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Background and Purpose—Little is known about the role of microinfarcts in dementia and cognition. We examined microinfarcts and dementia, global cognition, and 5 cognitive systems in community-dwelling older persons.

Methods—Four hundred twenty-five subjects enrolled in the Religious Orders Study underwent annual clinical evaluations, including 19 neuropsychological tests and assessment for dementia, and brain autopsy (39% men; mean age at death, 87; Mini-Mental State Examination score, 21). Neuropathologic examination documented the presence, number, and location of chronic microinfarcts on 6-μm hematoxylin–eosin-stained sections from cortical and subcortical regions. Multiple regression analyses adjusted for age at death, sex, education, macroscopic infarcts, Alzheimer disease pathology, and Lewy bodies.

Results—Microinfarcts were present in 129 of 425 (30%) persons (54 cortical, 80 subcortical, 49 multiple); 58 of 129 (45%) of persons with microinfarcts did not exhibit macroscopic infarcts. Persons with microinfarcts had increased odds of dementia (OR, 1.77; 95% CI, 1.07–2.92), especially those persons with multiple cortical microinfarcts. Microinfarcts were also associated with lower average global cognition (estimate, −0.287; SE, 0.113; P=0.012), particularly for persons with multiple cortical microinfarcts. Microinfarcts were specifically associated with lower episodic memory (estimate, −0.279; SE, 0.138; P=0.044), semantic memory (estimate, −0.391; SE, 0.130; P=0.003), and perceptual speed (estimate, −0.400; SE, 0.117; P<0.001). In addition, single, multiple, and cortical microinfarcts were associated with worse semantic memory and perceptual speed (all P<0.028). Neither macroscopic infarcts nor AD pathology modified these associations (all P>0.154).

Conclusions—Microinfarcts are common, and persons with multiple cortical microinfarcts have higher odds of dementia. Microinfarcts are also associated with lower cognition, specifically perceptual speed and semantic and episodic memory.

(Stroke. 2011;42:00-00.)
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Brain infarcts are common in older persons and are increasingly recognized with improved medical technology. Although sophisticated neuroimaging is contributing to better identification of small infarcts,1 microinfarcts are, by definition, not visible to the naked eye and are detected only on histological examination. Microinfarcts have been associated with macroscopic infarcts2 and commonly coexist with Alzheimer disease (AD) pathology.3 The role of microinfarcts in the context of mixed pathologies (ie, AD pathology, macroscopic infarcts, and Lewy bodies) commonly found in brains of older persons with dementia is not well-understood.

Few clinical–pathological, prospective, community studies examine associations of microinfarcts with other pathologies, cognition, or dementia. Some have found that despite their small size, microinfarcts are associated with dementia or cognition, particularly if multiple and cortical.4–8 It is uncertain if microinfarcts affect specific cognitive systems or modify effects of other age-related pathologies on cognition.9

We used data from >400 autopsied persons enrolled in a prospective clinical–pathological study of aging. We first described characteristics of microinfarcts and relations to other variables. We then examined associations of microinfarcts with dementia and cognition, including global cognition and 5 cognitive systems, in analyses that take into account macroscopic infarcts, AD pathology, Lewy bodies, and other covariates. We also investigated whether macroscopic infarcts or AD pathology modify associations. Additional analyses examine the role of quantity and location of microinfarcts in their relation to dementia and cognition.

Subjects and Methods

Cohort

Older Catholic clergy from >40 groups across the United States enrolled in the Religious Orders Study, a longitudinal clinical–pathological study of aging and dementia (Institutional Review Board-approved).10 Subjects agreed to evaluations and brain donation at time of death.
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Clinical Data
Baseline uniform, structured, clinical evaluations included a medical history, detailed neuropsychological testing, and neurologial examination, following published procedures, as described elsewhere.12 Annual follow-up evaluations, performed blinded to previously collected data, were identical to baseline in all essential details. Data were collected on laptop computers using data entry screens programmed using the Blaise system (Westat).

A standardized battery of neuropsychological tests, administered at each evaluation, assessed a broad range of abilities commonly affected in aging, as previously published.10,11 Data were reviewed by a board-certified neuropsychologist blinded to previously collected data. The Mini-Mental State Examination was administered for descriptive purposes. Nineteen other tests were summarized into composite measures of 5 cognitive systems and an overall score of global cognition (based on all tests). Episodic memory was based on 7 tests, semantic memory was based on 4 tests, working memory was based on 4 tests, perceptual speed was based on 2 tests, and visuospatial ability was based on 2 tests.10 For each summary score, we converted raw scores of individual tests to z scores and then averaged these scores. An advantage of summary measures is that they decrease floor and ceiling artifacts and other sources of measurement error. These measures have been used in studies in this and other cohorts, adding validity to measures.13,14 For this study, we used cognitive data proximate to death in analyses.

Clinicians with experience in dementia reviewed clinical data from that year, blinded to previously collected data, to determine dementia status following published recommendations.15 At time of death, a board-certified neurologist, blinded to pathological data, reviewed all clinical information across study years to render a classification of dementia status proximate to death.

Neuropathologic Data
Brain autopsies were conducted at predetermined sites across the United States, with a mean postmortem interval of 8.3 (SD, 8.0) hours. The cerebellar and cerebral hemispheres were cut coronally into 1-cm slabs. Slabs not designated for freezing were fixed for at least 48 to 72 hours. Neuropathologic evaluations were performed at the Rush University Medical Center, blinded to clinical data, and reviewed by a board-certified neuropathologist, as reported elsewhere.16 A uniform examination included assessment for common vascular and neurodegenerative conditions in aging. Examination for cerebral infarcts documented age (acute/subacute/chronic), size, and location (side and region) of infarcts visible to the naked eye on fixed slabs. All grossly visualized and suspected macroscopic infarcts were dissected for histological confirmation. For analyses, chronic macroscopic infarcts were characterized as present or absent.17 A minimum of 9 regions in 1 hemisphere were examined for microinfarcts on 6-µm paraffin-embedded sections stained with hematoxylin–eosin. We examined 6 cortical regions (mid frontal, middle temporal, entorhinal, hippocampal, inferior parietal, and anterior cingulate cortices), 2 subcortical regions (anterior basal ganglia, thalamus), and mid brain. Locations of microinfarcts were recorded. Because acute and subacute microinfarcts were unlikely to be related to dementia, we only considered chronic microinfarcts for this study. These included cavitated or incomplete infarcts, with few remaining macrophages and fibrillary gliosis. In primary analyses, each case was classified according to whether any chronic microinfarct was present. We created additional variables for secondary analyses. For quantity, we created a predictor with 3 levels: no (reference level) microinfarcts, 1 microinfarct, and multiple microinfarcts. For location, we created 2 variables: cortical (presence of any microinfarcts in any cortical region; reference= no cortical microinfarcts) and subcortical microinfarcts (presence of any microinfarcts in any subcortical region; reference= no subcortical microinfarcts). To investigate quantity and location simultaneously, we created 4 variables: 1 cortical microinfarct and multiple cortical microinfarcts (compared to no cortical microinfarcts), and 1 subcortical microinfarct and multiple subcortical microinfarcts (compared to no subcortical microinfarcts).

Each brain was examined for pathological markers of other common neurodegenerative conditions associated with dementia. AD pathology was assessed in fixed tissue that was paraffin-embedded, cut into 6-µm sections, and mounted on slides. Using a modified Bielschowsky silver stain, we counted neuritic plaques, diffuse plaques, and neurofibrillary tangles. Counts were scaled separately in each region and averaged across regions to create summary scores of each marker for each subject. For analyses, we created a summary score of global AD pathology by averaging the summary scores of the 3 markers.16 Lewy body pathology was identified in 6-µm sections of cortex and substantia nigra using α-synuclein immunohistochemistry (1:100; Zymed).18 For analyses, Lewy body data were dichotomized as present (if identified in any brain region) vs absent.

Statistical Analysis
Descriptive analyses included crude (unadjusted) associations of microinfarcts with demographic, clinical, and pathological variables. All subsequent analyses adjusted for age at death, sex, education, macroscopic infarcts, AD pathology, and Lewy bodies. To test whether microinfarcts increase odds of dementia, we constructed a multiple logistic regression model with dementia proximate to death as the dichotomous outcome and presence of microinfarcts as the predictor. To test for effect modification, we augmented the model twice: first by adding a term for the interaction of microinfarcts with macroscopic infarcts, and then by adding an interaction term of microinfarcts with AD pathology. Secondary analyses tested whether associations differed by microinfarct quantity or location. For quantity, we replaced the dichotomous predictor with a 3-level factor. For location, we used cortical vs no cortical microinfarcts and subcortical vs no subcortical microinfarcts. In additional analyses, we examined both quantity and location of microinfarcts simultaneously using the 4 terms (as described).

Next, we examined relations of microinfarcts to cognition: first to global cognition, and then to 5 cognitive systems. All multiple linear regression analyses adjusted for covariates. We then examined for effect modification. Secondary analyses examined microinfarct quantity or location.

Analyses were performed with SAS/STAT software 9.2 (SAS Institute) using a Hewlett Packard DL380Gb server with the Red Hat Enterprise Linux5 operating system. Model assumptions were evaluated and judged to be adequately met.19

Results
Subjects
Between January 1994 and January 2010, 1147 persons enrolled and there was a follow-up rate of 94% for survivors (up to 16 years of annual data). Over the course of the study, 511 persons died (of whom 2 withdrew) and 478 underwent a brain autopsy (94% autopsy rate). Analyses were conducted on the first 425 persons with complete neuropathologic data. The interval between the last evaluation and death was 6.5 (SD, 3.8) months. Compared to those without dementia, subjects with dementia were older and had lower Mini-Mental State Examination scores (Table 1).

Microinfarcts were found in 30% (129/425) and were more common in those with dementia (36.5%) than without (25.3%). For persons with microinfarcts, the mean number identified was 1.6 (SD, 0.9). Eighty persons had a single microinfarct and 49 had multiple microinfarcts. Microinfarcts were subcortical but not cortical in 62 subjects, cortical but not subcortical in 36, and both subcortical and cortical in 18. Thirteen persons had brain stem and/or cerebellar microinfarcts without cortical or subcortical lesions. Approximately half of persons with cortical (26/54 or 48%) and, separately, subcortical microinfarcts (39/80 or 49%) had multiple micro-
infarcts. Microinfarcts were not associated with age at death ($P=0.369$) or sex ($P=0.882$), but they were associated with less education ($P=0.027$). Although microinfarcts were related to macroscopic infarcts (OR, 3.19; 95% CI, 2.08–4.91; $P<0.0001$), nearly half of persons with microinfarcts (58/129 or 45%) had microinfarcts without evidence of macroscopic infarcts. Of persons with cortical macroscopic infarcts, 24 of 41 (59%) had microinfarcts. Of those with subcortical macroscopic infarcts, 49 of 108 (45%) had microinfarcts. AD pathology did not differ by presence of microinfarcts ($P=0.918$). Microinfarcts were not related to Lewy bodies (OR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.36–1.09; $P=0.094$).

### Microinfarcts and Dementia

We constructed a logistic regression model with 7 terms, including variables known to be related to dementia and microinfarcts. As shown in Table 2, by controlling for age at death, sex, education, macroscopic infarcts, AD pathology, and Lewy bodies, microinfarcts were associated with a 77% increased odds of dementia (OR, 2.35; 95% CI, 1.15–4.80). For location, the odds of dementia in persons with cortical microinfarcts was 1.25-times (95% CI, 0.71–2.21) that of the odds for persons without cortical microinfarcts; for persons with subcortical microinfarcts, the odds of dementia was 1.63-times (95% CI, 0.997–2.65) that for persons without subcortical microinfarcts, but relationships

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Characteristics* of Subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at death, y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-Mental State Examination score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathological Variable</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Total n=425</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microinfarct present, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, n</td>
<td>1.35 (0.83–2.20)</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1, n</td>
<td>1.89 (1.03–3.47)</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical, n</td>
<td>1.25 (0.71–2.21)</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortical, n</td>
<td>1.63 (0.997–2.65)</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brainstem/cerebellum, n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroscopic infarct present, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD pathology score</td>
<td>2.28 (1.52–3.42)</td>
<td>153 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewy bodies present, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated.
†Crude (unadjusted) OR for dementia and 95% CI.

We examined the influence of quantity and location of microinfarcts. For quantity, only persons with multiple microinfarcts had an increased odds of dementia (OR, 2.35; 95% CI, 1.15–4.80). For location, the odds of dementia in persons with cortical microinfarcts was 1.25-times (95% CI, 0.66–2.51) that of the odds for persons without cortical microinfarcts; for persons with subcortical microinfarcts, the odds of dementia was 1.63-times (95% CI, 0.92–2.89) that for persons without subcortical microinfarcts, but relationships

### Table 2. Odds of Dementia*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neuropathologic Variable</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer disease pathology</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>2.69–5.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroscopic infarcts</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.22–3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microinfarcts</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.07–2.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Simultaneous model adjusting for age at death, sex, education, and Lewy bodies.
did not reach statistical significance. However, in a model with multiple cortical compared to single cortical microinfarcts, and multiple subcortical compared to single subcortical microinfarcts, only multiple cortical microinfarcts were associated with an increased odds of dementia (OR, 4.12; 95% CI, 1.17–14.53).

Microinfarcts and Global Cognition
We examined the relation of microinfarcts to global cognition (Table 3) using linear regression models adjusting for demographic (age at death, sex, and education) and neuropathologic factors (macroscopic infarcts, AD pathology, and Lewy bodies). Persons with microinfarcts averaged approximately one-quarter of a unit lower score on global cognition (estimate = −0.287) compared to those without microinfarcts. Similar to findings for dementia, there was no evidence of interactions of microinfarcts with macroscopic infarcts or AD pathology (Figure 2).

We next investigated quantity and location of microinfarcts. Compared to those with no microinfarcts, persons with multiple microinfarcts had lower cognition (estimate = −0.339; SE, 0.163; P = 0.038) and there was a trend for a single microinfarct (estimate = −0.255; SE, 0.133; P = 0.056). We then examined locations. Cortical microinfarcts were associated with lower cognition (estimate = −0.400; SE, 0.151; P = 0.009), and no relation was found for subcortical lesions (P = 0.543). Finally, in an additional model considering quantity and location simultaneously, both single (P = 0.044) and multiple cortical microinfarcts (P = 0.040) were associated with lower cognition, and no relations were found for single or multiple subcortical lesions.

Microinfarcts and Cognitive Systems
Because cognition is not a unitary system but rather comprises multiple inter-related systems, and because vascular processes may be related to some and not other cognitive systems,20 we next examined associations of microinfarcts with function in 5 different cognitive systems. Microinfarcts were associated with lower levels of semantic memory and perceptual speed, with a lesser association with episodic memory. There was no relationship with working memory or visuospatial abilities (Table 3). In models adjusting for an additional summary variable of vascular risk factors (hypertension, diabetes, smoking), results were essentially unchanged (data not shown). In a series of separate models, there was no evidence for interactions of microinfarcts with macroscopic infarcts (all P > 0.154) or AD pathology (all P > 0.696), consistent with microinfarcts having an independent effect on cognitive systems.

In analyses of quantity and location, persons with a single microinfarct and those with multiple microinfarcts had lower semantic memory (estimate for single = −0.376; SE, 0.153, P = 0.015; estimate for multiple = −0.415; SE = 0.187; P = 0.027) and perceptual speed (estimate for single = −0.392; SE, 0.138; P = 0.003; estimate for multiple = −0.415; SE, 0.169; P = 0.015), but not other systems (all single P > 0.065; multiple P > 0.220).

In analyses of location, cortical microinfarcts were associated with semantic memory (estimate = −0.524; SE, 0.174; P = 0.003), perceptual speed (estimate = −0.449; SE, 0.157; P = 0.005), and visuospatial abilities (estimate = −0.326; SE, 0.131; P = 0.013). Subcortical microinfarcts were not associated with any of the cognitive systems (all P > 0.316). Models considering both quantity and location simultaneously showed similar findings with single cortical microinfarcts associated with semantic memory (P = 0.010) and perceptual speed (P = 0.015) and trends for associations with multiple cortical lesions in the same systems (P for semantic memory = 0.058 and perceptual speed = 0.072). Again, no relations were found for single or multiple subcortical microinfarcts with cognitive systems (all P > 0.165).

Discussion
In this cohort of 425 community-dwelling older persons, microinfarcts are common and associated with increased odds of dementia. The effect on dementia appears to be driven by multiple cortical infarcts. Further, both single and multiple cortical microinfarcts are associated with lower cognition, specifically perceptual speed and semantic memory, with a lesser but significant effect on episodic memory. Analyses took into account demographic and neuropathologic covariates, including common neuropathologic causes of dementia. Associations with dementia and cognition were not modified.
by the presence of macroscopic infarcts or differing levels of AD pathology, suggesting effects of microinfarcts were independent of these other common neuropathologies.

Few epidemiological clinical–pathological studies have examined the frequency of microinfarcts and their relation with dementia or cognition.\textsuperscript{4,6,21} Several have reported frequencies of microinfarcts in the range of 20% to 50%.\textsuperscript{5–8} Similarly, we found that microinfarcts are common, affecting almost one-third of all subjects and present in one-third of those with dementia and one-quarter of those without. Previous data have suggested a relation of microinfarcts with dementia. Because microinfarcts are associated with macroscopic infarcts and pathologies causing dementia often coexist,\textsuperscript{3,22} analyses need to take into account a variety of vascular and nonvascular pathologies. We are aware of only 4 community-based cohort studies in which the role of microinfarcts in dementia has been directly addressed while taking into account other pathologies. Three of these found a relation of microinfarcts to dementia\textsuperscript{5–7} and 1 found a trend,\textsuperscript{4} and relations appeared to be driven by multiple and cortical pathology. Our study confirms and extends the findings from these previous published data. We found that microinfarcts, particularly multiple cortical microinfarcts, independently increased odds of dementia even after accounting for macroscopic infarcts and level of AD pathology, as well as other demographic and pathological covariates. In addition, we found that microinfarcts are additive to macroscopic infarcts and AD pathology and do not modify their association with dementia or cognitive function.

Little is known about microinfarcts and global cognition or specific cognitive domains. In the Honolulu Asia Aging Study including 443 men who underwent autopsy, microvascular infarcts (microinfarcts and lacunar infarcts) were associated with a lower score on a brief screening measure of global cognition (Cognitive Activities Screening Instrument), but specific cognitive domains were not studied.\textsuperscript{21} We similarly found an association between microinfarcts and global cognition. Unlike for dementia, which was related to only multiple microinfarcts, both single and multiple microinfarcts were implicated when cognition was the outcome measure. This finding may be the result of increased power using a continuous outcome rather than the dichotomous outcome of dementia. Moreover, both single and multiple cortical microinfarcts were specifically associated with lower levels of perceptual speed and semantic memory. This profile is similar to that of macroscopic infarcts. Further, we found a weaker but significant association with episodic memory impairment. Whereas this type of impairment is most commonly associated with clinical AD, other pathologies including macroscopic infarcts also have been associated with it.\textsuperscript{22} These data further highlight that impairment of episodic memory is not specific for AD pathology and suggest that differing pathologies may result in similar cognitive phenotypes.

Mechanisms by which microinfarcts relate to dementia and cognition are currently unknown. Half of persons with microinfarcts did not have macroscopic infarcts; in addition, the microinfarct effects were independent of other common pathologies. Notably, we did not find evidence that microinfarcts modified the clinical expression of macroscopic infarcts or AD pathology. A variety of plausible mechanisms linking microinfarcts to dementia and cognition may be considered. Volume of infarcts is often considered an important factor in the association of infarcts to dementia,\textsuperscript{5,6,23,24} yet microinfarcts by definition are of very small volume. One may consider that there is an unrecognized large burden of microinfarcts, resulting in a large volume of tissue loss. Alternatively, microinfarcts may represent a diffuse vascular process with deleterious tissue effects, such as diffuse hypoperfusion with hypoxia, oxidative stress, or inflammation. In addition, these processes may mediate or modify the effect of microinfarcts. Single and multiple infarcts thereby may represent proxies for small and large volumes of infarcts or mild or severe diffuse injury.

We found that cortical, but not subcortical, microinfarcts are related to dementia and cognitive impairment. This also has been found in previous studies.\textsuperscript{6,25} This dissociation may represent differing mechanisms for the evolution or effects of microinfarcts in the subcortical vs cortical locations. Alternatively, specific anatomic regions may need to be affected to have a clinical expression of dementia or cognitive impairment. We previously reported an association of subcortical macroscopic infarcts with cognition.\textsuperscript{26} This may be related to cohort differences, regional sampling differences, or other unrecognized factors. Further study, including specific regions within cortical and subcortical structures, with larger numbers will be needed to tease out mechanisms underlying relations of microinfarcts to dementia and cognition.

**Conclusion**

There are limitations to this study. First, assessment for microinfarcts was performed on a relatively small number of brain regions from 1 hemisphere and likely underestimated the number of microinfarcts and regional involvement. Although a more comprehensive evaluation including more regions/both hemispheres and additional staining methods\textsuperscript{27} may provide more accurate estimates, it is important to note that our results are similar to those of studies performed with more extensive sampling strategies while using a comparable definition of microinfarcts.\textsuperscript{21} Also, identification of microinfarcts was based on assessment of cortical and subcortical regions, unlike in some other studies.\textsuperscript{25} Second, because of the unique demographic and lifestyle features of this cohort, findings may not be generalizable and will need to be replicated in a more diverse cohort.

Strengths of the study include detailed, systematic, neuropsychological assessments blinded to clinical data and availability of common neuropathologies in aging and dementia. Clinical data were available for dementia status and a detailed battery of neuropsychological tests was obtained proximate to death, from which summary measures of 5 different cognitive systems were derived, minimizing sources of measurement error. Finally, this cohort comprised a large group of community-dwelling women and men with and without dementia, and the study benefits from high follow-up and autopsy rates (both >90%), providing internal validity of findings.
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Abstract

表2 認知症のオッズ*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>神経病理学的変数</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>アルツハイマー病の病理</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>2.69 ~ 5.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>肉眼的梗塞</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.22 ~ 3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>微小梗塞</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.07 ~ 2.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*死亡年齢、性別、学歴、レビー小体について補正した同時モデル。

表3 微小梗塞と全般的認知機能および5つの認知機能系との関係*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>認知評価項目</th>
<th>徹定値 (SE, p 値)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>全般的認知機能</td>
<td>-0.287 (0.113, 0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>エピソード記憶</td>
<td>-0.279 (0.138, 0.044)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>意味記憶</td>
<td>-0.391 (0.130, 0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>作業記憶</td>
<td>-0.146 (0.099, 0.139)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>知覚速度</td>
<td>-0.400 (0.117, &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>視覚空間認知機能</td>
<td>-0.153 (0.098, 0.119)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*それぞれのモデルで、死亡年齢、性別、学歴、肉眼的梗塞、アルツハイマー病の病理、レビー小体に関する補正を行った。