White Matter Microstructure Improves Stroke Risk Prediction in the General Population
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Background and Purpose—The presence of subclinical vascular brain disease, including white matter lesions and lacunar infarcts, substantially increases the risk of clinical stroke. White matter microstructural integrity is considered an earlier, potentially better, marker of the total burden of vascular brain disease. Its association with risk of stroke, a focal event, remains unknown.

Methods—From the population-based Rotterdam Study, 4259 stroke-free participants (mean age: 63.6 years, 55.6% women) underwent brain magnetic resonance imaging, including diffusion magnetic resonance imaging, between 2006 and 2011. All participants were followed up for incident stroke until 2013. Cox proportional hazards models were used to associate markers of the microstructure of normal-appearing white matter with risk of stroke, adjusting for age, sex, white matter lesion volume, lacunar infarcts, and additionally for cardiovascular risk factors. Finally, we assessed the predictive value of white matter microstructural integrity for stroke beyond the Framingham Stroke Risk Profile.

Results—During 18,476 person-years of follow-up, 58 people experienced a stroke. Both lower fractional anisotropy and higher MD increased risk of stroke, independent of age, sex, cardiovascular risk factors, white matter lesion volume, and lacunar infarcts (hazard ratio per SD increase in: fractional anisotropy: 0.75 [95% confidence interval, 0.57–0.98] and MD: 1.50 [95% confidence interval, 1.08–2.09]). MD improved stroke prediction beyond the Framingham Stroke Risk Profile (continuous net reclassification improvement: 0.52 [95% confidence interval, 0.24–0.81]).

Conclusions—Future stroke is predicted not only by prevalent vascular lesions but also by subtle alterations in the microstructure of normal-appearing white matter. Inclusion of this effect in risk prediction models produces a significant advantage in stroke prediction compared with the existing Framingham Stroke Risk Profile. (Stroke. 2016;47:2756-2762. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.014651.)
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Stroke is the second leading cause of death worldwide and a major cause of severely impaired quality of life.1,2 During the past decade, increasing evidence has shown that the presence of markers of subclinical small vessel disease (SVD), including white matter lesions and lacunar infarcts, greatly increases the risk of subsequent stroke.3–6 Yet, it is important to consider that white matter lesions and lacunar infarcts already represent a relatively advanced state of subclinical vascular brain disease. Consequently, there has been an increasing emphasis on the identification of markers that represent even earlier stages of vascular brain disease. In this respect, white matter microstructure, as assessed with diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), has received increasing interest. Changes in white matter microstructural integrity of normal-appearing white matter (NAWM) have been shown to precede irreversible white matter lesions7,8 and to be associated with the presence of lacunar infarcts.9 However, similar changes also occur in normal aging and a range of other neurological conditions, so are not specific for subclinical vascular disease. Therefore, it is unclear whether more extensive microstructural evaluation would have any role in the prediction of stroke. We hypothesize that white matter microstructural changes are associated with...
risk of stroke and can improve prediction models. If found, this is of great interest because it suggests that a diffuse alteration in white matter can predict the risk of a focal clinical event.

We, therefore, investigated, in the population-based setting of the Rotterdam Study, the association between markers of white matter microstructural integrity and the risk of stroke. In addition, we studied the predictive value of these markers for stroke beyond the Framingham Stroke Risk Profile.

Methods

Setting

The Rotterdam Study is a prospective population-based cohort that started in 1990 and includes 14926 participants, aged ≥45 years and living in Ommoord, a suburb of Rotterdam. At study entry and at each follow-up visit (every 3–4 years), all study participants undergo extensive examinations at the dedicated research center. From 2005, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain has been added to the core study protocol (the Rotterdam Scan Study). The Rotterdam Study has been approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Erasmus MC and by the Ministry of Health, Welfare, and Sport of the Netherlands, implementing the Wet Bevolkingsonderzoek: ERGO (Population Studies Act: Rotterdam Study). All participants provided written informed consent to participate in the study and to obtain information from their treating physicians.

MRI Acquisition and Automated Brain Tissue Classification

All imaging was performed on a 1.5 tesla MRI scanner with an 8-channel head coil (GE Signa Excite, General Electric Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) and included a T1-weighted (T1w), proton density-weighted, and fluid attenuation inversion recovery sequence that were mild ghost artifact, which was covaried in the statistical analyses. Swapped phase and frequency-encoding direction. This resulted in a radial diffusivity.16

NAWM: fractional anisotropy (FA), MD (MD), axial diffusivity, and measures characterizing white matter microstructural integrity in brain tissue classification. All imaging was performed on a 1.5 tesla MRI scanner with an 8-channel head coil (GE Signa Excite, General Electric Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) and included a T1-weighted (T1w), proton density-weighted, and fluid attenuation inversion recovery sequence that were used for tissue segmentation. Details of the scan parameters have been described previously.11

Using an automated processing algorithm based on a k nearest neighbor classifier for tissue segmentation on the T1w and proton density-weighted scans complemented with fluid attenuation inversion recovery intensity–based white matter lesion detection, images were segmented into gray matter, cerebrospinal fluid, NAWM, and white matter lesions.12 Intracranial volume was defined as the sum of all brain tissue classes and cerebrospinal fluid.14 All segmentations were visually inspected and corrected manually when needed.15

DTI Data Acquisition and Processing

A DTI sequence was also included in the scan protocol. This sequence was used for the computation of diffusion metrics that describe macrostructural white matter tissue organization. We used an echo planar imaging readout with gradients (b=1000 s/mm²) applied in 25 directions. The number of frequency-encoding points was set to 64, with an imaging matrix of 64x64 and a voxel size of 3.3x2.3x3.5 mm. A technical issue that occurred between February 2007 and May 2008 caused 1277 of the included participants to be scanned with swapped phase and frequency-encoding direction. This resulted in a mild ghost artifact, which was covaried in the statistical analyses.

Data were processed using a standardized pipeline.13 Preprocessing included correction for eddy currents and head motion, scalar stripping, and tensor model fitting using nonlinear Levenberg–Marquardt estimator. DTI scans were aligned with other MRI imaging data using boundary-based registration, available in the FSL toolbox.14 Tissue segmentation results for white matter lesion and NAWM were combined with diffusion maps allowing global white matter measures of microstructural integrity measures to be created for both tissue classes. For the current study, we used the following diffusion measures characterizing white matter microstructural integrity in NAWM: fractional anisotropy (FA), MD (MD), axial diffusivity, and radial diffusivity.16

Infarct Rating

Scans were visually inspected by trained research physicians for the presence of lacunar infarcts. These were defined as focal hyperintensities on T2-weighted images, ≥3 and <15 mm in size, encompassing the same characteristics as cerebrospinal fluid on all sequences, the presence of a hyperintense rim on the fluid attenuation inversion recovery sequence when located supratentorially, and no involvement of cortical gray matter.

Assessment of Incident Stroke

Stroke was defined according to the WHO criteria as a syndrome of rapidly developing signs of focal or global disturbance of cerebral function, lasting >24 hours or leading to death with no apparent cause other than that of vascular origin. At baseline, we assessed prevalent stroke by interview and verified these through medical records. Participants were monitored for incident stroke through ongoing automated linkage of general practitioner files with the study database.11 Additionally, those who moved out of the district or into nursing homes had medical files checked regularly for potential events. We collected hospital discharge forms and general practitioner information from potential stroke cases, which were reviewed by research physicians and an experienced neuroradiologist.18 Strokes were classified as ischemic or hemorrhagic on the basis of neuroimaging reports. If no neuroimaging was performed, a stroke was classified as unspecified. Follow-up for stroke was completed January 1, 2013 (mean: 4.33 years).

Cardiovascular Risk Factors

Information on cardiovascular risk factors and medication use was gathered through interviews and physical examinations. Blood pressure (mm Hg) was measured twice with a random-zero sphygmomanometer at the right arm. The average of the 2 measurements was used in the analyses. Hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg, or the use of blood pressure–lowering medication. Serum total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and glucose levels were measured via an automated enzymatic procedure (Boehringer Mannheim system). Hypercholesterolemia was defined as serum total cholesterol levels ≥2.2 mmol/L or the use of lipid-lowering medication.19 Diabetes mellitus was defined as a fasting glucose level of ≥7 mmol/L, or if unavailable, nonfasting glucose level of 11.1 mmol/L, or the use of antidiabetic medication. Smoking was categorized as current/former or never. Body mass index was calculated as weight (kg)/height² (m), and obesity defined as a body mass index ≥30. Left ventricular hypertrophy, as measured by ultrasound, was defined as present or absent via adjusted Sokolow–Lyco voltage-duration product criteria of >322.4 uVs in women and >367.4 uVs in men.20 Prevalent coronary heart disease (defined as myocardial infarction or revascularization procedure) and atrial fibrillation at time of MRI were assessed using established standardized definitions.21

The mean time interval between data collection of cardiovascular risk factors and the MRI scan was 0.82 years (SD: 1.62) between 0 and 6.7 years.

Population for Analysis

A total of 4493 participants underwent MRI of the brain between 2005 and 2012. From these, we excluded 234 participants for further analyses because of prevalent stroke (n=97) or unusable scan data (n=137), leaving 4259 people for the current analyses.

Data Analysis

White matter lesion volume was natural log transformed because of its skewed distribution. The white matter diffusion measures were standardized and expressed as Z scores. Missing cardiovascular risk factors ranged from 0.4% (both diastolic and systolic blood pressure) to 3.8% (atrial fibrillation). Missing data for cardiovascular risk factors were imputed using
multiple imputation (n=5) based on participants’ other baseline factors. Pooled data of the imputed iterations were used for analysis.

Cox Proportional Models
We used Cox regression models to determine relationships of white matter diffusion measures with stroke. Although already known from previous literature, we also verified the association of white matter lesion volume and lacunar infarcts with risk of stroke. MRI markers were modeled continuously and in tertiles. Two models were fitted with incrementally increasing adjustments: in model 1, we adjusted for age, sex, NAWM volume, intracranial volume, and—where applicable—swapped phase-encoding direction, transformed white matter lesion volume, and lacunar infarcts. In model 2, we additionally adjusted for cardiovascular risk factors (use of blood pressure–lowering medication, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, use of lipid-lowering medication, diabetes mellitus, smoking, use of antithrombotic medication, body mass index, left ventricular hypertrophy, and atrial fibrillation). The distinction between models 1 and 2 has been made to avoid possible overadjustment because of cardiovascular risk factors arguably being either confounders or part of the causal pathway.

In secondary analyses, we: (1) reanalyzed all associations while adjusting for the time interval between data collection of cardiovascular risk factors and the MRI scan; (2)studied ischemic strokes separately; and (3) explored possible effect modification by stratifying for the presence of individual cardiovascular risk factors. Additionally, to verify the robustness of the models given the relatively small number of incident cases, we created a propensity score using the cardiovascular risk factors added in model 2.

Stroke Risk Prediction
For MRI markers that were associated with stroke risk, we assessed their predictive value for stroke during a 5-year period. As reference model to compare the results to, we used the Framingham Stroke Risk Profile. This model includes age, sex, diabetes mellitus, systolic blood pressure, smoking, cardiovascular heart disease, blood pressure–lowering medication, atrial fibrillation, and left ventricular hypertrophy. We examined the discriminative ability (C statistic) of the markers when added to this model. We assessed calibration by comparing the goodness-of-fit of the observed and expected number of events within estimated decile groups. Next, we studied the improvement in model performance. Given that there are no established risk categories for stroke, we calculated continuous net reclassification (cNRI), event and nonevent net reclassification, and the relative integrated discrimination improvement (ie, the ratio of the absolute difference in discrimination slopes of the 2 models over the discrimination slope of the reference model). Although difficult to interpret directly into clinical benefit, NRI for event and nonevent classification describes the percentage reclassified, correctly versus incorrectly, into higher and lower risk scores in the respective groups.

Results
Baseline characteristics of the study population (n=4259) are shown in Table 1. Mean age (SD) was 63.6 (11.0) years, and 55.6% were women. The incidence rate of stroke was 3.13 cases per 1000 person-years. During 18,477 person-years of follow-up (mean follow-up [SD], 4.33 (1.43) years), 58 people (1.4%) experienced a stroke, of which 47 were ischemic and 7 hemorrhagic; 4 strokes remained unspecified.

White Matter Diffusion Measures and Risk of Stroke
We found that white matter diffusion measures were associated with a higher risk of stroke, independent of NAWM volume, intracranial volume, white matter lesion volume, the presence of lacunar infarcts, and cardiovascular risk factors. Specifically, lower FA and higher MD were associated with a higher risk of stroke (hazard ratio per 1-SD increase in FA: 0.75 (95% confidence interval [CI]; 0.57–0.98) and hazard ratio per 1-SD increase in MD: 1.50 (95% CI, 1.08–2.09; Table 2). Figure 1 shows the cumulative incidence curves of stroke across tertiles of white matter diffusion measures. No evidence of collinearity was observed within the variables (variance inflation factors <4). We also confirmed that larger volume of white matter lesions and presence of lacunar infarcts increased the risk of stroke (Table I in the online-only Data Supplement).

Figure 2 shows the risk of stroke across tertiles of white matter lesion volume and MD. Individuals in the worst tertile of white matter lesion and of MD had a hazard ratio of 10.57 (95% CI, 2.66–42.05) compared with those in the best tertile of both markers (Table II in the online-only Data Supplement).

For ischemic strokes (n=47), the associations of white matter lesion volume and lacunar infarcts with stroke risk were stronger, whereas those with white matter diffusion measures attenuated slightly (Tables III and IV in the online-only Data Supplement).

Reanalyzing all associations while adjusting for the time interval between MRI and cardiovascular risk factor assessment did not influence any of the results (data not shown). We also did not find notable effect modification of the associations by any individual cardiovascular risk factor (FA and MD shown in Figure I in the online-only Data Supplement). Furthermore, when reanalyzing model 2 with a propensity score for the cardiovascular risk factors, we found similar...
associations (hazard ratio [95% CI] for FA, 0.72 (0.55–0.94) and for MD 1.58 (1.15–2.18).

Risk Prediction for Stroke

Addition of MD to the reference prediction model (ie, the Framingham Stroke Risk Profile) resulted in an 0.03 increase in C statistic (95% CI) from 0.78 (0.72–0.85) to 0.81 (0.76–0.87; Table 3). We did not find evidence for miscalibration in this model. The addition of white matter lesions and lacunar infarcts to the reference model improved the C statistic with 0.04 (from 0.78–0.82), but there was evidence for miscalibration. As shown in Figure II in the online-only Data Supplement, this model demonstrated good fit for the lower to medium risk profiles, yet risk was overestimated in the higher risk ranges. Importantly, addition of MD to the reference model resulted in better reclassification than addition of white matter lesions and lacunar infarcts: cNRI of 0.52 (95% CI, 0.24–0.81), with 19% correct reclassification of events versus cNRI of 0.33 (95% CI, −0.07 to 0.61), with 11% of correct reclassification of events.

Adding MD to a model including the Framingham Stroke Risk Profile and white matter lesion volume and lacunar infarcts further improved the model. The C statistic of this model was 0.82, with a cNRI of 0.23 (95% CI, 0.04–0.51), with 6% correct reclassification of events. We noted, however, that the miscalibration introduced by white matter lesions and lacunar infarcts was not eliminated by adding MD (Figure II in the online-only Data Supplement).

Discussion

In a large sample of community-dwelling middle-aged and older adults, we found that measures of white matter microstructural integrity were related to an increased risk of stroke, independent of cardiovascular risk factors and visible lesions (white matter lesions and lacunar infarcts). Moreover, we found that the addition of MD to the Framingham Stroke Risk Profile improved stroke risk prediction.

Strengths of our study include the large sample size, its population-based setting, longitudinal design, and rigorous stroke follow-up procedures that resulted in virtually complete follow-up.

Table 2. The Association Between White Matter Diffusion Measures and the Risk of Stroke

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk of Stroke</th>
<th>Model 1, HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model 2, HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per 1-SD increase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractional anisotropy</td>
<td>0.73 (0.56–0.96)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.57–0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>1.62 (1.17–2.23)</td>
<td>1.50 (1.08–2.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial diffusivity</td>
<td>1.35 (1.10–1.66)</td>
<td>1.30 (1.04–1.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial diffusivity</td>
<td>1.56 (1.15–2.12)</td>
<td>1.46 (1.08–1.99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent hazard ratios with 95% CIs per SD increase in the different white matter diffusion measures. Model 1: adjusted for age, sex, intracranial volume, normal-appearing white matter volume, white matter lesion volume, lacunar infarcts, and swapped phase and frequency-encoding direction. Model 2: model 1 additionally adjusted for blood pressure–lowering medication, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, lipid-lowering medication, smoking, antithrombotic medication, body mass index, atrial fibrillation, and left ventricular hypertrophy. CI indicates confidence interval; and HR, hazard ratio.

Figure 1. Cumulative incidence in 3 categories of fractional anisotropy (A) and MD (B). Measures were automatically split into tertiles using rank cases through analysis software. The boundaries were as follows: Fractional anisotropy: low (short dashed line), 0.25 to 0.33; middle (long dashed line), 0.33 to 0.34; and high (solid line), 0.34 to 0.38. MD (10−3 mm2/s): low (short dashed line), 0.66 to 0.72; middle (long dashed line), 0.72 to 0.75; and high (solid line),
follow-up (98.4%). In addition, the neuroimaging approach provided measurements specific to classes of tissue, including the NAWM, thus extending the analysis beyond visually evident white matter pathology. We also assessed white matter lesions and microstructure quantitatively on a continuous scale. These are considered more accurate, objective, and reproducible measures and are more sensitive to small changes than categorical grading systems in previous studies.

Several potential limitations of our study should also be mentioned. First, there was a variable time interval between risk factor attainment and MRI, but adjustment for this did not affect the results. Additionally, although a higher field strength would be more sensitive, the use of a fixed protocol and scanner was necessary in this situation. Our sample source, a homogenous, middle-class population, largely of white descent, may restrict generalization. The number of cases is small compared with the large number of predictors included in our models; therefore, some overfitting may have arisen. Furthermore, the calibration of all the models display an excellent fit in low- and middle-risk ranges; however, some misfit is seen in the high ranges. Additionally, despite experienced research physicians evaluating magnetic resonance images, misclassification of infarcts may have occurred. For example, enlarged Virchow-Robin spaces may have been misclassified as lacunar infarcts.

An important finding of the current study is that markers of white matter microstructural integrity improve 5-year prediction of stroke beyond the Framingham Stroke Risk Profile, even with the addition of white matter lesions and lacunes. This suggests that measures of microstructure not only distinguish stroke risk at a group level but also aid in identifying individuals at increased stroke risk. We note that the improvement may seem small based on the C statistic (0.03) but that the cNRI was high (52%). This suggests that

### Table 3. Improvement in Stroke Prediction With the Addition of MD (Standardized), White Matter Lesion Volume (Natural Log), and Lacunar Infarcts to Prediction Models for First-Ever Stroke

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Description</th>
<th>Discrimination</th>
<th>cNRI</th>
<th>Events, %</th>
<th>Nonevents, %</th>
<th>rIDI (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Framingham Stroke Risk Profile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Statistic (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.72–0.85)</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ MD</td>
<td>0.81 (0.76–0.87)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.24–0.81)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.53 (0.87–2.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ White matter lesions and lacunar infarcts</td>
<td>0.82 (0.77–0.87)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.07–0.61)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.47 (0.14–0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ MD, white matter lesions, and lacunar infarcts</td>
<td>0.82 (0.77–0.88)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.06–0.62)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.77 (0.32–1.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framingham Stroke Risk Profile, white matter lesion, and lacunar infarcts</td>
<td>0.82 (0.77–0.87)</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ MD</td>
<td>0.82 (0.77–0.88)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.04–0.51)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.22 (0.02–0.45)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Models are based on 5-y risk of stroke. Models are based on the cardiovascular risk factors included in Framingham Stroke Risk Model (age, sex, diabetes mellitus, systolic blood pressure, smoking, coronary heart disease, blood pressure–lowering medication, atrial fibrillation, and left ventricular hypertrophy). CI indicates confidence interval; cNRI, continuous net reclassification improvement; and rIDI, relative integrated discrimination improvement.
the proportion of people who would be correctly reclassified into their appropriate category is large. In recent years, characterization of white matter microstructure has emerged as a novel marker for white matter damage especially SVD. Importantly, it has been shown that SVD is already detectable with DTI, before manifesting as white matter lesions on conventional imaging. Extending this further, recent findings have indeed shown that microstructural integrity is susceptible to the same vascular risk factors as white matter lesions, that is, hypertension and smoking. Taken together, our findings underscore the hypothesis that the true burden of SVD is not fully captured by conventional imaging (white matter lesions and lacunes), thereby emphasizing the importance of advanced MRI protocols, such as DTI. Two findings warrant additional discussion. First, in our study, adjusting for vascular risk factors did not change the results. This suggests that other processes may also be linked to loss of microstructural integrity. These may include inflammatory, metabolic, or genetic processes. Second, we found stronger effects of MD than FA. Although speculative, it has been suggested that FA is more sensitive to tract integrity and architecture. In contrast, MD is suggested to be more sensitive to extracellular fluid, which is directly linked to myelin and axonal damage. Unfortunately, the complex relationship between white matter and diffusion tensor measurements means that observed differences cannot directly be attributed to particular changes in tissue architecture. Interestingly, loss of white matter microstructural integrity has also been studied within the realm of the disconnectivity hypothesis important in Alzheimer disease. Here too, SVD may be the underlying pathological substrate. SVD can result in damage to myelin sheath in the white matter, in turn leading to perturbation of brain networks important for information transfer and processing. Indeed, SVD is considered the means that observed differences cannot directly be attributed to particular changes in tissue architecture. 

In conclusion, we found that a reduction of white matter microstructure and stroke risk is yet unclear. Nevertheless, these findings highlight the importance of advanced MRI protocols in understanding the true burden of vascular brain damage.
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Supplementary Table I. The association between white matter lesion volume, lacunar infarcts, and the risk of stroke.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk of stroke</th>
<th>Model I HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model II HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White matter lesion volume*</td>
<td>1.78 (1.29-2.47)</td>
<td>1.76 (1.26-2.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacunar infarcts</td>
<td>2.16 (1.13-4.20)</td>
<td>1.97 (0.99-3.92)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI: confidence interval, HR: hazard ratio.

Values represent hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals per one unit increase in log-transformed white matter lesion volume and for Yes vs. No presence of lacunar infarcts.

*Natural log transformed because of skewed distribution.

Model I: Adjusted for age, sex, intracranial volume, (and normal appearing white matter volume or lacunar infarcts where applicable).

Model II: As model I, and additionally adjusted for use of blood pressure lowering medication, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, use of lipid lowering medication, smoking, use of antithrombotic medication, BMI, atrial fibrillation, and left ventricular hypertrophy.
**Supplementary Table II.** Hazard ratios of White matter lesions volume/Mean diffusivity groupings and stroke risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>White matter lesion volume</th>
<th>Mean diffusivity</th>
<th>Cases/n</th>
<th>Model I</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Model II</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>3/879</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td>mid</td>
<td>2/468</td>
<td>1.20 (0.20-7.21)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.15 (0.21-6.16)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>3/99</td>
<td>8.17 (1.57-42.48)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>8.54 (1.63-44.79)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>2/449</td>
<td>1.47 (0.24-8.82)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.46 (0.25-8.72)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
<td>mid</td>
<td>6/593</td>
<td>3.29 (0.81-13.38)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.34 (0.82-13.66)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>5/382</td>
<td>4.14 (0.91-18.86)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>3.93 (0.86-17.96)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>2/104</td>
<td>6.65 (1.09-40.55)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>5.90 (2.34-14.87)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>mid</td>
<td>2/359</td>
<td>1.81 (0.29-11.21)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.63 (0.26-10.28)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>33/868</td>
<td>12.03 (3.08-47.04)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>10.57 (2.66-42.05)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI: confidence interval, HR: hazard ratio.

Model I: Adjusted for age, sex, intracranial volume, normal appearing white matter volume, lacunar infarcts, and swapped phase and frequency encoding direction.

Model II: additionally adjusted for use of blood pressure lowering medication, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, use of lipid lowering medication, smoking, use of antithrombotic medication, BMI, atrial fibrillation, and left ventricular hypertrophy.
**Supplementary Table III.** The association between white matter lesion volume, lacunar infarcts, and the risk of ischemic stroke.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk of stroke</th>
<th>Model I HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model II HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White matter lesion volume*</td>
<td>1.84 (1.29-2.63)</td>
<td>1.82 (1.26-2.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacunar infarcts</td>
<td>2.49 (1.23-5.05)</td>
<td>2.27 (1.09-4.73)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI: confidence interval, HR: hazard ratio

Values represent hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals per one unit increase in log-transformed white matter lesion volume and for Yes vs. No presence of lacunar infarcts.

*Natural log transformed because of skewed distribution.

Model I: Adjusted for age, sex, intracranial volume and normal appearing white matter volume, and lacunar infarcts.

Model II: As model I, and additionally adjusted for use of blood pressure lowering medication, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, use of lipid lowering medication, smoking, use of antithrombotic medication, BMI, atrial fibrillation, and left ventricular hypertrophy.
**Supplementary Table IV.** The association between white matter diffusion measures and the risk of ischemic stroke.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Per 1-SD increase in:</th>
<th>Model I HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model II HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fractional anisotropy</td>
<td>0.76 (0.57-1.03)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.58-1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean diffusivity</td>
<td>1.46 (1.02-2.11)</td>
<td>1.36 (0.94-1.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial diffusivity</td>
<td>1.31 (0.98-1.76)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.89-1.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial diffusivity</td>
<td>1.43 (1.02-2.01)</td>
<td>1.34 (0.96-1.89)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI: confidence interval, HR: hazard ratio, SD: standard deviation

Values represent hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals per standard deviation increase in the different white matter diffusion measures.

Model I: Adjusted for age, sex, intracranial volume, normal appearing white matter volume and white matter lesion volume, lacunar infarcts, and swapped phase and frequency encoding direction.

Model II: As model I, and additionally adjusted for use of blood pressure lowering medication, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, use of lipid lowering medication, smoking, use of antithrombotic medication, BMI, atrial fibrillation, and left ventricular hypertrophy.
**Supplementary Figure I.** Relation of fractional anisotrophy and mean diffusivity with stroke in separate groups of participants without and with specific cardiovascular risk factors.

Values represent LN hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals per standard deviation increase in the different measures of white matter microstructure.

Adjusted for age, sex, intracranial volume, normal appearing white matter volume and white matter lesion volume (natural log), swapped phase and frequency encoding direction, and cardiovascular risk factors. Interaction only seen in age (p<0.05).
**Supplementary Figure II.** Calibration of models predicated risk against observed risk.

Reference model: Framingham Stroke Risk Profile

Model including reference, white matter lesions and lacunar infarcts

Model including reference, white matter lesions, lacunar infarcts, and mean diffusivity.