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Background and Purpose—Communication between hospitals and primary care physicians is essential for the continuity of care for patients being transferred from hospital to ambulatory care. Patients are often discharged from hospital on medication regimes different from those used before hospital admission. The aim of the study was to evaluate the adherence to hospital discharge medication in patients with ischemic stroke before and after implementing a systematic approach provided by a clinical pharmacist.

Methods—Patients with transient ischemic attack/ischemic stroke taking ≥2 drugs during hospital stay and at discharge were prospectively recruited. In the control group, the neurologist included the medication list in the discharge letter as before. In the intervention group, the clinical pharmacist listed the medication on admission and at discharge next to each other and gave detailed information for all medication changes during hospital stay.

Results—Overall, 312 patients were enrolled in the study with 156 patients in each group. Significant differences between the control group and intervention group were ascertained with regard to adherence to both antithrombotic drugs (83.8% control group versus 91.9% intervention group [P=0.033]) and to statin therapy (69.8% control group versus 87.7% intervention group [P<0.001]).

Conclusions—Provisioning detailed information on medication changes can lead to substantially improved adherence to discharge medication, probably resulting in better secondary stroke prevention. (Stroke. 2013;44:522-524.)
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Communication between hospitals and primary care physicians (PCPs) is essential for the continuity of care for patients being transferred from hospital to ambulatory care, because many types of drug-related problems and medication errors may occur at the interface.1–3 Most errors result from inadequate communication between the hospital team and the PCP, where patient discharge letters often fail to provide important medical information, or the quality of information with regard to medication is insufficient.2,3 Patients are often discharged from hospital on medication regimes different from those used before hospital admission; the medication regimes can be significantly altered during hospital stay because of acute illness and new diagnosis.2 These changes are often made without valid information being provided in the discharge letter with the reasons for the changes, so that the PCP must decide whether or not to continue the new medication.4,5 This lack of information transfer between hospital and PCP can lead to low adherence rates to hospital discharge recommendations. The efficacy of antithrombotic medication, statin therapy, and the consequent control of cardiovascular risk factors in patients with ischemic stroke has been proven in several clinical trials.5,7 Nonadherence to secondary prevention after stroke is a big clinical problem and is a major risk factor for a recurrent ischemic event.8,9 Little is known about the adherence to hospital discharge medication in patients with ischemic stroke, especially with regard to secondary preventive medication.

Methods

An open, prospective, interventional 2-phase study was conducted at the Klinikum Fulda gAG from January 2011 to June 2011 (control group [CG] = phase 1) and from October 2011 to March 2012 (intervention group [IG] = phase 2) (plus a 3-month follow-up for each). Patients with transient ischemic attack or ischemic stroke, ≥18 years, who were taking ≥2 drugs during hospital stay and at discharge, were prospectively recruited.

Control Group

In general, a discharge letter is given to the patient to inform the PCP of main diagnosis, diagnostic findings, lab tests, complications, and current medication. Upon hospital discharge, the neurologist included the medication list in the discharge letter as before.

Intervention Group

The clinical pharmacist listed the medication at admission and at discharge next to each other in the discharge letter and gave detailed information for all medication changes during hospital stay.
and reasons for new drugs, drug modifications, and discontinued medication. In addition, the clinical pharmacist gave reasons regarding antithrombotic drugs (escalation of drug use, indication, and duration for anticoagulant treatment or for the combination of 2 antiplatelet drugs) and adding simvastatin.

**Follow-Up After 3 Months**

To evaluate the adherence to medication in the discharge letter regarding entire medication regime, antithrombotic drugs, and statins, the PCP was interviewed by phone 3 months after hospital discharge about the current medication list of each patient.

The primary end point was adherence, defined as continuing therapy from hospital discharge to 3 months after discharge. Every deviation from the recommended medication at discharge is defined as nonadherence. The adherence of each drug was assessed dichotomously. The adherence of the entire medication regime was quantified as the mean of the adherence of each drug.

The study was conducted in compliance with the requirements of the institutional review board. All patients signed the informed consent.

**Results**

Overall, 312 patients were enrolled in the study with 156 patients in each group (Figure 1). Patients’ baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Table 2 demonstrates the different adherence rates to hospital discharge medication regarding entire medication regime, antithrombotic drugs, and statin therapy. Because of detailed information in the discharge letter, the adherence rose significantly from 83.3% (CG) to 90.9% (IG) ($P=0.01$). Significant differences between the CG and IG were ascertained with regard to adherence to both antithrombotic drugs (83.8% CG versus 91.9% IG [$P=0.033$]) and to statin therapy (69.8% CG versus 87.7% IG [$P<0.001$]).

**Discussion**

The efficacy of secondary stroke prevention is greatly dependent on the adherence of PCPs to medication regimes started at the time of in-hospital stroke treatment. In this prospective study, we show that adherence can substantially be improved by systematically providing reasons in the discharge letter for both the start of new medications, as well as medication changes. This concerns all aspects of secondary stroke prevention including antithrombotic and statin therapy. The

---

**Table 1. Baseline Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control Group (n=155 Patients)</th>
<th>Intervention Group (n=155 Patients)</th>
<th>$P$ Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean±SD, y</td>
<td>70.7±12.0</td>
<td>72.3±11.1</td>
<td>0.231*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, n (%)</td>
<td>83 (53.5)</td>
<td>86 (55.5)</td>
<td>0.732†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtype of cerebral ischemia, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.889†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient ischemic attack</td>
<td>33 (21.3)</td>
<td>32 (20.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic stroke</td>
<td>122 (78.7)</td>
<td>123 (79.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Student $t$ test; † $\chi^2$ test.
results show a higher adherence on the part of the PCPs, most likely because the rationale behind changes made in hospital were made more transparent. The adherence rate for antithrombotic drugs increased significantly in the IG. In the CG, the adherence rate lies in persistence rates from other studies. Perhaps even more impressive was the difference for statin therapy with significantly less discontinuation or dosage reduction in the IG compared with the CG. The higher adherence rate in the IG is an indicator for the PCPs higher awareness of the benefits of statin therapy after a cerebrovascular event, because the reason was given in the discharge letter.

Patients’ medication-taking behavior (compliance) also influences the efficacy of secondary stroke prevention in not filling the prescription or not taking the medication as prescribed. Reasons for noncompliance are, for example, complex medication regimens, side-effects, less instructions of correct intake, and problems in patient–physician interaction.

**Conclusion**

This is the first systematic study in Germany to show an improved adherence rate to hospital discharge medication in stroke patients because of a structured approach implemented by a clinical pharmacist.
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**Table 2. Adherence to the Recommended Medication 3 Months After Discharge**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication Regime</th>
<th>Control Group (Median (IQR))</th>
<th>Intervention Group (Median (IQR))</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entire medication regime</td>
<td>n=135 patients 83.3 (71.4–100)%</td>
<td>n=146 patients 90.9 (75.0–100)%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antithrombotic drugs</td>
<td>119/142 (83.8%)</td>
<td>137/149 (91.9%)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins</td>
<td>90/129 (69.8%)</td>
<td>121/138 (87.7%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR indicates interquartile range. * Mann–Whitney U test; † χ² test.
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