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Background and Purpose—Little is known about intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) use in China. By accessing the Chinese National Stroke Registry (CNSR), the rate of intravenous rtPA use was reviewed. We specifically examined the issues of prehospital and in-emergency department delay and compared them with the published data from developed countries.

Methods—Funded by Chinese government, CNSR is the only nationwide stroke registry that includes 132 urban hospitals. All patients eligible for intravenous rtPA were included for analysis. We then compared the onset-to-needle time and door-to-needle time in the emergency department in China with those in developed countries.

Results—From September 2007 to August 2008, 14,702 patients with ischemic stroke were entered into CNSR. Among 11,675 patients with known time of stroke onset, 2,514 (21.5%) presented to the emergency department within 3 hours, 1,469 (12.6%) were eligible for thrombolytic treatment, and 284 (2.4%) were finally treated, 181 (1.6%) of them with intravenous rtPA. The median onset-to-needle time was 180 (interquartile range, 150 to 228) minutes; the median door-to-needle time was 116 (interquartile range, 70 to 150) minutes; the median imaging-to-needle time was 90 (interquartile range, 60 to 129) minutes. Patients who were younger, presented to the emergency department quicker, with higher National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale scores, having higher income, and better education had a better chance of receiving intravenous rtPA.

Conclusions—Approximately 1 in 5 patients with stroke presenting within 3 hours received thrombolytic therapy. The onset-to-needle time, door-to-needle time, and especially imaging-to-needle time were significantly longer than those in developed countries. Reducing prehospital and in-emergency department response time would help increase intravenous rtPA use in China. (Stroke. 2011;42:1658-1664.)
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Stroke is the second leading cause of death after cancer in the world.¹ However, stroke has become the leading cause of death among all diseases in China, which has one fifth of the total population in the world. Currently, >7 million Chinese have strokes, and approximately 65% of them are ischemic. Rising incidence and morbidity of stroke have created a heavy burden to the Chinese healthcare system.²-⁴ Since its approval in the United States in 1996, intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) has been used in treating acute ischemic stroke (AIS) for >15 years in developed countries.⁵ With the reported rate of using rtPA between 1.2% and 9%,⁶-⁸ their experiences have identified many barriers of giving rtPA such as prehospital or in-hospital delay, short treatment time window, and lack of hospital infrastructure and readiness. Delay in presentation is the most common reason for exclusion. Many studies found that only approximately 20% to 25% of patients with acute stroke arrived in the hospital within 3 hours.⁶,⁹,¹⁰

In 2001, rtPA treatment for AIS was approved by the State Food and Drug Administration of China. However, little is known about its use in China. As the 1 and only government-funded national registry, the Chinese National Stroke Regis-
try (CNSR) has offered the opportunity to study how stroke care is delivered in China. Based on the data entered into the CNSR, we analyzed how intravenous rtPA has been used in China.

Methods

Registry Design and Site Selection

CNSR, sponsored by the Ministry of Health of China, is an ongoing national registry of patients presenting to hospitals with acute cerebrovascular events. Initiated in 2007, CNSR was designed to examine the current status of stroke care in China. The data would be used to help developing strategies to improve stroke care.

The following criteria were used to select the hospitals as a CNSR site: (1) targeting 1% of the total 13 372 general hospitals in China; (2) having at least 1 stroke neurologist; (3) at least 2 sites are included from each of the 32 provinces and 4 municipalities in China; (4) commitment to participate voluntarily; and (5) ability to conduct research. A total of 242 hospitals were included initially; 187 agreed to participate; 132 were finally selected by the CNSR steering committee. The majority (75.8%) of these hospitals were tertiary care hospitals. The size of neurology ward ranged from 30 to 176 beds (median, 64). Approximately 52.4% had stroke units and 63.8% had neurology coverage in the emergency departments (EDs). CT scan was available 24/7 in 99.2% of the study sites. Other than the EDs, 63.8% had neurology coverage in the emergency departments (EDs).

Survey on Reasons Causing In-ED Delay

To determine the factors associated with in-ED delay, we designed a substudy to examine any delay of door-to-needle time beyond 60 minutes. Items of this survey include (1) the process of obtaining consent; (2) completion of laboratory tests; (3) waiting for imaging interpretation; (4) waiting for family to purchase rtPA; and (5) others.

Statistical Analysis

For descriptive analysis, proportions were used for categorical variables; median with interquartile range (IQR) was used for continuous variables. Differences between IV rtPA-treated subjects and nontreated subjects were tested using nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables and using the χ² or Fisher exact test for categorical variables. Univariate logistic regression analysis was used to identify the demographic or clinical factors associated with the use of IV rtPA such as age, gender, education, marital status, type of health insurance, average monthly income per capita, NIHSS score on admission, prestroke MRS score at admission, onset to ED arrival time, medical history, antiplatelet/anticoagulant drug use before onset, admission ward, and the number of beds in neurology department. Unadjusted ORs and their corresponding 95% CIs were reported. To identity factors independently associated with the use of IV rtPA, multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed using backward selection method with significance level of P < 0.05. The potential factors were selected from the univariate logistic regression analysis with overall association P < 0.2, which was equivalent to maximize the model information criteria (Akaike information criterion). Type III adjusted ORs and the 95% CIs were reported.

The types of healthcare insurance were grouped into 3 categories: basic/government health insurance, rural cooperative insurance, and self-pay. The time of onset to ED arrival was divided into 3 intervals: <1 hour, 1 to 2 hours, and 2 to 3 hours. The severity of the presenting symptoms at admission was categorized into 3 levels by the NIHSS scores: <4, 4 to 14, and >14. For all analysis, a 2-tailed probability value of <0.05 was considered significant and analyses were performed using SAS 9.1.3 (SAS Institute Inc).

Results

Demographics of the Patients Studied

A total of 22 216 patients with acute cerebrovascular events were entered into the registry during the 12-month study period. Of these registered patients, 14 702 (66.2%) were diagnosed with AIS on admission; 5221 (23.5%) had intracerebral hemorrhage; 1387 (6.2%) had transient ischemic attack; 767 (3.5%) had subarachnoid hemorrhage; and 1392 (0.6%) had unclear diagnoses. For patients with AIS, their mean age was 65.0 ± 12.6 years and 39.3% were female. Of 14 702 patients with ischemic stroke, 7579 (54.1%) arrived at the ED by taxi, 2782 (18.9%) by emergency medical services, 2717 (18.5%) by private car, 378 (2.5%) by bikes/tricycles, and 884 (6.0%) by other means. Approximately 3027 (20.6%) were excluded because of missing baseline data (n = 176) and stroke onset time was unclear (n = 2851). Among patients with documented accurate onset time, the median onset-to-door time was 26.0 (IQR, 7.5 to 68.0) hours. The onset time of stroke was clear in 2514 (2514 of 11 675 [21.5%]) patients who presented to the ED within 3 hours of symptom onset (Figure 1).
Data on the Use of rtPA

A total of 1469 (1469 of 2514 [58.4%]) patients had no contraindications to thrombolysis and were therefore eligible for IV rtPA. Among them, 181 (181 of 1469 [12.3%]) received IV rtPA, 8 (8 of 1469 [0.5%]) had IA or IV+IA rtPA, and 95 (95 of 1469 [6.5%]) received IV or IA urokinase. In the IV rtPA group, 102 (56.35%) received 0.9 mg/kg rtPA and 79 (43.65%) received lower doses. Among them, 45 (56.96%) patients received 0.6 to 0.8 mg/kg tPA because the treating physicians felt that such a dosage range was also effective; 31 (39.24%) patients received total of 50 mg because these patients could not afford higher doses. Infusion was stopped in 3 patients because their neurological conditions deteriorated.

For 1045 patients presenting within 3 hours of onset but did not get rtPA, the reasons for not treating are listed in Table 1.

The median onset-to-needle time in CNSR was 180 (IQR, 150 to 228) minutes (Figure 2). The median door-to-needle time was 116 (IQR, 70 to 150) minutes (Figure 3A). The median imaging-to-needle time was 90 (IQR, 60 to 129) minutes (Figure 3B). The median onset-to-needle time in the study was longer than those seen in developed country registries, including the Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke-Monitoring Study (SITS-MOST) registry and Registry of the Canadian Stroke Network (31 hospitals in 8 provinces)13 (Figure 2). The door-to-needle time in the EDs between China and developed countries was also compared. The time in CNSR was longer than those in SITS-MOST, Ethos,14 and Registry of the Canadian Stroke Network registries (Figure 3A).

The In-ED Delay Substudy

Eighteen (13.64%) tertiary care hospitals and 14 (10.61%) secondary care hospitals participated voluntarily in the sub-study of reasons of in-ED delaying door-to-needle time beyond 1 hour; 344 (59.4%) of the 579 patients arriving at the ED within 3 hours of symptomatic onset were eligible for thrombolytic therapy. Among 344 patients, 45 (13.1%) did receive the treatment within 3 hours from onset, but the door-to-needle time was beyond 1 hour in 37 (82.22%) patients. The most common reasons documented by the physician for the in-ED delay included the time needed to obtain consent (n=16 [43.24%]), delay in completing labo-

Figure 1. Flow diagram defining the potentially eligible patients with acute stroke for IV rtPA therapy. CNSR indicates China National Stroke Registry; ICH, intracranial hemorrhage; AIS, acute ischemic stroke; TIA, transient ischemic attack; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage; IV, intravenous; IA+IV, endovascular-intravenous; rtPA, recombinant tissue plasminogen activator.
Variables That Impact the Rate of Treatment

In univariate logistic analysis, statistically significant differences were detected between the IV rtPA treatment group (181 patients) and untreated group (1185 patients) in the following variables: age, hypertension, education, types of health insurance, average monthly income per capita, NIHSS scores on admission, onset to ED time, ED arrival mode, and admission destination (Table 2).

In age subgroups, younger patients (18 to 45 years old) were more likely to receive thrombolytic treatment than other age subgroups (46 to 65 years, 66 to 75 years, and >75 years). Compared with patients with NIHSS scores, patients with 4 to 14 NIHSS scores on admission were 4 times more likely to receive IV rtPA, whereas patients with >14 NIHSS scores on admission were 7 times more likely to get thrombolytic treatment. Also, earlier onset to ED arrival time significantly increased the odds of receiving IV rtPA. Compared with arriving 2 to 3 hours after the onset, patients arriving earlier than 2 hours received IV rtPA more frequently (OR, 2.17; 95% CI, 1.45 to 3.2 and OR, 1.57; 95% CI, 1.03 to 2.4, respectively). In addition, there was a significantly higher rate of giving rtPA to patients who had better education and higher average monthly income.

Patients were able to get brain scans quickly on arrival in the ED in China, which was similar to the findings in the developed countries. However, there was a significant delay following variables: age, hypertension, education, types of health insurance, average monthly income per capita, NIHSS scores on admission, onset to ED time, ED arrival mode, and admission destination (Table 2).

Results of the final multivariate model identifying factors associated with IV rtPA use are shown in Figure 4. Age, education, average monthly income per capita, NIHSS scores on admission, and onset to ED arrival time remained significant in multiple regression analysis after adjustment for other potential factors.

In age subgroups, younger patients (18 to 45 years old) were more likely to receive thrombolytic treatment than other age subgroups (46 to 65 years, 66 to 75 years, and >75 years). Compared with patients with NIHSS scores, patients with 4 to 14 NIHSS scores on admission were 4 times more likely to receive IV rtPA, whereas patients with >14 NIHSS scores on admission were 7 times more likely to get thrombolytic treatment. Also, earlier onset to ED arrival time significantly increased the odds of receiving IV rtPA. Compared with arriving 2 to 3 hours after the onset, patients arriving earlier than 2 hours received IV rtPA more frequently (OR, 2.17; 95% CI, 1.45 to 3.2 and OR, 1.57; 95% CI, 1.03 to 2.4, respectively). In addition, there was a significantly higher rate of giving rtPA to patients who had better education and higher average monthly income.

Patients were able to get brain scans quickly on arrival in the ED in China, which was similar to the findings in the developed countries. However, there was a significant delay following variables: age, hypertension, education, types of health insurance, average monthly income per capita, NIHSS scores on admission, onset to ED time, ED arrival mode, and admission destination (Table 2).

Results of the final multivariate model identifying factors associated with IV rtPA use are shown in Figure 4. Age, education, average monthly income per capita, NIHSS scores on admission, and onset to ED arrival time remained significant in multiple regression analysis after adjustment for other potential factors.

In age subgroups, younger patients (18 to 45 years old) were more likely to receive thrombolytic treatment than other age subgroups (46 to 65 years, 66 to 75 years, and >75 years). Compared with patients with NIHSS scores, patients with 4 to 14 NIHSS scores on admission were 4 times more likely to receive IV rtPA, whereas patients with >14 NIHSS scores on admission were 7 times more likely to get thrombolytic treatment. Also, earlier onset to ED arrival time significantly increased the odds of receiving IV rtPA. Compared with arriving 2 to 3 hours after the onset, patients arriving earlier than 2 hours received IV rtPA more frequently (OR, 2.17; 95% CI, 1.45 to 3.2 and OR, 1.57; 95% CI, 1.03 to 2.4, respectively). In addition, there was a significantly higher rate of giving rtPA to patients who had better education and higher average monthly income.

Patients were able to get brain scans quickly on arrival in the ED in China, which was similar to the findings in the developed countries. However, there was a significant delay following variables: age, hypertension, education, types of health insurance, average monthly income per capita, NIHSS scores on admission, onset to ED time, ED arrival mode, and admission destination (Table 2).

Results of the final multivariate model identifying factors associated with IV rtPA use are shown in Figure 4. Age, education, average monthly income per capita, NIHSS scores on admission, and onset to ED arrival time remained significant in multiple regression analysis after adjustment for other potential factors.

In age subgroups, younger patients (18 to 45 years old) were more likely to receive thrombolytic treatment than other age subgroups (46 to 65 years, 66 to 75 years, and >75 years). Compared with patients with NIHSS scores, patients with 4 to 14 NIHSS scores on admission were 4 times more likely to receive IV rtPA, whereas patients with >14 NIHSS scores on admission were 7 times more likely to get thrombolytic treatment. Also, earlier onset to ED arrival time significantly increased the odds of receiving IV rtPA. Compared with arriving 2 to 3 hours after the onset, patients arriving earlier than 2 hours received IV rtPA more frequently (OR, 2.17; 95% CI, 1.45 to 3.2 and OR, 1.57; 95% CI, 1.03 to 2.4, respectively). In addition, there was a significantly higher rate of giving rtPA to patients who had better education and higher average monthly income.

Patients were able to get brain scans quickly on arrival in the ED in China, which was similar to the findings in the developed countries. However, there was a significant delay following variables: age, hypertension, education, types of health insurance, average monthly income per capita, NIHSS scores on admission, onset to ED time, ED arrival mode, and admission destination (Table 2).

Results of the final multivariate model identifying factors associated with IV rtPA use are shown in Figure 4. Age, education, average monthly income per capita, NIHSS scores on admission, and onset to ED arrival time remained significant in multiple regression analysis after adjustment for other potential factors.

In age subgroups, younger patients (18 to 45 years old) were more likely to receive thrombolytic treatment than other age subgroups (46 to 65 years, 66 to 75 years, and >75 years). Compared with patients with NIHSS scores, patients with 4 to 14 NIHSS scores on admission were 4 times more likely to receive IV rtPA, whereas patients with >14 NIHSS scores on admission were 7 times more likely to get thrombolytic treatment. Also, earlier onset to ED arrival time significantly increased the odds of receiving IV rtPA. Compared with arriving 2 to 3 hours after the onset, patients arriving earlier than 2 hours received IV rtPA more frequently (OR, 2.17; 95% CI, 1.45 to 3.2 and OR, 1.57; 95% CI, 1.03 to 2.4, respectively). In addition, there was a significantly higher rate of giving rtPA to patients who had better education and higher average monthly income.
between the completion of the brain imaging and the administration of IV rtPA (Figure 3B).

**Discussion**

Similar to the SITS-MOST data, our study showed that 1 in 5 (19.3%) patients with AIS received IV rtPA (12.3%), IA rtPA, or IV and IA rtPA (0.5%) or IV and IA urokinase (6.5%) when presenting to the ED within 3 hours in China. Overall, approximately 2% of all patients with acute AIS in this study were treated with thrombolytics, which was lower than the rate of treatment in Europe.15

The majority (82.9%) of patients with AIS in CNSR arrived at the ED beyond 3 hours (median time, 26.0 hours). The median time from onset to treatment was 180 minutes, longer than that seen in developed countries.13,16 Many reasons were accountable for this concerning prehospital delay: inability to recognize stroke signs and symptoms by patients or their families, a wait-and-see attitude, and unwilling to call emergency medical services unless the stroke is severe.17 In the CNSR, more than half of the patients with AIS (54.1%)
arrived at the ED by taxi, and less than 1 in 5 (18.9%) used emergency medical services. For those who arrived at the ED quicker, they were likely to be treated.

The median door-to-needle time was 116 minutes, longer than that reported in the developed countries. However, the time between the ED arrival to CT or MRI scanning was similar to the reported time in the developed countries. It is especially concerning that the imaging-to-needle time was nearly twice as long in China. We have identified several factors that would be accountable for such a delay: a long process of obtaining consent, delay in completing laboratory testing, long wait for the family to buy rtPA, and physicians’ nihilistic attitude. It usually takes a long time to obtain written consent from the family because there is often a trust issue between the family and the treating physician in China. What is also of concern and perhaps unique in China is that the patients and their families of low socioeconomic status would have to find money to purchase the drug first before it could be given. Before 2008, the cost of rtPA was not covered by healthcare insurance in China. The price was close to 8500 Yuan ($1255 US) for a patient with an average body weight of 70 kg (5700 Yuan per ampoule containing 50 mg).

In CNSR, patients with a higher income (>1000 Yuan/month) were nearly twice likely to receive IV rtPA. The present study was conducted before the national healthcare insurance coverage of rtPA treatment for patients with AIS. The current condition may have improved because the healthcare insurance has covered rtPA treatment since 2008.

Many Chinese physicians are still concerned about the safety of using IV rtPA. They would overemphasize the adverse effect of rtPA such as symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage. Such an attitude from the treating physician would have a negative impact on patients or their families, who would then likely refuse IV rtPA.

The reason that younger patients were more likely to receive rtPA is due to their better knowledge of rtPA and much higher expectation of a better outcome after stroke. It is a good example that better education would facilitate acute treatment of AIS.

Patients with more severe stroke were more likely treated with IV rtPA. The possible explanation was that there was the belief that severe strokes (NIHSS 4 to 25) may have a better benefit.18

Similar to previous reports by different countries in Asia,19,20 we also found that approximately 44% patients received doses <0.9 mg/kg in hospitals participating in CNSR. Besides the economic reason, the belief held by many physicians was that lower-dose rtPA (0.6 to 0.8 mg/kg) offered similar efficacy as doses of 0.9 kg/mg.20 This issue may need to be settled further by clinical trials in the future.

Limitations of the Study

There were several limitations in our study. The participating hospitals in CNSR were selected based on geographical differences. These study sites were tertiary care hospitals and therefore had more resources and stroke neurologists than smaller hospitals in rural areas. Because nearly 70% of populations in China live in rural areas and patients with stroke may go to rural hospitals first, it is likely that the rate of using IV rtPA could be even lower. Furthermore, only some hospitals participated in the in-ED delay substudy. Often time was documented by the estimation of the treating physicians. Therefore, there could be selection and information biases. A more comprehensive study of the reasons related to prehospital and in-ED delay would be needed and help to improve the thrombolytic use in China.

Conclusions

Based on the data from CNSR, the rate of using IV rtPA in China is lower than that in Europe. Many barriers that are unique to Chinese culture prevented more successful use of IV rtPA. Many challenges lie ahead in treating patients with AIS in China. Much work still needs to be done to improve the knowledge of stroke and available treatment for stroke among the public and healthcare professionals. In addition,
there is a need to better organize the healthcare delivery systems and better documentation. We plan to study this issue again once the interventions have been completed and hopefully, the rate of using IV rtPA to treat eligible patients with AIS will substantially improve in China.
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