High NIHSS Values Predict Impairment of Cardiovascular Autonomic Control
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Background and Purpose—Stroke is frequently associated with autonomic dysfunction, which causes secondary cardiovascular complications. Early diagnosis of autonomic imbalance prevents complications, but it is only available at specialized centers. Widely available surrogate markers are needed. This study tested whether stroke severity, as assessed by National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) scores, correlates with autonomic dysfunction and thus predicts risk of autonomic complications.

Methods—In 50 ischemic stroke patients, we assessed NIHSS scores and parameters of autonomic cardiovascular modulation within 24 hours after stroke onset and compared data with that of 32 healthy controls. We correlated NIHSS scores with parameters of total autonomic modulation (total powers of R-R interval [RRI] modulation; RRI standard deviation [RRI-SD], RRI coefficient of variation), parasympathetic modulation (square root of the mean squared differences of successive RRIs, RRI-high-frequency-powers), sympathetic modulation (normalized RRI-low-frequency-powers, blood pressure-low-frequency-powers), the index of sympatho-vagal balance (RRI-LF/HF-ratios), and baroreflex sensitivity.

Results—Patients had significantly higher blood pressure and respiration, but lower RRIs, RRI-SDs, RRI coefficient of variation, square root of the mean squared differences of successive RRIs, RRI-low-frequency-powers, RRI-high-frequency-powers, RRI-total powers, and baroreflex sensitivity than did controls. NIHSS scores correlated significantly with normalized RRI-low-frequency-powers and RRI-LF/HF-ratios, and indirectly with RRI-SDs, square root of the mean squared differences of successive RRIs, RRI-high-frequency-powers, normalized RRI-high-frequency-powers, RRI-total-powers, and baroreflex sensitivity. Spearman-Rho values ranged from 0.29 to 0.47.

Conclusions—Increasing stroke severity was associated with progressive loss of overall autonomic modulation, decline in parasympathetic tone, and baroreflex sensitivity, as well as progressive shift toward sympathetic dominance. All autonomic changes put patients with more severe stroke at increasing risk of cardiovascular complications and poor outcome. NIHSS scores are suited to predict risk of autonomic dysregulation and can be used as premonitory signs of autonomic failure. (Stroke. 2011;42:1528-1533.)
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Autonomic cardiovascular dysfunction is common after stroke.1–8 Sympathetic hyperactivity and parasympathetic dysfunction9 may cause tachy- or bradycardia,6,7 troponin T increase,10 myocardial infarction, or sudden death11,12 depending on brain area affected by the stroke.8,12,13

Altered or reduced heart rate variability during acute stroke may be prognostically unfavorable.9,14,15 Sykora et al16 showed reduced baroreflex sensitivity (BRS), ie, compromised autonomic adjustment of heart rate and vascular tone to sudden blood pressure (BP) changes, in acute and subacute stroke patients.5,17 They concluded that sympathetic overactivity and blunted BRS predict poor prognosis after stroke.5,15,18 Thus, early diagnosis of autonomic dysregulation has prognostic and therapeutic relevance in acute stroke.5,18

However, diagnosis of impaired autonomic BP and heart rate modulation requires specific techniques and expertise that is not widely available. Therefore, easily determined clinical surrogate markers of autonomic failure are desirable. Based on previously reported correlations between autonomic impairment and clinical deficits,4,19 we hypothesize that readily available clinical stroke scale scores may serve as a surrogate measure of increased autonomic risk in acute stroke.

To determine whether acute clinical deficits reflect risk of autonomic cardiovascular dysregulation, we studied correla-
tions between parameters of autonomic modulation and the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) scores20 in acute stroke patients.

Patients and Methods
In 50 patients (25 women, 25 men; age 48–84 years; mean age, 66±13 years) with acute, first-ever ischemic stroke in the middle cerebral arterial territory (28 left-hemispheric and 22 right-hemispheric strokes), we assessed clinical stroke severity by means of NIHSS (range, 0–42 points)20; we also monitored cardiovascular autonomic modulation within 50 minutes to 23 hours (mean, 589±444 minutes) after stroke onset. Patients with other diseases and medication that affect the autonomic nervous system were excluded from the study. Patient data were compared with those of 32 age-matched healthy controls (20 women, 12 men; mean age, 61±8 years). We recruited healthy volunteers among unaffected relatives and friends of patients and among members of our research team. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Erlangen-Nuremberg.

To derive parameters of cardiovascular autonomic modulation, we recorded 5-minute time-series of R-R-interval (RRI), BP, respiratory frequency, and transcutaneous oxygen saturation (SatO2). RRs were recorded by conventional 3-lead electrocardiography. Beat-to-beat systolic and diastolic blood pressures (BPsys, BPdia) were measured noninvasively at the index or middle finger of the nonparetic hand, using the vascular unloading technique21 (CNAP™, Draeger Medical), then calibrated against ipsilateral brachial artery BP.21

Respiratory frequency was recorded by chest impedance measurements. SatO2 was measured by pulse-oximetry (Dräger Medical).

All signals were sampled at 200 Hz, digitized, and stored for analysis on a custom-designed data acquisition and analysis system (SUEmpath™, SUESS Medizin-Technik).22

From 5-minute recordings without artifacts, we extracted the most stationary 90-second epochs, then calculated mean values and SD of all signals. To avoid a bias regarding the signal epoch selected for data analysis, we extracted the most stationary 90-second epoch from the 5-minute recordings while blinded to the participant’s status (eg, sex, age, healthy control or patient, NIHSS score).

As autonomic parameters, we determined the coefficient of variation of RRIs (RRI-CV). RRI-CV and RRI-SD reflect sympathetic and parasympathetic cardiac modulation.23,24

We performed trigonometric regressive spectral analyses25 of slow, underlying RRI and BP oscillations in frequency ranges reflecting sympathetic and parasympathetic influences on RRI and BP.25

We identified peaks of oscillations in the so-called low-frequency (LF; 0.04–0.14 Hz) and high-frequency (HF; 0.15–0.50 Hz) ranges of RRI and BP modulation.23,24

LF oscillations of RRI at rest are considered to be mediated by sympathetic outflow and, to an undetermined degree, also by parasympathetic activity; meanwhile, LF oscillations of BP are related to sympathetic outflow only.23,24 HF oscillations in RRI reflect parasympathetic activity,23,24 whereas BP fluctuations in the HF range are primarily a mechanical consequence of respiration-induced fluctuations in venous return and cardiac output.23,24

The magnitude of LF and HF oscillations was determined as the integral under the power spectral density curves of RRI (ms²/Hz) and BP (mm Hg²/Hz) for the 2 frequency bands, and was expressed as LF- and HF-powers of RRI (ms²) and BP (mm Hg²).23,24

In addition, we calculated RRI-LF/HF-ratios as an index of sympatho-vagal balance, and the sum of LF- and HF-powers as an approximation of the total power of RRI oscillations and index of overall autonomic cardiac modulation.23,24 We normalized RRI-LF- and RRI-HF-powers to reduce effects of interindividual differences in total powers on absolute RRI-LF- and RRI-HF-powers,27 where RRI-LFnu=(RRI-LF/[RRI-LF+RRI-HF])×100%, and RRI-HFnu=(RRI-HF/[RRI-LF+RRI-HF])×100%.23,24 To determine BRS, the trigonometric regressive spectral software selected pairs of LF and HF oscillations of BPsys, and RRI with high coherence (>0.7).27 With high coherence, the sensitivity of the baroreflex loop (ms×mm Hg⁻¹) can be derived as gain values from changes in RRIs (ms) in relation to changes in BPsys (mm Hg).27

Statistics
For data analysis, we used a commercially available statistical program (SPSS 18.0, SPSS Inc.). We tested data for normal distribution by the Shapiro-Wilk test.

Similarly distributed patient and control data were compared using the t test for unpaired samples. Non-normally distributed data were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test.

Correlations between NIHSS scores and bio-signals as well as autonomic parameters and BRS were assessed with the Spearman rank correlation test.

Using the Spearman rank correlation test, we also calculated correlations between the interval from stroke onset to autonomic testing and the NIHSS scores, and we correlated the interval with values of the recorded bio-signals and with parameters of autonomic modulation. Significance was assumed for P<0.05.

Results
In 50 stroke patients, NIHSS scores ranged from 1 to 21 (median, 5; lower quartile, 3; upper quartile, 11). Table 1 summarizes data of patients and controls.

In patients, BPsys and respiratory frequency were significantly higher than they were in controls, whereas RRIs, RRI-SD, RRI-CV, and RMSSD were lower in patients than they were in controls (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter, Mean±SD</th>
<th>MCA Stroke (n=50)</th>
<th>Control (n=32)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>65.8±12.7</td>
<td>61.9±7.6</td>
<td>0.085*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI, ms</td>
<td>770.1±141.2†</td>
<td>937.6±117.5†</td>
<td>0.000†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-SD, ms</td>
<td>17.3±8.2†</td>
<td>24.4±8.3†</td>
<td>0.000†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-CV, %</td>
<td>2.2±1.0†</td>
<td>2.6±0.9†</td>
<td>0.021†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSSD, ms</td>
<td>15.1±8.7†</td>
<td>19.2±9.5†</td>
<td>0.023†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPsys, mm Hg</td>
<td>143.3±27.4†</td>
<td>132.2±18.6†</td>
<td>0.048†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPdia, mm Hg</td>
<td>78.9±18.3</td>
<td>72.6±10.7</td>
<td>0.079†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory frequency, min⁻¹</td>
<td>17.0±3.5†</td>
<td>13.6±4.7†</td>
<td>0.000†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-LF-powers, ms²</td>
<td>182.2±211.8†</td>
<td>296.8±208.4†</td>
<td>0.000†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-LFnu-powers, %</td>
<td>68.5±19.7</td>
<td>70.0±13.5</td>
<td>0.716‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-HF-powers, ms²</td>
<td>60.2±61.5†</td>
<td>124.1±122.3†</td>
<td>0.005†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-HFnu-powers, %</td>
<td>31.5±19.7</td>
<td>30.0±13.5</td>
<td>0.716‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-total powers, ms²</td>
<td>251.2±232.7†</td>
<td>421.0±277.8†</td>
<td>0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-LF/HF-ratios,</td>
<td>5.0±6.5</td>
<td>3.5±3.3</td>
<td>0.909*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPsys-LF-powers, mm Hg²</td>
<td>8.2±7.6</td>
<td>7.7±7.0</td>
<td>0.879*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPsys-HF-powers, mm Hg²</td>
<td>3.0±6.1</td>
<td>1.6±1.9</td>
<td>0.463*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRS, ms·mm Hg⁻¹</td>
<td>5.3±2.8†</td>
<td>7.0±3.7†</td>
<td>0.023†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RRI, R-R interval; RRI-SD, SD of RRs; RRI-CV, coefficient of variation of RRs; RMSSD, square root of the mean squared differences of successive RRs; BPsys, systolic blood pressure; BPdia, diastolic BP; LF, low frequency; HF, high frequency; nu, normalized units; RRI-LF/HF-ratios, low-frequency/high-frequency-ratios of RRs; BRS, baroreflex sensitivity.

*Significant differences between patients and controls.
‡Significant differences between patients and controls.

P-values derived from the nonparametric Mann-Whitney-test.
Similarly, patients had lower RRI-LF-powers, RRI-HF-powers, RRI-total powers, and BRS than did controls (Table 1). BPdia values were not quite significantly higher in patients than in controls (P=0.07), while BPsys-LF-powers, BPsys-HF-powers, normalized RRI-LF-powers, normalized RRI-HF-powers, and RRI-LF/HF-ratios did not differ between patients and controls (P>0.05).

NIHSS scores correlated significantly with normalized RRI-LF-powers and RRI-LF/HF-ratios, while there were an inverse correlations between NIHSS scores and RRI-SDs, RRI-CV, absolute RRI-LF-powers, and BPsys-LF-powers. In contrast, the interval correlated with the parasympathetic indices RMSSD of RRIls (Rho=0.308; P=0.029), RRI-HF-powers (Rho=0.415; P=0.003), and with the index of overall autonomic cardiac modulation, the sum of RRI-LF-powers and RRI-HF-powers (RRI-total powers; Rho=0.284; P=0.046).

### Discussion

Our stroke patients had higher BP, heart rate, and respiratory frequency than did controls, indicating increased sympathetic cardiovascular modulation. However, the lower RRI-LF-powers, lower sympathetically and parasympathetically mediated RRI-SDs, RRI-CVs, and RRI-total powers in patients than in controls show a general loss of autonomic cardiac modulation; this has been reported in previous stroke studies. In contrast to the increase in BP, heart rate, and respiratory frequency of our patients, similar RRI-LF/HF-ratios between patients and controls seem to suggest that there is no major change in sympatho-vagal balance after stroke. Yet, increasing RRI-LF/HF-ratios in patients with higher NIHSS scores, as well as the lower RMSSDs and RRI-HF-powers in patients than in controls, confirm a loss in parasympathetic modulation after stroke, and predominant sympathetic tone with increasing stroke severity.

Previous studies support the conclusion that autonomic imbalance depends on stroke severity. Korpelainen et al report no increase in RRI-LF/HF-ratios in patients with higher NIHSS scores, as well as the lower RMSSDs and RRI-HF-powers in patients than in controls, confirm a loss in parasympathetic modulation after stroke, and predominant sympathetic tone with increasing stroke severity. In contrast, Tokgozoglu et al found increased RRI-LF/HF-ratios in patients who had higher NIHSS scores than did our patients.6
The correlations seen in our patients between NIHSS scores and parameters of autonomic modulation (Figure) indicate a higher risk of autonomic complications in patients with more severe strokes. Tokgozoglu et al observed an association between sudden death and reduced parasympathetic, but increased sympathetic activity in their 62 stroke patients. The 7 patients who died unexpectedly during hospitalization had higher RRI-LF/HF-ratios than did surviving patients. Among 44 stroke patients, Orlandi et al found increased RRI-LF/HF-ratios in the 31 patients with arrhythmias.

Sympathetic predominance increases the risk of poststroke tachyarrhythmias, myocardial infarctions, myofibrillar necrosis, perivascular and interstitial fibrosis, and myocyte vacuolization; it additionally increases the risk of secondary brain injury and edema caused by sympathetically driven inflammation with fever, hyperglycemia, polycythemia, and increased blood-brain barrier permeability. Consequently, increased sympathetic outflow compromises stroke outcome.

The progressive decline in parasympathetic activity in our patients with more severe strokes adds to the risk of cardiovascular and cerebral complications. Parasympathetic deficiency promotes malignant tachyarrhythmias and mortality. It reduces cerebral vasodilatation in animal stroke studies, and subsequently furthers cerebral vasocstriction and secondary brain damage.

The overall loss in autonomic modulation, ie, the decreasing RRI-SDs and RRI-total powers in patients with higher NIHSS scores, is associated with a growing risk of cardiac complications and sudden death.

Declining autonomic modulation predicts poor outcome, as shown in patients with myocardial infarction, chronic heart failure, multiple organ dysfunction syndrome, and in ischemic stroke.

Progressive loss in autonomic modulation in patients with more severe stroke also causes deteriorating heart rate and BP adjustment to instantaneous changes of either parameter because of declining BRS. Sykora et al showed that BRS impairment depends on the volume of the stroke and involvement of the insula; they confirm the conclusions of Robinson et al that BRS deterioration after stroke reflects central autonomic dysfunction. Similar to our results, Sykora et al found correlations between decreasing BRS and increasing NIHSS scores.

Reduced BRS is associated with poor outcome in cardiac, renal, or metabolic diseases, and in stroke. According to Robinson et al, BRS impairment during acute stroke is associated with a 4.5-fold increase in mortality rates. Baroreflex failure results in increased BP fluctuations that may exceed cerebral autoregulation buffering capacity; this causes secondary cerebral lesions, particularly in patients with more severe stroke and more deficient BRS. BP fluctuations worsen stroke outcome, as they cause more severe end-organ damage than does nonfluctuating arterial hypertension.

In our patients, coefficients of correlation between increasing NIHSS scores and deteriorating autonomic parameters range from Spearman Rho values of 0.29 to 0.47. Still, the high consistency of correlations between stroke severity and all measures of autonomic dysregulation confirms that more severe stroke is associated with more pronounced autonomic failure and subsequent risk of secondary cardiovascular or cerebral complications.

**Study Limitations**

The rather wide interval between stroke onset and autonomic testing, from 50 minutes to 23 hours, might bias our results. However, NIHSS scores were not dependent on the interval between stroke onset and autonomic evaluation. In contrast, there seems to be inconsistent correlations between this interval and some of the autonomic parameters. Particularly, the positive correlation of the interval between stroke onset and autonomic testing with the parasympathetic parameters RMSSD and RRI-HF-powers suggests that parasympathetic modulation recovers with increasing time since stroke onset. Moreover, the correlation of the interval with overall autonomic modulation points toward the potential for regaining autonomic control over time. The findings encourage follow-up assessments of autonomic control to determine the duration and time course of autonomic dysfunction.

Although we found significant correlations between NIHSS scores and parameters of cardiovascular autonomic dysfunction, there is substantial variability within these correlations. We assume that this variability is because of the effects of age and sex on autonomic parameters, and because of the difference between discontinuous NIHSS scoring and continuous values of autonomic function.

In contrast to continuous values of autonomic parameters, the NIHSS is designed as a straightforward scoring system that assigns noncontinuous scores to the major clinical deficiencies without reflecting the entire scope of deficits in an individual stroke patient (eg, apraxias and neurocognitive deficiencies without reflecting the entire scope of deficits in the NIHSS). The variability of autonomic parameters demonstrates the need for refined autonomic testing in stroke patients. Yet, the methodology is not readily available. Despite the rather wide variability of autonomic parameters for a given NIHSS score, the consistency of correlations between the autonomic parameters and NIHSS scores still supports the conclusion that NIHSS scoring may serve as a coarse substitute for sophisticated autonomic assessment.

In summary and in conformity with previous studies, our results demonstrate the need for autonomic monitoring of...
stroke patients to prevent complications caused by autonomic failure.

However, autonomic monitoring is not widely available; and yet, NIHSS scores are easily taken. From the correlations seen in our patients, we suggest that NIHSS scores may serve as surrogate markers of progressive autonomic failure. Deteriorating NIHSS scores require close observation of heart rate, BP, and the variabilities of those measures. Loss of heart rate variability and increasing BP variability indicate growing autonomic risk and predict the need for interventions to stabilize the cardiovascular system.

**Perspective**

There are many reports about differences in autonomic dysfunction after left- and right-sided stroke. There are also reports that only found a decrease in total autonomic risk and predict the need for interventions to present and discuss the hemisphere-specific data. We, however, assume that the correlations seen between NIHSS scores and autonomic parameters might be hemisphere-dependent. Hemispheric predominance of autonomic modulation might account for discrepancies of autonomic dysfunction and of its correlation with NIHSS scores between patients with right and left middle cerebral artery stroke. A preliminary analysis of our hemisphere-specific data suggests there are quite complex and intricate interactions between the side of the lesion and the dysautonomia. Yet, it is beyond the scope of this article to present and discuss the hemisphere-specific data. We, however, intend to provide a separate detailed analysis of hemispheric correlations and differences.
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高い NIHSS 値は心血管系自律神経調節の障害を予測する

High NIHSS Values Predict Impairment of Cardiovascular Autonomic Control

Max Josef Hilz, MD1,2; Sebastian Moeller, MD3; Aynur Akhundova, MD1; Harald Marthol, MD1; Elisabeth Pauli, PhD1; Philipp De Fina, MD3; Stefan Schwab, MD1

1 Department of Neurology, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany; 2 Departments of Neurology, Medicine, and Psychiatry, New York University, New York, NY; 3 International Brain Research Foundation, Edison, NJ

背景および目的: 脳卒中はしばしば自律神経機能障害を伴っており、これは続発性の心血管系合併症の原因となる。自律神経失調の早期診断により合併症は予防されるが、これは専門の機関でのみ可能であり、広く利用可能な代替マーカーが必要である。本研究では、NIHSS スコアにより評価した脳卒中の重症度が自律神経機能障害と相関するかどうかを検証した。方法: 50 例の虚血性脳卒中患者を対象に、脳卒中の発症から 24 時間以内の NIHSS スコアおよび自律神経性心血管系調節のパラメータを評価し、データを 32 例の健康対照者と比較した。NIHSS スコアを、総合的自律神経調節（R-R 間隔[RRI]調節の総周波成分：RRI 標準偏差[RRI-SD]、RRI 変動係数）、副交感神経調節（連続する RRI の平均二乗差の平方根、RRI-HF 成分）、交感神経調節（正規化した RRI-LF 成分、血圧-LF 成分）、交感神経 - 迷走神経バランスの指標（RRI-LF/HF 比）および圧反射感受性のパラメータと相関させた。

結果: 患者は対照と比べて血圧および呼吸数は有意に高かったが、RRI、RRI-SD、RRI 動変数、連続する RRI の平均二乗差の平方根、RRI-LF 成分、RRI-HF 成分、RRI-総周波成分および圧反射感受性は低かった。NIHSS スコアは正規化した RRI-LF 成分および RRI-LF/ HF 比と有意に相関し、RRI、RRI-SD、連続する RRI の平均二乗差の平方根、RRI-HF 成分、正規化した RRI-HF 成分、RRI-総周波成分および圧反射感受性と負の相関を示した。Spearman の ρ 値は 0.29 ～ 0.47 の範囲であった。

結論: 脳卒中の重症度の上昇は、全体的自律神経調節の進行性消失、副交感神経緊張と圧反射感受性の低下、ならびに交感神経優位への進行性移行を伴っていた。脳卒中の重症度が高い患者では、あらゆる自律神経系の変化によって心血管系合併症および転帰不良のリスクが上昇する。NIHSS スコアは自律神経失調のリスクの予測において、自律神経不全の前駆徴候として使用できる可能性がある。
高 NIHSS 评分预测受损的心血管自主神经控制

Max Josef Hilz, MD; Sebastian Moeller, MD; Aynur Akhundova, MD; Harald Marthol, MD; Elisabeth Pauli, PhD; Philipp De Fina, MD; Stefan Schwab, MD

背景和目的：脑卒中与心血管自主神经紊乱密切相关，从而引起继发性的心血管并发症。对自主神经功能紊乱的早期诊断能够预防并发症的发生，但是需要能够广泛利用的替代指标。因此，研究需要能够广泛利用的替代指标。

方法：对 50 名缺血性卒中患者，发病 24 小时内进行 NIHSS 评分和心血管自主调节功能的参数进行评估，并与 32 名健康对照组进行数据比较。进行 NIHSS 评分和总自主调节参数的统计分析（总的 R-R 间期 [RRI] 的调节；RRI 的标准差 [RRI-SD]；RRI 的变异系数），副交感神经调节参数（连续 RRI 均方的平方根，RRI 高频率）、交感神经调节参数（正常化的低频率，血压低频率）。通过分析 RRI-LF/HF 比率以及压力感受器的敏感性。

结果：与对照组相比，患者有明显的较高血压和通气，但是 RRI、RRI-SDs、RRI 相关变量、连续 RRI 均方的平方根、RRI 低频率、RRI 高频率以及压力感受器敏感性下降。

结论：逐渐增加的卒中严重性与逐渐丧失的总体自主调节功能的敏感性下降，以及进展性转移至交感神经主导相关。所有的自主改变使较严重的卒中患者增加了心血管并发症的风险及不良预后。NIHSS 评分适合于预测自主失调的风险，并且可以用来作为自主调节失败的预兆。

关键词：急性卒中，自主神经失调，NIHSS，卒中预后

(Stroke. 2011;42:1528-1533. 北京天坛医院神经内科 吴建维 译 刘丽萍 校)
为了得到心血管自主调节的参数，我们记录了 5 分钟为时间段的连续的 R-R 间期 (RRI)、BP、呼吸频率和脑氧饱和度 (SatO2)。RRIs 通过常规的 3 导联心电图记录。收缩压 (BP sys) 和舒张压 (BP dia) 通过使用血管装卸技术 (CNAPTM, Dräger Medical) 测量。呼吸频率通过胸部抵抗测量。脑氧饱和度通过脉冲血氧仪 (Dräger Medical) 测量。收缩压和舒张压的低频振荡仅与交感神经的输入介导，而高频振荡主要是副交感神经介导的。呼吸频率和脑氧饱和度的高频振荡主要是呼吸诱导的静态振荡 [27]。呼吸频率的低频振荡在交感神经影响下增加，而高频振荡主要由副交感神经调节 [27]。为确定 BRS，三角函数倒退光谱软件选择了有高度一致性的振荡总功率，且是总体自我神经心脏调节的指数 [23,24]。

### 表 1 50 名急性大脑中动脉区域的缺血性卒中患者及 30 名对照组的数据的均值及标准差

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>参数</th>
<th>大脑中动脉区域内</th>
<th>对照组</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 年龄, 岁              | 65.8±12.7        | 61.9±7.6    | 0.085*
| RRI, ms               | 779.1±141.2†     | 937.6±117.5†| 0.000†‡|
| RRI-SD, ms            | 17.3±8.2†        | 24.4±8.3†   | 0.000†‡|
| RRI-CV, %             | 2.2±1.0†         | 2.6±0.9†    | 0.021†‡|
| RMSSD, ms             | 15.1±8.7†        | 19.2±9.5†   | 0.023†‡|
| BP sys, mmHg          | 143.3±27.4‡      | 132.2±16.6‡ | 0.048‡|
| BP dia, mmHg          | 78.9±18.3        | 72.6±10.7   | 0.079†|
| 呼吸频率, min⁻¹       | 17.0±3.5†        | 13.6±4.7†   | 0.000‡|
| RRI-LF 功率, ms²       | 182.2±211.8†     | 296.8±208.4†| 0.000‡|
| RRI-LFnu 功率, %       | 68.5±19.7        | 70.0±13.5   | 0.716†|
| RRI-HF 功率, ms²       | 69.2±61.5†       | 124.1±122.3†| 0.005†‡|
| RRI-HFnu 功率, %       | 31.5±19.7        | 30.0±13.5   | 0.716†|
| RRI 总功率, ms²       | 251.2±232.7‡     | 421.0±277.8‡| 0.001‡|
| RRI-LF/HF-ratios      | 5.0±6.5          | 3.5±3.3     | 0.909*|
| BPsys-LF 功率, mmHg²  | 8.2±7.6          | 7.7±7.0     | 0.879*|
| BPsys-HF 功率, mmHg²  | 3.0±6.1          | 1.6±1.9     | 0.463*|
| BRS, ms-mmHg²         | 5.3±3.2          | 7.0±3.7     | 0.023‡*

### 统计分析

对于数据分析，我们使用了商业适用统计程序 (SPSS 18.0, SPSS Inc.)。使用 Shapiro-Wilk 检验来检测数据是否呈正态分布。
正态分布的患者以及对照数据使用t检验比较。非正态分布的数据使用Mann-Whitney U检验。

NIHSS及生物信号的联系以及自主神经参数和BRS的联系使用Spearman相关检验。

结果

在50名首诊大脑中动脉区域急性卒中患者各参数的Spearman Rho值

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>参数</th>
<th>Spearman Rho</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RRI, ms</td>
<td>-0.310</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-SD, ms</td>
<td>-0.289</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-CV, %</td>
<td>-0.218</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSSD, ms</td>
<td>-0.421</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPsys, mmHg</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
<td>0.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPdia, mmHg</td>
<td>-0.101</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>呼吸频率，min⁻¹</td>
<td>-0.068</td>
<td>0.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>氧饱和度，%</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-LF- 功率，ms²</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-LFnu- 功率，%</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-HF- 功率，ms²</td>
<td>-0.466</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-HFnu- 功率，%</td>
<td>-0.345</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI- 总功率，ms²</td>
<td>-0.292</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRI-LF/HF-ratios</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPsys-LF- 功率，mm Hg²</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>0.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPsys-HF- 功率，mm Hg²</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRS, ms-mm Hg⁻¹</td>
<td>-0.317</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RRI, R-R间期; RRI-SD, RRIs的标准差; RRI-CV, RRIs变异系数; RMSSD, 任务RRI均方的平方根; BPsys, 收缩压; BPdia, 舒张压; LF, 低频; HF, 高频; nu, 正常化单位; RRI-LF/HF-ratios, RRIs低频/高频比率; BRS, 压力反应性下降。

**表2** 50名首次大脑中动脉区域急性卒中患者各参数的Spearman Rho值

在50个卒中患者中，NIHSS评分在1-21分之间（均数，5；下四分位，3；上四分位，11）。表1概述了患者及对照组的数据。

患者的BPsys及呼吸频率明显高于对照组，而RRI、RRI-SD、RRI-CV以及RMSSD在患者组低于对照组（见表1）。

相同时，患者组较对照组有较低的RRI-LF功率、RRI-HF功率、RRI总功率以及BRS。

BPsys值在患者组中没有明显高于对照组（P=0.07），而BPsys-HF功率、BPsys-HF功率、正常化的RRI-LF功率、正常化的RRI-HF功率以及RRI-LF/HF比率在患者组及对照组中没有差别（P<0.05）。

NIHSS评分与正常的RRI-LF功率及RRI-LF/HF比率相关明显，而NIHSS评分与RRI、RRI-SD、RMSSD、RRI-HF功率、正常化的RRI-HF功率、RRI总功率以及BRS成负相关（Spearman Rho值见表2）。

NIHSS评分与BPsys、BPdia、S SATO2, 呼吸频率、RRI-CV, 完全的RRI-LF功率以及BPsys-LF功有明显联系。

NIHSS评分与卒中发生后至自主神经测试间隔没有明显相关（Spearman Rho, 0.218; P=0.128）。

而且，卒中发生后至自主神经测试间隔与RRI、BPsys、BPdia、呼吸频率、S SATO2、RRI-SD、RRI-CVs、RRI-LF 功率、RRI-LF/HF比率、正常化的RRI-LF功率以及BRS之间没有明显联系。相反的，此间隔与RRI的副交感神经指数的RMSSD(Rho=0.308; P=0.029)、RRI-HF功率(Rho=0.415; P=0.003)相关，并且与总体自主神经心脏调节指数、RRI-LF功率以及RRI-HF功率总和相关（RRI 总功率; Rho=0.284; P=0.046）。

图 个体 NIHSS 评分值和 (A) RR 间期, (B) 正常化低频 RRI 功率, (C) 正常化高频 RRI 功率的相关性。
讨论

与对照组相比,卒中患者有较高的血压、心率及呼吸频率,表明了增加的交感神经心血管调节 [6,7,9,28-30]。然而,患者组较低的 RRI-LF 功率、较低的交感神经和副交感神经介导的 RRI-SDs、RRI-CVs 以及 RRI 总功率 [23,24] 表明自主神经心脏调节总的缺失,这在以前的卒中杂志中也有报道 [6,7,28]。患者组与对照组对比,有增高的血压、心率及呼吸频率。患者组与对照组有类似的 RRI-LF/HF 比率,表明卒中后交感神经 - 迷走神经平衡并没有很大的改变。尽管如此,在患者组,增高的 RRI-LF/HF 比率、高 NIHSS 评分以及较低的 RMSSD 及 RRI-HF 功率,证实了卒中后交感神经调节失调,并且随着卒中的严重性增加,交感神经调节占主要作用。
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程度或不同部位的神经功能缺损而分类。相比之下，
心率变异的下降以及血压变异的增加表明了增加的
评分需要更密切的关注心率、
步精确自主调节测试的必要性。然而，方法学上是
的。但是，
不同而有差异
不同部分的中央自主神经调节网的参与很可能导致
的相关性来看，对于进展性的自主神经调节失败，
症。
中的患者高
数可能是有半球优势的。自主神经功能调节的半球
NIHSS
评分可以作为一种替代方法。恶化的
评分外，我们的患者年龄范
(48-84 岁)，可能对自主调节参数的影响也较大。同样除了
NIHSS 评分外，类似的性别的不同，
25 个男性及 25 个女性卒中患者可能对自主调节参数的变异也有影响 [50,51]。
自主调节参数的变异表明了对卒中患者进一步精确自主调节测试的必要性。然而，方法学上是不可行的。尽管对一个给定的 NIHSS 分数，自主神经调节参数变异范围较大，但是，自主调节参数与
NIHSS 评分之间的高度一致性仍然支持了这个结论：NIHSS 评分可以作为复杂的自主调节评价的替代方法。
总之，与先前研究一致 [4-7,14,15,28]，我们的结果表明了卒中患者进行自主神经功能监测的必要性，以进一步阻止因自主神经调节失败导致严重的并发症。
尽管如此，自主神经功能监测并不是广泛适用的。但是，NIHSS 评分是容易获得的。从我们患者的相关性来看，对于进展性的自主神经调节失败，NIHSS 评分可以作为一种替代方法。恶化的 NIHSS 评分需要更密切关注心率、BP 以及这些值的差异。心率变异的下降以及血压变异的增加表明了增加的自主调节风险，并且预示着心血管系统的干预措施。

展望

在左半球或右半球卒中后，有许多的研究报道了自主神经功能紊乱的不同 [2,3,6,8,29,52]。尽管许多的研究发现，右半球卒中后，交感神经调节占主导地位 [8,11,29,52,53]；但是，仍有报道称，在右半球卒中后，仅发现总的自主调节的下降或副交感输出下降 [2,54]。然而，NIHSS 评分在左半球卒中者的较右半球卒中的患者高 [48,55,59]。

因此，我们假设 NIHSS 评分与自主神经功能参数可能是有半球优势的。自主神经功能调节的半球优势 [35] 可能导致自主神经功能紊乱的差异，以及它们的联系对于 NIHSS 评分在右侧大脑中动脉或左侧大脑中动脉卒中患者的差异。我们的一项半球特异性的数据的初步分析显示，损伤半球与自主调节失调的相互关系是错综复杂的。然而，它超出了此文章的范围并且讨论了半球特异性数据。我们将提供一份独立的详细的半球联系及差异的分析。
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