Background and Purpose—Early blood–brain barrier damage after acute ischemic stroke has previously been qualitatively linked to subsequent intracranial hemorrhage (ICH). In this quantitative study, it was investigated whether the amount of blood–brain barrier damage evident on pre–tissue-type plasminogen activator MRI scans was related to the degree of post–tissue-type plasminogen activator ICH in patients with acute ischemic stroke.

Methods—Analysis was performed on a database of patients with acute ischemic stroke provided by the Stroke Imaging Repository (STIR) and Virtual International Stroke Trials Archive (VISTA) Imaging Investigators. Patients with perfusion-weighted imaging lesions >10 mL and negative gradient-recalled echo imaging before intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator were included. Postprocessing of the perfusion-weighted imaging source images was performed to estimate changes in blood–brain barrier permeability within the perfusion deficit relative to the unaffected hemisphere. Follow-up gradient-recalled echo images were reviewed for evidence of ICH and divided into 3 groups according to European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study (ECASS) criteria: no hemorrhage, hemorrhagic infarction, and parenchymal hematoma.

Results—Seventy-five patients from the database met the inclusion criteria, 28 of whom experienced ICH, of which 19 were classified as hemorrhagic infarction and 9 were classified as parenchymal hematoma. The mean permeability (±SDs), expressed as an index of contrast leakage, was 17.0±8.8% in the no hemorrhage group, 19.4±4.0% in the hemorrhagic infarction group, and 24.6±4.5% in the parenchymal hematoma group. Permeability was significantly correlated with ICH grade in univariate (P=0.007) and multivariate (P=0.008) linear regression modeling.

Conclusions—A perfusion-weighted imaging–derived index of blood–brain barrier damage measured before intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator is given is associated with the severity of ICH after treatment in patients with acute ischemic stroke. (Stroke. 2014;45:2030-2035.)
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to the magnitude of the ICH. Using a novel postprocessing technique on standard MRI scans, we aimed to examine this relationship. We hypothesized that increasing BBB damage would be associated with increasing severity of ICH in the setting of intravenous tPA.

Methods

Patients

This study is a retrospective analysis of a database of patients with AIS that was collected as part of a natural history MRI study of patients receiving intravenous tPA with local institutional review board approval. The anonymized database was made available for the current study by an application to the Stroke Imaging Repository/Virtual International Stroke Trials Archive (STIR/VISTA) consortium through a process that is publically accessible. The database contained 185 patients with MRI scans obtained at multiple time points, which variably included acute (before treatment), 2-hour post-treatment, 24-hour post-treatment, 5 days (or discharge), 30 days, and 90 days. From this data set, patients who met the inclusion criteria for this study were identified: (1) patients needed to have a complete PWI acquisition and a gradient-recalled echo sequence before intravenous tPA administration; and (2) they must have had follow-up gradient-recalled echo imaging at 2 hours, 24 hours, or 5 days/discharge. Patients who did not have a PWI deficit >10 mL on their pretreatment scan, defined by a time-to-peak delay of 6 seconds, were excluded to ensure that all patients had active ischemia at the time of BBB permeability measurement. Follow-up gradient-recalled echo images at the specified time points were reviewed for ICH and graded as no hemorrhage, hemorrhagic infarction, or PH, first independently and then by consensus of 2 authors (R.L., S.S.J.) according to ECASS criteria.3

For comparison with previously published definitions of ICH risk in patients receiving intravenous tPA, diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) lesion volumes were calculated using an apparent diffusion coefficient threshold of 600×10−6 mm 2/s, and PWI lesion volumes were calculated using a time-to-peak threshold of 8-second delay.13

MRI Scans

Imaging was performed using a 1.5-T (Twinspeed; General Electric) or 3-T (Achieva; Philips) clinical MRI scanner. Typical imaging parameters for DWI spin-echo echo-planar series included either forty 3.5-mm-thick or twenty 7-mm-thick contiguous axial oblique slices, with b of 0 and b of 1000 s/mm2, were trace-weighted or isotropically weighted, had repetition time/echo time of 6000 to 7000/72 to 90 ms, an acquisition matrix of 96×96 or 128×128, and a 22-cm field of view. Typical imaging parameters for PWI gradient echo-planar series included 20 contiguous axial oblique slices with single-dose gadolinium contrast injection of 0.1 mmol/kg through a power injector using 25 to 40 phase measurements, repetition time/echo time of 2000 to 2200/45 ms, an acquisition matrix of 64×64 to 128×128, a 7-mm slice thickness, and a 22-cm field of view. Typical imaging parameters for gradient-echo series were field of view 24 cm, repetition time 800 ms, echo time 20 ms, flip angle 30°, and acquisition matrix 256×192.

Permeability Analysis

Permeability analysis was performed on the acute pre-tPA PWI scans. The derivation and application of this technique has been described in detail elsewhere.14,15 In brief, the source images of the PWI acquisition were analyzed on a voxel-by-voxel basis for evidence of contrast leakage T1 signal change. PWI source images are T2*-weighted images; however, when contrast accumulates in the brain parenchyma because of damage to the BBB, a T1 signal component, which is usually negligible, becomes detectable. After applying an arrival-time correction to remove the effects of hypoperfusion and tracer dispersion, the change in signal over time was modeled and compared with tissue with an intact BBB.15 This generates a measure for T1 signal change that is an index of the amount of gadolinium that has leaked into that voxel. This index is then expressed as a percent of cerebral blood volume, which is a measure of the amount of gadolinium that flowed through the voxel during the PWI acquisition. Thus for each voxel, a relative measure of the percentage of gadolinium that leaked through the BBB can be obtained.

A user-independent automated process calculated the mean permeability derangement for each patient from the voxels within the perfusion deficit that had elevated permeability based on the per-voxel permeability analysis (Figure 1). Elevated permeability was defined as 2 SDs above the rest of the brain. The Matlab software package (http://www.mathworks.com/) was used for all image processing.

Statistics

The ECASS criteria ICH grade for each patient was considered to be a dependent variable reflecting the severity of ICH, with no hemorrhage representing no ICH, hemorrhagic infarction representing minor ICH, and PH representing major ICH. This degree of ICH was treated as a dependent variable for each of the clinical parameters (independent variables) listed in the Table for the univariate analysis. Categorical variables were analyzed using logistic regression. Continuous variables were analyzed using linear regression. A P value of <0.05 was considered significant. To assess for interactions between variables, a multivariate linear regression was also performed, which included all variables with a P value <0.1 from the univariate analysis. Statistical analysis was done using the STATA software package (http://www.stata.com/).

Results

The baseline characteristics of the population are shown in the Table. Of a database of 185 patients, 75 patients who met the inclusion criteria were identified. More than half (44) of the patients were women, and the mean±SD of the age of the cohort was 70±17 years. The mean National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) was 12±4. The mean time from stroke onset to tPA administration was 147±30 minutes. The majority (68) of patients received tPA in the 3-hour window, and all patients were treated within the 4.5-hour window.

Of the 75 patients included in the study, 47 had no hemorrhage, 19 had hemorrhagic infarction, and 9 had PH. Figure 2C
shows an example of a patient who subsequently developed PH after tPA in the setting of BBB damage detected with permeability imaging. The average mean permeability derangement for each group is shown graphically in Figure 3A with 95% confidence intervals. P values listed in the Table demonstrate that mean permeability derangement (P=0.007), baseline NIHSS (P=0.021), glucose level on admission (P=0.002), and a history of coronary artery disease (P=0.017) were significantly associated with the degree of ICH according to ECASS criteria grading. After multivariate linear regression, the only independent predictors of ICH severity were mean permeability derangement (P=0.008) and glucose level on admission (P=0.013; Table).

Bivariate linear regression of mean permeability derangement with ICH grade demonstrated a statistically significant relationship (P=0.007). The strength of this relationship is reflected in the r² term of 0.095 (adjusted r², 0.083). This indicates that 10% of the ICH grade is explained by the mean permeability derangement. The effect size is reflected in the β score of 0.31, indicating a moderate effect. Thus, BBB damage, as detected with mean permeability derangement, has a significant but moderate effect on the severity of subsequent ICH of patients with acute stroke treated with intravenous tPA in this study.

**Discussion**

The BBB refers to the protection of the neuronal microenvironment of the brain from the circulating systemic blood by a complex interaction of cells, commonly referred to as the neurovascular unit. Soon after onset, ischemia is thought to cause an initial early reversible opening of the BBB because of activation of matrix metalloproteinases, which is distinct from a delayed secondary opening caused by a neuroinflammatory response days later. When the neurovascular unit is compromised, tPA, which would usually remain in the intravascular space, can cross into the brain where it plays a role in activating the cell signaling pathways of endogenous tPA associated with hemorrhagic transformation. In addition to the direct effects of tPA on the ischemic brain, reperfusion of damaged vasculature because of tPA-induced clot lysis is also thought to contribute to hemorrhagic transformation.

This is the first study to correlate the degree of BBB damage with the degree of ICH in humans treated with intravenous tPA. Although this relationship may be suspected intuitively, the dose-dependent interaction identified by this study provides the strongest support to date. BBB integrity was assessed within 4.5 hours of ischemia onset, which falls into the time frame of matrix metalloproteinase–mediated BBB opening. Leakage of gadolinium, a substance that generally does not penetrate an intact BBB, into the brain parenchyma was used as a measure of BBB damage. Larger amounts of gadolinium accumulation were a marker for more severe ICH when exposed to tPA. Whether this was a direct effect of the tPA on an exposed neuronal environment, or was instead because of restoration of blood flow to tissue damaged significantly, is not established by this study. But it leads to the following question: is BBB damage just a marker for severe ischemia, or does BBB damage itself contribute to ICH? One way to differentiate between these 2 causes would be to identify the reperfusion status on follow-up imaging. Unfortunately, ICH creates an artifact on PWI source images that prevents assessment of blood flow to areas with hemosiderin deposition. Thus, such an analysis was not possible.
Another way to assess what role tissue damage may have played in hemorrhagic transformation of ischemic tissue is to examine DWI. Restricted diffusion on DWI is a marker for cell injury. Prior studies have found that the volume of tissue below an apparent diffusion coefficient threshold of $600 \times 10^{-6}$ mm$^2$/s is a marker for subsequent development of PH when exposed to tPA in an extended time window. However, in our study of patients in an early time window, DWI volumes defined in the same manner did not correlate with ICH. Similarly PWI volumes also did not correlate with ICH. This may suggest that it is the exposure of an unprotected brain to tPA, rather than the volume of tissue affected, that contributes to subsequent ICH. However, this study was not designed to determine the role of DWI volume or PWI volume in this early tPA time window, thus further investigation is needed.

Several prior studies have demonstrated that BBB damage detected with MRI is associated with subsequent ICH. Extravasation of contrast through a damaged BBB and into cerebrospinal fluid can be detected with fluid attenuated inversion recovery imaging, a phenomenon called hyperintense

Figure 3. A. Mean permeability derangement for each group with 95% confidence intervals. The red line demonstrates a threshold that separates parenchymal hematoma from all other patients with 95% accuracy. B. Box plots for the mean permeability derangement of each group; the central mark is the median, the edges of the box are the 25th and 75th percentiles, the bars extend to the most extreme data points not considered outliers, and outliers are plotted individually.

Figure 2. Example images for a patient who had parenchymal hematoma after tissue-type plasminogen activator are shown. A, Pretreatment diffusion-weighted imaging. B, Pretreatment gradient-recalled echo (GRE). C, Pretreatment permeability image. D, Post-treatment GRE demonstrating intracranial hemorrhage.
acute reperfusion marker (HARM). Studies of patients treated in a more extended time window have found that changes in the slope of the gadolinium concentration curve can be a marker for ICH. Several other measures extracted from the PWI source images have also been associated with ICH. T1 postcontrast enhancement can be specific for subsequent ICH; however, it is not sensitive. The advantage to this approach is that it removes the effects of blood flow and dispersion from the recorded signal, allowing an index related to BBB permeability to be estimated. An even more quantitative measure of BBB damage can be obtained using steady-state dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI, but the time constraints of BBB damage detection can be a marker for ICH. Several other measures extracted from the PWI source images have also been associated with ICH.

These prior studies have not been quantitative in a manner that is able to relate the degree of ICH with the magnitude of BBB damage detected on MRI. However, in our study, a novel algorithm, which uses an arrival time correction, was used in a quantitative manner. The advantage to this approach is that it removes the effects of blood flow and dispersion from the recorded signal, allowing an index related to BBB permeability to be estimated. An even more quantitative measure of BBB damage can be obtained using steady-state dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI; however, the time constraints of that technique prevent it from being usable in AIS.

Hemorrhagic transformation of post-tPA ischemic tissue is a complex pathophysiologic state that involves many factors, not just BBB damage. Regression analysis in our study indicated that BBB damage only accounted for 10% of the effect. The overlap of the 95% confidence intervals in Figure 3A demonstrates that differentiating between those who are likely to experience minor bleeding versus those who are likely to experience no bleeding is not possible just using BBB damage measured with our approach. However, in this data set, a threshold can be set that separates major bleeding from all other patients with 95% confidence as shown by the red line in Figure 3A. This is an intriguing finding because major bleeding, and not minor bleeding, is the complication of tPA that is most feared. This study is not powered to establish such a threshold as predictive, but a subsequent study in which this threshold is tested on a unique population may clarify the clinical use of this finding.

Another interesting finding in this study was the heterogeneity of BBB damage in the population. Some degree of BBB disruption was detected in all patients, even those who did not develop any ICH, which supports the notion that ischemia itself results in the opening of the BBB. This leads to the question of why early, severe BBB damage is more prominent in a subset of patients with ischemic stroke. Multivariate analysis revealed that glucose level was associated with ICH in accordance with previous studies. Future studies should expand the range of clinical markers evaluated. Animal data have supported the use of therapies directed at BBB protection that could be given in combination with tPA. Thus BBB imaging techniques may be useful in identifying a patient population who would benefit from such a therapy. In addition, although MRI-guided selection of patients for intra-arterial treatments has been controversial, the use of BBB imaging may be useful in such a setting, particularly when combined intravenous/intra-arterial treatments are being considered.

There were several assumptions involved in the design of this study. First, ICH was graded according to ECASS criteria, not volume. ECASS criteria, which were originally designed for use on head computed tomography, were used on MRI in this study and thus may carry a different meaning. Although there are subtypes in the ECASS grading system that are related to the volume of blood, the main distinction is between hemorrhagic transformation and hematoma formation. The former is generally asymptomatic, whereas the latter is often not, which is why the ECASS grading is clinically relevant. However, in using such a classification system in this study, it is assumed that as BBB permeability increases, the likelihood of hematoma formation increases. The method of calculating mean permeability derangement in this study is weighted toward areas of focal, high BBB damage rather than areas of diffuse, less-severe BBB damage. In addition, the use of a linear regression model further assumes that the transition from one classification to another is linear, which it likely is not. Figure 3A suggests that the relationship may be exponential; however, log transformation before linear regression did not strengthen the relationship. Thus, further investigation is needed to work out the nature of the relationship detected in this study.

There are also several limitations to our study. The protocol for enrolling patients in the provided data set is not known and may have changed over time. The use of MRI to exclude stroke mimics and to grade hemorrhage may account for the major bleeding, and not minor bleeding, is the complication of tPA that is most feared. This study is not powered to establish such a threshold as predictive, but a subsequent study in which this threshold is tested on a unique population may clarify the clinical use of this finding.

Another interesting finding in this study was the heterogeneity of BBB damage in the population. Some degree of BBB disruption was detected in all patients, even those who did not develop any ICH, which supports the notion that ischemia itself results in the opening of the BBB. This leads to the question of why early, severe BBB damage is more prominent in a subset of patients with ischemic stroke. Multivariate analysis revealed that glucose level was associated with ICH in accordance with previous studies. Future studies should expand the range of clinical markers evaluated. Animal data have supported the use of therapies directed at BBB protection that could be given in combination with tPA. Thus BBB imaging techniques may be useful in identifying a patient population who would benefit from such a therapy. In addition, although MRI-guided selection of patients for intra-arterial treatments has been controversial, the use of BBB imaging may be useful in such a setting, particularly when combined intravenous/intra-arterial treatments are being considered.

There were several assumptions involved in the design of this study. First, ICH was graded according to ECASS criteria, not volume. ECASS criteria, which were originally designed for use on head computed tomography, were used on MRI in this study and thus may carry a different meaning. Although there are subtypes in the ECASS grading system that are related to the volume of blood, the main distinction is between hemorrhagic transformation and hematoma formation. The former is generally asymptomatic, whereas the latter is often not, which is why the ECASS grading is clinically relevant. However, in using such a classification system in this study, it is assumed that as BBB permeability increases, the likelihood of hematoma formation increases. The method of calculating mean permeability derangement in this study is weighted toward areas of focal, high BBB damage rather than areas of diffuse, less-severe BBB damage. In addition, the use of a linear regression model further assumes that the transition from one classification to another is linear, which it likely is not. Figure 3A suggests that the relationship may be exponential; however, log transformation before linear regression did not strengthen the relationship. Thus, further investigation is needed to work out the nature of the relationship detected in this study.

There are also several limitations to our study. The protocol for enrolling patients in the provided data set is not known and may have changed over time. The use of MRI to exclude stroke mimics and to grade hemorrhage may account for the major bleeding, and not minor bleeding, is the complication of tPA that is most feared. This study is not powered to establish such a threshold as predictive, but a subsequent study in which this threshold is tested on a unique population may clarify the clinical use of this finding.

Another interesting finding in this study was the heterogeneity of BBB damage in the population. Some degree of BBB disruption was detected in all patients, even those who did not develop any ICH, which supports the notion that ischemia itself results in the opening of the BBB. This leads to the question of why early, severe BBB damage is more prominent in a subset of patients with ischemic stroke. Multivariate analysis revealed that glucose level was associated with ICH in accordance with previous studies. Future studies should expand the range of clinical markers evaluated. Animal data have supported the use of therapies directed at BBB protection that could be given in combination with tPA. Thus BBB imaging techniques may be useful in identifying a patient population who would benefit from such a therapy. In addition, although MRI-guided selection of patients for intra-arterial treatments has been controversial, the use of BBB imaging may be useful in such a setting, particularly when combined intravenous/intra-arterial treatments are being considered.

There were several assumptions involved in the design of this study. First, ICH was graded according to ECASS criteria, not volume. ECASS criteria, which were originally designed for use on head computed tomography, were used on MRI in this study and thus may carry a different meaning. Although there are subtypes in the ECASS grading system that are related to the volume of blood, the main distinction is between hemorrhagic transformation and hematoma formation. The former is generally asymptomatic, whereas the latter is often not, which is why the ECASS grading is clinically relevant. However, in using such a classification system in this study, it is assumed that as BBB permeability increases, the likelihood of hematoma formation increases. The method of calculating mean permeability derangement in this study is weighted toward areas of focal, high BBB damage rather than areas of diffuse, less-severe BBB damage. In addition, the use of a linear regression model further assumes that the transition from one classification to another is linear, which it likely is not. Figure 3A suggests that the relationship may be exponential; however, log transformation before linear regression did not strengthen the relationship. Thus, further investigation is needed to work out the nature of the relationship detected in this study.

Conclusions

When analyzed with an algorithm that uses an arrival time correction, the amount of BBB damage after AIS as detected by gadolinium leakage on MRI is correlated with the degree of subsequent ICH when exposed to intravenous tPA. Lesser degrees of BBB damage were less likely to result in major intracranial bleeding than more severe degrees of BBB damage in patients who received standard Food and Drug Administration–approved AIS treatment. Additional studies should be performed to determine how these findings might improve the treatment of patients with AIS.
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治疗前脑血脑屏障损伤程度与静脉 tPA 治疗后脑出血相关性的研究

Pretreatment Blood–Brain Barrier Damage and Post-Treatment Intracranial Hemorrhage in Patients Receiving Intravenous Tissue-Type Plasminogen Activator

Richard Leigh MD, Shyian S. Jen, MD, Argyre E. Hillys, MD, John W. Krakaer, MD; Peter B. Barker, DPhil; on behalf of the STIR and VISTA Imaging Investigators

背景和目的: 急性缺血性脑卒中后期额脑血脑屏障的损伤程度与随后出现的脑出血 (intracranial hemorrhage, ICH) 存在相关性。虽然在文献中已经有研究使用 MRI 研究急性脑卒中患者中 tPA 颈静脉溶栓对脑血脑屏障损伤程度是否与 tPA 使用的剂量和时间相关。

方法: 本文研究的衬垫是急性脑卒中患者的血脑屏障有显著的阳性影响 (中性侵入性影像: T1WI 和静脉注射 tPA 后的 MRI), 选取 tPA 患者的血脑屏障损伤与不溶栓组(负梯度回波成像 (gradient-recalled echo, GRE)) 患者, 分析两者之间的血脑屏障变化。通过脑血屏障成像(perfusion-weighted imaging, PWI) 及血脑屏障成像处理来详述超出血血脑屏障的变化。随后经由、通过脑血屏障成像(perfusion-weighted imaging, PWI) 及血脑屏障成像处理来详述超出血血脑屏障的变化。随后经由、通过脑血屏障成像(perfusion-weighted imaging, PWI) 及血脑屏障成像处理来详述超出血血脑屏障的变化。

结果: 结果显示与填果在联合平均标准的 75 例患者, 其脑卒中的 20 脑部患者出现脑出血, 包括 10 例急性脑血脑屏障患者及 9 例急性脑血脑屏障患者。

结论: 急性脑卒中患者静脉 tPA 治疗后存在脑出血, 发生其病理过程中的脑出血严重程度相关。
定量评估的方法是使用相对扫描的动态增强 MRI 中血流分散的影响,从而作为估算血脑屏障通透性的指标。另外一个进展的一个标志是测量方法也与脑出血相关（HARM）。脑屏障进入脑脊液中的对比剂,这种现象称为高信号急性再灌注标记（HARM）。脑屏障进入脑脊液中的对比剂,这种现象称为高信号急性再灌注标记（HARM）。10,21

脑出血会造成 PWI 原始图像上血流评估区域含铁血黄素的沉积,干扰了 PWI 成像技术的分析。DWI 可由来评估脑组织损伤在出血转化中的作用。DWI 弥散首先在对长溶栓时间窗内的患者,相同定义的胶质细胞外间隙水肿也是如此。

结论

血脑屏障的损伤还涉及多种因素。我们的研究发现,血脑屏障因素只占 95% 的血脑屏障损伤在现实中的应用。但是,因该技术可能随着时间发生改变。利用 MRI 排除为疑似卒中病例并且进行出血分级可能会造成脑出血比例相对增加。相反地,利用 MRI 来排除 tPA 治疗前的脑出血。

表 1. 患者的人口学和临床特征

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>性别,女性</th>
<th>嗜烟</th>
<th>高脂血症</th>
<th>冠心病</th>
<th>糖尿病</th>
<th>高血压</th>
<th>年龄</th>
<th>基线 NIHSS 评分</th>
<th>平均渗透率紊乱, 漏出率%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 (17%)</td>
<td>15 (32%)</td>
<td>19 (47%)</td>
<td>8 (17%)</td>
<td>6 (13%)</td>
<td>30 (64%)</td>
<td>31 (66%)</td>
<td>128±30.8</td>
<td>147.2±30.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

图 3. A, 每组平均渗透紊乱率的 95% 可信区间。B, 每组平均渗透紊乱率的箱线图。C, 每组平均渗透紊乱率的柱状图。D, 治疗后 GRE 显示脑出血。E, 治疗前磁共振弥散加权成像。F, 治疗前 T1 加权的增强显像。