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The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) was enacted on March 23, 2010, and has important implications for stroke care. The ACA is a comprehensive reform, although the signature component is the expansion of health insurance primarily by expanding Medicaid eligibility and by providing subsidies for consumers to purchase private insurance in online marketplaces called exchanges. Although many ACA provisions went into effect with its passage or have been phased in during the past several years, the Medicaid expansion and insurance exchanges went into effect more recently in January 2014. In this article, we begin by describing the working-age stroke population. We then discuss the health insurance provisions of the ACA, which largely target the working-age stroke population, and implications for racial/ethnic and geographic disparities. We then focus on how the ACA may affect stroke prevention, treatment, and postacute care (PAC). We conclude by discussing how health system reform under the ACA could affect patients with stroke.

Stroke Among Working-Age Americans
Working-age Americans, those aged 19 to 64 years, are experiencing stable or increasing stroke incidence even as overall stroke incidence is decreasing over time. Although racial and ethnic stroke disparities are present overall, the largest disparities are found among working-age Americans. To provide national estimates of stroke hospitalizations and insurance status among the working-age population, we used data from the Nationwide Inpatient Sample, a nationally representative sample of hospitalizations (for detailed methods, see online-only Data Supplement).

In 2010, ≈230,000 or 37% of all stroke hospitalizations were among patients aged <65 years. Of the working-age stroke hospitalizations, 20% were among patients who had Medicaid and 14% were among uninsured. Disparities in stroke hospitalizations and insurance status, particularly among blacks, are striking. First, hospitalizations among the working age are more frequent in blacks (26.5%) than would be expected on the basis of their population representation in the under 65 population (12.5%; Figure 1). Stroke hospitalizations in working-age blacks (10.1% of all stroke hospitalizations) comprise a greater proportion of all stroke hospitalizations than those aged >65 years (8.3% of all stroke hospitalizations), a striking finding given the increase in stroke risk with advancing age. In addition, racial and ethnic minorities comprise 60% of Medicaid hospitalizations and 54% of the uninsured hospitalizations among the working-age population (Figure 2). Among working-age blacks, 17% of stroke hospitalizations are among uninsured individuals and 27% are among Medicaid recipients. These proportions are similar in Hispanics where 18% of stroke hospitalizations are among uninsured and 30% are among Medicaid recipients. Limitations to the race/ethnic comparisons should be noted given that 4 states or 11% of the hospitalizations do not provide race/ethnic data in the 2010 Nationwide Inpatient Sample and thus were excluded from the race/ethnic analyses.

Using data from the 2012 National Health Interview Survey, similar patterns are seen among community-dwelling stroke survivors in the United States (for detailed methods, see online-only Data Supplement). Of the 3.17 million community-dwelling adult stroke survivors represented in National Health Interview Survey, 1.29 million (41%) are <65 years of age. Sixty-six percent of working-age stroke survivors are non-Hispanic white, 24% are black, and 10% are Hispanic, whereas 16% are uninsured and 26% are Medicaid recipients. Disability is common among working-age stroke survivors. Fifteen percent of working-age stroke survivors need help with activities of daily living (bathing, dressing, eating, getting around inside their home), and 25% need help with instrumental activities of daily living (everyday household chores, doing necessary business, shopping, or getting around for other purposes). The ACA has important implications for all phases of stroke care, given that a significant proportion of working-age patients with stroke are uninsured at the time of their stroke and a significant proportion of stroke survivors remain uninsured.
Insurance status among working-age stroke survivors.

Lack of insurance is associated with decreased access to primary care physicians and among stroke survivors with decreased access to specialists, medications, and rehabilitation compared with those with private insurance. Presumably, in part because of the lack of access to medical care, stroke incidence is higher, treatment is suboptimal, and mortality is increased in the uninsured compared with the insured.

The ACA, by expanding Medicaid and mandating insurance coverage through healthcare exchanges, is reducing the number of uninsured Americans. Medicaid is likely to play an increasingly large role in stroke care. Medicaid is jointly financed by state and federal governments and has historically provided insurance coverage for children and low-income families, pregnant women, and the disabled. A major change implemented under the ACA is that nonelderly Americans without children will now be Medicaid eligible, whereas before the ACA they would not have been in the majority of states.

Under the ACA, working-age adults with incomes <138% of the federal poverty level (currently $16,105 for an individual and $32,913 for a family of 4) will be eligible for Medicaid. The magnitude of the Medicaid expansion will vary by state because the Supreme Court ruling in 2012 gave states the opportunity to decline to participate. As of early 2014, 27 states, including Washington, DC, have opted to expand Medicaid and 24 states (including large states such as Texas and Florida) have chosen not to expand Medicaid. The Medicaid expansion is projected to reach 10.3 million Americans in participating states, and this number is projected to extend to 14 million if all states participate. Furthermore, given the publicity of the ACA, improved Medicaid enrollment strategies, and the individual mandate, it is anticipated that as many as 9 million Americans who were previously eligible for Medicaid but unenrolled will now sign up.

The health insurance exchange provides another pathway to gain insurance coverage. It is estimated that 16 million uninsured Americans will purchase insurance through the health insurance exchange by 2019 and that >80% will receive tax incentives for doing so. In fact, >8 million Americans have already purchased insurance through the exchange. Unlike Medicaid expansion that is optional to the states, every state will have a health insurance exchange for the individual and small group markets. States have the option of running their exchange or ceding control to the federal government. Uninsured Americans who have earnings >100% of the federal poverty level but <400% of the federal poverty level ($46,680 for an individual and $95,400 for a family of 4) will qualify for tax credits to purchase health insurance on the exchange.

When the Supreme Court struck down mandatory Medicaid expansion, an unintended coverage gap was created in states that are not expanding Medicaid. In those states, uninsured Americans with incomes <100% of the federal poverty level will not receive Medicaid and are not eligible for tax credits to purchase insurance on the exchange.

The ACA also addresses insurance coverage of plans offered on the health insurance exchange and to new Medicaid enrollees. The ACA mandates that these insurance plans meet a standard of comprehensive benefits and services defined across the Institute of Medicine’s 10 categories of benefits, termed essential health benefit package. Each state has determined which essential health services within these 10 benefit categories will be obligatory for their state. The 10 essential health benefit categories will also extend to those newly enrolled in Medicaid under the ACA but not those who are eligible for Medicaid under the traditional Medicaid eligibility criteria.

These major expansions of eligibility and coverage of services have the potential to increase the number of people with access to stroke care. However, differences in how these reforms are implemented in each state may exacerbate existing race/ethnic and geographic stroke disparities.

Medicaid Expansion and Racial/Ethnic and Geographic Disparities

Approximately one third of Medicaid-eligible, uninsured adults live in states that will not expand Medicaid, many of whom will fall into the Medicaid coverage gap described above. Decisions to forego Medicaid expansion will likely have an impact on stroke survivors because uninsured working-age stroke survivors are more likely to reside in a non-Medicaid expanding state than in an expanding state. Racial and ethnic minorities are also disproportionally affected by state decisions on Medicaid expansion. For example, 40% of Medicaid-eligible uninsured adult blacks live in states that are not expanding Medicaid (primarily concentrated in Florida, Texas, and Georgia). Given that the most pronounced racial/ethnic disparities in stroke incidence are among those aged...
<65 years, the lack of access to insurance under the ACA in this population raises the possibility of exacerbating pre-existing stroke disparities.

These effects are likely to be particularly pronounced in the stroke belt. The 8 states in the stroke belt (Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee) have higher stroke mortality and a trend toward greater stroke incidence than the remainder of the United States. This region of the country also has high rates of poverty, obesity, and decreased life expectancy compared with other regions of the country. With the exception of Arkansas, the stroke belt states are not expanding their Medicaid programs. Furthermore, the stroke belt states are among the states with the highest demand for neurologists. Thus, to the extent that current geographic stroke disparities are because of limited access to medical care, these disparities may be exacerbated rather than ameliorated by variable Medicaid expansion.

**Insurance and Stroke Prevention**

The early results of the Oregon Health Study provide evidence on the effects of expanding access to insurance. In 2008, Oregon conducted a lottery to expand their Medicaid to a small proportion of uninsured, nondisabled adults similar to the population set to receive Medicaid under the ACA. If selected by the lottery, the person and their family had the opportunity to enroll in Oregon Medicaid. Results from the first 2 years show that obtaining Medicaid coverage increased access to and utilization of medical care, as well as decreased depressive symptoms. However, there were no differences in prevalence, diagnosis, or treatment of stroke risk factors, including blood pressure and cholesterol, and no change in the Framingham risk score among those who did and did not receive Medicaid coverage. The significance of these findings has been hotly debated, with detractors of this study contending that the experiment was underpowered to find clinically meaningful effects and that it was unrealistic to identify effects in this relatively healthy population on such a short time scale. Alternatively, it may be that improving access and increasing utilization of medical care in newly eligible Medicaid populations do not lead to improved cardiovascular risk factor detection and treatment. Prior macro-level data from state policy comparisons of Medicaid expansion have suggested that over longer time scales Medicaid coverage is associated with reduced mortality. So, although Medicaid expansion will almost certainly increase access to and utilization of medical care, its effects on outcomes such as stroke prevention are less certain and merit close attention.

**Disproportionate Share Hospitals and Stroke Treatment**

Disproportionate share hospital (DSH) payments are a subsidy from the federal government to hospitals to offset uncompensated care. DSH payments are intended for safety net hospitals, defined as hospitals that care for a disproportionately large number of uninsured and Medicaid beneficiaries. Two thirds of safety net hospitals are in the south, suggesting they may have an important role in caring for patients with stroke, given geographic disparities in stroke.

The coverage expansion provisions of the ACA will decrease the number of uninsured Americans, resulting in hospitals providing less uncompensated care and thus less need for DSH payments. DSH payment reductions were scheduled to start in October, 2013, both in states that are and are not expanding their Medicaid populations, but payments have been extended to October 2015. Careful attention to DSH payment cuts and their allocations is needed to ensure that access to and quality of stroke care do not decrease because of closure or lack of resources at safety net hospitals, particularly in states not expanding Medicaid. DSH payment cuts may also increase racial/ethnic and geographic disparities, given the states that are not expanding Medicaid.

**Stroke Survivors: Medicaid, Essential Health Benefits, and Postacute Care**

Poststroke rehabilitation/PAC is associated with improved functional outcomes among stroke survivors. Insurance status plays a large role in utilization of PAC. Uninsured stroke survivors are less likely to use institutional PAC (subacute nursing facility or inpatient rehabilitation facility) than stroke survivors with private insurance. Whereas stroke survivors with Medicaid are more likely to use institutional PAC, they disproportionately use the less intense PAC setting, subacute nursing facilities, than those with private insurance. Both Medicaid policy and essential health benefit coverage have the potential to shift this insurance-based discrepancy in utilization of PAC.

Developing disability, defined as the inability to participate in substantial gainful activities for 12 months, increases access to health insurance for many Americans. Disabled stroke survivors can become eligible for insurance as a consequence of their stroke in 1 of 2 ways. First, disabled stroke survivors with low income and assets <$2000 are eligible for supplemental security income, which is a fixed monthly amount. In most states, receipt of supplemental security income automatically qualifies disabled stroke survivors for Medicaid after a disability assessment that occurs within 90 days of the application. Disabled stroke survivors who do not meet these financial criteria are eligible for social security disability insurance (SSDI). SSDI provides partial replacement income based on previous income for working-age-disabled Americans to receive a monthly payment. If approved, stroke survivors receive their first SSDI benefit 5 months after their disability was determined to begin, and 2 years from the start of their SSDI they gain Medicare coverage. Some working-age stroke survivors will be eligible for both Medicaid and Medicare because of their disability and low income/assets. However, a portion of stroke survivors with SSDI will remain uninsured during the 2 years until their Medicare benefits are activated.

The ACA does not change the definition of disability, supplemental security income, or SSDI. However, in states that expand Medicaid, more stroke survivors will be eligible for Medicaid based on income alone and thereby avoid the delay required for disability assessment. The ACA also gives states
the discretion to broaden the use of presumptive eligibility to include all qualifying adults, as well as to allow hospitals to determine Medicaid eligibility based not only on income but also disability during hospital stay. This has the potential to increase the enrollment of eligible stroke survivors into Medicaid based on both income and disability, decrease time to obtain insurance, and subsequently may allow for more options in PAC.

Insurance coverage of PAC is variable. Currently, state Medicaid policies have varied coverage of inpatient rehabilitation facilities ranging from full coverage to need for preapproval to no coverage. Rehabilitative and habilitative services and devices is 1 of the 10 essential health benefits. Given that states can define the specifics of their essential health benefit plan, it is likely that this varied coverage of inpatient rehabilitation facilities will continue in the essential health benefit packages under the ACA. Enrolling stroke survivors into Medicaid, particularly those who are uninsured at the time of their stroke, or into healthcare exchange policies that cover poststroke rehabilitation may result in increased access to PAC and ultimately reduced poststroke disability. This is particularly promising for racial/ethnic minorities because disproportionate access to PAC may be contributing to their increased poststroke disability compared with non-Hispanic Whites.

Beyond Insurance Expansion. Implications for Medicare Enrollees: Bundled Care

In addition to expanding the number of people with health insurance, the ACA seeks to improve healthcare quality and curb costs through health system reform. One possible way to achieve this goal is by supporting the creation of Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs) and episode-based bundled payments that may represent standalone programs or may be incorporated into the traditional fee-for-service Medicare. ACOs are voluntary partnerships between hospitals and physician groups who work together to manage the care of patients across settings. ACOs are reimbursed per person for a set time period of medical care. In 2011, the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation established the Pioneer ACOs, whereas the ACA established the Medicare Shared Savings Program and the Advanced Payment Program. All programs care for traditional fee-for-service Medicare beneficiaries in ACOs and then after achieving quality standards share in the cost savings. In the first year, all 32 Pioneer ACOs met quality measures including improved blood pressure control, and 40% had cost savings. Results are not available for the shared savings program or the advanced payment program.

A complimentary approach to incentivizing high-quality, less fragmented care may be payment by episodes of care where each hospital stay is its own payment bundle. Ultimately, it is likely that a single Medicare payment will cover not just a stroke hospitalization but also PAC and short-term clinical follow-up. Currently, the ACA authorized Bundled Payments for Care Improvement initiative is underway to explore key components of the episode, including the inclusion of PAC and episode time frames. Results will be forthcoming.

Both ACOs and episode-based bundled payments have important implications for stroke, given that stroke is one of Medicare’s top 5 most expensive admissions in part because of the high utilization of PAC among stroke survivors. PAC is of particular interest to Medicare given that it spent $62.1 billion or 11% of the total program on PAC in 2012, and it is the largest driver of geographic variation in Medicare spending. Thus, determining the specifics of ACOs and episode-based bundled payments will be important for stroke survivors. For example, determining whether subacute nursing facilities are part of the stroke survivors ACO or an alternate ACO affects whether the stroke survivors ACO has a vested financial interest in care coordination. In episode-based bundled payment, determining whether to include PAC and the duration of the episode have important consequences. If PAC is not included in the bundled payment episode, it may lead to stroke patient care being shifted from the hospital setting to the PAC setting similar to that seen when Medicare changed to a prospective payment system in the 1980s. Alternatively, if the benefits of inpatient rehabilitation facilities on functional outcomes and long-term costs are not fully realized by the ACO, patients with stroke may be shifted from costly inpatient rehabilitation facilities to less intense and less costly subacute rehabilitation facilities. Conversely, objectively accounting for PAC may align the incentives to optimize transitions of care and post-stroke recovery. Research is needed to determine the content and duration of episodic payment bundles for stroke survivors.

Determining how best to incorporate neurologist and vascular neurologist care into these new health system models presents another challenge. There is currently a projected shortage in the neurology workforce due in combination to the aging US population and the projected increased healthcare utilization as a result of the ACA. The shortage of neurologists extends to vascular neurologists with shortages particularly notable in rural areas and underserved urban communities. Expansion of telemedicine for acute stroke patients may partially address the shortage of vascular neurologists; however, telemedicine has not been commonly applied to other hospital and outpatient stroke care. Possible approaches to improving vascular neurology access include televisits, group medical visits, or expanding the vascular neurology workforce.

Health Reform: The Opportunity

The decline in stroke incidence and stroke mortality during the past decade represents an enormous step forward, but these gains have not fully extended to people of working age or to racial/ethnic minorities. To the extent that lack of insurance and access to medical care contribute to this disparity, the ACA may attenuate these differences particularly in states where Medicaid coverage is fully expanded. By recognizing the opportunities that the ACA holds, the stroke community is in a position to truly reduce stroke incidence and improve stroke care and PAC utilization. To do so, careful attention to the effects of the shifting policy landscape and the specific needs of Americans at risk for stroke and stroke survivors must be considered and brought to the attention of policy makers at the state and federal levels to optimize care.
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Supplemental Material

The Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) was developed as part of the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project and is maintained by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. NIS contains hospitalization data on both patients and hospitals from 45 states using a 20% stratified sample of US community hospitals visits that are sampled to provide national estimates. Stroke visits were identified using ICD-9 CM codes 430.x, 431, 432.x, 433.x1, 434.x1 and 436. Descriptive statistics were used to compare stroke visits by age, race/ethnicity and insurance status taking into account the complex survey weighting. Analyses were performed using Stata 12 (College Station, TX: StataCorp LP).

The National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) is an annual face-to-face survey of on average 85,000 civilian, non-institutionalized persons conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics. NHIS oversamples African Americans and Hispanics. This cross-sectional data was obtained from the Integrated Public Use Microdata Series. Stroke survivors were identified by the question “Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you had a stroke?” All respondents over the age of 18 were included. Descriptive statistics were used to describe stroke survivors by age, race/ethnicity, insurance status and disability status taking into account the complex survey weighting.
