Effect of High-Dose Atorvastatin on Renal Function in Subjects With Stroke or Transient Ischemic Attack in the SPARCL Trial
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Background and Purpose—Higher low-density lipoprotein cholesterol is associated with more rapid chronic kidney disease progression; reduction in cholesterol with statins, in conjunction with statins’ pleiotropic effects, such as decreasing inflammation, may be renoprotective. The Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels (SPARCL) trial assessed the effect of statin treatment on the risk of nonfatal and fatal stroke in subjects with a noncardioembolic stroke or transient ischemic attack, no known coronary heart disease, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol between 2.6 and 4.9 mmol/L (100–190 mg/dL).

Methods—We explored the effect of randomization to atorvastatin 80 mg/d or placebo on the change in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR; using the 4-component Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study equation) in SPARCL subjects (n=4731) with (eGFR, <60 mL/min per 1.73 m²; n=3119) and without (eGFR, ≥60 mL/min per 1.73 m²; n=1600) chronic kidney disease overall and by glycemic status at baseline.

Results—Mean baseline eGFR was similar between treatment groups (65.5±0.26 versus 65.6±0.26 mL/min per 1.73 m² atorvastatin versus placebo; 33% versus 34% had chronic kidney disease, respectively; P=0.55). After 60 months, eGFR increased 3.46±0.33 mL/min per 1.73 m² in those randomized to atorvastatin versus 1.42±0.34 mL/min per 1.73 m² in those randomized to placebo (P<0.001) independent of baseline renal function. In the subgroup with diabetes mellitus at randomization, eGFR increased 1.12±0.92 mL/min per 1.73 m² in the atorvastatin group and decreased 1.69±0.92 mL/min per 1.73 m² in placebo group during a period of 60 months (P=0.016).

Conclusions—This post hoc analysis suggests that atorvastatin treatment may improve renal function in patients with prior stroke or transient ischemic attack with and without chronic kidney disease, and that atorvastatin treatment may prevent eGFR decline in patients with stroke and diabetes mellitus.

Clinical Trial Registration—URL: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov. Unique identifier: NCT00147602.
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Post hoc analyses of cardiovascular outcome trials evaluating statins in the treatment of patients with dyslipidemia and coronary heart disease (CHD) or diabetes mellitus suggest renal benefit in addition to marked reductions in major cardiovascular events.14-18 Several trials show slowing of time-dependent falls in eGFR, whereas others found a clinically significant improvement of eGFR with statin treatment in subjects with or without CKD.14-18 For example, in the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS) trial, subjects with no history of vascular event and normal LDL-C randomized to 10 mg atorvastatin daily had a modest improvement in annual change in eGFR (net 0.18 mL/min per 1.73 m²; P=0.01) that was most apparent in those with albuminuria.19 Furthermore, the renal benefits of statins have also been examined in systematic reviews and meta-analyses.20-23

The Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels (SPARCL) trial randomized patients with recent noncardioembolic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) without known CHD to atorvastatin 80 mg/d versus placebo. Treatment was associated with a 16% reduction in the hazard ratio for recurrent stroke (the primary end point), as well as a 35% reduction in major coronary events (a secondary end point).24 This post hoc analysis of SPARCL trial data explores the effect of atorvastatin 80 mg/d versus placebo on changes in renal function from baseline as measured by eGFR during the course of the trial in those with and without CKD at baseline. In a secondary analysis, the SPARCL subjects were further stratified based on baseline glycemic status. The safety of atorvastatin therapy in subjects with and without CKD was also assessed.

Methods

Study Design and Patient Population

The design of the SPARCL trial has been described in detail.24,25 The study was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local research ethics committee or institutional review board at each center. All participants gave written informed consent. Eligible patients were men and women aged ≥18 years who had an ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke or a TIA without cardioembolic cause (diagnosed by a neurologist within 30 days after event) ≤ 6 months before randomization, no known CHD, and a screening LDL-C between 2.6 and 4.9 mmol/L (100 and 190 mg/dL). Subjects were randomized to double-blind treatment with atorvastatin 80 mg/d versus placebo and followed up for a median of 4.9 years (range, 4.0-6.6 years).

Renal Analyses

Serum creatinine (Scr) measurements were obtained at baseline and 12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 months after randomization. Scr was analyzed by the alkaline picrate method (Jaffe reaction) at a central laboratory by technicians who were unaware of the participant’s treatment assignment. The Roche enzymatic method and Beckman CX3 auto analyzer were used for these determinations. Creatinine measurements were calibrated and adjusted using College of American Pathologists standards, using National Institute of Standards and Technology traceability, with assigned values traceable to isotope dilution mass spectrometry, a calibration panel prepared by the Cleveland Clinic Research Laboratory, and frozen samples from the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) Study. eGFR was calculated using the abbreviated, 4-component, MDRD equation.26 Subjects were categorized using a modification of the National Kidney Foundation classification for renal disease using the baseline MDRD eGFR. Those with eGFR ≤ 60 mL/min per 1.73 m² were defined as having CKD, whereas those with eGFR ≥ 60 mL/min per 1.73 m² were defined as not having CKD.

Given that metabolic and glycemic status may affect renal function, data were further analyzed by baseline glycemic and metabolic status of the subjects in the following 3 groups: normoglycemic subjects without metabolic syndrome or type 2 diabetes mellitus; subjects with metabolic syndrome but without type 2 diabetes mellitus; and subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus, with or without metabolic syndrome. The definition of metabolic syndrome was based on the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines with body mass index ≥ 30 kg/m² substituted for waist circumference.27,28

Statistical Analyses

Mean changes from baseline eGFR during the course of the study were compared between the atorvastatin and placebo treatment groups using a repeated measures ANCOVA model with terms for treatment, time point, race, and age. Initial models tested for treatment by time interactions. Separate ANCOVA models were used to analyze renal function in the groups of subjects with eGFR <60 mL/min per 1.73 m² and eGFR ≥ 60 mL/min per 1.73 m². End of study eGFR values were assigned using the last observation carried forward and were compared between treatment groups using ANCOVA. The Fisher exact test was used to compare the proportions of subjects who had a decline or improvement of renal function between treatment groups. Lipid parameters were compared between treatment groups in intention-to-treat analysis using an ANCOVA model with the baseline lipid parameter as a continuous covariate. Two-sided P values <0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Subjects

A total of 4731 subjects were enrolled in the SPARCL trial. The cohort for the present analysis included the 4719 SPARCL participants who had a baseline measurement of Scr (Figure 1). Subject demographics and disposition for the renal cohort were similar to those previously published for the overall SPARCL population.24 Complete renal data, defined as a baseline Scr with ≥ 1 follow-up determination, were available for 4393 participants: 2186 of whom were assigned to atorvastatin and 2207 to placebo. A total of 326 participants in the renal cohort (170 in the atorvastatin group and 156 in the placebo

![Figure 1. Flow chart of the Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels study participants included in the renal analysis. CKD indicates chronic kidney disease.](https://stroke.ahajournals.org/doi/figure/1)
Table 1. Baseline Demographics and Clinical Characteristics of Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels
Subjects Included in the Renal Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>eGFR &lt;60 mL/min per 1.73 m²</th>
<th>eGFR ≥60 mL/min per 1.73 m²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atv 80 mg (n=789)</td>
<td>Placebo (n=811)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (n=1600)</td>
<td>Atv 80 mg (n=1567)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo (n=1552)</td>
<td>All (n=3119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P</strong> Value*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>MORR eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m²</strong></th>
<th><strong>Age, y</strong></th>
<th><strong>Sex</strong></th>
<th><strong>Race</strong></th>
<th><strong>BMI, kg/m²</strong></th>
<th><strong>Smoking status</strong></th>
<th><strong>Hypertension</strong></th>
<th><strong>Systolic BP, mm Hg</strong></th>
<th><strong>Diastolic BP, mm Hg</strong></th>
<th><strong>Diabetes mellitus</strong></th>
<th><strong>Creatinine, mg/dL</strong></th>
<th><strong>Concomitant medications</strong></th>
<th><strong>No. of antihypertensive medication</strong></th>
<th><strong>Entry events</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDRD eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m²</td>
<td>51.9±7.2</td>
<td>52.6±6.6</td>
<td>52.3±7.0</td>
<td>72.2±8.7</td>
<td>72.4±9.0</td>
<td>72.3±8.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atv 80 mg (n=789)</td>
<td>68.1±9.3</td>
<td>67.9±9.2</td>
<td>68.0±9.3</td>
<td>60.3±11.1</td>
<td>59.7±11.2</td>
<td>60.1±11.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo (n=811)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (n=1600)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values given are number of subjects (%) or mean±SD. ACE indicates angiotensin-converting enzyme; Atv, atorvastatin; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CKD, chronic kidney disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MDRD, the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease study; and TIA, transient ischemic attack.

*P-values are between subjects with CKD vs without CKD.
Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics of the renal cohort are given in Table 1. A total of 3119 (66%) of the 4719 participants were classified as having normal or near normal renal function (eGFR, ≥60 mL/min per 1.73 m²) and 1600 (34%) had CKD. The majority of the CKD group had stage 3a CKD (1391 participants [87%]; eGFR, 45–59 mL/min per 1.73 m²) or stage 3b CKD (189 participants [12%]; eGFR, 30–44 mL/min per 1.73 m²). A similar proportion of those randomized to atorvastatin versus placebo had CKD at baseline (789/2356, 33% versus 811/2363, 34%; χ², P=0.546).

The statin drop in (initiating open-label, nonstudy statin therapy) rate for participants assigned to placebo was 7.9% for those with CKD and 7.3% for those without CKD. The discontinuation rate of atorvastatin was 15.8% in participants with CKD and 15.1% in those without CKD. Drop-in and discontinuation rates were calculated as percentage of follow-up time for the primary end point.

Subjects with CKD had a baseline eGFR of 52.3±7.0 mL/min per 1.73 m² compared with an eGFR of 72.3±8.9 mL/min per 1.73 m² in those without CKD (P<0.001). Those with CKD were older, more likely to be women, and less frequently smoked cigarettes than those without CKD (P<0.001). Participants with CKD more frequently had hypertension and had higher mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) than participants without CKD (P<0.001). Overall study entry events (stroke or TIA) were different for subjects with and without CKD. Drop-in and discontinuation rates persisted throughout the trial. SBP control was marginally better in the atorvastatin versus placebo groups with and without CKD, irrespective of CKD status.

### Serum Lipid Levels

At baseline, total cholesterol, LDL-C, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol were similar in subjects with or without CKD assigned to atorvastatin or placebo (Table 2). Serum triglyceride levels were higher in both atorvastatin and placebo participants with CKD compared with those without CKD. At the end of study, mean total cholesterol, LDL-C, and triglyceride levels were lower in the atorvastatin versus placebo group, irrespective of CKD status.

### Blood Pressure

There were modest increases in SBP between baseline and the last visit in atorvastatin- and placebo-treated subjects without CKD. In contrast, there were small decreases in SBP in subjects with CKD, irrespective of therapy (Table 3). The baseline difference in SBP between CKD and non-CKD subjects persisted throughout the trial. SBP control was similar between the atorvastatin- and placebo-treated subjects with and without CKD. Diastolic blood pressure control was marginally better in the atorvastatin versus placebo groups for subjects with and without CKD. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers were used more frequently in those with CKD; however, there were no differences in the use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers between the atorvastatin-treated group and the placebo group (Table 1).

### Renal Outcomes by Treatment Group and Baseline CKD Status

Mean (±SD) baseline SCr was 1.3±0.3 mg/dL in the CKD population and 1.0±0.1 mg/dL in those without CKD. At baseline, eGFR (mean±SE) was similar between participants randomized to atorvastatin or placebo (65.5±0.26 versus 65.6±0.26 mL/min per 1.73 m²; P=0.382). During follow-up, there was an

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Baseline and Follow-Up Changes in Lipid Parameters by Kidney Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eGFR &lt;60 mL/min per 1.73 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C, mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C, mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values given are mean (SD). eGFR indicates estimated glomerular filtration rate; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; and LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

*P values for interaction of chronic kidney disease status and treatment effect from ANOVA model. To convert mg/dL to mmol/L for cholesterol multiply by 0.02586; for triglycerides by 0.01129.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Baseline and Follow-Up Changes in Blood Pressure by Kidney Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eGFR &lt;60 mL/min per 1.73 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values given are mean (SD). BP indicates blood pressure; and eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

*P values for interaction of chronic kidney disease status and treatment effect from ANOVA model.
increase in eGFR (least squares, mean±SE) between baseline and 1 year in subjects treated with atorvastatin (0.96±0.27 mL/min per 1.73 m²). After 60 months of treatment with atorvastatin, eGFR increased 3.46±0.33 versus 1.42±0.34 mL/min per 1.73 m² in the placebo group (Figure 2). There were improvements in eGFR in atorvastatin-treated versus placebo-treated subjects after 12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 months of therapy (repeated measures ANCOVA, each P<0.001). There was no significant treatment by time interaction (P=0.282). The effect of atorvastatin on eGFR persisted in an analysis using the last observation (follow-up eGFR) carried forward. At final observation, eGFR improved by 1.24±0.39 mL/min per 1.73 m² in subjects receiving atorvastatin compared with a decline of 0.67±0.39 mL/min per 1.73 m² in the placebo group (P<0.001; Figure 2).

The improvement in eGFR (least squares, mean±SE) with atorvastatin treatment was independent of baseline renal function (Figure 3). At 60 months, atorvastatin-treated subjects with CKD had a 4.24±0.60 mL/min per 1.73 m² rise in eGFR, whereas there was a 3.27±0.40 mL/min per 1.73 m² increase in subjects without CKD (Figure 3). Although improvement in eGFR occurred in subjects with CKD randomized to placebo, renal benefit was higher in subjects with CKD treated with atorvastatin (P=0.008). After 1 year, eGFR declined by 1.49±0.32 mL/min per 1.73 m² in participants with normal baseline eGFR assigned to placebo. In this group, eGFR slowly returned to baseline during year 2 and 5. After 60 months, there was a 2.53±0.60 mL/min per 1.73 m² improvement in eGFR in CKD participants assigned to placebo and a 1.03±0.40 mL/min per 1.73 m² improvement in placebo subjects without CKD (Figure 3).

The effect of atorvastatin versus placebo treatment on the change in eGFR (least squares, mean±SE) was independent of baseline CKD status using last observation carried forward analysis (subjects with CKD: 1.79±0.66 versus 0.22±0.66 mL/min per 1.73 m²; P=0.001; subjects without CKD: 0.71±0.49 versus −1.45±0.49 mL/min per 1.73 m²; P<0.001). There were differences in the mean eGFR change from baseline at 12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 months between the atorvastatin and placebo treatment groups in participants with or without CKD. After 60 months, there was an 8.2% improvement in eGFR with atorvastatin in participants with CKD versus a 5.2% improvement with placebo; there was a 4.8% increase in eGFR with atorvastatin in participants without CKD versus a 1.8% change with placebo. In subjects with baseline eGFR ≥60 mL/min per 1.73 m², fewer of those treated with atorvastatin had a decline in kidney function during the trial to eGFR <60 mL/min per 1.73 m² as compared with those assigned to placebo (10.6% versus 14.1%; P=0.005). A greater proportion of atorvastatin-treated subjects with a baseline eGFR <60 mL/min per 1.73 m² had an improvement in kidney function by study end as compared with the placebo group (34.1% versus 29.3%; P=0.050).

Subjects randomized to atorvastatin with no history of diabetes mellitus or metabolic syndrome had a greater improvement in eGFR during a period of 60 months compared with those on placebo. Those with diabetes mellitus at randomization had a decline in eGFR during a period of 5 years on placebo (−1.69±0.92 mL/min per 1.73 m²) compared with a 1.12±0.92 mL/min per 1.73 m² increase among those assigned to atorvastatin (P=0.016; Figure 3).

**Safety**

Persistent elevations in hepatic transaminase levels (2 consecutive elevations >3× the upper limit of normal) were more frequent in atorvastatin-treated subjects versus placebo, but rates were generally low and similar between those with (2.4%) and without CKD (2.0%; Table 4). Persistent elevations in creatine phosphokinase levels (2 consecutive elevations >10× the upper limit of normal) were also similar between participants with and without CKD. The incidence of musculoskeletal adverse events was low and similar between study groups. There were 5 cases of investigator-determined rhabdomyolysis: 2 in placebo-assigned participants with CKD, 2 in atorvastatin-treated participants without CKD, and 1 in a placebo-assigned patient without CKD.

**Discussion**

This post hoc analysis of the SPARCL trial suggests an improvement in eGFR with atorvastatin 80 mg/d versus placebo in a population of subjects with prior stroke or TIA. In subjects randomized with diabetes mellitus, as expected, there was an overall decline in renal function during the trial, but this decline was almost halted in subjects randomized on atorvastatin. The renoprotective effect occurred within 1 year was maintained for 60 months of observation and was observed in subjects with and without CKD at baseline.

The renal benefit occurred in association with a 40% reduction in LDL-C (to 80 mg/dL [2.07 mmol/L]) in participants with CKD and 37% reduction (to 81 mg/dL [2.09 mmol/L]) in those without CKD. Importantly, these improvements occurred in a population of subjects who received multiple antihypertensive medications with overall good blood pressure control in both the atorvastatin and placebo groups.

Two observations warrant additional comment. First, except in the diabetic subgroup, we did not find the expected time-dependent fall in eGFR in participants assigned to placebo and usual care. A minimal fall in eGFR was, however, found in the placebo-treated population using a last observation carried forward analysis (subjects with CKD: 1.79±0.66 versus 0.22±0.66 mL/min per 1.73 m²; P=0.001; subjects without CKD: 0.71±0.49 versus −1.45±0.49 mL/min per 1.73 m²; P<0.001). There were differences in the mean eGFR change from baseline at 12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 months between the atorvastatin and placebo treatment groups in participants with or without CKD. After 60 months, there was an 8.2% improvement in
Subjects with diabetes mellitus randomized to the placebo group had a time-dependent fall in eGFR during the 5 years of the trial with a significant difference with baseline eGFR. This difference was not observed in diabetic subjects randomized to atorvastatin, suggesting a renoprotective effect of atorvastatin. Interestingly, a similar effect, although more modest, was observed in the primary prevention CARDS trial, which randomized only patients with diabetes mellitus, as well as in the atorvastatin arm of the Prospective Evaluation of Proteinuria and Renal Function in Diabetic Patients with Progressive Renal Disease (PLANET-1) trial. Our data, together with earlier observations from the Greek Atorvastatin and Coronary Heart Disease Evaluation (GREACE) trial and those of CARDS and PLANET-1 trials, suggest that atorvastatin could be renoprotective.

The effect of statin therapy on renal function in patient populations at high risk for cardiovascular disease, or with preexisting CHD, has varied in different trials, possibly because of the populations studied, intensity of treatment, and the specific statin used. In the Antihypertensive and Lipid Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT), using pravastatin 40 mg in hypertensive subjects with moderate dyslipidemia for a mean of 4.8 years, LDL-C decreased by 20.7% in the pravastatin group versus 11.2% in a usual care group. Furthermore, participants had a 6 mL/min per 1.73 m² reduction in eGFR at 4 years and an 8 to 9 mL/min per 1.73 m² decrease at 6 years with no differences between treatment groups for the rate of decline in eGFR or for the development of end-stage renal disease.

In a subgroup of hyperlipidemic CKD subjects with prior myocardial infarction from the Cholesterol and Recurrent Events (CARE) trial, treatment with pravastatin 40 mg did not
result in renal benefit compared with placebo. The anticipated decrease in eGFR, however, was significantly slower among those in the pravastatin group with a baseline eGFR <40 mL/min per 1.73 m² (2.5 mL/min per 1.73 m² per year slower fall versus placebo; P<0.0001). A post hoc subgroup analysis from the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S), which compared 20 to 40 mg simvastatin versus placebo in men and women with CHD found that the annual decline in renal function was lower with simvastatin versus placebo (0.34 versus 0.41 mL/min per 1.73 m² per year; P=0.02). A subanalysis of the Heart Protection Study found that simvastatin 40 mg blunted the overall decline in eGFR in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus during a 4.6-year follow-up (−5.9±0.1 versus −6.7±0.1 mL/min per 1.73 m²; P=0.0003). In contrast, in the Study of Heart and Renal Protection (SHARP) trial performed in 9270 patients with moderate to severe CKD, simvastatin plus ezetimibe reduced the incidence of major atherosclerotic events, but there was no significant reduction in any of the prespecified measures of renal disease progression in the 6247 patients not on dialysis. Further analysis of the SHARP study showed that among the participants who were not on dialysis at randomization, compared with placebo, treatment with simvastatin plus ezetimibe led to a 3% nonsignificant reduction in the incidence of end-stage renal disease and a nonsignificant slowing of the annual rate of change in eGFR by 0.17 mL/min per 1.73 m². Noticeably, 63% of the SHARP participants who were not on dialysis at randomization had stage 4 or 5 CKD. The SHARP study actively recruited subjects with glomerulonephritis, cystic renal disease, pyelonephritis, as well as subjects with either or both diabetic and hypertensive nephropathy. Thus, the renoprotective effects of statins may also be limited by the source and severity of kidney disease.

The GREACE study randomized participants with dyslipidemia after myocardial infarction to a titration of atorvastatin 10 to 80 mg to the National Cholesterol Education Program goals versus usual care (ie, lifestyle changes and lipid-lowering agents, including various statins). At the end of study, creatinine clearance increased by 12% (P<0.0001) in the atorvastatin group and by 4.9% (P=0.003) in the usual care participants who were given statins, whereas renal function had declined by 5.2% (P<0.0001) in participants from both groups who had either stopped or never received statins. This increase was dependent on baseline GFR levels with the greatest benefit (P<0.0001) occurring in subjects with baseline GFR <77 mL/min. Renal benefit was also found in the Aggressive Lipid Lowering to Alleviate New Cardiovascular Endpoints (ALLIANCE) trial, a prospective, randomized open-label clinical trial comparing the effects of atorvastatin 10 to 80 mg to usual care with other available lipid-lowering medications including statins, in a cohort of subjects with known coronary artery disease. A post hoc analysis found a modest improvement in eGFR in atorvastatin-treated subjects (0.80±82 mL/min per 1.73 m²) versus a mean decline of 1.36±0.92 mL/min per 1.73 m² in the usual care group (P=0.008), after a median follow-up of 54.3 months. Subjects with CKD at baseline had a 2.31±1.67 mL/min per 1.73 m² increase in eGFR with atorvastatin versus a 0.20±1.75 mL/min per 1.73 m² improvement with usual care (P=0.1). A subanalysis of the Treating to New Targets (TNT) study investigated the effect of lipid lowering on renal function with atorvastatin 80 mg versus atorvastatin 10 mg in subjects with known CHD. After 5-year follow-up, mean change in eGFR increased 3.5±0.14 mL/min per 1.73 m² with atorvastatin 10 mg and 5.2±0.14 mL/min per 1.73 m² with atorvastatin 80 mg (P<0.0001). Improvement in renal function, however, was not found in the Justification for the Use of Statins in Prevention and Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin (JUPITER) study, in which rosuvastatin 20 mg was used to treat a low-risk population with elevated high-sensitivity C-reactive protein. In JUPITER, baseline eGFR was 73.3 mL/min per 1.73 m² in the rosuvastatin group versus 73.6 mL/min per 1.73 m² in the placebo group. Median eGFR declined (P=0.02) at 12 months to 66.8 (59.1–76.5) and 66.6 (58.8–76.2) mL/min per 1.73 m² in the rosuvastatin and placebo groups, respectively.

In addition to these findings from individual trials, various meta-analyses have been conducted to investigate effects of statin therapy on renal function. In an early meta-analysis including 13 trials with ≥400 participants, Fried et al showed that the rate of decline in GFR was lower with treatment with antilipemic agents compared with controls. This finding was later confirmed by Sandhu et al in a meta-analysis of 27 eligible studies with 39704 participants; the authors found that the weighted mean difference for yearly fall in eGFR was slower (1.22 mL/min per year) in statin recipients compared with control subjects given placebo (95% confidence interval, 0.44 to 2.00). A significantly slower decrease in eGFR was also observed in a population of statin-treated patients with cardiovascular disease (0.93 mL/min per year; 95% confidence interval, 0.10–1.76 compared with placebo); however, this benefit of statin therapy did not extend to patients who had diabetic or hypertensive kidney disease or glomerulonephritis. Although not every meta-analysis has demonstrated renoprotective effects of statins potentially due to insufficient

Table 4. Incidence of Elevated Laboratory Values and Adverse Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Atorvastatin (n=789)</th>
<th>Placebo (n=811)</th>
<th>Atorvastatin (n=1567)</th>
<th>Placebo (n=1552)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elevated AST or ALT*</td>
<td>19 (2.41)</td>
<td>1 (0.12)</td>
<td>32 (2.04)</td>
<td>10 (0.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated CPK†</td>
<td>1 (0.13)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.06)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>43 (5.45)</td>
<td>52 (6.41)</td>
<td>86 (5.49)</td>
<td>88 (5.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myopathy</td>
<td>1 (0.13)</td>
<td>3 (0.37)</td>
<td>6 (0.38)</td>
<td>4 (0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhabdomyolysis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (0.13)</td>
<td>1 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values given are number of subjects (%). ALT indicates alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CPK, creatine phosphokinase; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; and ULN, upper limit of normal.

*>3×ULN at 2 consecutive measurements.
†>10×ULN at 2 consecutive measurements.
Renal Function in Stroke/TIA Pts on Atorvastatin

Limitations

This was a post hoc analysis, using estimates of renal function and needs to be considered exploratory and hypothesis generating. Estimation of GFR on the basis of serum creatinine also has several limitations. Because serum creatinine is a function of production, it is possible that statins might interfere with creatinine production without affecting GFR. To address this issue, effects of statins on true GFR need to be determined. We did not systematically collect proteinuria and albuminuria and therefore could not utilize proteinuria in the classification of CKD.

Generalizations and comparisons to other populations with CKD should be made with caution because the causes of renal disease in this population are unknown. Given the small proportion of subjects with stage 4 or 5 CKD, our findings may not apply to patients with more severe renal insufficiency (ie, eGFR, <30 mL/min per 1.73 m²). Within-treatment comparisons between subjects with eGFR ≤60 mL/min per 1.73 m² and eGFR ≥60 mL/min per 1.73 m² are not valid as separate ANCOVA models were used to analyze these groups.

The treatment differences observed for changes in renal function may be underestimated because of drop-in statin use in the placebo group, as well as discontinuations in the atorvastatin group. The influence of other potentially nephrotoxic drugs such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications could not be assessed because the study was not designed to monitor actively the use of these drugs. There is however no reason to expect that the percentage of participants who were prescribed such drugs would have been similar between the treatment groups.

Conclusions

This exploratory analysis suggests that atorvastatin treatment might be renoprotective in a population of patients with prior stroke or TIA with and without CKD.
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Abstract 4

SPARCL 연구의 뇌졸중 또는 일과성혈혈발작 환자에서 신장 기능에 대한 고용량 아토르바스타틴의 효과
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배경과 목적
저혈도지질단백질 콜레스테롤이 높으면 만성신장질환은 더 빠르게 진행된다: 스타틴으로 인한 콜레스테롤의 감소는 약을 촉진시키는 동의신중증증(pleiotropic) 효과로 인해 신장보호 효과가 있을 것이다. Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels (SPARCL) 임상시험은 알려진 관상동맥질환을 통한 저혈도지질단백질 콜레스테롤이 2.6에서 4.9 mmol/L (100~190 mg/dL) 사이의 비슷한 세포성 뇌졸중 또는 일과성혈혈발작 환자에서 치명적 및 비치명적 뇌졸중의 위험이 대한 스타틴 치료의 효과를 평가하였다.

방법
SPARCL 환자(n=4,731) 중에서 전반적으로 만성신장질환은 없는 환자(추정 시기의 여과율(estimated glomerular filtration rate, eGFR) <60 mL/min/1.73 m²: n=3,119)와 없는 환자(eGFR ≥60 mL/min/1.73 m²: n=1,600)에 따라 그리고 초기 혈당 상태에 따라 eGFR (Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study의 4가지 요소를 사용하여 계산)의 변화에 대한 아토르바스타틴 하루 80 mg 또는 위약의 무작위배정 효과를 조사하였다.

결과
 초기 평균 eGFR은 치료군 사이에 유사하였다(아토르바스타틴 vs 위약: 평균 65.5±0.26 vs 65.6±0.26 mL/min/1.73 m²; 만성신장질환 동반의 여부, 각각 33% vs 34%; P=0.55). 60개월 후, eGFR은 초기 신장기능과 독립적으로 아토르바스타틴군에서 3.46±0.33 mL/min/1.73 m², 위약군에서 1.42±0.34 mL/ min/1.73 m² 증가하였다(P<0.001). 무작위배정 장기 당뇨병이 있는 하위군에서는, eGFR는 60개월간 아토르바스타틴군에서 1.12±0.92 mL/min/1.73 m² 증가하였고, 위약군에서 1.69±0.92 mL/min/1.73 m² 감소하였다(P=0.016).

결론
이 사후분석(post hoc analysis)은 아토르바스타틴 치료가 만성신장질환이 동반되든 안 되든 이전 뇌졸중 또는 일과성혈혈발작 환자에서 신장 기능을 향상시키며 또한 아토르바스타틴 치료가 당뇨가 동반된 뇌졸중 환자에서 eGFR의 하락을 방지할 수 있을 것임을 시사한다.