombotic event (CVT or systemic) after a CVT is ≈6.5%. The risk of other manifestations of VTE after CVT ranges from 3.4% to 4.3% on the basis of the largest studies of this medical condition. Patients with severe thrombophilia have an increased risk of VTE.

**Secondary Prevention of CVT and Other VTE Events**

DVT/PE and CVT share some similarities. The chronic and transient risk factors appear to be similar, although women are more likely to have CVT, and selected thrombophilia subtypes may differ between CVT and DVT/PE. In the ISCVT cohort, the overall rate of recurrent CVT or other VTE recurrence was 4.1 per 100 person-years, with male sex and polycythemia/thrombocytemia being the only independent predictors found. The same study reported a steady increase in the cumulative risk of thrombotic recurrences not influenced by the duration of anticoagulation, which emphasizes the need for a clinical trial to assess the efficacy and safety of short versus extended anticoagulant therapy.

Given that systemic VTE after CVT is more common than recurrent CVT, one may reasonably adopt the VTE guidelines for prevention of both new VTE and recurrent CVT. However, each individual patient should undergo risk assessment (see “Thrombophilias and Risk Stratification for Long-Term Management” below), and the patient’s risk level and preferences regarding long-term anticoagulation treatment, the risk of bleeding, and the risk of thrombosis without anticoagulation should then be considered.

**Thrombophilias and Risk Stratification for Long-Term Management**

Thrombophilias may be hereditary or acquired, and hereditary thrombophilias have been stratified as mild or severe on the basis of the risk of recurrence in very large family cohorts. Among VTE patients, the hereditary thrombophilias with the highest cumulative recurrence rates for VTE in the absence of ongoing anticoagulation have been deficiencies of antithrombin, protein C, and protein S, with a 19% recurrence at 2 years, 40% at 5 years, and 55% at 10 years. Homozygous prothrombin G20210A; homozygous factor V Leiden; deficiencies of protein C, protein S, or antithrombin; combined thrombophilia defects; and antiphospholipid syndrome are categorized as severe.

Interestingly, the more common hereditary thrombophilias, such as heterozygous factor V Leiden and prothrombin G20210A or elevated factor VIII, have a much lower risk of recurrence (7% at 2 years, 11% at 5 years, and 25% at 10 years) and could be categorized as mild. Hyperhomocysteinemia, a common hereditary or acquired risk factor for VTE, was not significantly associated with a high risk of recurrence. In addition, the annual incidence and the risk of recurrence increased markedly in those with combined thrombophilic defects, described as double heterozygous/homozygous.

There are several important points regarding the hereditary thrombophilia data described above. First, the familial nature of these deficiencies of protein C, S, or antithrombin was clearly established, which distinguishes these patients from those with sporadic or acquired abnormalities. Second, testing for deficiencies of protein C, S, and antithrombin must be performed at least 6 weeks after a thrombotic event and then confirmed with repeat testing and family studies. In addition, protein C and S functional activity and antithrombin levels are difficult to interpret during treatment with warfarin. Therefore, testing for these conditions is generally indicated 2 to 4 weeks after completion of anticoagulation. Lastly, clearly established deficiencies of proteins C, S, and antithrombin are relatively uncommon.

Antiphospholipid antibody syndrome is an acquired thrombophilia associated with specific laboratory criteria (lupus anticoagulant, anticardiolipin antibody, and anti-β2-glycoprotein I) and a history of a venous or arterial event or fetal loss. Caution must be taken when the results of antiphospholipid antibody testing are interpreted. A normal result may occur at the time of the clinical presentation, which rules out antiphospholipid antibody syndrome. On the other hand, abnormal tests may occur transiently due to the disease process, infection, certain medications (antibiotics, cocaine, hydralazine, procainamide, quinine, and others), or unknown causes. Approximately 5% of the general population at any given time has evidence of abnormal tests, and these mainly have no clinical consequence.

A diagnosis of antiphospholipid syndrome requires abnormal laboratory testing on 2 or more occasions at least 12 weeks apart. Patients diagnosed with antiphospholipid syndrome have an increased risk of recurrent thrombotic events; however, test results cannot predict the likelihood of complications, their type, or their severity in a particular patient.

Although there are no prospective studies that report recurrence rates for CVT specifically, the high risk of recurrent VTE with this disorder meets the definition of severe thrombophilia. The Duration of Anticoagulation Study Group reported a 29% recurrence of VTE in patients with anticardiolipin antibodies versus 14% in those without them (P=0.001) over a 4-year period, and the risk increased with the titer of the antibodies. In a randomized controlled trial of warfarin for 3 months versus extended treatment for 24 months after first-ever idiopathic DVT or PE, the presence of antiphospholipid antibodies was associated with a 4-fold increased risk of recurrence (hazard ratio [HR] 4.0, 95% CI 1.2 to 13), and the presence of a lupus anticoagulant was associated with a 7-fold increased risk (HR 6.8, 95% CI 1.5 to 31) in the placebo group. The current recommendations for VTE patients call for indefinite anticoagulation (adjusted-dose warfarin INR 2.0 to 3.0 or heparin) for patients with antiphospholipid syndrome.

**Other Tests That Might Define Risk of Recurrent CVT or VTE After CVT**

In patients with DVT or PE, evidence suggests there is clinical utility to D-dimer measurement when used to define risk of recurrent VTE. For example, in a randomized controlled trial (n = 608), patients with an abnormal D-dimer level 1 month after the discontinuation of anticoagulation had a significant incidence of recurrent VTE (15% versus 2.9%), which was reduced by the resumption of anticoagulation (compared with those not receiving vitamin K antagonists, P=0.02). During 1.4 years of follow-up, 120 subjects with an abnormal D-dimer level were randomized to no anticoagulation, and 18 (15%) in this group...
developed a recurrent VTE. Of the 103 patients with abnormal D-dimer randomized to resume anticoagulation, only 3 (2.9%) had a recurrent VTE. Although the study was randomized, it was unblinded, and D-dimer levels were only obtained once. In addition, there were no subjects with CVT and no similar studies in CVT patients. Although the clinical utility of D-dimer for longer-term anticoagulation for VTE secondary prevention appears promising, the lack of standardization of D-dimer assays may limit their clinical applicability and reliability.

**Recommendations**

1. Testing for prothrombotic conditions, including protein C, protein S, antithrombin deficiency, antiphospholipid syndrome, prothrombin G20210A mutation, and factor V Leiden, can be beneficial for the management of patients with CVT. Testing for protein C, protein S, and antithrombin deficiency is generally indicated 2 to 4 weeks after completion of anticoagulation. There is a very limited value of testing in the acute setting or in patients taking warfarin.

2. In patients with provoked CVT (associated with a transient risk factor), vitamin K antagonists may be continued for 3 to 6 months, with a target INR of 2.0 to 3.0 (Table 3) (Class IIb; Level of Evidence C).

3. In patients with unprovoked CVT, vitamin K antagonists may be continued for 6 to 12 months, with a target INR of 2.0 to 3.0 (Class IIb; Level of Evidence C).

4. For patients with recurrent CVT, VTE after CVT, or first CVT with severe thrombophilia (ie, homozygous prothrombin G20210A; homozygous factor V Leiden; deficiencies of protein C, protein S, or antithrombin; combined thrombophilia defects; or antiphospholipid syndrome), indefinite anticoagulation may be considered, with a target INR of 2.0 to 3.0 (Class IIb; Level of Evidence C).

5. Consultation with a physician with expertise in thrombosis may be considered to assist in the prothrombotic testing and care of patients with CVT (Class IIb; Level of Evidence C).

**Management of Late Complications (Other Than Recurrent VTE)**

**Headache**

Headache is a common complaint during the follow-up of CVT patients, occurring in ~50% of patients. In general, headaches are primary and not related to CVT. In the Lille study, 53% of patients had residual headache, 29% fulfilled criteria for migraine, and 27% had headache of the tension type. In VENOPORT, 55% of patients reported headaches during the follow-up, and these were mild to moderate in 45%. In a series of 17 patients presenting with headache as the only neurological sign of CVT, several patients had headaches at 3 months, which comprised migraine attacks similar to those that occurred previously (4), tension type (2), and new onset of migraine with aura (2). At follow-up, severe headaches that required bed rest or hospital admission were reported in 14% of patients in the ISCVT and 11% in VENOPORT. In patients with persistent or severe headaches, appropriate investigations should be completed to rule out recurrent CVT. Occasionally, MRV may show stenosis of a previously occluded sinus, but the clinical significance of this is unclear. Headache during follow-up is more common among patients who present acutely as having isolated intracranial hypertension. In these patients, if headache persists and MRI is normal, lumbar puncture may be needed to exclude elevated intracranial pressure.

**Seizures**

Focal or generalized post-CVT seizures can be divided into early or remote (occurring >2 weeks after diagnosis) seizures. On the basis of case series, remote seizures affect 5% to 32% of patients. Most of these seizures occur in the first year of follow-up. In ISCVT, 11% of the patients experienced remote seizures (36 patients by 6 months, 55 by 1 year, and 66 by 2 years). Risk factors for remote seizures were hemorrhagic lesion on admission CT/MRI (HR 2.62, 95% CI 1.52 to 4.52), early seizure (HR 2.42, 95% CI 1.38 to 4.22), and paresis (HR 2.22, 95% CI 1.33 to 3.69). Five percent of the patients had post-CVT epilepsy (>1 remote seizure). Post-CVT epilepsy was also associated with hemorrhagic lesion on admission CT/MRI (OR 6.76, 95% CI 2.26 to 20.41), early seizure (OR 3.99, 95% CI 1.16 to 11.0), and paresis (OR 2.75, 95% CI 1.33 to 6.54). Initiation of antiepileptic drugs for a defined duration is recommended to prevent further seizures in patients with CVT and parenchymal lesions who present with a single seizure. Recommendations covering different scenarios are provided in the section on the “Management and Prevention of Early Complications.”

**Visual Loss**

Severe visual loss due to CVT rarely occurs (2% to 4%). Papilledema can cause transient visual impairment, and if prolonged, optic atrophy and blindness may ensue. Visual loss is often insidious, with progressive constriction of the visual fields and relative sparing of central visual acuity. Visual deficits are more common in patients with papilledema and those who present with increased intracranial pressure. Delayed diagnosis is associated with an increased risk of later visual deficit. Patients with papilledema or visual complaints should have a complete neuroophthalmological study, including visual acuity and formal visual field testing.

**Dural Arteriovenous Fistula**

Thrombosis of the cavernous, lateral, or sagittal sinus can later induce a dural arteriovenous fistula. A pial fistula can also follow a cortical vein thrombosis. The relationship between the 2 entities is rather complex, because (1) dural fistulas can be a late complication of persistent dural sinus occlusion with increased venous pressure, (2) the fistula can close and cure if the sinus recanalizes, and (3) a preexisting fistula can be the underlying cause of CVT. The exact frequency of dural fistula after CVT is not known because there are no cohort studies with long-term angiographic investigation. The incidence of dural arteriovenous fistula was low in cohort studies without systematic angiographic follow-up (1% to 3%). A cerebral angiogram may help identify the presence of a dural arteriovenous fistula.
Recommendation

1. In patients with a history of CVT who complain of new, persisting, or severe headache, evaluation for CVT recurrence and intracranial hypertension should be considered (Class I; Level of Evidence C).

CVT in Special Populations

CVT During Pregnancy

Pregnancy induces changes in the coagulation system that persist into the puerperium and result in a hypercoagulable state, which increases the risk of CVT. Incidence estimates for CVT during pregnancy and the puerperium range from 1 in 2500 deliveries to 1 in 10 000 deliveries in Western countries, and ORs range from 1.3 to 13.238–246 The greatest risk periods for CVT include the third trimester and the first 4 postpartum weeks.240 Up to 73% of CVT in women occurs during the puerperium.241 Cesarean delivery appears to be associated with a higher risk of CVT after adjustment for age, vascular risk factors, presence of infections, hospital type, and location (OR 3.10, 95% CI 2.26 to 4.24).35

Vitamin K antagonists, including warfarin, are associated with fetal embryopathy and bleeding in the fetus and neonate and thus are generally believed to be contraindicated in pregnancy. Therefore, anticoagulation for CVT during pregnancy and early in the puerperium consists of LMWH in the majority of women.220

In contrast to UFH, LMWH is not associated with teratogenicity or increased risk of fetal bleeding. The American College of Chest Physicians guidelines for antithrombosis address prevention and treatment of DVT and pulmonary embolus in pregnancy and the puerperium, recommending LMWH over UFH (recommendation 4.2.1).241a They recommend that treatment be continued throughout pregnancy and for at least 6 weeks postpartum (for a total minimum duration of treatment of 6 months). Although these recommendations are directed to systemic venous thrombosis, it is logical to apply them to CVT for several reasons. First, safety in terms of teratogenicity and fetal/newborn/maternal bleeding complications should be similar, and second, the recommendations are concordant with treatment of non–pregnancy–associated CVT. In a retrospective cohort study of 37 high-risk pregnancies, once-daily tinzaparin was studied for the prevention of initial or recurrent cerebral thrombosis. During treatment, no systemic venous thrombosis occurred; however, 1 parietal infarct and 1 postpartum CVT were documented.242 As in nonpregnant women, fibrinolytic therapy is reserved for patients with deterioration despite systemic anticoagulation, and its use has been reported during pregnancy.243

Future Pregnancies and Recurrence

Patients with previous VTE are at increased risk of further venous thrombotic events compared with healthy individuals.244,245 Similarly, women with a history of VTE appear to have an increased risk of thrombotic events (ie, DVT, PE) in future pregnancies.57 Pregnancy, and in particular puerperium, are known risk factors for CVT. Six studies investigated the outcome and complications of pregnancy in women who had CVT,10,117,175,246–248 with a total of 855 women under observation, of whom 83 became pregnant (101 pregnancies) after their CVT.

These studies found that the risk of complications during future pregnancies was low. In fact, 88% of the pregnancies ended in a normal birth, the remainder being terminated prematurely by voluntary or spontaneous abortion. There was only 1 case of recurrent CVT and 2 cases of DVT; however, a high proportion of spontaneous abortion was noted.

On the basis of the available evidence, CVT is not a contraindication for future pregnancies. Considering the additional risk that pregnancy confers to women with a history of CVT, prophylaxis with LMWH during future pregnancies and the postpartum period can be beneficial.

Recommendations

1. For women with CVT during pregnancy, LMWH in full anticoagulant doses should be continued throughout pregnancy, and LMWH or vitamin K antagonist with a target INR of 2.0 to 3.0 should be continued for at least 6 weeks postpartum (for a total minimum duration of therapy of 6 months) (Class I; Level of Evidence C).

2. It is reasonable to advise women with a history of CVT that future pregnancy is not contraindicated. Further investigations regarding the underlying cause and a formal consultation with a hematologist and/or maternal fetal medicine specialist are reasonable.10,117,175,246–248 (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B).

3. It is reasonable to treat acute CVT during pregnancy with full-dose LMWH rather than UFH (Class IIa; Level of Evidence C).

4. For women with a history of CVT, prophylaxis with LMWH during future pregnancies and the postpartum period is probably recommended (Class IIa; Level of Evidence C).

CVT in the Pediatric Population

The incidence of pediatric CVT is 0.67 per 100 000 children per year.91 When neonates are excluded, the reported incidence is 0.34 per 100 000 children per year.249 Neonates present with seizures or lethargy, whereas older infants and children (similar to adults) usually present with seizures, altered levels of consciousness, increasing headache with papiledema, isolated intracranial hypertension, or focal neurological deficits.

Risk Factors

Risk factors for pediatric CVT are age related. Neonates constitute 43% of pediatric patients with CVT.91 There are several likely reasons for their increased risk. First, considerable mechanical forces are exerted on the infant’s head during birth that result in molding of the skull bones along the suture lines. This results in mechanical distortion of and damage to the underlying dural venous sinuses and thrombosis. The neonate also has an increased thrombotic tendency.250 First, there is atransplacental transfer of circulating maternal antiphospholipids to the fetus, which can persist into the newborn period.251 Second, neonates have reduced levels of circulating anticoagulant proteins, including proteins C and S and antithrombin, and higher hematocrit relative to adults. Furthermore, hemoconcentration occurs with the normal fluid loss and relative dehydration of the neonate during the first week of postnatal life.
Multiple risk factors are present in more than half of neonates with CVT. Additional complications of gestation and labor and delivery increase the risk of CVT. Maternal preeclampsia/eclampsia is a reported risk factor for neonatal CVT. Neonatal diseases including head and neck infections, meningitis, dehydration secondary to feeding difficulties or gastroenteritis, and congenital heart disease also cause CVT.

A recent meta-analysis of observational studies estimated the impact of thrombophilia on the risk of arterial ischemic stroke and CVT. The reported magnitude of association was as follows: Antithrombin deficiency, OR 7.1 (95% CI 2.4 to 22.4); protein C deficiency, OR 8.8 (95% CI 4.5 to 17.0); protein S deficiency, OR 3.2 (95% CI 1.2 to 8.4); factor V G1691A, OR 3.3 (95% CI 2.6 to 4.1); factor II G20210A, OR 2.4 (95% CI 1.7 to 3.5); methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T (arterial ischemic stroke), OR 1.58 (95% CI 1.2 to 2.1); antiphospholipid antibodies (arterial ischemic stroke), OR 7.0 (95% CI 3.7 to 13.1); elevated lipoprotein(a), OR 6.3 (95% CI 4.5 to 8.7); and combined thrombophilias, OR 11.9 (95% CI 5.9 to 23.7). The authors also concluded that further studies are needed to determine the impact of thrombophilias on outcome and recurrence risk.

In older children and adolescents, systemic lupus erythematosus, nephrotic syndrome, leukemia or lymphoma with L-asparaginase treatment, and trauma are reported causes of CVT. Prothrombotic disorders ranged from 33% to 66% of neonatal and pediatric CVTs and are frequently present when there are other risk factors for CVT.

**Radiographic Diagnosis**

As in adults, a high index of suspicion for CVT and specific venous imaging are required make a diagnosis. This is especially true for neonates, who have nonspecific presentations that consist solely of seizures in the majority. The neuroimaging findings of CVT are similar in children and adults. In neonates, 2-dimensional TOF MRV has several advantages reported; however, follow-up was not systematic. There were no fatal or severe complications reported; however, follow-up was not systematic.

In children, and increasingly in neonates, the mainstay of CVT treatment is anticoagulation, including LMWH, UFH, and warfarin. Individual and regional practices vary widely in pediatric CVT and particularly in neonatal CVT. Seizures were observed in >50% of the pediatric population with CVT. Given the higher frequency of epileptic seizures in children, continuous electroencephalography monitoring may be considered for unconscious or mechanically ventilated children.

**Management of CVT in the Pediatric Population**

Consideration of endovascular treatment for neonates and children with CVT is driven by the high rates of adverse outcomes. No randomized clinical trials have been conducted in pediatric CVT. Therefore, treatment practices have been extrapolated primarily from adult studies.

In children, and increasingly in neonates, the mainstay of CVT treatment is anticoagulation, including LMWH, UFH, and warfarin. Individual and regional practices vary widely in pediatric CVT and particularly in neonatal CVT. Seizures were observed in >50% of the pediatric population with CVT. Given the higher frequency of epileptic seizures in children, continuous electroencephalography monitoring may be considered for unconscious or mechanically ventilated children.

**Primary Evidence**

Despite the absence of randomized trials, increasing evidence from case series and large observational studies supports the efficacy of anticoagulation in children or neonates with CVT. In the Canadian Pediatric Ischemic Stroke Registry, 85 of 160 children with CVT at 16 Canadian children’s hospitals received anticoagulation (25 neonates and 60 non-neonates). There were no fatal or severe complications reported; however, follow-up was not systematic.

In a European multicenter study among 396 pediatric patients (75 neonates) with CVT, 250 (63%) received acute anticoagulation. Twenty-two (6%) had recurrent VTE (13 cerebral; 3%) after a median of 6 months of follow-up. In the multivariable survival analysis, nonadministration of an anticoagulant before relapse (HR 11.2, 95% CI 3.4 to 37.0; P<0.0001), persistent occlusion on repeat venous imaging (HR 4.1, 95% CI 1.1 to 14.8; P=0.032), and heterozygosity for the prothrombin G20210A mutation (HR 4.3, 95% CI 1.1 to 16.2; P=0.034) were independently associated with recurrent VTE. Of note, there was no significant difference in recurrence based on medical conditions such as cancers (acute lymphoblastic leukemia, lymphoma, or brain tumor), type 1 diabetes mellitus, nephrotic syndrome, infectious diseases, or heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. The number of CVT cases needed to screen to detect at least 1 prothrombin G20210A heterozygote was 16. The number needed to treat for 1 year with anticoagulation to prevent 1 recurrent VTE was 32 for the entire group. The number needed to treat...
was 3 for those with prothrombin G20210A who were older than 2 years of age at diagnosis of CVT.245

A recently published case series from the Netherlands studied anticoagulation use in neonates with CVT, intraventricular hemorrhage, or thalamic hemorrhage.201 Among the 10 neonates, 1 infant died before therapy could be initiated, and 2 were born before typical use of LMWH therapy. The remaining 7 neonates received 3 months of LMWH (dalteparin) with a target anti-Xa level of 0.5 to 1.0 U/mL. There were no increased or new hemorrhages during treatment. Another pediatric CVT study that included 42 children reported safety and improved outcomes with anticoagulation even in the presence of ICH.187 Finally, in a prospective single-center study of protocol-based anticoagulation therapy among 162 pediatric patients, approximately half received anticoagulation at diagnosis, including 35% of neonates and 71% of children. Hemorrhagic complications were rare (6%); all were nonfatal and were associated with a favorable clinical outcome in the majority. Propagation of CVT thrombus was observed in more than one quarter of neonates and more than one third of children not treated with anticoagulation.264 Further studies on optimal dosing of anticoagulation with stratification by cerebral hemorrhage at the time of the diagnosis are in the planning stage through the International Pediatric Stroke Study.265,266

**Published Pediatric Stroke Guidelines**

In the past 5 years, 3 sets of guidelines addressing treatment of pediatric CVT were published.267–269 All 3 guidelines recommended use of anticoagulation with LMWH, UFH, and/or warfarin for 3 to 6 months in children beyond the newborn period, even in the presence of ICH.202,203 Of the few prospective studies, some did not analyze prognostic factors178,193,261 or performed only a bivariate analysis of such predictors275,276 or analyzed specific subgroups of patients.42,84,89,192 There are only 5 cohort studies5,55,93,167,203

**Recommendations**

1. Supportive measures for children with CVT should include appropriate hydration, control of epileptic seizures, and treatment of elevated intracranial pressure (Class I; Level of Evidence C).

2. Given the potential for visual loss owing to severe or long-standing increased intracranial pressure in children with CVT, periodic assessments of the visual fields and visual acuity should be performed, and appropriate measures to control elevated intracranial pressure and its complications should be instituted (Class I; Level of Evidence C).

3. In all pediatric patients, if initial anticoagulation treatment is withheld, repeat neuroimaging including venous imaging in the first week after diagnosis is recommended to monitor for propagation of the initial thrombus or new infarcts or hemorrhage (Class I; Level of Evidence C).

4. In children with acute CVT diagnosed beyond the first 28 days of life, it is reasonable to treat with full-dose LMWH even in the presence of intracranial hemorrhage (Class IIa; Level of Evidence C).

5. In children with acute CVT diagnosed beyond the first 28 days of life, it is reasonable to continue LMWH or oral vitamin K antagonists for 3 to 6 months (Class IIa; Level of Evidence C).

6. In all pediatric patients with acute CVT, if initial anticoagulation is started, it is reasonable to perform a head CT or MRI scan in the initial week after treatment to monitor for additional hemorrhage (Class IIa; Level of Evidence C).

7. Children with CVT may benefit from thrombophilia testing to identify underlying coagulation defects, some of which could affect the risk of subsequent rethromboses and influence therapeutic decisions250–252 (Class IIb; Level of Evidence B).

8. Children with CVT may benefit from investigation for underlying infections with blood cultures and sinus radiographs14,237,267 (Class IIIb; Level of Evidence B).

9. In neonates with acute CVT, treatment with LMWH or UFH may be considered72,179,201,236,263 (Class IIb; Level of Evidence B).

10. Given the frequency of epileptic seizures in children with an acute CVT, continuous electroencephalography monitoring may be considered for individuals who are unconscious or mechanically ventilated (Class IIb; Level of Evidence C).

11. In neonates with acute CVT, continuation of LMWH for 6 weeks to 3 months may be considered (Class IIb; Level of Evidence C).

12. The usefulness and safety of endovascular intervention are uncertain in pediatric patients, and its use may only be considered in carefully selected patients with progressive neurological deterioration despite intensive and therapeutic levels of anticoagulant treatment (Class IIb; Level of Evidence C).

**Clinical Outcomes: Prognosis**

There are several studies and reviews on the outcome and prognosis of CVT.181,206,257 The majority of such studies are retrospective (totally or in part).14,63,66,90,136,175,179,190,233,270–274 Of the few prospective studies, some did not analyze prognostic factors178,193,261 or performed only a bivariate analysis of such predictors275,276 or analyzed specific subgroups of patients.42,84,89,192 There are only 5 cohort studies5,55,93,167,203
that analyzed prognostic factors for the short-term and the long-term outcome of CVT patients (Table 6).6,10,117,177,277

Neurological Worsening After Diagnosis
Neurological worsening may occur in 23% of patients, even several days after diagnosis. Neurological worsening can feature depressed consciousness, mental status disturbance, new seizure, worsening of or a new focal deficit, increase in headache intensity, or visual loss. Approximately one third of patients with neurological deterioration will have new parenchymal lesions when neuroimaging is repeated. Patients with depressed consciousness on admission are more likely to deteriorate.1,278

Early Death
Approximately 3% to 15% of patients die in the acute phase of the disorder.28 Most early deaths are a consequence of CVT. In the ISCVT,10 21 (3.4%) of 624 patients died within 30 days from symptom onset; however, in a recent retrospective/prospective multicenter study16 from the United States, higher mortality (13%) was reported. Case series from developing countries also have higher figures for early deaths, with 6% reported in a large Pakistan-Middle East registry63 and 15% in a single-center case series from Iran.261

In the largest study, the ISCVT, risk factors for 30-day mortality were depressed consciousness, altered mental status, and thrombosis of the deep venous system, right hemisphere hemorrhage, and posterior fossa lesions. The main cause of acute death with CVT is transtentorial herniation secondary to a large hemorrhagic lesion,5 followed by herniation due to multiple lesions or to diffuse brain edema. Status epilepticus, medical complications, and PE are among other causes of early death.136,279

Late Deaths
Deaths after the acute phase are predominantly related to the underlying conditions, in particular malignancies.10,14

Long-Term Outcome
In the ISCVT study,25 complete recovery at last follow-up (median 16 months) was observed in 79% of the patients; however, there was an 8.3% overall death rate and a 5.1% dependency rate (mRS score ≥3) at the end of follow-up (12.6% if we consider patients who survived with an mRS score ≥2). In a systematic review that included both retrospective and prospective studies, overall mortality was 9.4%, and the proportion of dependency (mRS score ≥3 or Glasgow Outcome Scale score ≥3) was 9.7%.28 Two retrospective/prospective studies were reported after this review. In the Pakistan-Middle East registry,63 the dependency rate (mRS score ≥3) was higher (11%), whereas in the US multicenter registry,16 28% of patients were dependent at 12 months. Of note, some studies include patients transferred to tertiary care centers, whose strokes are usually more severe, with the potential for a referral bias. Among the 7 cohort studies (including the prospective part of retrospective/prospective studies in which information can be analyzed separately), the overall death and dependency rate was 15% (95% CI 13% to 18%).10

Neuropsychological and Neuropsychiatric Sequelae
There is little information on the long-term neuropsychological and neuropsychiatric outcome in CVT survivors.260,272 Despite the apparent general good recovery in most patients with CVT, approximately one half of survivors feel depressed or anxious, and minor cognitive or language deficits may preclude them from resuming their previous jobs.260,272

Aphasia, executive deficits, and amnesia may result from thrombosis of the deep venous system, with bilateral pantalamic infarcts. Memory deficits, behavioral problems, or executive deficits may persist.263,280

Aphasia, in general of the fluent type, results from left lateral sinus thrombosis with temporal infarct or hemorrhage. Recovery is usually favorable, but minor troubles in spontaneous speech and naming might persist.

Risk Factors for Long-Term Poor Outcomes
Risk factors for poor long-term prognosis in the ISCVT cohort were central nervous system infection, any malignancy, thrombosis of the deep venous system, intracranial hemorrhage on admission CT/MRI, Glasgow Coma Scale score <9, mental status disturbance, age >37 years, and male sex.55 Brain herniation leading to early death was more frequent in young patients, whereas late deaths due to malignancies and less favorable functional outcome were more frequent in elderly patients.6,10,89 Table 6 summarizes demographic, imaging, and clinical variables associated with poor prognosis.281,282 A Glasgow Coma Scale score of 14 to 15 on admission, a complete or partial intracranial hypertension syndrome (including isolated headache) as the only manifestation of CVT, and absence of aphasia were variables associated with a favorable outcome.117,177

Risk Score Models
Despite the overall favorable outcome, ≈15% of CVT patients die or become dependent after CVT.10,283 Risk stratification scores might improve the ability to inform CVT
patients of their individual prognosis and to select those who might benefit most from intensive monitoring and invasive treatments. One study created and validated a risk score model to predict a poor outcome. The risk score model range from 0 (lowest risk) to 9 (highest risk), and a cutoff of ≥3 points indicated a higher risk of death or dependency at 6 months. Two points were assigned for the presence of malignancy, coma, or thrombosis of the deep venous system and 1 point for male sex, presence of decreased level of consciousness, or ICH. The predictive ability (c-statistics) in the derivation cohort was 85.4%, 84.4%, and 90.1% in the validation samples. Sensitivity and specificity in the combined samples were 96.1% and 13.6%, respectively.

Another study\(^{284}\) incorporated age >37 years and central nervous system infection into this model and assigned a weighted index to each variable. The study validated the score in 90 CVT patients and obtained an area under the receiver operator characteristic curve of 0.81 to predict mortality. With a cutoff score of ≥14, sensitivity was 88% and specificity was 70%. The predictive value for good outcome, defined as an mRS score <2, was 95%, and for bad outcome, it was 39%.

Recanalization

In a systematic review of 5 small studies,\(^{28}\) recanalization rates of CVT at 3 months and 1 year of follow-up were 84% and 85%, respectively. The highest rates of recanalization are observed in deep cerebral veins and cavernous sinus thrombosis and the lowest rates in lateral sinus thrombosis.\(^{193}\) In adults, recanalization of the occluded sinus is not related to outcome after CVT.\(^{41,194}\)

Summary/Future Considerations

This statement provides an extensive and critical review of the literature related to the diagnosis and management of CVT and its most common complications.

A dural sinus or cerebral venous thrombosis (CVT) accounts for 0.5% to 1% of all strokes, mostly affecting young individuals and women of childbearing age.\(^{1,4,6}\) Patients with CVT commonly present with headache, although some develop a focal neurological deficit, decreased level of consciousness, seizures, or intracranial hypertension without focal neurological signs.\(^{1,4,6}\) Uncommonly, an insidious onset may create a diagnostic challenge. A prothrombotic factor or a direct cause is identified in approximately two thirds of patients with sinus thrombosis. The diagnosis is usually made by venographic studies with CT (CTV) or MRI (MRV) to demonstrate obstruction of the venous sinuses or cerebral veins by thrombus.\(^{70,96}\) Management of CVT includes treatment of the underlying condition; symptomatic treatment; the prevention or treatment of complications of increased intracranial pressure, ICH, or venous infarction; and typically, anticoagulation therapy (see algorithm in Figure 4).

Diagnostic and therapeutic techniques in stroke are in continuous evolution. Important advances have been made in the understanding of the pathophysiology of cerebral sinus thrombosis. Yet promising techniques (endovascular procedures, hemicraniectomy for the management of refractory intracranial hypertension in the context of mass effect or ICH, etc) need to be evaluated rigorously before they are widely adopted.

Despite substantial progress in the study of CVT in recent years, much of the literature remains descriptive. The CVT writing group made an effort to highlight areas that require further study (eg, larger randomized clinical trials to determine the benefit of therapeutic interventions) and provided suggestions that reflect the current standard practice. A randomized clinical trial aimed at comparing the benefit of anticoagulation therapy versus endovascular thrombolysis (TO-ACT Trial; Thrombolysis Or Anticoagulation for Cerebral Venous Thrombosis) is under way. The results of TO-ACT may contribute to improving the acute management of patients with CVT.

Management dilemmas in CVT can be complex. Healthcare providers managing these patients may require assistance from appropriate subspecialists given that there is no strong literature evidence to guide some of these challenging clinical decisions. The present statement is unlikely to end the debate about the management of CVT. Rather, the content of the present statement should be seen as a compilation of the best available evidence at the present time. Through a process of innovative research and systematic evaluation, diagnosis, management, and therapeutic alternatives will continue to evolve and consequently lead to better outcomes for patients with CVT.

Search Strategy

To address the diagnosis and management of CVT, we systematically searched in PubMed on the following terms: “cerebral vein thrombosis” OR “cerebral venous thrombosis” OR “sinus thrombosis.” Then, we refined our search by combining these with “epidemiology,” “management,” “diagnosis,” “imaging,” “MRI,” “randomized trial,” “prognosis,” and “outcome.” These terms were searched with regard to adults, pregnant women, children, and neonates. Our last search was undertaken on July 7, 2010. No language restriction was placed on the searches. Because the intention was to guide readers on the management of CVT based on a comprehensive review of the literature, including sometimes specific and/or uncommon clinical situations, no formal restrictions or further quality assessment was undertaken.

For the treatment section, we reviewed systematic reviews and guideline statements of the Cochrane Collaboration,\(^{161}\) the AHA/American Stroke Association,\(^{285}\) the American College of Chest Physicians,\(^{162,163}\) and the European Federation of Neurological Sciences,\(^{164}\) in addition to literature reviews and treatment guidelines. For specific therapeutic alternatives, we combined (“cerebral vein thrombosis” OR “cerebral venous thrombosis” OR “sinus thrombosis”) with “hemicraniectomy,” “thrombolysis,” or “endovascular.” Secondary sources of data included reference lists of articles reviewed and cohort studies that related treatment to outcomes.

Authors assigned to each section were responsible for checking for additional references for their specific topic. For the section on “CVT in the Pediatric Population,” we also reviewed the guideline statements of the AHA\(^{267}\) and the “American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition)” on antithrombotic therapy in neonates and children.\(^{269}\) For the section on “CVT During Pregnancy,” we also reviewed the guideline statements from the American College of Chest Physicians.\(^{241a}\)
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Diagnóstico y tratamiento de la trombosis venosa cerebral. Comunicado de la American Heart Association/American Stroke Association para los profesionales de la salud

El Stroke Council on Epidemiology and Prevention de la American Heart Association aborda por primera vez la realización de unas guías de práctica clínica para el diagnóstico y tratamiento de la trombosis venosa cerebral. Aunque se trata de un tipo poco frecuente de ictus (representando sólo el 0,5-1% del total), presenta unas características diferenciales importantes al afectar predominantemente a pacientes jóvenes y ser múltiples los factores de riesgo asociados a esta enfermedad. Se establecen recomendaciones basadas en la evidencia que abordan tanto los métodos diagnósticos de laboratorio y neuroimagen; las diferentes opciones terapéuticas, desde el tratamiento anticoagulante (con el uso de heparina en fase aguda) hasta nuevas alternativas en desarrollo como el tratamiento endovascular (trombectomía/trombolisis); y el manejo de las posibles complicaciones asociadas a la trombosis venosa cerebral, tanto las precoces (hídrosis, hipertensión intracraneal, crisis) como las tardías (recurrencias de trombosis venosa cerebral, cefalea, crisis, pérdida visual, fistula arteriovenosa). Por último, se analiza la evidencia disponible sobre el manejo de esta enfermedad en dos grupos poblacionales especialmente susceptibles: mujeres gestantes y niños. (Comentario al artículo Diagnosis and Management of Cerebral Venous Thrombosis. A Statement for Healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Gustavo Saposnik, Fernando Barinagarrementeria, Robert D. Brown, Jr, Cheryl D. Bushnell, Brett Cucchiara, Mary Cushman, Gabrielle deVeber, Jose M. Ferro, Fong Y. Tsai on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council and the Council on Epidemiology and Prevention. Stroke. 2011;42:1158-1192.)

脳静脈洞血栓症の疫学と危険因子
CVT は若年者に発症し、発症率は5人/100,000/年と推定され、全脳卒中の0.5～1.0%を占める。CVT には遺伝性または後天性の凝固亢進状態が存在する。遺伝性因子（血栓性素因）には抗凝固蛋白欠乏症（アンチトロンビン III、プロテイン C、プロテイン S）、第5凝固因子 Leiden 変異、プロトロンビン G20210A 変異が含まれている。高ホモシステイン血症と CVT の関連についてはまだ結論が得られていない。後天性因子には手術、外傷、妊娠、産褥、抗リン脂質抗体症候群、悪性腫瘍、ホルモン療法などが有するが、特にプロトロンビン G20210A 変異が第5凝固因子 Leiden 変異の保有者ではOCによりリスクが極めて高くなる。幼少児では感染症がCVTの原因であることが多い。

脳静脈洞血栓症の臨床診断
CVT の臨床所見は頭蓋内圧亢進と脳実質の虚血/出血によると、多くの場合両者が併存する。頭痛は90%の症例にみられ、頭痛に乳頭浮腫か結膜の浮腫（外転神経障害）が併存すれば CVT を考慮すべきである。局所症状としては片麻痺、失語が多い。局所または全身性けいれん発作は約40%にみられ、脳深部静脈系では視床の損傷により、局所症状なしに意識障害を発症することがある。臨床的にCVT を疑った場合、血液検査ではプロトロンビン時間（PT-INR）と活性化部分トロンボプラスチン時間（aPTT）を測定し、凝固亢進状態を起こし得る疾患と薬物を検索する必要がある（表1：推奨クラスI、エビデンス・レベルC）。脳脊髄液検査は髄膜炎の疑いがあるとき以外ではあまり有用でないが、髄液圧の亢進は80%にみられる。D-ダイマーは深部静脈血栓（DVT）や肺塞栓（PE）の除外に有用だが、D-ダイマー値が正常である場合、CVT の可能性は低いかもしれない（表1：推奨クラスIIb、エビデンス・レベルB）除外はできない。

脳静脈洞血栓症の画像診断（表2）
頭部CTは頭痛やけいれん発作がある症例の検査の第一歩であるが、造影なしでは診断感度は低い。上矢状静脈洞後方部に血栓が形成されると高シグナル（high density: HD）三角を認められることがある（デルタシグナル）。造影CTでは静脈洞の血栓が造影され血栓の血栓をおりとし認められ、静脈洞に沿う硬膜の血栓が増強される。MRIでは静脈洞内に血栓が認められるが、血栓形成後の時期により性状が異なる。CT静脈造影（CTV）は迅速かつ確実にCVTを描出するが、隠検した骨により見にくい
脳静脈洞血栓症の管理と治療（表3～5）

1) 急性期の管理と内科的治療（表3）：脳卒中集中治療室（SU）での徹底した管理により合併症が予防できると考えられる。急性期の抗凝固療法に乏しく議論が続く理由は、CVTの診断がつく際には出血性脳塞が脳内出血（ICH）が起こっていることが多く、安全性が問題になるためである。

2) 急性期の血管内・脳外科治療（表3）：カテーテル血栓塩化法では血栓の機械的な破壊と局所での線溶療法を行う。機械的血栓塩化法にはバルーン血栓塩化術やLeVeen血栓塩化術がある。これらの血管内治療の有用性はまだ確立されていないが、もし抗凝固療法中の臨床的悪化、静脈性梗塞やICHによる圧排等を考慮すべきである。

3) 早期合併症の管理と予防（表4）：けいれん発作は成人の37％、幼少児の48％、新生児の71％に発症するとされる。単回のけいれん発作後に抗けいれん薬を開始することは妥当であるが、発作のない患者への予防的投与は有効な場合がある。頭蓋内圧亢進に対する治療としては脳室膈塞術、脳室・腹腔シャント術が必要となる。脳外科的には、頭蓋内圧亢進による脳室内出血には開頭減圧術が、重度の神経徴候を伴う場合には血腫摘出術が必要となる。

4) 長期の管理と再発予防（表5）：特定の血栓性素因や疾患では再発リスクが高いため、抗凝固療法と抗凝固療法のリスクについての無作為試験がないため、再発予防策はCVTとDVTに関する観察研究に基づいている。ISCVT研究では16か月間にCVTの再発（2.2％）と他の血栓性イベント（4.3％）を合わせて6.5％にみられ、その41.5％は抗凝固療法を受けていたにもかかわらず、CVTにおける抗凝固療法が支持されている。CVTでは、aPTTで調整した未分画ヘパリンと低分子ヘパリンの効果を示すデータはない。線溶療法（fibrinolysis）は抗凝固療法によっても臨床的悪化や頭蓋内圧亢進がみられる場合には考慮される。ステロイド薬は抗血小板薬を軽減させる役目がある。

向（OR = 1.7）が示された。感染症とCVTが疑われる症例の治療には抗生物質による局所または全身性感染症の治療と感染源のドレナージを考慮すべきである。

2) 急性期の血管内・脳外科治療（表3）：カテーテル血栓塩化法では血栓の機械的な破壊と局所での線溶療法を行う。機械的血栓塩化術や血栓塩化術にはバルーン血栓塩化術と動脈血栓塩化術に用いられるMerciリトリバー・Penumbraがあり、局所線溶療法で効果が認められない静脈血栓症に使うことがある。これらの血管内治療の有用性はまだ確立されていないが、もし抗凝固療法中の臨床的悪化、静脈性梗塞やICHによる圧排等を考慮すべきである。
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クラス I 検査や治療法の有用性および有効性を示すエビデンスまたは一般的合意がある。

クラス II 検査や治療法の有用性および有効性に関して相反するエビデンスまたは見解の相違が認められる。

クラス IIa 検査や治療法の有用性および有効性を支持するエビデンスまたは見解が多数を占める。

クラス IIb 有用性および有効性を支持するエビデンスや見解は十分ではない。

クラス III 検査や治療法が有用または有効でなく、場合によっては有害となり得ることを示すエビデンスまたは一般的合意がある。

治療の推奨

エビデンスレベル A 多数の非無作為試験またはメタ解析により示されたデータがある。

エビデンスレベル B 1つの非無作為試験または多数の非無作為試験より示されたデータがある。

エビデンスレベル C 専門家の合意した見解、症例研究、または標準治療法。

診断の推奨

エビデンスレベル A 参照基準を用いてマスクリレー評価者により施行された数の前向きコホート研究のデータがある。

エビデンスレベル B 1つのグレード A の研究、または1つ以上の症例对照研究のデータ、あるいは参照基準を使ってマスクされていない評価者によって施行された研究のデータがある。

エビデンスレベル C 専門家の合意した見解。

表1 AHAガイドラインにおける推奨のクラスとエビデンスレベルの定義

クラス I

検査や治療法の有用性および有効性を示すエビデンスまたは一般的合意がある。

クラス II

検査や治療法の有用性および有効性に関して相反するエビデンスまたは見解の相違が認められる。

クラス IIa

検査や治療法の有用性および有効性を支持するエビデンスまたは見解が多数を占める。

クラス IIb

有用性および有効性を支持するエビデンスや見解は十分ではない。

クラス III

検査や治療法が有用または有効でなく、場合によっては有害となり得ることを示すエビデンスまたは一般的合意がある。

治療の推奨

エビデンスレベル A 多数の非無作為試験またはメタ解析により示されたデータがある。

エビデンスレベル B 1つの非無作為試験または多数の非無作為試験より示されたデータがある。

エビデンスレベル C 専門家の合意した見解。

診断の推奨

エビデンスレベル A 参照基準を用いてマスクリレー評価者により施行された数の前向きコホート研究のデータがある。

エビデンスレベル B 1つのグレード A の研究、または1つ以上の症例对照研究のデータ、あるいは参照基準を使ってマスクされていない評価者によって施行された研究のデータがある。

エビデンスレベル C 専門家の合意した見解。

属し、頻回にみられる第5凝固因子 Leiden 変異のヘテロ接合体やプロトロンビン G20210A 変異のヘテロ接合体は軽度に分類される。高ホモシステイン血症には遺伝性と後天性があるが、軽度に属する。抗リン脂質抗体症候群は後天性の血栓性素因で臨床検査異常（ループス抗体、抗カルジオリピン抗体、抗β2糖蛋白 I）と病歴（静脈・動脈性イベントまたは流産）を伴う。臨床検査は最短 12 週間隔で最低 2 回施行すべきである。診断が確立すれば無期限の抗凝固療法（INR 2.0 ~ 3.0）が推奨される。

5) 晩期合併症の管理：CVT 後の頭痛は約 50%の患者にみられ、その多くは CVT と関係のない片頭痛や緊張性頭痛であるが、持続性または重度の頭痛がある患者には CVT の再発を除外するため適切な検査をすべきである（表 1：推奨クラス I，エビデンス・レベル C)。CVT 後の局所または全般性のけいれん発作は、早期発症と CVT 診断後 2 週以上を経た晚期発症に分けられる。早期発作のリスクとしては入院時の出血性病変、早期のけいれん発作、麻痺がある。脳実質病変のある患者のけいれん発作後には、一定期間抗けいれん薬を開始することが推奨される。CVT による視力障害は稀である。乳頭浮腫は一過性の視力障害を起こすが、乳頭浮腫が長期に続くと視神経萎縮を生じたことがあるため、眼科的精査が必要である。硬膜動静脈瘻は海綿静脈洞、外側静脈洞、矢状静脈洞の血栓症で起こる可能性が高く、DSA が硬膜動静脈瘻の検出に有用である。

妊娠中の脳静脈洞血栓症（表 6）

妊娠と産褥は凝固亢進状態をもたらす。CVT のリスクが最も高い時期は妊娠第 3 期から出産後で、73%が産褥期に起こり、帝王切開もリスクを高める。乳頭浮腫や胎児奇形が胎児出血を招くため、妊娠中と産褥期の抗凝固療法には、大半の症例で LMWH が用いられる。LMWH には催奇性がなく、胎児出血のリスクを高くない。抗凝固療法は妊娠期間中と出産後 6 週間（全体で最低 6 カ月）継続することが推奨される。静脈性血栓塞栓症の既往がある女性が妊娠した場合には、血栓性イベント（DVT, PE）が高くなる危険があるが、CVT の既往がある女性の妊娠の転帰と合併症を検討した 6 件の研究では、将来の妊娠中の合併症リスクは低く、88%が正常分娩に至り、その他の妊娠が意図的または自然流産により中止された。CVT の既往をもつ女性の妊娠中リスクを考慮すると、将来の妊娠期間中と出産後の LMWH による予防は有益であろう。

小児科領域の脳静脈洞血栓症（表 7）

新生児はけいれん発作と傾眠で発症し、幼児期はけいれん発作、意識障害、乳頭浮腫を伴う頭痛、頭蓋内圧亢進などで発症する。危険因子については、新生児は出産時に頭蓋にストレスがかかり、抗凝固蛋白が少なく、母親の異常産後合併症、子発症症候群、出産不順などが危険因子と考えられる。遺伝性血栓性素因以外に、新生児では頸頭部の感染症、腸脳炎、脱水、先天性心疾患がリスクとなる。年齢期性症候群では、カナダの登録研究において 160 人の小児の 8%に死亡、13%に CVT の再発が認められた。新生児では死亡率は 7%であった。治療と管理についての無作為試験が存在しないため、成人のデータから推測する必要があるが、小児と新生児に対する LMWH、ワルファリンを含む抗凝固療法の有効性が支持されつつある。

（文責：柳原 武彦）
表２ 脳静脈洞血栓症の画像診断

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>推奨</th>
<th>エビデンスの分類とレベル</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 単純CTまたはMRIは、CVTが疑われる患者の初期評価に有用であるが、陰性所見が得られたからといってCVTの可能性が認められずではない。単純CTまたはMRIでCVTが疑われる患者に、陰性所見が得られた場合には、静脈造影（CTVまたはMRV）を実施すべきである。</td>
<td>クラスI、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 内科的治療を行っても症状が持続しない場合は再検査する。CVT疑い例や、単純CTまたはMRIでCVTが疑われる患者の診断を重視するには、静脈造影（CTVまたはMRV）を実施すべきである。</td>
<td>クラスI、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. CVTの陰性例を、CVTを示唆する症例が再発した場合には、CVTまたはMRVによる再検査を実施することが推奨される。</td>
<td>クラスI、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. クラミドエントエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーア</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. CVTまたはMRVでは静脈血栓形成が疑われたもの、臨床的にはCVTの疑いが厚薄の患者には、スクリーニング検査が必要である。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 表の改訂版に加えて、診断から3〜6カ月後にCTVまたはMRVによる再検査を実施し、閉塞した皮質静脈/靜脈洞の再閉塞の評価を行うことが推奨される。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTV：CT静脈造影、CVT：脳静脈洞血栓症、MRV：磁気共鳴静脈造影。

表３ 脳静脈洞血栓症急性期の管理と治療

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>推奨</th>
<th>エビデンスの分類とレベル</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 急性期CVT患者の管理と治療はStroke Unitで行うのが妥当である。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 透析治療が疑われるCVT患者は適切な抗生物質を投与し、適宜CVTと関連した感染源の治療を行う。</td>
<td>クラスI、エビデンスレベルB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. ICHの有無にかかわらず、CVT患者に、用量を調整したUFHまたは体重に基づいた十分な抗凝固療法を行、その後ピタミンK拮抗薬を投与するのが妥当である。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 抗凝固療法を行っても病状が悪化する場合は、血栓内出血を検討してもよい。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTV：脳静脈洞血栓症、ICH：脳内出血、LMWH：低分子ヘパリン、Stroke Unit：脳卒中集中治療室、UFH：未分画ヘパリン。

表４ 脳静脈洞血栓症の早期合併症の管理と予防

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>推奨</th>
<th>エビデンスの分類とレベル</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. CVT患者の合併症の管理と予防はStroke Unitで行うのが妥当である。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 単純CTまたはMRIは、CVTが疑われる患者の初期診断に有用であるが、陰性所見が得られたからといってCVTの可能性が認められずではない。単純CTまたはMRIでCVTが疑われる場合に、陰性所見が得られた場合には、静脈造影（CTVまたはMRV）を実施すべきである。</td>
<td>クラスI、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. CVTの陰性例を、CVTを示唆する症例が再発した場合には、CVTまたはMRVによる再検査を実施することが推奨される。</td>
<td>クラスI、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. クラミドエントエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーエーよ</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. CVTまたはMRVでは静脈血栓形成が疑われたもの、臨床的にはCVTの疑いが厚薄の患者には、スクリーニング検査が必要である。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 表の改訂版に加えて、診断から3〜6カ月後にCTVまたはMRVによる再検査を実施し、閉塞した皮質静脈/静脈洞の再閉塞の評価を行うことが推奨される。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTV：脳静脈洞血栓症、Stroke Unit：脳卒中集中治療室。
脳静脈洞血栓症の診断と管理

表5 脳静脈洞血栓症の長期管理および再発予防

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>推奨</th>
<th>エビデンスの分類とレベル</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. CVT患者の管理には、プロテインC、プロテインS、アンチトロンビン欠損症、抗リン脂質抗体症候群、プロトロンビンG20210A変異、第Ⅴ因子Leiden変異を含む、凝固亢進状態の検査が有益だろう。一般的には、抗凝固療法終了から2〜4週後に、プロテインC、プロテインS、アンチトロンビン欠損症の検査を実施する。急性期の検査やウファリントリヒトニンの検査はほとんど有用性がない。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 非薬発性のCVT患者には、INR目標値を2.0〜3.0として、プロテインK拮抗薬の6〜12カ月継続を考慮してもよい。</td>
<td>クラスIIb、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. CVT再発例、CVT後のVTE症例、または重篤の血栓性素因が認められるCVT初発例（すなわちプロトロンビンG20210A変異キオニオン体、第Ⅴ因子Leiden変異キオニオン体、プロテインC・プロテインS・アンチトロンビン欠損症、複合的な血栓性素因異常、抗リン脂質抗体症候群）には、INR目標値を2.0〜3.0として、無期限の抗凝固療法を検討してもよい。</td>
<td>クラスIIb、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. CVT再発例、CVT後のVTE症例、または重篤の血栓性素因が認められるCVT初発例（すなわちプロトロンビンG20210A変異キオニオン体、第Ⅴ因子Leiden変異キオニオン体、プロテインC・プロテインS・アンチトロンビン欠損症、複合的な血栓性素因異常、抗リン脂質抗体症候群）には、INR目標値を2.0〜3.0として、無期限の抗凝固療法を検討してもよい。</td>
<td>クラスIIb、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 血栓症に詳しい医師に相談し、凝固亢進状態の検査やCVT患者のケアについて意見を作ってもよい。</td>
<td>クラスIIb、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVT:脳静脈洞血栓症、INR:国際標準化比、VTE:静脈血栓塞栓症。

表6 妊娠中の脳静脈洞血栓症

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>推奨</th>
<th>エビデンスの分類とレベル</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. CVTのある妊婦には、妊娠期間中、充分な抗凝固効果を得られる量のLMWHを継続すべきであり、出産後はLMWHまたはINR目標値を2.0〜3.0としてプロテインK拮抗薬を最低限6週間継続投与すべきである（総治療期間は最低限6カ月とする）。</td>
<td>クラスI、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 妊娠中の急性CVTには、UFHよりもLMWHの投与が妥当である。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. CVTのある妊娠中の女性には、将来の妊娠は禁止ではないことを助言することが適当である。根拠のある病因而は疫学調査、血液専門医および産婦人科専門医の診察を受けのが妥当である。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. CVTの既往のある妊娠中患者には、妊娠中および出産後にLMWHを予防的に投与する適応があるだろう。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVT:脳静脈洞血栓症、INR:国際標準化比、LMWH:低分子ヘパリン、UFH:未分画ヘパリン。

表7 小児における脳静脈洞血栓症の管理

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>推奨</th>
<th>エビデンスの分類とレベル</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. CVT患児には血液培養と副鼻腔洞X線検査を行い、根拠に感染症がないかどうかを調べることが考慮されてよい。</td>
<td>クラスIIb、エビデンスレベルB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CVT患児には急性期に関する検査を行い、根拠のある凝固療法を明らかにするのが有益であろう。</td>
<td>クラスIIb、エビデンスレベルB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 急性CVTを発症した新生児および新規にLMWHやUFHを開始してもよい。</td>
<td>クラスIIb、エビデンスレベルB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 急性CVTを発症した新生児には、6週間〜3カ月後にLMWHを継続投与することを考慮してもよい。</td>
<td>クラスIIb、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 生後28日以降に急性CVTを診察された小児には、たとえICHが認められても、LMWHの充分な投与が妥当である。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 生後28日以降に急性CVTを診察された小児には、LMWHまたは経口ビタミンK拮抗薬を3〜6カ月継続投与するのが妥当である。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. 急性CVTをきたしたすべての小児患者において、初期の抗凝固療法が開始された場合は、治療開始後1週間に以内に頭部CTまたはMRIを実施し、新たな出血を検査することが推奨される。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. すべての小児患者において、初期の抗凝固療法が行われない場合は、診療後1週間以内に頭部血腫を含めた脳腫瘍検査を再度実施し、初期血管の伸長や新規血腫または出血の有無を観察することが推奨される。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. CVT患児に対する支持的処置には、適切な水分補給、あらかじめの血腫の評価、腫脹や腫脹の治療を含めるべきである。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. 重度または長期に及ぶ頭蓋内圧亢進により脳の萎縮、視野障害、上眼瞼下垂、皮質下出血以外の脳血管障害が認められる場合、治療開始後1週間に以内に頭部CTまたはMRIを実施し、新たな出血を検査することが推奨される。</td>
<td>クラスI、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. 急性CVTの患児は、脳の萎縮、視野障害、視覚失調、運動失調、精神運動障害を含む脳障害の診断を考慮してもよい。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. 小児患者における脳静脈洞血栓症の有用性および安全性は明らかでないため、有効レベルの抗凝固療法による予防的治療に及ぼす影響を検討してもよい。</td>
<td>クラスIIa、エビデンスレベルC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVT:脳静脈洞血栓症、ICH:脳内出血、LMWH:低分子ヘパリン、UFH:未分画ヘパリン。
硬脑膜窦和(或)脑静脉血栓形成(CVT)是一种不常见的卒中类型，通常好发于年轻人[1]。危险因素具有多样性，缺乏统一的治疗方法，临床诊断和处理可能困难。CVT 大约占所有卒中的 0.5%-1%[2]。诱发因素包括先存疾病(如易栓症、炎症性肠道疾病)、一过性疾病(诸如妊娠、脱水、感染)、某些药物(诸如口服避孕药、毒品滥用)及不可预知的事件(诸如头部外伤)[3,4]。

CVT 的病因和临床表现形式具有多样性，不仅是神经内科和神经外科医生而且也是急诊科、普通内科、肿瘤科、血液科、妇产科、儿科以及家庭医生经常遇到的临床问题。本科学声明旨在回顾 CVT 的相关文献并提供诊断和处理建议。

### CVT 的流行病学和危险因素

CVT 是一种少见且经常被误诊的卒中类型，年发病率大约为 5/100 万，占所有卒中的 0.5%-1%[1]。CVT 更常见于青年个体。脑静脉和硬脑膜窦血栓形成国际研究(ISCVT)报告的 624 例中有 487 例(78%)病人的年龄小于 50 岁[1,6]。还没有人群研究报告过
CVT 的患病率。

病因和发病机制：CVT 的潜在危险因素

CVT 的诱因是多方面的。危险因素通常被归类为获得性危险(诸如手术、创伤、妊娠、围产期、抗磷脂抗体综合征、癌症、外源性激素)和遗传性危险(遗传性易栓症)。

表 3 总结了促凝因素与 CVT 之间因果关系[10,11]的证据[12-55]。总结的证据涉及联系强度和一致性、生物学可信度及时间性。其中，最符合这些标准的是抗凝血酶 III 缺乏、蛋白 C 和蛋白 S 缺乏症、凝血因子 V(factor V)Leiden 阳性、使用口服避孕药及高同型半胱氨酸血症。

血栓前状态

血栓前状态是研究最广泛的 CVT 危险因素之一。ISCVT 研究发现 34% 的 CVT 病人有遗传性或获得性血栓前状态[10]。抗凝血酶 III、蛋白 C 和蛋白 S 缺乏症

抗凝血酶 III、蛋白 C 和蛋白 S 缺乏症

两项研究已经对天然抗凝蛋白缺乏症(抗凝血酶 III、蛋白 C 和蛋白 S)作为 CVT 危险因素的作用进行了分析。一项研究对 121 例首次患 CVT 的病人和 242 例健康对照者进行了比较[36]。另一项研究对 51 例 CVT 病人和 120 例健康对照者进行了比较[12]。仅 1 例病人(2%)有抗凝血酶 III 缺乏。将两项研究合并之后，蛋白 C 缺乏症 CVT 危险的合并比值比 (odds ratio, OR) 是 11.1(95% 可信区间 [CI]1.87-66.05; P=0.009)，而蛋白 S 缺乏症是 12.5(95% CI 1.45-107.29; P=0.03)。

抗磷脂和抗心磷脂抗体

一项研究发现 CVT 病人中抗磷脂抗体的发生率 (9/121) 高于对照组 (0/242)[36]。另一项研究中，与正常人对照组的 3.2% 相比，31 例 CVT 病人中 22.6% 被检测出抗心磷脂抗体[12]。ISCVT 研究观察到的结果与之相似 (5.9%)[10]。

凝血因子 V Leiden 基因突变与活化蛋白 C 抗凝

活化蛋白 C 抗凝主要是由凝血因子 V Leiden 基因突变所致，而凝血因子 V Leiden 基因突变是一种常见的遗传性易栓性疾患。

凝血酶原 G20210A 基因突变

凝血酶原 G20210A 基因突变大约见于 2% 的白种人，它能导致凝血酶原水平的轻度增高[55,56]。一项对 9 项研究共 360 例 CVT 病人和 2688 例对照受者的 meta 分析报告[38]，此种基因突变的 CVT 混合 OR 值为 9.27(95% CI 5.85-14.67)[28]，比它与总的静脉血栓栓塞 (VTE) 的相关性要强。

高同型半胱氨酸血症

高同型半胱氨酸血症是深静脉血栓形成 (DVT) 和卒中中的一个危险因素，但对其与 CVT 危险性增加的相关性还不清楚。

妊娠和产褥期

妊娠和产褥期是一过性血栓前状态的常见原因[37]。大约 2% 的妊娠相关性卒中可归咎于 CVT[31]。据估计，产褥期 CVT 的发生率为 12/10 万次分娩，仅略低于产褥期动脉性卒中[38]。

大多数妊娠相关性 CVT 发生在妊娠晚期或产褥期[32]。妊娠可诱发凝血系统发生若干促凝改变，这些改变至少持续至产褥期的早期。分娩后由于血容量丢失和创伤可加重高凝状态，在产褥期，其它危险因素还有感染和器械辅助分娩或剖腹产等。

口服避孕药

应用口服避孕药与 CVT 危险增加有关，大多数患 CVT 的年轻非妊娠妇女是口服避孕药者，而在那些有某种遗传性易栓因素的妇女中口服避孕药者患 CVT 的危险更大。

癌症

据推测，CVT 在癌症特别是血液系统恶性肿瘤病人中或许更常见，但还未见到有对照组的研究。癌症与 CVT 之间联系的潜在机制包括肿瘤直接压迫、肿瘤侵犯脑静脉窦[39-41]或癌症伴随的高凝状态[60]。用于癌症治疗的化疗药物和激素也可能起到一定作用。

其它少见病因

新的神经影像技术近年来提高了发现 CVT 的能力并且也有助于识别出其它潜在的病因，其中包括感染，主要是位于脑膜附着部位(耳、副鼻窦、口腔、面部及颈部)的感染。感染所致的 CVT 在成年人中并不多见，但在儿童中却很常见。

一些个案病例报道和小规模病例系列研究已将其它疾病与 CVT 联系在一起，包括阵发性睡眠性血红蛋白尿、缺铁性贫血、血小板减少症、肝素诱导性血小板减少症、血栓性血小板减少性紫癜、肾病综合症、炎症性肠道疾病、系统性红斑狼疮、白塞氏病、硬膜外血肿、自发性低颅压以及腰穿。
CVT的临床诊断

主要临床表现

CVT的诊断通常基于临床怀疑和影像检查证实。根据神经系统功能障碍的机制，通常将CVT的临床表现分为两大类：一类与静脉引流受阻所致的颅内压增高有关，另一类与静脉性缺血/梗死所致的局灶性损伤有关。头痛是CVT最常见的症状，见于近90%的病人[10]。CVT所致头痛常为弥漫性且常有数天至数周的进行性加重。少数病人可表现为霹雳样头痛或偏头痛型头痛。没有局灶性神经系统表现或视乳头水肿的孤立性头痛可见于高达25%的CVT患者[65]。对于有头痛和视乳头水肿或复视（外展神经麻痹所致）的病人，即使没有其它提示特发性高颅内压的神经系统局灶性体征，CVT也是一个重要的诊断考虑。当因静脉性缺血或出血而发生局灶性脑损害时，经常出现与受累脑组织区域相符的神经系统体征和症状；最常见为偏瘫和失语，但其它皮层体征或感觉症状也可发生。精神异常伴局灶性神经系统体征的情况也有报道[66]。

临床表现还与血栓形成的部位有关。上矢状窦受累最常见，可导致头痛、颅内压增高和视乳头水肿[67]，也可出现运动缺失症状，有时伴有抽搐。在横窦静脉血栓形成，由于皮层受累，有时可见到偏瘫，对侧肢体无力及失语，可以发现与某一原发疾病有关的症状（中耳感染）[69]。深部脑静脉系统（大脑内静脉、大脑大静脉及直窦）的血栓形成，可导致丘脑或基底节梗死。皮层静脉血栓形成少见[76]。

一些临床特征有助于区分CVT和其他发病机制的脑血管病。首先，局灶性或全身性抽搐发作是常见的，大约发生于40%的病人。其次，常有双侧大脑半球受累，这一特征在深部静脉引流系统受累的病例中特别明显，当发生双侧丘脑受累时，可导致意识水平的改变而没有局灶性神经系统症状和体征。由于上矢状窦血栓形成和双侧半球受损，也可出现双侧运动受累体征，包括截瘫。最后，CVT病人常有缓慢进展的症状。CVT诊断常有延迟，而且令人印象深刻。

其它临床和实验室检查

常规血液检查

对怀疑CVT的患者有必要做全血细胞计数、生化、血沉以及凝血酶原时间及部分凝血酶时间测定。这些检查可发现一些异常，提示潜在高凝状态、感染过程、或炎症状态，所有这些情况都可促使CVT的发生发展。

建议

1. 对怀疑CVT的病人，应该做全血细胞计数、生化、凝血酶原时间及部分凝血酶时间组成的常规血液检查（Ⅰ级推荐；C级证据）。
2. 推荐在首次临床评估时筛查可诱发CVT的潜在易栓疾患（诸如应用避孕药、潜在炎症性疾病、感染过程）（Ⅰ级推荐；C级证据）。

腰椎穿刺

除非临床怀疑脑膜炎，否则脑脊液(CSF)检查对有局灶性神经系统功能异常和放射学上已确定CVT诊断的病人通常没有帮助。对急性头痛就诊的病人，腰穿初压增高可能是诊断CVT的一个线索。细胞数增高（大约见于50%的病人）和蛋白增高（约见于35%）是常见的，但没有细胞数和蛋白增加并不妨碍考虑CVT的诊断[10]。

D-二聚体

D-二聚体对CVT的特异性差，许多原因可导致D-二聚体增高。研究表明D-聚体测定有助于临床上排除CVT[77-81]。如果临床上高度怀疑CVT，则D-二聚体水平正常不应该妨碍进一步的评估。

建议

1. 一种敏感的免疫测定方法或快速酶联免疫吸附法(ELISA)测定的正常D-二聚体水平可以被考虑有助于识别血CVT概率低的病人（Ⅱb级推荐；B级证据）。如果临床上高度怀疑CVT，则D-二聚体水平正常不应该妨碍进一步的评估。

CVT诊断中的常见遗憾

有几种临床情景经常发生CVT的误诊或延迟诊断。

脑出血

大约30%-40%的CVT病人表现为脑出血(IH)[4,84]。鉴于这类病例中脑出血的发病机制有别于其它ICH的原因，识别出这些病人至关重要，对治疗有重要意义。提示ICH的病因为CVT的特征包括先驱性头痛（在其它原因ICH中很不寻常）、双侧脑实质异常以及有高凝状态的临床证据。单纯蛛网膜下腔出血的发生也可能是CVT所致，尽管这种情况罕见（在ISCVT研究中占0.8%）。出血部位对评估CVT的可能性也是一个重要考虑内容。

建议

1. 对原因不甚明确的脑叶ICH或跨经典动脉分布界限的脑梗死病人，应该做脑静脉系统的...
成像 (I 类推荐；C 级证据)。

孤立性头痛/特发性颅内压增高

将 CVT 与特发性颅内压增高区分开有重要的转归和治疗意义，建议对所有临床上认为特发性颅内压增高的病人进行脑静脉系统的成像 [67,85]。对于孤立性头痛的病人，识别 CVT 的合理策略还不十分清楚。头痛是一个相当常见的症状，而且绝大多数单纯头痛的病人都不会有 CVT。常规影像检查的成本-效益比和获益十分不确定。可能提示诊断并因此促使影像评估的因素包括新发的经保守治疗仍在数天至数周之内持续进展的不典型头痛以及霹雳样头痛 [64]。对有某种高凝状态的头痛病人，应高度警惕 CVT。

建议

1. 对临床上认为特发性颅内压增高的病人，推荐做脑静脉系统成像以排除 CVT (I 类推荐；C 级证据)。

2. 对有不典型特征的头痛病人，为排除 CVT 而做脑静脉系统成像是合理的 (IIa 推荐；C 级证据)。

孤立性精神状态改变

偶尔，CVT 病人可表现为嗜睡或意识浑浊而没有明显的局灶性神经症状异常 [96-98]。这类临床表现常见于老年人和有深静脉系统血栓形成者 [89,90]。尽管此种临床表现有许多发病机制，但是一个重要原因是深静脉系统受累所致的双侧丘脑病变。CT 扫描，尤其在病程早期做时，可无特殊改变；MRI 在这类病例通常会显示出异常。

CVT 诊断性影像学检查

在过去 20 年间，诊断性影像学检查在 CVT 的诊断和处理方面所起的作用越来越大 [2,3,55,91-97]。CVT 的诊断性成像可被分为两类：无创检查和有创检查。目的是明确与本病有关的血管和脑实质改变。部分病例仅能靠脑血管数字减影做出诊断 [72,91,98-100]。无创诊断检查包括：CT、MRI 及超声 CT

平扫头部 CT 是诊断 CVT 的首选检查，可以发现一些提示 CVT 的征象。静脉窦解剖变异使得 CT 对 CVT 的诊断不具敏感性，大约仅有 30% 的 CVT 病人有 CT 上的异常所见 [1,28,70,92,94,98]。急性期 CVT 在平扫 CT 上的主要征象是静脉窦或硬脑膜窦呈高密度改变。然而，大约仅有 1/3 的 CVT 被发现有高密度硬脑膜窦的直接征象 [70,94,96]。上矢状窦后部血栓可以看似一个高密度三角，即高密度或实心的 δ(delta) 征。平扫 CT 也可显示缺血性梗死，有时伴有出血成分。横跨常见动脉分界的 ( 特别是有出血成分的 ) 或紧邻某一静脉窦的缺血性梗死提示 CVT [93]。蛛网膜下腔出血和 ICH 并不常见 [99]。蛛网膜下腔出血仅见于 0.5%-0.8% 的 CVT 病人 [10,14,99]，而且一旦出现，其部位常在大脑凸面，恰与在动脉瘤破裂病人中经常观察到的 Willis 环区域相同。

强化 CT 可以显示静脉窦的硬膜强化伴静脉或静脉窦内的充盈缺损，呈典型的 “空 Δ” 征 (empty delta sign) [93]。这种征象在症状起病后数日内可能不会出现但可持续数日。

由于 CVT 的症状可能被疏忽或延迟就诊，使得 CVT 只有在亚急性期或慢性期才被发现。与邻近脑组织的密度比较，血栓可以呈等密度、低密度或混杂密度。这种情况下，强化 CT 或 CT 静脉造影术 (CTV) 可能有助于影像学诊断 [70-72,94,97,100-105]。

磁共振成像

通常，MRI 对 CVT 的显示在脑静脉血栓形成的每个阶段都比 CT 敏感 [1,70,96,97,101,106,107]。通过在 MRI 上发现某一静脉窦内有血栓可做出 CVT 的诊断 [105,108-111]。孤立性皮层静脉血栓被发现的几率比静脉窦血栓要小得多。静脉血栓的磁共振信号强度随成像检查时间距血栓形成的发生时间长短而变化 [6,65,94,101-107]。急性血栓可以是低信号，在第 1 周，由于脱氧血红蛋白含量的增加，静脉血栓通常在 T1 加权像上呈与脑组织相等的信号而在 T2 加权像上呈低信号。到第 2 周，血栓含有氧化血红蛋白导致其在 T1 和 T2 加权像上呈高信号 [2,10,42,70,71,73,74,91,98-100,105,106,108,112-128]。随着血栓的演变，静脉窦内出现脱氧血红蛋白和氧化血红蛋白的顺磁性代谢产物。此时硬膜窦或静脉内血栓在梯度回波和磁敏感加权磁共振成像上呈低信号 [70,119,129]。

CTV 在平扫 MRI 上的主要早期征象是硬膜窦内血流空影消失和信号强度改变。这一征象是 CT Δ(delta) 征的征象。急性静脉血栓可以呈酷似正常流空影的等信号，为确立诊断可能需要做强化 MRI 和 CTV 或 MR 静脉造影 (MRV)。

MRI 的次要征象与 CT 显示的类型可能相似，包括脑肿胀、水肿和 (或) 出血 [91,130-134]。CTV 脑实质病变在 MRI 上比在 CT 上更直观更清晰。点片状或融合成片状出血提示出血性静脉梗死。在一定程度上，与特定静脉窦有关的病变呈区域性分布。额叶、顶叶和枕叶的脑实质改变通常对应上矢状窦血栓形成。额叶脑实质改变对应 Eustachian 窦和乙状窦血栓形成。深部脑实质异常，包括白质出血、水肿或脑室内出血，与 Galen 静脉或直窦血栓形成对应。
CT静脉造影
CTV 为显示 CVT 提供了一种快速和可靠的检查方法，对亚急性期或慢性期 CTV 的诊断更有帮助。在诊断 CTV 方面，CTV 至少可与 MRV 比肩 [94,97,100,101,103,106]。

磁共振静脉造影
最常用的 MRV 技术是时间飞跃(TOF)MRV 和造影剂增强磁共振。二维 TOF 比三维 TOF 对缓慢血流的敏感性好。静脉窦发育不良在梯度回波或磁敏感加权成像上不会有窦内异常低信号。

对内科药物治疗下仍有持续的或进展性症状的病人，重复影像学检查 (包括 CTV 或 MRV) 可有助于识别新的缺血性病变、ICH、水肿、血栓扩展及其它脑实质病变的发生发展 [97,110,111,120,128,136-138,140,141]。

深部 CVT
深部静脉系统在 CT 和 MRI 上容易被显示且很少受到伪差的影响。

有创的诊断性血管造影检查
脑血管造影和直接脑静脉造影
有创的脑血管造影在有 MRV 和 CTV 的情况下很少被用来确立 CTV 的诊断 [108,125,133]，只有在 MRV 或 CTV 的结果没有定论或考虑行血管内治疗的情况下才做。

脑血管造影
血管造影的结果包括因闭塞所致的静脉窦不显影、静脉淤滞伴扩张的皮层、头皮或面部静脉、侧支引流微小静脉的扩张以及静脉血流逆转。脑血管造影的静脉期显示在形成血栓的脑静脉/窦内有充盈缺损。脑静脉或硬膜窦发炎不显影或闭锁可以导致 MRV 或 CTV 的结果没有定论，但是可被脑血管造影的静脉期澄清。急性期硬膜窦或皮层静脉血栓通常导致脑静脉期的延迟，而且脑血管造影可以显示脑静脉结构显影的延迟或缓慢。正常情况下，早期静脉在造影剂被注射到颈动脉之后的 4-5 秒开始显影，而整个脑静脉系统在 7-8 秒显影 [74,91,124,152]。如果脑静脉或硬膜窦在脑血管造影的正常序列中不能被显示，则怀疑急性血栓形成的可能性。

直接脑静脉造影
直接脑静脉血管造影是经颅内静脉途径利用微导管直接将造影剂注射到某一硬膜窦或脑静脉来进行 [74,91]。直接脑静脉造影通常是在血管内治疗操作过程中进行。在直接脑静脉造影上，管腔内血栓可被显示为管腔内充盈缺损或完全不显影。直接脑静脉造影过程中还可做静脉压力测定以识别静脉压增高。正常静脉窦压力 <10 mm H2O。

其它诊断性检查方法
经颅多普勒超声可被用于评估儿童病人，包括前后囟门闭合的新生儿或婴幼儿。超声和经颅多普勒可能有助于支持 CTV 的诊断和连续监测血栓和脑实质的改变 [152,154,155]。

灌注成像方法
应用正电子发射断层扫描的经验性证据表明，上矢状窦结扎同时伴有静脉性梗死后有脑血流量的降低 [156]。在年轻成年患者上矢状窦血栓形成病人也观察到局部脑血容量的增加 [157]。与在缺血性动脉性卒中病人观察到的类型 (平均通过时间延长和脑血容量降低) 相反，平均通过时间延长和脑血容量增加提示为静脉淤滞 [111,124]。

CTV 斜视学诊断方面的潜在缺陷：解剖变异、血栓信号多变性以及影像伪差

经 CT 或 MRI 发现有血管腔内血栓是 CTV 确诊的关键。然而，正常脑静脉解剖变异 (包括静脉窦闭锁/发育不良、不对称静脉引流以及蛛网膜颗粒或窦间分隔所致的正常静脉窦充盈缺损) 可以酷似静脉窦血栓形成，有时靠无创的成像检查除外 CTV 是不可能的 [2,71,72,95,97,106,108,109,125,142-150,158]。

建议
1. 尽管平扫 CT 或 MRI 有助于疑似 CTV 病人的最初评估，但是平扫 CT 或 MRI 结果阴性并不能排除 CTV。对疑似 CTV 的病人，如果平扫 CT 或 MRI 结果阴性或在平扫 CT 或 MRI 提示 CTV 情况下为确定 CTV 的范围，应做静脉造影检查 (CTV 或 MRV) (I 类推荐；C 级证据)。
2. 对内科治疗不充分的或有静脉性梗死的 CTV 病人，建议早期静脉 CTV 或 MRV (I 类推荐；C 级证据)。
3. 对表现为提示 CTV 复发症状的既往有 CTV 的病人，建议复查 CTV 或 MRV (I 类推荐；C 级证据)。
4. 对 CTV 或 MRV 没有定论但临床上仍高度怀疑 CTV 的病人，导管脑血管造影可能有帮助 (IIa 类推荐；C 级证据)。
6. 对病情稳定的病人，为了评价闭塞皮层静脉/静脉窦的再通情况，在诊断后3-6个月时随访CTV或MRV是合理的（IIa类推荐；C级证据）。

CTV急性期处理和治疗
本文提供了CTV病人诊断和处理的简要程序。

环境
组织化医疗是降低急性卒中后残疾率和死亡率的最有效干预措施之一[166,167]。CTV是一种不常见但又可能危及生命的原因。基于卒中单元的总体疗效，为了优化治疗和减少并发症，在卒中单元内进行CTV的初始处理是合理的。

初始抗凝治疗
CTV抗凝疗法有若干理由：预防血栓增长、促进血管再通以及预防深静脉血栓（DVT）或肺栓塞（PE）。因为在CTV诊断时常存在脑梗死伴出血转化或ICH，这种情况也可使治疗复杂化，因此对抗凝治疗一直有争议。

现有两项随机对照试验，在经造影剂强化成像确诊的CTV病人中对抗凝治疗和安慰剂或开放对照进行了比较。合起来看，这两项试验仅纳入79例病人。一项20例病人的试验对采用剂量调节的以使活化的部分凝血活酶时间达治疗前数值的两倍的静脉内普通肝素（UFH）和安慰剂进行了评价[171]。此研究使用肝素的方法为3000单位团注后持续静脉输注。主要结局是3个月时评估头痛、局灶体征、抽搐发作和意识水平的CTV严重程度量表。次要结局是ICH。此试验因有治疗获益而在纳入预期60例病人中的20例时被提前终止。在3个月时，肝素组10例病人中，8例完全恢复，2例有轻度神经功能缺失。安慰剂组10例病人中，3个月时1例完全恢复，6例有轻度神经功能缺失，3例死亡。发生ICH者仅见于2例安慰剂治疗的病人而未见于肝素治疗的病人。安慰剂组中1例病人有未经证实的肺栓塞。

另一项59例病人的试验对剂量基于体重（180抗Xa因子单位/天/公斤体重，分两次剂量）的皮下注射低分子肝素（nadroparin）和安慰剂进行了比较，疗程为3周，随后被随机化到低分子肝素组的病人接受3个月的口服抗凝治疗（没有安慰剂对照）[172]。此研究在前3周为盲法而随后为开放标签。主要结局是日常生活活动量表、牛津卒中残疾量表及死亡。次要终点是症状性ICH和其它严重出血。在3个月时，与给予安慰剂者的21%相比，13%的低分子肝素组病人的预后不良（有利于低分子肝素的治疗差异 -7；95% CI -26-12%）。两组中都没有症状性ICH（低分子肝素组有1例非致命性出血，安慰剂组有1例致命的未被证实的肺栓塞）。6例积极治疗的病人（12%）和8例对照病人（28%）3个月时完全恢复。

对这2项试验的Meta分析[161]显示，抗凝治疗的死亡或生活不能自理的相对危险度（relative risk 0.46，95% CI 0.16-1.31）没有统计学显著性，对抗凝治疗有利的危险差异-13%（95% CI -30%-3%）。死亡的相对危险度是0.33（95% CI 0.08-1.21），危险差异是-13%（95% CI -27%-1%）。

在就诊时CTV合并脑出血的特殊情况下，即使不用抗凝治疗，出血也与不良预后有关。研究提示CTV抗凝治疗后脑出血的发生率低[171,175]。在病人有抗凝治疗主要禁忌证（如近期严重出血）的特殊情况下，临床医生必须依据临床情况权衡抗凝治疗的风险和益处。在这种情况下，就像对待一般静脉血栓一样，请一位抗凝治疗方面的专家会诊可能是适当的，如果有可能，可以考虑低剂量强度抗凝治疗。

来自观察性研究的资料
现有许多观察性研究，既有前瞻性的，也有回顾性的，但大多数来自单中心[10,136,175-178]。因为大多数研究中绝大多数病人在诊断时是用静脉UFH或低分子肝素（LMWH）治疗的，最终应用维生素K拮抗剂，因此，并非所有的研究都专门报告抗凝治疗的结局。死亡率低，通常<10%，常死于基础病（如，癌症）而不是CTV，而且死于ICH罕见。绝大多数病人神经功能系统功能完全恢复，少数变成残疾。

目前为止最大规模研究为ISCVT，纳入来自21个国家89个中心的624例病人。几乎所有病人初始治疗为抗凝，16个月死亡率8.3%，79%完全恢复（改良Rankin评分[mRS]评分0-1）。10.4%轻-中度残疾（mRS评分2-3），2.2%仍有重度残疾（mRS评分4-5）[18]。几乎没有研究有足够的未接受抗凝治疗的病人例数来合理推断抗凝与预后之间的关系。来自观察性研究的资料提示CTV抗凝后ICH的危险范围是0到5.4%[136,171,181,183]。

总之，来自有限的关于抗凝治疗的预后和出血并发症的随机对照试验的资料和观察性资料支持抗凝在CTV治疗中起到一定作用，无论是否存在治疗前ICH。写作组一致支持在CTV病人中采用抗凝治疗。如果给予抗凝治疗，没有支持在CTV病人中采用以调整的剂量UFH的应用或LMWH在预后方面的差异的资料。

其它治疗
纤维蛋白溶解疗法
尽管CTV病人接受抗凝治疗可以恢复，但是在抗
凝治疗下仍有9%-13%的病人预后不良。单纯抗凝治疗或许不能使一个大的或广泛的血栓溶解，而临床情况即使在肝素治疗期间也会恶化。不完全再通或持续性血栓形成可以解释这一现象。单纯抗凝治疗下CVT部分或完全再通率为47%-100%。

不幸的是，大多数报告3-6个月时部分或完全再通的研究为小样本研究。将包括114例病人的4项研究合并后，发现94例(82.5%)在3-6个月时有部分或完全再通。

直接导管溶栓

在直接导管溶栓时，血栓经导丝的机械性操作之后可增加可能受到溶栓药物作用的血栓凝块的量，可减少溶栓药物的用量。美国的一项回顾性多中心CVT研究中，182例病人中的27例(15%)接受了血管内溶栓治疗。10例病人正在接受同期抗凝治疗。26例(96%)获得血管再通，4例发生颅内出血，1例(4%)病人死亡。

一项对包括169例局部溶栓治疗的CVT病人的系统性回顾显示严重CVT病人可能从治疗中获益，提示局部溶栓治疗能降低危重CVT病人的病死率。溶栓后17%的病人发生ICH，与5%的病人病情恶化有关。

机械性取栓/溶栓

球囊辅助的取栓和溶栓

尽管使用全身性溶栓或对血栓形成机械性破碎和直接注射溶栓药物，但是静脉血栓可能仍持续存在。因为球囊充盈后可以减少溶栓药物的流失而潜在地减少所需要的溶栓药物剂量、降低出血的发生率。这样可以减少操作时间，所以球囊辅助的溶栓或许更有效。在溶栓前可以用球囊做部分性血栓去除术。

导管血栓去除术

对局部应用溶栓药后仍持续存在的广泛血栓病人，可以考虑采用流变(rheolytic)导管血栓去除术。AngioJet(MEDRAD, Inc, Warrendale, PA)就是一种这样的装置，它采用通过高速生理盐水喷头的文丘里效应(Venturi effect)而发生在导管头端的流体动力学溶栓作用。

Merci取栓装置(Concentric Medical, Mountain View, CA)已经被用于去除脑静脉系统的血栓。此技术也需要将导管直接送到静脉窦。将小螺旋形装置送出导管头端并被推送进球栓内，然后缓慢地与附着的血栓一起被拉回到导管内。为了避免损伤静脉窦壁或窦内小梁，可以先用此装置行部分再通，随后再进行溶栓。现有证据目前尚处在经验阶段。

Penumbra系统(Penumbra, Inc, Alameda, CA)是新一代神经系统的血栓去除装置，能起到去除和抽吸急性血凝块的作用。它采用一个内有可破碎血凝块和有助于吸抽的一个基于导丝的分离器的能吸抽血栓的再灌注导管。关于其治疗效果目前仅有经验性的证据。

手术方面的考虑

由于静脉血栓形成血管内治疗方法的进展，外科手术所起的作用已经越来越局限。需要外科手术血栓切除术者不常见，但如果在最佳内科治疗下严重的神经系统或视力恶化发生可以被考虑。如果大的静脉性梗死导致明显的颅内压增高时，则需开颅减压术作为挽救生命的措施。同样，大的血肿如果伴有进行性或严重的神经系统功能缺失可能需要被考虑做手术清除术。

总结

这些直接窦内溶栓技术和机械性治疗方法的应用仅受到个案报告和小规模病例系列的支持。如果在应用抗凝治疗的情况下仍发生临床恶化，或病人出现导致常规治疗方法抵抗的颅内压增高的来自静脉梗死或ICH的占位效应，那么可以考虑这些介入治疗方法。

阿司匹林

没有直接评价阿司匹林在CVT处理中作用的对照试验。

激素

激素通过减轻血管源性水肿而可能在CVT中起到一定作用，但激素可以增加高凝状态。在ISCVT的624例病人中一项匹配的病例对照研究中，将150例由经治医生决定采用激素治疗的病人与150例未采用激素的病人进行比较，并与基于CVT结局不良的预后因素治疗的病人匹配。采用激素治疗的病人与对照病人的特征相似，除了更容易有血管炎。在6个月，治疗有死亡或不能独立的危险较大的趋势(OR 1.7, 95% CI 0.9-3.3)，而在排除血管炎、恶
性病、炎性疾病及感染后，这一趋势没有差别。在 CT/MRI 上有脑实质病变的病人中，结果令人震惊，激素治疗的死亡或依赖的比值比增加 4.8 倍 (95% CI 1.2-19.8)。

抗生素
局部 (如，中耳炎、中耳乳突炎) 和全身性 (脑膜炎、败血症) 感染可以合并附近或远隔静脉窦血栓形成。怀疑感染和 CVT 病人的处理应包括应用适当的抗生素和感染灶 (如，硬膜下积脓或副鼻窦化脓性积液) 的手术引流。

早期并发症 (脑积水、颅内压增高和抽搐发作) 的处理和预防
抽搐发作
抽搐发作见于 37% 的成年、48% 的儿童和 71% 的新生儿 CVT 病人 [102,183]。尽管临床试验对抗癫痫治疗在 CVT 中应用的最佳时机或药物选择进行研究。是否对所有 CVT 病例都开始抗癫痫治疗或等到有抽搐发作才开始抗癫痫治疗仍有争议。因为抽搐发作可加重缺氧性脑损害，所以即使一次抽搐发作之后就应用抗癫痫治疗也是合理的 [217]。在没有抽搐发作的情况下，预防性应用抗癫痫药物可能有害 (引起副作用的风险可能超过其治疗益处) [196,197,209]。

脑积水
上矢状窦和横窦是通过横跨静脉窦壁突入到蛛网膜下腔并引流到静脉系统的高度血管化结构的蛛网膜颗粒进行脑脊液 (CSF) 吸收的主要部位。在 CVT，蛛网膜颗粒的功能可能受到障碍，潜在地导致 CSF 吸收的衰竭和交通性脑积水 (6.6%) [14,198]

梗阻性脑积水是 CVT 的一个少见的并发症，可由出血破入脑室系统所致。通常与累及大脑静脉的血栓有关，还可以与脑脊液血栓有关。此综合征在新生儿已有很好的描述但可以发生在所有年龄组 [201,202]

神经外科脑室造瘘术清除 CSF，或对顽固性病例采用脑室腹膜分流术，是必需的。与其他梗阻性脑积水情况相比，大脑处在静脉压增高情况下，脑组织灌注处在更危险状态。因此，密切监测和神经外科会诊是重要的，因为脑室扩大不太严重的情况下可能需要进行手术干预。

颅内压增高
高达 40% 的 CVT 病人表现为单纯颅内压增高 [183]。以弥漫性脑水肿为特征，有时在 CT 上看似裂隙状脑室。临床特征包括进行性头痛、视乳头水肿以及第 III 或第 VI 对颅神经麻痹。导致颅内压增高的主要原因是静脉流出受阻和因 CSF 吸收障碍而加剧的脑组织淤血。

尚无任何随机试验证实最佳治疗；然而，对颅内压增高的合理处理应是采用多种治疗方法相结合。首先，减轻静脉流出栓塞行阻的措施，诸如抗凝和溶栓治疗，可缓解颅内压增高。其次，通过腰穿放 CSF 至末压达到正常可即刻减轻颅内压增高。不幸的是，腰穿需要临时中断抗凝治疗，有血栓扩大的危险。尽管缺乏随机临床试验，醋氮酰胺是治疗 CVT 所致颅内压增高的一种常用的治疗选择 [139]。它在 CVT 病人的颅内压增高的急性处理中起到有限的作用。醋氮酰胺是一种碳酸酐酶抑制剂，是一种弱的利尿剂且能减少 CSF 的产生。尽管偶尔被使用，但是皮质激素类并没有效果 [216] 且能带来相关的对缺血脑组织有害的高血糖和高乳酸的危险。如果有持续的颅内压增高，可能需要多次腰穿。对顽固性颅内压增高的病例，可能需要将脑室腹膜分流术 [199]。因为视神经长期受压可以导致永久性失明，所以在病人有颅内压增高期间密切监测视野和视乳头水肿的严重程度至关重要。眼科会诊对这一情况有帮助。尽管很少需要，但是视神经开窗术是阻止进行性视力丧失的一个治疗方法。

现有关于去骨瓣减压术在 CVT 合并脑水肿、静脉性梗死、神经系统功能恶化或濒临脑疝中的治疗作用的证据有限 [200,202,203]。阻止术后近期的抗凝治疗是开颅手术的一个缺点。

建议
1. 怀疑有细菌性感染的 CVT 病人应接受合理
CVT的长期处理和复发风险

于不抗凝治疗的复发风险

预防策略集中在对那些 CVT 或其它 VTE 复发高危 CVT 病人的预防上。有某些易栓状态或疾病（如，癌症）的病人可被认为高危病人。没有关于首次或复发 CVT 早期预防的随机临床试验。总体上，任何类型复发性血栓形成的年复发风险大约是 6.5%[10,117]。

因为还没有关于成年 CVT 病人抗凝治疗的二级预防试验，因此就用评估正在用或不用抗凝治疗的 CVT 或 VTE 复发风险的观察性研究来做预防策略的评价。在一组于 1978 年至 2001 年间 Mayo Clinic 治疗的 154 例病人队列中，56 例早期开始给予肝素和华法林两种治疗，12 例仅肝素治疗，21 例仅华法林治疗[60]。77 例 (50%) 用华法林治疗平均 9 个月，25 例为终身服用华法林[61]。在 36 个月随访 (464 个病人年) 期间，有 20 例病人 (13%) 共 23 次 VTE 复发，大多数发生在第 1 年内。10 例病人有 CVT 复发 (2.2/100 个病人年)，11 例有 DVT 或 PE(2.8/100 个病人年)。9 次复发事件发生在病人正在服用华法林期间。随访 8 年之后，华法林对存活或无复发存活没有影响[61]。

一组比利时一家大学医院连续治疗的 54 例 CVT 病人队列中，平均随访 2.5 年，8 例 (14.8%) 有 VTE 复发 (7 例为 DVT 或 PE，1 例为 CVT 和肺系膜静脉血栓) (4.5/100 病人年)。复发的平均时间是 2.5 个月 (范围从 2 周至 4 年)。这 8 例病人中仅 2 例在复发时正在服用抗凝药，1 例的国际标准化比率 (INR) 为 1.6，另 1 例 INR 为 2.1。6 例没有正在服用抗凝药的复发 VTE 病人中，复发发生在先证事件后 2 周至 10 个月之间。有某种易栓性疾患、有 DVT 病史及没有接受口服抗凝药容易复发[76]。

在 ISCVT 研究中，平均随访 16 个月期间，624 例 CVT 病人中有 42 例 (2.2%) 复发 CVT 和 27 例 (4.3%) 其它血栓事件 (16 例 DVT, 3 例 PE, 2 例出血性卒中)，2 例短暂性脑缺血发作及 4 例急性肢体缺血[108]。这 41 例复发或其它血栓事件的病人中有 17 例 (41.5%) 正在服用抗凝药，但这些正在接受治疗剂量的抗凝药的病人复发 VTE 病人中，复发发生在先证事件后 2 周至 10 个月之间。有某种易栓性疾患、有 DVT 病史及没有接受口服抗凝药容易复发[76]。

在 ISCVT 研究中，平均随访 16 个月期间，624 例 CVT 病人中有 42 例 (2.2%) 复发 CVT 和 27 例 (4.3%) 其它血栓事件 (16 例 DVT, 3 例 PE, 2 例出血性卒中)，2 例短暂性脑缺血发作及 4 例急性肢体缺血[108]。这 41 例复发或其它血栓事件的病人中有 17 例 (41.5%) 正在服用抗凝药，但这些正在接受治疗剂量的抗凝药的病人复发 VTE 病人中，复发发生在先证事件后 2 周至 10 个月之间。有某种易栓性疾患、有 DVT 病史及没有接受口服抗凝药容易复发[76]。

CVT 后任何血栓事件 (CVT 或其它 VTE) 的总复发危险大约为 6.5%。基于对本病最大规模的研究[10]，CVT 之后其它 VTE 表现的危险是 3.4%[209] 至 4.3%[10]。有严重易栓症的病人 VTE 危险增加。

DVT 和其它 VTE 事件的二级预防

DVT 与其它 VTE 有一些相似之处。两者的慢性或一过性危险因素是相似的。在 ISCVT 病例队列中，CVT 或其它 VTE 复发的总发生率是 4.1/100 人年，男性和红细胞增多/血小板增多是被发现的唯一独立的预测因子[109]。同一研究调查了血栓性复发事件的累积危险的稳定增加不受抗凝治疗时间的影响，提示需要开展一个新的临床试验评价短期与长期抗凝治疗
疗效的疗效和安全性[219]。鉴于CVT之后系统性VTE比复发性CVT更常见，因此有理由采纳VTE指南预防新的VTE和复发性CVT[219,220]。然而，应该对每一个体病人进行危险评估，然后考虑病人的危险水平以及关于长期抗凝治疗、出血危险以及不用抗凝情况下血栓形成危险的权衡[220]。

易栓症和长期处理的危险分层

易栓症可能是遗传性的或获得性的，基于在非常大的家族病例队列中观察到的血栓复发危险，遗传性易栓症被分为轻度或重度[221]。在VTE病人中，未正在接受抗凝治疗情况下VTE的累积复发危险最大的易栓症是抗凝血酶和蛋白C和蛋白S缺乏症，2年复发率19%，5年复发率40%，10年复发率55%。纯合子凝血酶原G20210A、纯合子因子V Leiden、蛋白C、蛋白S或抗凝血酶缺乏症、易栓症联合缺陷以及抗磷脂抗体综合征被分类为重度。

抗磷脂抗体综合征是一种获得性易栓症，伴有特殊的实验室标准(狼疮抗凝物、抗心磷脂抗体及抗β2糖蛋白I)和静脉性或动脉性血栓事件或流产病史[224]。尽管没有报道针对CVT复发率的前瞻性研究，但有持续的或严重的头痛病人，应做适当的检查排除复发性CVT。偶尔，MRV可显示之前曾闭塞过的静脉窦有狭窄，但对其临床意义并不清楚。头痛在随访期间更常见于表现为有急性单纯颅内压增高的病人。对这些病人，如果头痛为持续性且MRI正常，则需要做腰穿排除颅内压增高。

其它能确定CVT后复发性CVT或VTE危险的实验室测定

在DVT或PE病人中，越来越多的证据提示临床上可用D-二聚体测定确定复发VTE的风险[224,229,230]。尽管D-二聚体应用于长期抗凝治疗行VTE二级预防看似有前途，但标准化的D-二聚体测定法的缺乏可能限制其在临床上的适用性和可信性[232]。

建议

1. 检测血栓前状态，包括蛋白C、蛋白S、抗凝血酶缺乏症、抗磷脂抗体综合症、凝血酶原G20210A基因突变以及因子V Leiden，可有益于CVT病人的处理。蛋白C、蛋白S和抗凝血酶缺乏的测定的指征通常在完成抗凝治疗后2至4周。急性期或服用华法林病人的检测结果的价值非常有限[IIa类推荐；B级证据]。

2. 对应激性CVT(与某种一过性危险因素相关的)病人，维生素K拮抗剂可以持续3至6个月，INR目标值2.0-3.0[IIb类推荐；C级证据]。

3. 对非应激性CVT病人，维生素K拮抗剂可以持续6至12个月，INR目标值2.0-3.0[IIb类推荐；C级证据]。

4. 对CVT后有复发CVT、VTE或首次CVT合并严重易栓症(即，纯合子凝血酶原G20210A、纯合子因子V Leiden、蛋白C、蛋白S或抗凝血酶缺乏症、易栓症联合缺陷以及抗磷脂抗体综合征)的病人，可以考虑无限期抗凝治疗，INR目标值2.0-3.0[IIb类推荐；C级证据]。

5. 可以考虑与在血栓研究领域有经验的医师会诊协助检测血栓前状态和治疗CVT病人[IIb类推荐；C级证据]。

晚期并发症(而非复发性VTE)处理

头痛

头痛是CVT病人随访期间的常见主诉，大约见于50%的病人[193,205]。一般情况下，头痛为原发性且与CVT无关。对有持续的或严重的头痛病人，应做适当的检查排除复发性CVT。偶尔，MRV可显示之前曾闭塞过的静脉窦有狭窄，但对其临床意义并不清楚。头痛在随访期间更常见于表现为有急性单纯颅内压增高的病人。对这些病人，如果头痛为持续性且MRI正常，则需要做腰穿排除颅内压增高。

抽搐发作

CVT后局灶性或全身性抽搐发作可以被分为早期或远期(诊断后2周以上发生的)抽搐发作[10,197]。对于病例系列研究，远期抽搐发作可并累及5%至32%的病人。这些抽搐发作大多发生在随访第一年内[175,218]。对有单次抽搐发作的CVT合并脑实质病变的病人，建议开始一个规定疗程的抗癫痫药物治疗。

视力丧失

CVT所致的严重视力丧失罕有发生(2%-4%)[55,193,235]。视乳头水肿可造成短暂视力障碍，如长期存在，则可出现视神经萎缩和失明。视力丧失通常是隐匿性的，伴随进行性视野缩小和相对的中心视力回避。视力缺失更常见于有视乳头水肿病人和表现为颅内压增高的病人。延误诊断与晚期视力丧失危险增加有关。有视乳头水肿或视力障碍主诉的病人应该做全面的神经眼科检查，包括视敏度和正规视野检查。

硬脑膜动静脉瘘

海绵窦、横窦或上矢状窦血栓形成可诱发晚期硬脑膜动静脉瘘[236]。这两种疾病之间的关系非常复杂，因为：(1)硬脑膜动静脉瘘可以是持续的硬脑膜窦闭塞综合征或静脉压增高的晚期并发症；(2)如果静脉窦有再通则瘘可以闭合和痊愈；(3)先存静脉瘘可以
是 CVT 的潜在病因。因为没有进行长期血管造影检查的队列研究，因此尚不清楚 CVT 之后硬脑膜动静脉瘘的确切发生率。在没有系统性血管造影随访的队列研究中，硬脑膜动静脉瘘的发生率低 (1%-3%) [53, 54, 201, 205, 237]。脑血管造影可有助于确定硬脑膜动静脉瘘的存在。

建议

1. 对有 CVT 病史主诉为新的、持续的或严重的头痛病人，应考虑对 CVT 复发和颅内压增高进行评估 (I 类推荐；C 级证据)。

特殊人群的 CVT

妊娠期 CVT

妊娠诱发凝血系统的改变，这些改变可持续到产褥期且可导致高凝状态从而增加患 CVT 的危险。据估计，在西方国家妊娠期和产褥期 CVT 的发生率的变化范围在 1/2500 至 1/10000 次分娩之间，而 OR 变化范围从 1.3 至 13 [238-240]。妊娠期间，CVT 并不增加妊娠的危险 [240]。在妇女中高达 73% 的 CVT 发生在产褥期 [240]。剖腹产似乎与致畸或胎儿出血危险的增加有关。因此，大多数妇女妊娠期和早期产褥期 CVT 的抗凝治疗为 LMWH [220]。

儿童人群中的 CVT

儿童 CVT 的发病率是 0.67/100 000 儿童/年 [91]。当排除新生儿儿时，报告的发病率是 0.34/100 000 儿童/年 [249]。新生儿儿表现为抽搐发作或昏睡，而年长婴儿和儿童 (与成年人相似) 通常表现为抽搐发作、意识水平改变、进行性头痛伴视乳头水肿、孤立性颅内压增高或局灶性神经功能缺失。

危险因素

儿童 CVT 的危险因素与年龄相关。新生儿儿占儿童 CVT 病人的 43% [91]。新生儿儿患病危险因素增加的可能原因有几种。首先，出生过程中婴儿头部受到明显的机械性力的作用而导致颅骨沿颅缝线塑形，这将引起其下的硬脑膜静脉窦发生机械性变形和损伤以及血栓形成。新生儿儿也有血栓倾向增大 [250]。其次，循环性母亲的抗磷脂抗体经胎盘转运到胎儿，这种情况可持续到新生儿儿期 [251]。再次，新生儿儿循环性抗凝蛋白 (包括蛋白 C、蛋白 S 及抗凝血酶) 水平下降，而相对于成年人的血球压积较高。此外，随着正常体液丢失和出生后生活第一周内相对性新生儿脱水而发生血液浓缩。半数以上的新生儿儿 CVT 有多种危险因素 [252]。母亲怀孕和分娩的并发症可增加新生儿儿 CVT 的危险。新生儿儿甲亢、糖尿病、FSGS、L- 精氨酸酶治疗的白血病或淋巴瘤以及外
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伤是CVT的病因。缺铁性贫血是一个已确定的CVT危险因素。血栓前疾患在新生儿和儿童CVT中占33%至66%且当有其他CVT危险因素时经常出现。

放射学诊断

就像在成年人一样，做出诊断需高度怀疑CVT和特异性静脉成像检查。这一点对新生儿尤其重要，新生儿没有特异性的临床表现，大多数病人仅为抽搐发作。儿童CVT的神经影像检查结果与成年人相似。在新生儿，二维TOF MRV有几个缺点，包括仰卧位时枕骨挤压上矢状窦后部局部区域没有血流。这种情况见于14%的没有CVT的新生儿。因此，经常需要CTV明确是否存在MRV所提示的CVT。在新生儿，经囟门多普勒超声可通过显示闭塞性血栓所致的血流缺失而提示CVT，然而，尤其是闭塞性血栓，这种技术不是太可靠。

脑实质病变在新生儿比在儿童更容易是出血性的。新生儿颅内出血经常包括幕上硬膜下出血。有脑室内出血的足月新生儿的病因为CVT者占34%的病例，经常伴有丘脑出血。预后

新生儿、年长婴儿和儿童CVT有显著的不良预后的发生率。对新生儿，需要长期随访确定预后，因为神经缺失症状仅会随着历时数年的脑发育成熟才会变得明显。在CVT新生儿中，28%[258]-83%[102,245,253,259]可观察到神经系统功能缺失。

一项研究显示长期随访中18%的儿童CVT有后遗视力障碍。其它研究报道儿童和成年人CVT的结果相似。

儿童人群中CVT的处理

考虑新生儿和儿童CVT的血管内治疗受到不良预后发生率高的驱动。还没有对儿童CVT进行过随机临床试验。因此，治疗实践主要是来自成年人研究结果的外推。

对儿童，且越来越多地对新生儿，CVT治疗的主流是抗凝治疗，包括LMWH、UFH及华法林。个体和地区性医疗在儿童CVT且特别是在新生儿CVT方面的差异非常大。抽搐发作可在超过50%的儿童人群中观察到。鉴于癫痫性抽搐发作在儿童病人中发生率较高，所以可以考虑对无意识或机械性通气的儿童行连续的脑电图监测。

主要证据

尽管没有随机化试验，但是越来越多的来自病例系列和大规模观察性研究的证据支持抗凝在儿童或新生儿CVT中的疗效。近期发表的一项来自荷兰的病例系列研究了抗凝在CVT、脑室出血或丘脑出血的新生儿中的应用。10例新生儿中，1例婴儿在治疗开始前死亡。2例在常规应用LMWH治疗之前出生，其余7例新生儿接受3个月的LMWH(dalteparin)，以抗Xa水平0.5-1.0U/mL为目标值。治疗期间没有出血增加或新发出血。另一项纳入42例儿童CVT的研究报告，即使在存在ICH情况下，抗凝治疗也是安全的且能改善预后。最后，在一项基于方案的抗凝治疗的前瞻性单中心研究中，共纳入162例儿童病人，大约半数在诊断时接受抗凝治疗，包括35%的新生儿和71%的儿童。出血性并发症罕见(6%)，均为非致死性且大多数临床预后良好。未经抗凝治疗的病人中超过1/4的新生儿和超过1/3的儿童被观察到有CVT扩大。进一步关于以诊断时脑出血分层的最佳抗凝剂量正通过国际儿童卒中研究而处在计划阶段。

已发表的儿童卒中指南

在过去的5年间，3套针对儿童CVT治疗的指南被发表。所有这3个指南都建议对非新生儿期的儿童采用LMWH、UFH和(或)华法林治疗3-6个月，即使在脑出血存在的情况下。

与之不同的是，关于对新生儿CVT的抗凝治疗没有一致的建议。3个已发表的指南中，1个没有提及新生儿CVT[263，1个建议急性期抗凝[269]，另1个建议不进行急性期抗凝[251]。特别是，美国胸科医师学院建议初始抗凝，除非存在明显出血的情况。而提在这种情况下去进行病例监测CVT是否扩大，如果发现扩大则开始抗凝治疗。建议抗凝治疗至少6周且不超过3个月。提议在6周时应该做静脉成像检查，而如果见到完全再通，则可以停用抗凝。AHA指南对初始抗凝未做出推荐意见。对有血栓扩大或易栓症（其在急性疾病期间不会总能被诊断出）的新生儿抗凝被认为是合理的。不情愿用抗凝治疗新生儿CVT基于若干担忧。首先，缺乏对新生儿安全性的研究资料；其次，担心会增加新生儿脑对出血的敏感性。在当前预后文献发表之前，不治疗新生儿的另一个原因是新生儿CVT的预后良好而因此治疗是不必要的这一错觉。如之前章节所指出的那样，这些假设已经部分地被在过去几年发表的研究所推翻。然而，在缺乏临床试验证据的情况下，医疗实践的变异是可理解的。

建议

1. 对儿童CVT的支持性措施应包括适当补液、
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控制癫痫性抽搐发作以及颅内压增高的治疗 (I 类推荐; C 级证据)。

2. 既然 CVT 儿童有因严重或长期颅内压增高而发生视力丧失的可能性，那么应做视野和视敏度的定期评估并应采取适当措施控制颅内压增高和其并发症 (I 类推荐; C 级证据)。

3. 对所有儿童病人，如果撤除初始抗凝，建议在诊断后的第 1 周重复包括静脉成像在内的神经影像以监测早期血栓扩大或新的梗死或出血 (I 类推荐; C 级证据)。

4. 对超过出生后 28 天诊断的急性 CVT 儿童，即使存在颅内出血，用全剂量 LMWH 治疗是合理的 (IIa 类推荐; C 级证据)。

5. 对超过出生后 28 天诊断的急性 CVT 儿童，继续 LMWH 或口服维生素 K 拮抗剂 3-6 个月是合理的 (IIa 类推荐; C 级证据)。

6. 对所有急性 CVT 儿童病人，如果开始初始抗凝治疗，治疗后在最初 1 周做头部 CT 或 MRI 以监测其它出血是合理的 (IIa 类推荐; C 级证据)。

7. CVT 儿童可从为识别潜在凝血缺陷而做的易栓症测定中获益，一些易栓症可影响随后再血栓的危险性并影响治疗决策 (IIb 类推荐; B 级证据)。

8. CVT 儿童可从利用血培养和副鼻窦放射检查调查潜在感染中获益 (IIb 类推荐; B 级证据)。

9. 对急性 CVT 新生儿，可以考虑用 LMWH 或 UFH 治疗 (IIb 类推荐; B 级证据)。

10. 对急性 CVT 新生儿，可以考虑持续 LMWH 治疗 6 周至 3 个月 (IIb 类推荐; C 级证据)。

11. 血管内介入治疗在儿童病人中的用途和安全性不确定，仅可考虑将其用于仔细选择的在强化或治疗水平抗凝治疗下有进行性神经系统功能恶化的病人 (IIb 类推荐; C 级证据)。

临床结局：预后

关于 CVT 的结局和预后有几项研究和综述 [141,256,257]。这类研究大多数是回顾性的 (总的或部分) [14,63,66,90,136,175,179,190,192,233,270-274]，为数不多的前瞻性研究中，一些研究并没有分析预后因素 [178,193,261]，而仅对这些预测因子做了双变量分析 [275,276]，或仅对特殊病人亚组做了分析 [42,84,89,192]。仅有 5 项队列研究分析 CVT 病人短期和长期结局的预测因子 [5,53,93,167,203]。诊断后神经系统功能恶化

神经系统功能恶化可以发生在 23% 的病人，甚至在诊断后数天。神经系统功能恶化以意识水平下降、精神状态紊乱、新的抽搐发作、局灶体征恶化或新的局灶征、头痛强度增加或视力丧失。当重复神经影像检查时大约三分之一有神经系统功能恶化的病人会有新的脑实质病变。入院时有意识水平下降的病人更容易恶化 [1,278]。

早期死亡

大约 3%-15% 的病人死于本病的急性期 [28]。大多数早期死亡是 CVT 的结果。CVT 急性期死亡的主要原因是继发于大的出血性病变的经天幕脑疝 [5]，其次是多发病变或弥漫性脑水肿所致的脑疝。早期死亡的其它原因包括癫痫持续状态、内科并发症和 PE [136,279]。

晚期死亡

急性期后死亡主要与基础疾病有关，特别是与恶性疾病有关 [10,14]。

长期结局

在 ISCVT 研究中 [55]，最后一次随访 (平均 16 个月) 时在 79% 的病人中观察到完全恢复；然而，随访结束时总死亡率是 8.3%，而生活不能自理率为 5.1% (mRS 评分≥ 3)。在一项包括回顾性和前瞻性的研究回顾中，总的死亡率是 9.4%，而生活不能自理 (mRS 评分≥ 3 或 Glasgow 预后表评分≥ 3) 的比例是 9.7% [28]。7 项队列研究 (包括资料可被分别分析的回顾性 / 前瞻性研究的前瞻性部分) 中，总的死亡和生活不能独立的发生率是 15% (95% CI 13%-18%) [10]。

神经心理和神经精神后遗症

关于 CVT 存活者长期神经心理和神经精神预后的资料很少 [260,272]。尽管大多数 CVT 病人有明显的全面良好恢复，但是大约一半存活者感到抑郁或紧张，而且轻微的智能或语言缺陷可妨碍重返工作 [260,272]。

意志力丧失、执行力缺失以及健忘可由深静脉系统血栓伴双侧丘脑广泛梗死所致。记忆缺失、行为问题或执行力缺陷可以持续存在 [263,280]。

失语，通常为流利型，可由左侧横窦血栓伴颞叶梗死或出血引起。通常恢复良好，但轻度自发语言和命名障碍可以持续。

长期预后不良的危险因素

在 ISCVT 队列中长期预后不良的危险因素是中
枢神经系统感染、任一恶性病、深部静脉系统血栓、入院时CT/MRI上颅内出血、格拉斯哥昏迷量表(GCS)评分<9、精神状态紊乱、年龄大于37岁以及男性[55]

可导致早期死亡的脑疝更多见于年轻患者，而由于恶性病所致的晚期死亡和不良功能预后更常见于老年病人[6,10,89]。入院时GCS评分14-15、完全或部分颅内压增高综合征(包括孤立性头痛)作为仅有的CVT表现以及没有失语是预后良好的相关指标[117,177]

危险评分模型

尽管总体预后良好，但是大约15%的CVT病人死亡或因CVT变得不能自理[10,283]。危险分层评分可以提高我们告知CVT病人其个体预后和选择可从加强监护和有创性治疗强化中获益的能力。一项研究创建并验证了一个预测不良预后的危险评分模型。此危险评分模型的分值范围从0(最低危险)至9分(最高危险)，而界限(cutoff)值≥3提示6个月时的死亡或不能自理。存在恶性病、昏迷或深静脉系统血栓为2分，而男性、存在意识水平下降或ICH为1分。在验证样本中推导队列预测能力(c统计量)为85.4%、84.4%和90.1%。在合并样本中的敏感性和特异性分别是96.1%和13.6%。

另一研究[284]将年龄大于37岁和中枢神经系统感染合并到此模型中，并给每一个指标指定一个加权指数。此研究验证了90例CVT病人的评分并得出一个0.81的受试者工作特征曲线下面积预测死亡率为界限评分≥14，敏感性是88%而特异性为70%。良好预后(被定义为mRS评分<2)的预示值为95%，而不良预后的预示值为30%。

血管再通

一项包括五项小规模研究的系统回顾[28]中，CVT血管再通率在随访3个月时和1年时分别为84%和85%。观察到脑深部静脉和海绵窦血栓的再通率最高，而横窦血栓的再通率最低[193]。在成年人中，闭塞静脉窦的再通与CVT的预后无关[41,184]。

总结/进一步思考

本声明提供了一个对CVT的诊断和处理及其最常见并发症有关文献广泛的和评论性的综述。

硬脑膜窦或脑静脉血栓形成(CVT)占所有卒中中的0.5% - 1%，最常累及年轻人和育龄妇女[1,6,4]。CVT病人通常表现为头痛，尽管一些病人有局灶性神经功能缺失、意识水平下降、抽搐发作或有局灶性神经系统的颅内压增高[1,6]。少见情况下，隐袭性起病可以给诊断带来挑战。大约三分之一的静脉窦血栓病人有一种促凝血因子或一个直接的病因。通常靠CT(CVT)或MRI(MRV)静脉造影检查显示由血栓所致的静脉窦或脑静脉的阻塞做出诊断[70,96]。CVT的处理包括对基础疾病的治疗、对症治疗、对颅内压增高、ICH或静脉梗死的并发症的预防和治疗，特别是抗凝治疗。

卒中的诊断和治疗技术正处在不断演变之中。在对脑静脉窦血栓形成的病理生理的认识上已经取得了重要进展。然而，有前途的技术(血管内操作、用于处理有占位效应或ICH的难治性颅内压增高或静脉梗死的并发症)在被广泛使用之前还需要经过严谨的评价。

尽管近年对CVT的研究取得了实质性的发展，但是大多数文献仍然是描述性的。CVT写作组为强调需要进一步研究的领域(如，更大规模随机临床试验以明确治疗性干预的益处)做了努力并提供了反映当前标准临床实践的建议。一项在比较抗凝治疗和血管内溶栓的益处的随机临床试验(Thrombolysis Or Anticoagulation for Cerebral Venous Thrombosis, TO-ACT Trial)正在进行之中。TO-ACT的结果可能有助于改进CVT病人的急性期处理。

CVT的处理面对的困境可能是复杂的。鉴于文献中没有强有力的证据指导其中一些具有挑战性的临床决策，因此处理这类病人的医疗专业人员可能需要相关亚专业医师的协助。本声明不太可能终结关于CVT处理的争议。更确切地讲，本声明的内容应被视作对当前现有最佳证据的汇集。通过一个创新的研究和系统性评价的过程，诊断、处理和治疗方法将不断演变并因此而给CVT病人带来更好的预后。