

Virtual Reality for Stroke Rehabilitation

Kate E. Laver, BAppSc (OT), MClinRehab, PhD; Belinda Lange, BSc, BPhysio, PhD;
Stacey George, BAppSc (OT), MHSc (OT), PhD;
Judith E. Deutsch, BA, MS (PT), PhD, FAPTA; Gustavo Saposnik, MD, FRCPC, PhD, MSc;
Maria Crotty, BA, BMed, MPH, PhD, FAFRM

The use of virtual reality programs specifically designed for stroke rehabilitation is increasing as is the use of commercial video game devices in clinical settings. This review is an update of our review published first in 2011 and then in 2015.¹

Objectives

The primary objective of this review was to examine the efficacy of virtual reality compared with an alternative intervention or no intervention on upper limb function and activity. Our secondary objective was to examine the efficacy on gait and balance, global motor function, cognitive function, activity limitation, participation restriction, quality of life, and adverse events.

Methods

We searched the Cochrane Stroke Group Trials Register (April 2017), CENTRAL, MEDLINE, Embase, and 7 additional databases as well as trials registries. We included randomized and quasi-randomized trials of virtual reality in adults after stroke. The primary outcome of interest was upper limb function and activity. Two review authors independently selected trials, extracted data, and assessed risk of bias with input from a third author to moderate disagreements when required.

Main Results

A total of 72 trials (with 2470 participants) were included in the review. This review includes 35 new studies in addition to the studies included in the previous version of this review (published in 2015). Most studies involved small sample sizes and interventions varied in terms of both the goals of treatment and the virtual reality program or device used. Although there are a relatively large number of randomized controlled trials, the evidence remains mostly low quality when rated using the GRADE system because of the risk of bias in the studies and inconsistent findings between studies. Control groups in the included studies usually received either no therapy or conventional therapy which was provided by an occupational therapist or physiotherapist. Primary outcome: when virtual reality was compared with the same dose

of conventional therapy the results were not statistically significant for upper limb function (standardized mean difference, 0.07; 95% confidence interval, -0.05–0.20; 22 studies, 1038 participants, low-quality evidence). However, when virtual reality was used to supplement usual care (thereby providing participants in the intervention group with a higher dose of therapy), there was a statistically significant difference between groups (standardized mean difference, 0.49; 95% confidence interval, 0.21–0.77, 10 studies, 210 participants, low-quality evidence). Secondary outcomes: when compared with conventional therapy approaches there were no statistically significant effects for gait speed or balance. Results were statistically significant for the activities of daily living outcome (standardized mean difference, 0.25; 95% confidence interval, 0.06–0.43; 10 studies, 466 participants, moderate-quality evidence); however, we were unable to pool results for cognitive function, participation restriction, or quality of life. There were few adverse events experienced in the 23 studies which reported on this and adverse events were relatively mild. There was a trend suggesting that customized virtual reality programs were preferable to commercial game products, however, these findings were not statistically significant (Figure).

Implications for Practice

We found that virtual reality therapy may not be more effective than conventional therapy for upper limb outcomes, but there is low-quality evidence that virtual reality may be used to improve outcomes in the absence of other therapy interventions after stroke. Clinicians who currently have access to virtual reality programs should be reassured that their use as part of a comprehensive rehabilitation program seems reasonable, taking into account the patient's goals, abilities, and preferences.

Sources of Funding

Dr Laver is supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council-Australian Research Council fellowship. Dr Saposnik

Received December 13, 2017; final revision received December 13, 2017; accepted December 21, 2017.

From the College of Medicine and Public Health (K.E.L., M.C.), College of Nursing and Health Sciences (B.L., S.G.), Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia; Department of Rehabilitation and Movement Science, Rutgers University, Newark, NJ (J.E.D.); and Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, ON, Canada (G.S.).

This paper is based on a Cochrane Review published in *The Cochrane Library* 2017, Issue 11 (see www.thecochranelibrary.com for information). Cochrane Reviews are regularly updated as new evidence emerges and in response to feedback, and The Cochrane Library should be consulted for the most recent version of the review.

Correspondence to Kate E. Laver, BAppSc (OT), MClinRehab, PhD, Department of Rehabilitation Aged and Extended Care, Flinders University, GPO Box 2100, Adelaide 5001, Australia. E-mail kate.laver@flinders.edu.au.

(*Stroke*. 2018;49:e160-e161. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.020275.)

© 2018 American Heart Association, Inc.

Stroke is available at <http://stroke.ahajournals.org>

DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.020275




Figure. Virtual reality versus conventional therapy: upper limb function: subgroup analyses, specialized, or gaming program. CI indicates confidence interval.

is supported by the 2017 to 2021 Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada Career Award following an open and peer-reviewed competition. He also served as the Topic Editor for the Emerging Therapies Section (Stroke Journal).

Disclosures

None.

Reference

1. Laver KE, Lange B, George S, Deutsch JE, Saposnik G, Crotty M. Virtual reality for stroke rehabilitation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;11:CD008349. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008349.pub4.

KEY WORDS: activities of daily living ■ rehabilitation ■ stroke ■ video games ■ virtual reality

Virtual Reality for Stroke Rehabilitation

Kate E. Laver, Belinda Lange, Stacey George, Judith E. Deutsch, Gustavo Saposnik and Maria Crotty

Stroke. 2018;49:e160-e161; originally published online March 2, 2018;
doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.020275

Stroke is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2018 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 0039-2499. Online ISSN: 1524-4628

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the
World Wide Web at:

<http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/49/4/e160>

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Stroke* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to *Stroke* is online at:
<http://stroke.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>