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Background and Purpose—Prospective studies of red meat consumption and risk of stroke have provided inconsistent results. We performed a meta-analysis to summarize the evidence regarding the effects of red meat (fresh, processed, and total) consumption on stroke risk.

Methods—Studies were identified by searching the PubMed database through May 26, 2012, and by reviewing the reference lists of retrieved articles. Prospective studies that reported relative risks (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between red meat consumption and risk of stroke were eligible. Results were combined using a random-effects model.

Results—Five articles including results from 6 prospective studies with 10 630 cases of stroke and 329 495 participants were included in the meta-analysis. For each serving per day increase in fresh red meat, processed meat, and total red meat consumption, the RR (95% CI) of total stroke were 1.11 (1.03–1.20), 1.13 (1.03–1.24), and 1.11 (1.06–1.16), respectively, without heterogeneity among studies ($P$>0.16). Among 4 articles with results for stroke subtypes, the risk of ischemic stroke was positively associated with consumption of fresh red meat (RR, 1.13; 95% CI, 1.00–1.27), processed meat (RR, 1.15; 95% CI, 1.06–1.24), and total red meat (RR, 1.12; 95% CI, 1.05–1.19); no statistically significant associations were observed for hemorrhagic stroke.

Conclusion—Results from this meta-analysis indicate that consumption of fresh red meat and processed red meat as well as total red meat is associated with increased risk of total stroke and ischemic stroke, but not hemorrhagic stroke. (Stroke. 2012;43:2556-2560.)
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High consumption of red meat has been associated with increased risk of total, cardiovascular, and cancer mortality,1 as well as with some types of cancers, including colorectal,2,3 pancreatic,4 esophageal, and stomach cancer.5,6 Moreover, results of studies indicated that processed meat consumption is connected with higher incidence of coronary heart disease7 and type 2 diabetes.1,7,8 Whether red meat consumption increases the risk of stroke is unclear. In a meta-analysis published by Micha et al,7 which was based on only 3 studies with a total of 2280 stroke cases, a positive relation between red meat consumption and stroke was observed in 1 study,9 but not in the other 2 studies.10,11 Furthermore, the authors presented results of combining the relative risk (RR) for different stroke subtypes (ischemic stroke, hemorrhagic stroke, and total stroke), which could have influenced the findings.

Clarifying the relationship between red meat consumption and stroke is relevant because of the high incidence of stroke, the high morbidity and mortality associated with the disease, and the widespread consumption of red meat. According to the American Heart Association report, each year $\approx$795 000 people experience a new or recurrent stroke and every 4 minutes someone dies from the disease.12 At the same time, in many countries red meat consumption is high and has remained at a stable level for several years. Nationally representative data collected for United States adults indicated that red meat consumption was approximately the same in surveys from 1988 to 1994 (45.5 g/d per person) and 1999 to 2004 (39.9 g/d per person), but it was lower in a survey conducted in 1994 to 1996 (32.3 g/d per person).13 Therefore, we conducted a systematic review and a meta-analysis of prospective studies to assess the relation between consumption of red meat (fresh red meat, processed meat, and total red meat) and the risk of total stroke and stroke subtypes. This meta-analysis includes 4.7-times more stroke cases and...
>2.2-times more participants compared with the previous meta-analysis. Moreover, this meta-analysis examines whether the association between red meat consumption and stroke risk differs by stroke subtypes, which could not be addressed in the previous meta-analysis.

Materials and Methods

Literature Search and Selection
We conducted a literature search through May 26, 2012, using the PubMed database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) without language restrictions. The search term “stroke” was used in combination with “meat,” “beef,” “pork,” “veal,” “lamb,” “steak,” or “hamburger,” and with the processed meat items “ham,” “bacon,” or “sausage.” In addition, we reviewed the reference lists of retrieved articles to identify additional relevant studies.

Studies were included in the meta-analysis if the following criteria were met: (1) had a prospective design; (2) the exposure studied was fresh red meat, processed meat, and/or total red meat consumption; (3) the outcome of interest was stroke and stroke subtypes; and (4) reported RR with 95% confidence intervals (CI). If data were duplicated in >1 study, then we included the study with the largest number of stroke cases.

Data Extraction
The following data were extracted from each study: first author’s last name, publication year, country where the study was performed, name of cohort study, study period, number of cases and cohort size, sex and age, type and categories of red meat consumption, stroke subtypes, covariates adjusted for in the analysis, and RR with 95% CI of total strokes and stroke subtypes for each category of meat consumption or for a 1 serving per day increase in consumption.

Statistical Analysis
We transformed the reported RR and corresponding standard errors (derived from the CI) to their natural logarithms to stabilize the variances and to normalize the distributions. Because the majority of the studies provided results in servings (or frequency), rather than in grams, of red meat consumption, we used the results for servings per day. For 2 studies14,15 that provided results in grams per day, we used the original data to estimate the RR with 95% CI for a 1 serving per day increase in red meat consumption. We combined the results for a 1 serving per day increase in consumption of red meat using a random-effects model, which takes into account both within-study and between-study variabilities.16,17 If the study only provided results by categories of red meat consumption, then we estimated the RR with corresponding 95% CI of total strokes and stroke subtypes for each category of meat consumption or for a 1 serving per day increase in consumption of red meat using the method proposed by Greenland and Longnecker18 and Orsini et al.19 The median or mean level of red meat consumption for each consumption category was assigned to the corresponding RR. When the median or mean consumption per category was not reported, we assigned the midpoint of the upper and lower boundaries in each category as the average consumption. If the upper boundary of the highest category was not provided, then we assumed that it had the same amplitude as the previous category.

We conducted a sensitivity analysis in which 1 study at the time was removed and the rest were analyzed to assess the influence on single studies on the overall estimates. Furthermore, we conducted analyses stratified by geographic region and stroke subtypes (ischemic and hemorrhagic strokes). If the study presented results separately for intracerebral hemorrhage and subarachnoid hemorrhage, then we combined the results for the 2 subtypes. Statistical heterogeneity among studies was assessed using the I² statistics.20 We considered 2 cut points for the I² values: <30% (no or marginal between-study heterogeneity), 30% to 75% (mild heterogeneity), and >75% (notable heterogeneity). Test for publication bias was based on Egger test.17 All statistical analyses were performed with Stata (StataCorp). P values were 2-sided and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Study Characteristics
The detailed steps of our literature search are shown in Figure 1. Six studies were excluded for the following reasons: case-control study; review paper; assessing overall dietary pattern; or total meat included poultry. We identified 7 articles, based on 8 prospective studies, that investigated the relationship between fresh red meat, processed meat, and/or total red meat consumption and stroke risk.9–11,14,15,21,22 Two9,11 studies were excluded because data from those cohorts had been reanalyzed with longer follow-up and more stroke cases and published once again;21 only the latest publication21 was included in this meta-analysis. Hence, the present meta-analysis included results from 6 independent prospective studies (published in 5 articles).10,14,15,21,22

The eligible studies were published between 2003 and 2012, and included a total of 10 630 stroke cases and 329 495 participants (Supplementary Table 1). Data about subtypes of stroke were presented in 4 articles14,15,21,22 and contained 6420 cases of ischemic stroke and 1276 cases of hemorrhagic stroke. Two studies were conducted in Europe, 3 (published in 2 articles) were conducted in the United States, and 1 was conducted in Japan. Two studies provided results for red meat consumption in grams per day, 3 in servings per day, and 1 in frequency (how often). In 2 articles, stroke events were identified using The International Classification of Diseases 10th revision,14,15 in which strokes were classified as ischemic stroke (International Classification of Diseases 10th revision code I63), hemorrhagic strokes (International Classification of Diseases 10th revision codes I60 and I61), and unspecified strokes (I64). Bernstein et al21 classified strokes according to criteria in the National Survey of Stroke. Yaemsiri et al22 identified incident cases of ischemic stroke through self-
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The RR (95% CI) of total stroke for an increment of 1 serving per day in fresh red meat, processed meat, and total red meat consumption. One serving equals ~50 g of processed meat and 100 to 120 g of fresh red meat and total red meat consumption. Squares represent study-specific relative risk estimates (size of the square reflects the study-specific statistical weight, ie, the inverse of the variance). Horizontal lines represent 95% confidence interval (CI). Diamonds represent summary relative risk estimates with 95% CI. Tests for heterogeneity; fresh red meat, Q = 3.35; P = 0.65; I² = 0%; processed meat, Q = 6.43; P = 0.17; I² = 37.8%; and total red meat, Q = 2.80; P = 0.59; I² = 0%.

Figure 2. Relative risks of total incident stroke and stroke mortality for a 1 serving per day increase in fresh red meat, processed meat, and total red meat consumption. In analyses by stroke subtypes, the risk of ischemic stroke was significantly increased for each serving per day increase in fresh red meat (RR, 1.13; 95% CI, 1.00–1.27), processed meat (RR, 1.15; 95% CI, 1.06–1.24), and total red meat consumption (RR, 1.12; 95% CI, 1.05–1.19), without heterogeneity among studies (P > 0.34). There was no significant association between hemorrhagic stroke and consumption of fresh red meat (RR, 1.08; 95% CI, 0.84–1.39), processed meat (RR, 1.16; 95% CI, 0.92–1.46), or total red meat (RR, 1.13; 95% CI, 0.94–1.35).

We found no evidence of publication bias. The P values based on Egger test were 0.76 for fresh red meat, 0.26 for processed meat, and 0.09 for total red meat.

Discussion

This meta-analysis of 6 prospective studies, including a large number of stroke cases, showed that fresh red meat, processed meat, and total red meat consumption are significantly positively associated with risk of total stroke and ischemic stroke. Increased consumptions of 1 serving per day of fresh red meat, processed meat, and total red meat were associated with 11%, 13%, and 11% higher risk of total stroke, respectively.

There are several potential mechanisms by which red meat consumption may increase the risk of stroke. Red meat is a source of saturated fatty acids and cholesterol. Some studies have indicated that a high intake of saturated fatty acids increases plasma total cholesterol levels and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and triglycerides, which could increase the risk of stroke. Moreover, red meat is a source of heme iron. It is well-known that iron is a redox-active metal that catalyzes the formation of hydroxyl free radicals in the Fenton reaction. High doses of iron may lead to oxidative stress, a state with increased peroxidation of lipids, which can increase the risk of stroke. Moreover, red meat is a source of heme iron. It is well-known that iron is a redox-active metal that catalyzes the formation of hydroxyl free radicals in the Fenton reaction. High doses of iron may lead to oxidative stress, a state with increased peroxidation of lipids.
Liang et al., intake of high amounts of iron (>161 mg/wk vs <100 mg/wk) was related to higher risk of ischemic stroke. Moreover, processed meat contains sodium and nitrite preservatives, which can contribute to increased risk of cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, and other diseases. Dietary sodium significantly increases blood pressure and may also lead to impaired arterial compliance and cause vascular stiffness. Results from a meta-analysis of 10 prospective studies showed that high dietary sodium (salt) intake was associated with a significant 23% increased risk of stroke. Nitrites and their products promote atherosclerosis and vascular dysfunction, and they also reduced and impaired insulin secretion. A stronger association between red meat consumption and stroke risk is expected for processed meat than for fresh red meat because processed meat is a source of sodium and nitrite preservatives. It should be noted that the serving size for processed meat is usually smaller than for fresh red meat, which is eaten as a main dish. This may explain the similar risk estimates for 1 serving per day of processed meat and fresh red meat consumption.

This meta-analysis was based on data from large cohort studies. The prospective design minimizes the potential concern of differential recall bias, which could be a problem in case-control studies with retrospective information about exposures. By combining the results from several studies with a large number of cases, we had higher statistical power in our analyses and could detect weaker relations than in the individual studies. A limitation of any meta-analysis of observational studies is that confounding from other risk factors may have affected the results. The 5 studies from Sweden and the United States, which all observed a positive association between total red meat consumption and stroke risk, adjusted for major potential confounders such as age, smoking, body mass index, physical activity, history of diabetes, history of hypertension, total energy intake, and alcohol, fruit, and vegetable consumption. However, the possibility that residual confounding may have influenced the findings cannot be entirely excluded. Another limitation is that red meat consumption was self-reported through questionnaires, which will inevitably lead to some misclassification of exposure. Nevertheless, because information about red meat consumption was obtained before the diagnosis of stroke, any misclassification is most likely to lead to an attenuation of the true association between red meat consumption and stroke risk. The serving sizes for red meat and processed meat consumption may differ between studies and between individuals, which could have influenced the results. As a meta-analysis of published studies, we cannot rule out that publication bias may have affected our results, although we found no evidence of such bias in the present meta-analysis. Moreover, it should be emphasized that the structure of red meat consumption, ie, quantity, frequency, and dietary patterns in the United States, Sweden and Japan, is different. According to Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations statistics, annual consumption (in kg per person per year) of beef in the Americans and Swedes compared with the Japanese is higher, ~4.8-times and ~2.7-times, respectively, and consumption of pork is higher 2.2-times and 1.7-times, which may have resulted in the difference in intake of some nutrients such as heme iron, saturated fatty acids, and cholesterol. With regard to dietary patterns, studies among United States and Swedish women have shown that red meat consumption is correlated with consumption of refined grains, full-fat dairy products, and sweets or soft drinks. In a Japanese population, meat consumption correlated with consumption of fish and deep-fried foods or tempura.

In conclusion, results from this meta-analysis indicate that both fresh red meat and processed meat consumption may increase the risk of stroke. This finding is of great public health importance because of the widespread consumption of red meat and the high morbidity and mortality associated with stroke. Whether the association between red meat consumption and stroke risk is limited to ischemic stroke warrants further study.
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**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

### Table 1. Characteristics of prospective cohort studies included in the meta-analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Country; study name (follow-up)</th>
<th>No. of cases (cohort size)</th>
<th>Sex, baseline age</th>
<th>Type of meat consumption: highest vs. lowest category</th>
<th>Stroke subtypes</th>
<th>RR (95% CI) for total strokes</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Larsson et al.¹</td>
<td>Sweden; Swedish Mammography Cohort (1997-2008)</td>
<td>1,680 total strokes, 1,310 ISs, 154 ICHs, 79 SAHs (34,670)</td>
<td>W, 49-83 y</td>
<td>Total red meat: ≥86.0 vs. &lt;36.5 g/d</td>
<td>Total stroke</td>
<td>1.12 (0.95-1.32)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Age, smoking status, pack-years of smoking, education, BMI, total physical activity, history of diabetes, history of hypertension, aspirin use, family history of myocardial infraction, intake of energy, alcohol, coffee, fish, fruit, vegetables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fresh red meat: ≥48.8 vs. &lt;16.5 g/d</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>1.22 (1.01-1.46)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>0.59 (0.34-1.04)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>1.02 (0.48-2.16)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Processed meat: ≥41.3 vs. &lt;12.1 g/d</td>
<td>Total stroke</td>
<td>1.18 (1.00-1.38)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>1.24 (1.04-1.49)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>0.71 (0.42-1.18)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>1.53 (0.73-3.20)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Description</td>
<td>Country/Study Details</td>
<td>Participant Sample Size</td>
<td>Sex, Age Range</td>
<td>Mean Intake Compared</td>
<td>Total Stroke Risk Ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td>p-Value</td>
<td>Factors Adjusted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larsson et al. 2</td>
<td>Sweden; Cohort of Swedish Men (1997-2008)</td>
<td>2,409 total strokes, 1,849 ISs, 350 HSs (40,291)</td>
<td>M, 45-79 y</td>
<td>Total red meat: ≥136.2 vs. &lt;62.5 g/d</td>
<td>1.15 (1.00-1.33)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>Age, smoking status, pack-years of smoking, education, BMI, total physical activity, history of diabetes, history of hypertension, aspirin use, family history of myocardial infarction, intake of energy, alcohol, fish, fruit, vegetables</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fresh red meat: ≥83.1 vs. &lt;33.5 g/d</td>
<td>1.06 (0.90-1.25)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Processed meat: ≥57.1 vs. &lt;20.1 g/d</td>
<td>1.57 (1.09-2.25)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernstein et al. 3</td>
<td>US; Health Professionals Follow-Up Study (1986-2008)</td>
<td>1,397 total strokes, 829 ISs, 165 ICHs, 53 SAHs (43,150)</td>
<td>M, 40-75 y</td>
<td>Total red meat: median 2.29 vs. 0.30 servings/d</td>
<td>1.28 (1.02-1.61)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Age, time period (type of study), BMI, smoking status, physical exercise, parental history of early myocardial infarction, menopausal status (in women), multivitamin use, vitamin E supplement use, aspirin use, intake of energy, alcohol, transfat, fruit and vegetables, other protein sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US; Nurses’ Health Study (1980-2006)</td>
<td>2,633 total strokes, 1,383 ISs, 235 ICHs, 240 SAHs (84,010)</td>
<td>W, 30-55 y</td>
<td>Total red meat: median 0.71 vs. 0.03 servings/d</td>
<td>1.27 (1.03-1.55)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Yaemsiri et al. 4

**US; Women’s Health Initiative Observational Study (1994/98-2005)**

- **Participants:** 1,049 ISs (87,025) W, 50-79 y
- **Interventions:** Fresh red meat: median 1.08 vs. 0.28 servings/d, Processed red meat: median 0.64 vs. 0.05 servings/d
- **Outcomes:**
  - Total stroke: HS 1.19 (0.77-1.86)*, IS 1.27 (1.06-1.53)*, 0.97 (0.63-1.48) no data
  - Total stroke: HS 1.10 (0.95-1.27), IS 1.15 (0.98-1.35)*, 1.13 (0.76-1.67)*

#### Mortality

**Sauvaget et al. 5**

- **Japan; Hiroshima/Nagasaki Life Span**
- **Participants:** 1462 total stroke deaths, (40,349; M 15,350, W M/W, mean 54/58 y
- **Interventions:** Fresh red meat: almost daily vs. never
- **Outcomes:**
  - Total stroke: HS 1.19 (1.02-1.40), IS 1.27 (1.06-1.53)*, 1.10 (0.95-1.27)
  - Total stroke: HS 1.19 (1.02-1.40), IS 1.27 (1.06-1.53)*, 0.83 (0.55-1.27)*

#### Other Factors

- Age, race, education, family income, years as a regular smoker, hormone replacement therapy use, total metabolic equivalent task hours per week, alcohol intake, history of coronary heart disease, history of atrial fibrillation, history of diabetes, aspirin use, use of antihypertensive medication, use of cholesterol-lowering medication, BMI, systolic blood pressure, total energy intake, dietary vitamin E, fruits and vegetable intake, fiber intake
  
  - Age, birth cohort, sex, city, radiation dose, BMI, smoking status, alcohol habits, education level, history of diabetes, or
Study (1980/81-1996) Processed red meat: almost daily vs. never

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Processed red meat: almost daily vs. never</th>
<th>Total stroke</th>
<th>Pooled RR and 95% CI for men and women.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.90 (0.61-1.33)</td>
<td>0.81 hypertension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pooled RR and 95% CI for men and women.
Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; IS, ischemic stroke; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; HS, hemorrhagic strokes; M, men; W, women

**Reference**


