Art of Expertise in Stroke Telemedicine
Imaging and the Collaterome

David S. Liebeskind, MD

Telestroke, or the use of telemedicine in stroke care, has been implemented with a diverse array of technology that has evolved in recent years. The specific technology, such as the STEMO ambulance, has been the main focus of clinical research, whereas the value of neurological expertise in acute stroke has been relatively neglected. The art of vascular neurology cannot be reduced to a simple protocol or checklist, especially given the recognized complexity and heterogeneity of acute stroke. Telestroke thrombolysis, in most cases, is powered solely by the assurance of such expertise for a potentially devastating disorder from a remote location at a moment's notice. Despite the retrospective focus on stroke diagnoses, telestroke experts must be adept in managing other neurological emergencies or disorders and discerning stroke mimics. The changing nature of healthcare delivery and focus on long-term clinical outcomes reinforces the need to provide such expertise at the earliest stages after onset of the incredibly dynamic effects of acute stroke. Current technology now enables vascular neurologists to extend their expertise and help improve stroke patient outcomes around the world at any time. Is a mobile CT ambulance such as STEMO necessary to provide such expertise? The PHANTOM-S investigators astutely note that numerous factors beyond the technology contribute to such expertise.1 The art of stroke telemedicine expertise is rooted in experience and neurological training, not just about an added year in cerebrovascular medicine.5 Similarly, the tertiary/quaternary care value of a comprehensive stroke center or stroke unit emanates from such neurological expertise.

The innovation of mobile CT in the PHANTOM-S study insinuates that imaging is the dominant component, rather than a confirmatory diagnostic tool predicated on the clinical aspects of a case. Noncontrast CT findings in a prehospital stroke study, however, largely serve to corroborate intracranial hemorrhage or depict subtle early ischemic changes.6 Symptom constellation and neurological examination features drive the interpretation of these findings in real-time clinical context, where the majority of noncontrast CT studies are likely unremarkable. Stroke experts have become most proficient and nimble in translating imaging into logical decisions on stroke management. Future telestroke paradigms will likely build on even more sophisticated imaging interpretation for rapid therapeutic decisions. Although the imaging criteria for intravenous thrombolysis are extremely rudimentary, the selection criteria are far more complex for endovascular therapy. After proclamation of positive trial results for thrombectomy, imaging may be necessary to reveal persistent arterial occlusion or substantial tissue at risk on multimodal CT or MRI.7 Telestroke models may, therefore, require synchronous clinical and imaging expertise to direct transfers from a local hospital to interventional center. Delivering such expertise will be important not
only for those patients selected for transfer but also for all other patients with stroke who may require other neurological care.

Deciphering the complexity of critical pathophysiology such as the collaterome during acute stroke remains an art, literally defined as a skill acquired by experience, study, or observation. Mounting data confirm that the status of collateral circulation decisively shapes the time course and outcomes in ischemic stroke.6–11 Neurovascular compensation is also central to hemorrhage evolution in the brain. Infarct growth rate and the pace of hematoma expansion are key measures that differ across subjects because of variable compensation. The collaterome may determine those patients with stroke with either fast or slow lesion evolution in the brain. Currently, we flatten such complexity across all cases and assume that time to treatment is paramount. Time may be a critical measure, but how do we consider the time required to make a difficult decision? The prevailing focus on avoiding time delays in acute stroke has created a disincentive to intervene whether complex decisions have taken longer, even under expert eyes. We need to develop quality methods that avoid retrospective assumption of final diagnoses and that the only variable that matters is time to treatment. In sum, the science of decision making in stroke ignores case complexity and the value of expertise. This precedent is particularly important for stroke telemedicine as we are far from establishing proven selection algorithms for endovascular therapy, despite the enthusiasm engendered by recent news of positive interventional trials.

The PHANTOM-S study raises several important considerations for the art of expertise in stroke telemedicine. Stroke experts must use successive advances in technology, embrace imaging to refine clinical diagnoses, and understand the extensive influence of the collaterome. Other misconceptions should be unraveled because stroke is not so simple to obviate expertise and technology is useless without proper implementation.
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