Differences and Similarities Between Spontaneous Dissections of the Internal Carotid Artery and the Vertebral Artery
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**Background and Purpose**—To compare potential risk factors, clinical symptoms, diagnostic delay, and 3-month outcome between spontaneous internal carotid artery dissection (sICAD) and spontaneous vertebral artery dissection (sVAD).

**Methods**—We compared patients with sICAD (n=668) and sVAD (n=302) treated in 3 university hospitals.

**Results**—Patients with sICAD were older (46.3±9.6 versus 42.0±10.2 years; \( P<0.001 \)), more often men (62.7% versus 53.0%; \( P=0.004 \)), and presented more frequently with tinnitus (10.9% versus 3.4%; \( P<0.001 \)) and more severe ischemic strokes (median National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, 10±7.1 versus 5±5.9; \( P<0.001 \)). Patients with sVAD had more often bilateral dissections (15.2% versus 7.6%; \( P<0.001 \)) and were more often smokers (36.0% versus 28.7%; \( P=0.007 \)). Thunderclap headache (9.2% versus 3.6%; \( P=0.001 \)) and neck pain were more common (65.8% versus 33.5%; \( P<0.001 \)) in sVAD. Subarachnoid hemorrhage (6.0% versus 0.6%; \( P<0.001 \)) and ischemic stroke (69.5% versus 52.2%; \( P<0.001 \)) were more frequent in sVAD. After multivariate analysis, sex difference lost its significance (\( P=0.21 \)), and all other variables remained significant. Time to diagnosis was similar in sICAD and sVAD and improved between 2001 and 2012 compared with the previous 10-year period (8.0±10.5 days versus 10.7±13.2 days; \( P=0.004 \)). In sVAD, favorable outcome 3 months after ischemic stroke (modified Rankin Scale, 0–2: 88.8% versus 58.4%; \( P<0.001 \)), recurrent transient ischemic attack (4.8% versus 0.7%; \( P=0.02 \)) within 3 months were more frequent.

**Conclusions**—sICAD and sVAD patients differ in many aspects. Future studies should perform separate analyses of these 2 entities. *(Stroke. 2013;44:1537-1542.)*
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Spontaneous cervical artery dissection (sCAD) is a major cause of stroke in young and middle-aged adults, causing up to 25% of all ischemic strokes in patients 15 to 49 years of age.1–3 Depending on the affected vessel, sCAD is divided into spontaneous internal carotid artery dissection (sICAD) and spontaneous vertebral artery dissection (sVAD). The causes of sCAD are poorly understood. Both constitutional and environmental factors play a role. In most studies, sICAD and sVAD were analyzed as one entity. Analogous to aortic dissection, in which pathologies differ between vessel segments,4 there might be differences in the mechanisms leading to sICAD and sVAD.

The Cervical Artery Dissection Ischemic Stroke Patients (CADISP) group recently reported significant differences between sICAD and sVAD.5 In light of these new findings, we aimed to compare the frequency of potential risk factors, presenting clinical characteristics, and outcome after 3 months in patients with sICAD and sVAD. Furthermore, we investigated whether time to diagnosis differed between sVAD and sICAD and changed over time. To answer these questions, we retrospectively compared patients with sICAD and sVAD enrolled in our ongoing database of 1027 patients with cervicocerebral artery dissection from 3 stroke centers.

**Patients and Methods**

**Patients**

In this observational study, we analyzed prospectively collected data of 1027 consecutive patients with a first-ever sCAD who were treated in 1 of the following 3 tertiary referral hospitals: University Hospital Berne, Switzerland, from October 1990 to January 2012 (n=359);
University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland, from October 1990 to July 2011 (n=268); and Lariboisière University Hospital, Paris, France, from January 1997 to December 2011 (n=400). Spontaneous dissection was defined as occurring spontaneously, after physical exertion, or a so-called minor trauma. Patients with previous sCAD were not included.

Diagnosis of sCAD was made by (1) cervical or cerebral MRI or computed tomography showing a wall hematoma, or (2) computed tomography angiography, ultrasound, or intra-arterial digital subtraction angiography demonstrating a double lumen, a string sign, an intimal flap, or a dissecting aneurysm at a nonbifurcation site. On hospital admission, all images were reviewed at least by a certified neuroradiologist and a neurologist, and only patients with unequivocal dissection diagnosis were approached for study enrollment. Patients with extracranial and intracranial sICAD and sVAD were included, and patients who presented with both sICAD and sVAD (n=57) were excluded. Risk factors and clinical symptoms of patients with multiple dissections of the same artery type (affecting only sICAD or only sVAD) were evaluated only once per patient.

**Baseline Characteristics and Potential Risk Factors**

Baseline characteristics and potential risk factors were assessed by a structured patient interview or reconstructed on the basis of the hospital reports. The following potential risk factors were collected: arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, former or present smoking, migraine with or without aura, current use of anticonception or hormone substitution, known connective tissue disorder, febrile infection or minor trauma within the past 4 weeks before sCAD, and family history of stroke, sCAD, or connective tissue disorder.

Arterial hypertension was defined as a positive history of treated or untreated hypertension (before 2000: systolic blood pressure $\geq 160$ mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure $\geq 95$ mm Hg; after 2000 systolic blood pressure $\geq 140$ mm Hg or a diastolic blood pressure $\geq 90$ mm Hg). Hypercholesterolemia was defined by total venous fasting cholesterol values $\geq 5.0$ mmol/L or treatment with cholesterol-lowering medication. Current smoking was defined as regular smoking within the past 5 years; former smokers abstained for $\geq 5$ years. History of migraine with or without aura was assessed by a neurologist on the basis of the International Headache Society criteria. Assessed connective tissue disorders included Ehlers–Danlos syndrome type IV, Marfan syndrome, and osteogenesis imperfecta type I. Family history of connective tissue disorder, sCAD, or ischemic stroke was considered positive if $\geq 1$ first-degree relative was affected. Febrile infection or minor trauma within the past 4 weeks before sCAD, and family history of stroke, sCAD, or connective tissue disorder.

**Clinical Presentation**

At initial encounter, all patients underwent a neurological and physical examination by a trained neurologist (including a routine blood sampling and an ECG). Patients were screened for ischemic events (transient ischemic attack [TIA], stroke, amaurosis fugax, and retinal infarction) and local symptoms (neck pain, headache, thunderclap headache, Horner syndrome, pulsatile tinnitus, cranial nerve palsy, cervical radiculopathy, and cervical spinal cord ischemia). Severity of head or neck pain was assessed using the visual analogue scale. Horner syndrome and cranial nerve palsy were only recorded when not occurring in the context of a brain stem infarction. Ischemic symptoms were classified according to the duration of clinical symptoms: TIA and amaurosis fugax if $<24$ hours, stroke and retinal infarction if $>24$ hours.

In case of a cerebral stroke, the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score was assessed and a cerebral MRI or computed tomography was performed. If the NIHSS score was not assessed, it was reconstructed from hospital reports. All patients received an evaluation of the cerebral and cervical arteries by MRI/angiography, ultrasound examination or digital subtraction angiography. Reversible cerebral vasospasm was defined according to Ducros et al, and its previously reported association with sCAD was further investigated in the present study.

**Time to Diagnosis**

We defined the onset of clinical symptoms on the basis of the first symptom, which the treating neurologist could associate with an sCAD. Time to diagnosis was defined as the time interval between the date of symptom onset and the date on which the neurovascular imaging leading to the diagnosis was performed.

**Three-Month Follow-Up**

A clinical follow-up (n=725) or structured telephone interview (n=82), which included the assessment of the modified Rankin Scale (mRS), was performed at 3 months. A total of 163 follow-ups (17%) were missing (proportionally equally in 112 patients with sICAD [17%] and 50 patients with sVAD [17%]). Recurrent events (dissection, stroke, TIA, and death) and current medication were registered.

**Statistical Analysis**

Demographic variables, potential risk factors, and clinical parameters were compared between sICAD (or multiple sICAD) and sVAD (or multiple sVAD). Mean and SD of continuous variables were calculated. Differences of continuous variables were assessed with Mann–Whitney U-test, differences of categorical variables with χ² test, or Fisher exact test, if appropriate. Hormonal anticonception was only assessed in women $<50$ years of age. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to evaluate an independent association between baseline characteristics, potential risk factors, clinical symptoms, and diagnosis of sVAD versus sICAD. The following variables were included: age, sex, center of inclusion, NIHSS score, neck pain, thunderclap headache, pulsatile tinnitus, and subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH). The cutoff for inclusion in the multivariate analysis was $P<0.1$ in the univariate analysis. Confidence intervals (95%) were calculated.

To determine the diagnostic delay, we performed a subgroup analysis dividing all sCAD into 2 groups: (1) diagnosis of sCAD between 1991 and 2000, and (2) diagnosis of sCAD between 2001 and 2012. To compare the 3-month follow-up, we dichotomized the clinical outcome measured by the mRS into 2 groups: good outcome (mRS, 0–2) and poor outcome (mRS, 3–6). We ran a logistic regression analysis correcting for center of inclusion, age, sex, NIHSS score, and site of dissection to determine an independent association between the affected vessel and the outcome.

**Results**

**Baseline Characteristics and Potential Risk Factors**

Of 970 patients (59.7% men), 668 (68.9%) presented with unilateral or bilateral sICAD and 302 (31.1%) with unilateral or bilateral sVAD (Table 1). Patients with sICAD were more often men (62.7% versus 53.0%; $P<0.001$) and older (46.3±9.6 years versus 42.0±10.2 years; $P<0.001$; Table 1). Bilateral dissection occurred half as often in patients with sICAD compared with sVAD (7.6% versus 15.2%; $P<0.001$). Current smoking was significantly less frequent in sICAD than in sVAD (28.7% versus 36.0%; $P=0.02$). No other investigated potential risk factor showed a different association between sICAD and sVAD in univariate analysis. A total of 35 sCAD were isolated intracranial dissections. Of all 668 sICAD, 6 were isolated intracranial (0.9%); of all 302 sVAD, 29 were isolated intracranial (9.6%).
Table 1. Comparison of Baseline Characteristics and Potential Risk Factors Between sICAD and sVAD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Patients</th>
<th>sICAD</th>
<th>sVAD</th>
<th>P Univariate</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)*</th>
<th>P Multivariate*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. (%)</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>668 (68.9)</td>
<td>302 (31.1)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, mean±SD</td>
<td>45.0±10.0</td>
<td>46.3±9.6</td>
<td>42.0±10.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.97 (0.95–0.99)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, n (%)</td>
<td>579 (59.7)</td>
<td>419 (62.7)</td>
<td>160 (53.0)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.27 (0.88–1.83)</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>245 (25.4)</td>
<td>175 (26.3)</td>
<td>70 (23.3)</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, n (%)</td>
<td>22 (2.3)</td>
<td>12 (1.8)</td>
<td>10 (3.3)</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>298 (31.0)</td>
<td>191 (28.7)</td>
<td>107 (36.0)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1.69 (1.16–2.47)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>150 (15.7)</td>
<td>111 (16.8)</td>
<td>39 (13.2)</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia, n (%)</td>
<td>414 (51.0)</td>
<td>271 (49.3)</td>
<td>143 (54.8)</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of stroke, n (%)</td>
<td>133 (14.0)</td>
<td>97 (14.7)</td>
<td>36 (12.4)</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migraine with aura, n (%)</td>
<td>97 (10.5)</td>
<td>65 (10.3)</td>
<td>32 (11.0)</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migraine without aura, n (%)</td>
<td>196 (21.2)</td>
<td>136 (21.5)</td>
<td>60 (20.6)</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal anticonception, n (%)†</td>
<td>100 (27.3)</td>
<td>54 (24.1)</td>
<td>46 (32.4)</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor cervical trauma, n (%)</td>
<td>186 (19.2)</td>
<td>125 (18.7)</td>
<td>61 (20.3)</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever infection, n (%)</td>
<td>76 (9.7)</td>
<td>56 (10.5)</td>
<td>20 (7.9)</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibromuscular dysplasia, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (7.9)</td>
<td>9 (6.6)</td>
<td>9 (10)</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTD, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (0.9)</td>
<td>5 (0.8)</td>
<td>4 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of sCAD/CTD, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (1.9)</td>
<td>15 (2.3)</td>
<td>3 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to diagnosis, y, mean±SD</td>
<td>9.2±12.2</td>
<td>9.0±11.6</td>
<td>9.8±13.5</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTD indicates connective tissue disorder; sCAD, spontaneous cervical artery dissection; sICAD, spontaneous internal carotid artery dissection; and sVAD, spontaneous vertebral artery dissection.

*Multivariate logistic regression for prediction of sVAD adjusted for age, sex, center of inclusion, multiple dissection, current smoking, cervical pain, thunderclap headache, pulsatile tinnitus, ischemic stroke, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score.
†Hormonal anticonception: only women <50 years of age.

After performing multivariate analysis, the higher frequency of sICAD in men compared with women lost its significance (P=0.21); all other variables showed an independent, significant association (Table 1).

Clinical Presentation

Patients with sVAD presented twice as often with neck pain (65.8% versus 33.5%; P<0.001), but neck pain intensity was not significantly higher compared with sICAD (visual analogue scale, 6.5±1.9 versus 6.0±1.7; P=0.12; Table 2). However, headache was equally common in both (sVAD 70.4% versus sICAD 71.4%; P=0.77) but significantly stronger in its intensity in sVAD (visual analogue scale, 7.5±2.1 versus 6.7±1.8; P<0.001). Thunderclap headache occurred more than twice as often in sVAD than in sICAD (9.2% versus 3.6%; P<0.001). Of the 24, 10 patients with thunderclap headache had an SAH. Patients with sVAD were 10 times more often affected by SAH than patients with sICAD (6.0% versus 0.6%; P<0.001). Of the 18, 4 patients with sVAD-related SAH were associated with reversible cerebral vasospastic syndrome. Apart from cranial nerve palsy and Horner syndrome, which only appear in carotid artery dissections, pulsatile tinnitus was the only symptom that presented significantly more often in patients with sICAD than in sVAD (10.9% versus 3.4%; P<0.001).

Cerebral ischemic events (ie, stroke, TIA, retinal infarction, or amaurosis fugax, combined) affected patients with sVAD significantly more often than patients with sICAD (84.4% versus 70.4%; P<0.001). Ischemic stroke was significantly more frequent in sVAD (69.5% versus 52.2%; P<0.001), but less severe than in sICAD (mean NIHSSVA D 5.5±9.5 versus NIHSSICAD 10±7.1; P<0.001). Rare findings were spinal infarction (C2–4) in 1 patient and cervical radiculopathy (C5–7) in another, both associated with sVAD. One patient with sICAD was asymptomatic.

The most common first symptom was in both sICAD and sVAD, a local symptom (66.2% versus 65.6%; P=0.85). Both had equally often a TIA (10.6% versus 13.0%; P=0.28) or an ischemic stroke (17.2% versus 21.0%, P=0.18) as initial symptom. All independent associations remained significant after multivariate regression analysis (Table 2).

Time to Diagnosis

During the whole observation period, time to diagnosis did not differ between sICAD and sVAD (9.0±11.6 days versus 9.8±13.5 days; P=0.59). However, subgroup analysis showed that patients with sICAD treated between 2001 and 2012 were diagnosed 2 days earlier compared with those treated between 1991 and 2000 (8.0±10.5 days versus 10.7±13.2 days; P=0.004). In sVAD, time to diagnosis did not show a significant difference between the 2 time periods (9.3±13.2 days in 2001–2012 versus 11.8±14.7 days in 1990–2000; P=0.10).

Clinical Outcome and Recurrence

Clinical outcome measured by mRS was significantly better in patients after sVAD than after sICAD (mRS, 0–2: sVAD 88.8%, sICAD 58.4%; P<0.001; Table 3). There
was a trend toward higher mortality after sICAD within the first 3 months after dissection (P=0.059) in univariate analysis.

Seven patients (0.9%) had a recurrent isolated dissection, none of them after a multiple dissection. The amount of recurrent dissections did not differ between sICAD and sVAD, but 4 of the 5 recurrent dissections after sICAD affected the vertebral artery, whereas 1 of the 2 recurrent dissections after sVAD affected the contralateral vertebral artery. Recurrent TIA and stroke were more common after sVAD (TIA: sVAD 4.8%, sICAD 1.1%, P=0.001; stroke: sVAD 2.8%, sICAD 0.7%, P=0.02).

Multivariate analysis was performed adjusting for age, sex, center of inclusion, NIHSS score, and site of dissection to determine an independent association between the affected vessel and outcome. Only the higher baseline NIHSS score was highly associated with a poor outcome (P<0.001) and higher mortality (P<0.001).

### Discussion

This is the second large study analyzing differences in baseline characteristics, clinical symptoms, potential risk factors, and outcome between sICAD and sVAD.

### Baseline Characteristics and Risk Factors

The frequency of sICAD was about twice as high compared with sVAD, which is in line with previous findings. In agreement with previous studies, bilateral dissection affected the vertebral artery twice as often as the internal carotid artery. Patients with sICAD were older and more often men, compared with patients with sVAD, which is consistent with previous findings. These age and sex differences are difficult to explain. However, because patients with sVAD were younger compared with patients with sICAD, it is possible that risk factors or triggering events, such as traumas that are less related to advanced age, are involved more in the pathogenesis of sVAD than sICAD. Of interest, younger age at CAD onset and multiple CADs have been reported to be more common in women. These differences in age and sex may suggest that genetic differences, sexual hormones, or even sex-related differences in arterial wall properties may play a role. Moreover, the vertebral artery and the carotid artery do have a different embryological origin.

### Table 2. Clinical Presentation According to Dissected Vessels (ICAD vs VAD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Patients</th>
<th>sICAD</th>
<th>sVAD</th>
<th>P Univariate</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)</th>
<th>P Multivariate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>668 (68.9)</td>
<td>302 (31.1)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical pain, n (%)</td>
<td>421 (43.5)</td>
<td>223 (33.5)</td>
<td>198 (65.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4.23 (2.91–6.15)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS cervical pain, mean±SD</td>
<td>6.2±1.8</td>
<td>6.0±1.7</td>
<td>6.5±1.9</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache, n (%)</td>
<td>688 (71.1)</td>
<td>476 (71.4)</td>
<td>212 (70.4)</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS headache, mean±SD†</td>
<td>6.9±1.9</td>
<td>6.7±1.8</td>
<td>7.5±2.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.28 (1.09–1.51)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thunderclap headache, n (%)</td>
<td>44 (5.4)</td>
<td>20 (3.6)</td>
<td>24 (9.2)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>2.26 (1.01–5.05)</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial nerve palsy, n (%)</td>
<td>60 (6.2)</td>
<td>60 (9.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horner syndrome, n (%)</td>
<td>315 (32.5)</td>
<td>315 (47.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulsatile tinnitus, n (%)</td>
<td>83 (8.6)</td>
<td>73 (10.9)</td>
<td>10 (3.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.26 (0.11–0.63)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical radiculopathy, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal infarction, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral ischemia n (%)</td>
<td>725 (74.7)</td>
<td>470 (70.4)</td>
<td>255 (84.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic stroke, n (%)</td>
<td>558 (57.6)</td>
<td>348 (52.2)</td>
<td>210 (69.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.60 (4.21–10.34)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient ischemic attack, n (%)</td>
<td>279 (28.8)</td>
<td>190 (28.4)</td>
<td>89 (29.5)</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of TIA, mean±SD</td>
<td>1.5±1.0</td>
<td>1.5±1.0</td>
<td>1.5±0.9</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amaurosis fugax, n (%)</td>
<td>80 (8.3)</td>
<td>80 (12.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal ischemia, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (0.8)</td>
<td>8 (1.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subarachnoid hemorrhage, n (%)</td>
<td>22 (2.3)</td>
<td>4 (0.6)</td>
<td>18 (6.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>11.13 (2.78–44.56)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS score, mean±SD</td>
<td>5±7.3</td>
<td>10±7.1</td>
<td>5±5.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.87 (0.84–0.91)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NIHSS indicates National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; sICAD, spontaneous internal carotid artery dissection; sVAD, spontaneous vertebral artery dissection; TIA, transient ischemic attack; and VAS, visual analogue scale.

*Multivariate logistic regression adjusted for age, sex, center of inclusion, multiple dissection, current smoking, cervical pain, thunderclap headache, pulsatile tinnitus, ischemic stroke, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and NIHSS score.
†Multivariate logistic regression for sCAD adjusted for age, sex, center of inclusion, multiple dissection, current smoking, cervical pain, headache intensity (VAS), thunderclap headache, pulsatile tinnitus, ischemic stroke, cerebral ischemia, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and NIHSS score.
‡Multivariate logistic regression adjusted for age, sex, multiple dissection, current smoking, cerebral pain, thunderclap headache, pulsatile tinnitus, cerebral ischemia, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and NIHSS score.
Clinical Presentation

A new finding is that thunderclap headache was more twice as common in sV AD compared with sICAD, which is only partly explained by a higher amount of SAH in sV AD. The reasons for this association remain unknown.

Pulsatile tinnitus, which corresponds to noise evoked by turbulent, nonlaminar blood flow and transmitted to the inner ear, occurred thrice more often in sICAD. This may be explained by the anatomic proximity of the typical location of ICAD to the inner ear. Two patients with sV AD presented with rare manifestations: 1 patient with a cervical radiculopathy and another with a cervical spine infarction.

In agreement with the CADISP study, ischemic stroke was less frequent but more severe in patients with sICAD compared with sV AD. Ischemic strokes in the anterior circulation of undefined pathogenesis have previously been reported to cause a more severe impairment compared with ischemic strokes in the posterior circulation. Pulsatile tinnitus, which corresponds to noise evoked by turbulent, nonlaminar blood flow and transmitted to the inner ear, occurred thrice more often in sICAD. This may be explained by the anatomic proximity of the typical location of ICAD to the inner ear. Two patients with sV AD presented with rare manifestations: 1 patient with a cervical radiculopathy and another with a cervical spine infarction.

In agreement with the CADISP study, ischemic stroke was less frequent but more severe in patients with sICAD compared with sV AD. Ischemic strokes in the anterior circulation of undefined pathogenesis have previously been reported to cause a more severe impairment compared with ischemic strokes in the posterior circulation. Pulsatile tinnitus, which corresponds to noise evoked by turbulent, nonlaminar blood flow and transmitted to the inner ear, occurred thrice more often in sICAD. This may be explained by the anatomic proximity of the typical location of ICAD to the inner ear. Two patients with sV AD presented with rare manifestations: 1 patient with a cervical radiculopathy and another with a cervical spine infarction.

A 10-fold amount of patients with sV AD experienced an SAH compared with patients with sICAD. Most of them affected either only the intracranial segment of the vertebral artery or extended to the intracranial segments. Of the 18, 4 patients with sV AD-related SAH were associated with a reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome, which is known to cause SAH in some patients without evidence for intracranial extension of the cervical artery dissections and which was diagnosed in 12 patients in total.

Time to Diagnosis

Local clinical symptoms of sV AD are not specific compared with those of sICAD, which are typically a painful Horner syndrome or lower cranial nerve palsies. Hence, the diagnosis of sV AD poses a challenge. However, we could not find a significant diagnostic delay of sV AD compared with sICAD. An interesting observation is the earlier diagnosis of sICAD between 2001 and 2012 compared with the diagnosis made between 1990 and 2000. This indicates a diagnostic improvement, probably because of a progress in imaging modalities and an increased awareness of the disease. In sV AD, no diagnostic improvement in the later time period could be shown. This points out that there is still much room for improvement of the clinical and diagnostic work-up in sCAD.

Clinical Outcome and Recurrence

In univariate analysis, a good outcome (mRS, 0–2) in patients who experienced an sICAD-related stroke was significantly less common compared with patients with an sV AD-related stroke. After multivariate analyses, the only parameter independently predicting functional outcome was the NIHSS score, which was higher in patients with stroke because of sICAD as opposed to sV AD.

Recurrent dissections within the first 3 months were as rare in sICAD as in sV AD, and there were no recurrent dissections after multiple dissections. Interestingly, recurrent dissections were mostly located in the vertebral artery, no matter whether the initial dissection affected the vertebral or carotid artery, which to our knowledge, has not been previously reported. Only 1 recurrent dissection affected the same vessel on the same side again.

Both recurrent TIA and recurrent ischemic stroke were more common after sV AD. We observed a nonsignificant trend toward higher mortality in patients with an sICAD-related ischemic stroke.

Strengths and Limitations

Strengths of this study are the large sample size and the significant results after correction for multiple comparisons. Because of the low incidence of sCAD in the general population, we had to include patients over a long time period and from 3 different centers. The centers of inclusions consisted only of university hospitals. Thus, a selection bias with more severe cases, especially more patients with strokes, might have occurred and patients with only local symptoms might have been underrepresented. In addition, one-sixth of the patients had no 3-month follow-up examination. However, the rate of patients with lost follow-up did not differ between sV AD and sICAD patients.

Conclusions

Although patients with sICAD and sV AD have many features in common, they differ in age, clinical presentation, risk factors, outcome, and rates of early recurrent ischemic events. The observed differences do not provide enough evidence to propose different treatment strategies for sV AD and sICAD. However, future studies on sCAD should perform separate analyses of the 2 entities.

---

Table 3. Three-Month Follow-Up: Outcome and Events According to Dissection Site (sICAD vs sVAD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>All Patients</th>
<th>sICAD</th>
<th>sVAD</th>
<th>P Univariate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modified Rankin Scale 0–2 (patients with ischemic stroke), n (%)</td>
<td>293 (70.1)</td>
<td>150 (58.4)</td>
<td>143 (88.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent dissection, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (0.9)</td>
<td>5 (0.9)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent TIA, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (2.3)</td>
<td>6 (1.1)</td>
<td>12 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent stroke, n (%)</td>
<td>11 (1.4)</td>
<td>4 (0.7)</td>
<td>7 (2.8)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (1.9)</td>
<td>12 (2.4)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death (patients with ischemic stroke), n (%)</td>
<td>14 (3.3)</td>
<td>12 (4.7)</td>
<td>2 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sICAD indicates spontaneous internal carotid artery dissection; sVAD, spontaneous vertebral artery dissection; and TIA, transient ischemic attack.
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