Race and Gender Differences in 1-Year Outcomes for Community-Dwelling Stroke Survivors With Family Caregivers
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Background and Purpose—Previous research has reported worse outcomes after stroke for women and for African Americans, but few prospective population-based studies have systematically examined demographic differences on long-term stroke outcomes. Race and gender differences in 1-year stroke outcomes were examined using an epidemiologically derived sample of first-time stroke survivors from the national REasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study.

Methods—Participants of REGARDS who reported a first-time stroke event during regular surveillance calls were interviewed by telephone and then completed an in-home evaluation approximately 1 year after the verified first-time stroke event (N=112). A primary family caregiver was also enrolled and interviewed for each stroke survivor. Measures from the in-home evaluation included previously validated stroke outcomes assessments of neurological deficits, functional impairments, and patient-reported effects of stroke in multiple domains.

Results—African American stroke survivors were less likely to be living with their primary family caregivers than white participants. Analyses that controlled for age, education, and whether the stroke survivors lived with their primary family caregivers indicated that African Americans and women showed significantly greater deficits on multiple 1-year outcome measures compared to whites and men, respectively.

Conclusions—Among community-dwelling stroke survivors with family caregivers, women and African Americans are at heightened risk for poor long-term outcomes 1 year after first-time stroke events. Rehabilitation services and public health policies aimed at enhancing stroke recovery rates should address these disparities in poststroke outcomes. (Stroke. 2011;42: 00-00.)
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A number of studies have characterized the outcomes after stroke and identified variables that are associated with stroke outcome. Stroke survivors experience a variety of long-term problems after strokes, including impairments with activities of daily living,1,2 cognitive deficits,3 and reduced self-perceptions of their daily functioning.4 Although many factors are associated with long-term recovery from stroke, two major demographic factors—race and gender—have emerged as particularly important. Research suggests that African Americans experience more severe strokes, receive less evidence-based care, and recover more slowly and less completely, on average, than white stroke patients.5–7 Other studies have found that women tend to have poorer functional outcomes after stroke than men.8–10 Most of the previous studies on disparities in stroke outcomes, with the notable exception of Petrea et al.,10 have identified and recruited stroke survivors after those participants have already experienced their index stroke events. This is a key concern because patients identified retrospectively through clinical registries or convenience samples may have more impairments or differ in other important ways from participants identified in epidemiological, prospective, population-based studies.11–13 Methodological differences between retrospective and prospective designs or convenience samples and population-based samples can become amplified when race and gender disparities are examined because these demographic groups can differ substantially on multiple dimensions, including marital status, socioeconomic factors, the prevalence of comorbidities, access to care, and the availability of assistance from informal family caregivers.10,14 Prospective, population-based studies offer much promise for minimizing the artificial biases that can be introduced by
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Materials and Methods

Participants
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Figure. Flow chart of participants.

Table 1. Demographic Information by Race and

Gender Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Black Men</th>
<th>Black Women</th>
<th>White Men</th>
<th>White Women</th>
<th>Total Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age,* mean</td>
<td>73.58</td>
<td>73.07</td>
<td>75.28</td>
<td>78.33</td>
<td>75.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>7.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education,† mean</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live with caregiver (% yes)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of stroke</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% ischemic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of hospital stay (d)</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Age is the exact age at the date of the home visit.
†Education was coded as: 1=less than high school graduate; 2=high school graduate; 3=some college; 4=college graduate or more.
~1 year (mean=367 days; SD=93 days) after the incident stroke event. All in-home evaluations were conducted by trained examiners employed by Examination Management Services Incorporated. The primary purpose of the in-home evaluation was to obtain objective measures of functional 1-year outcomes after stroke. Most of the spontaneous recovery after stroke occurs within the first year, and these evaluations were considered to be relatively stable assessments of long-term stroke outcomes. Each domain outlined by Kasner was covered in this in-home evaluation, and the following instruments were administered.

Mini-Mental State Examination
The Mini-Mental State examination is a common screening tool that was used to assess general cognitive functioning and to screen for dementia. Scores can range from 0 to 30, with higher scores representing better cognitive functioning.

National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale
The National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) is a comprehensive 15-item assessment of neurological deficits subsequent to stroke. Though typically used in the more acute recovery phases, it is well-suited to identify persistent, long-term, neurological deficits after stroke. Each Examination Management Services Incorporated examiner completed a web-based training and certification program on the administration and scoring of the NIHSS (www.nihrokescale.org) before conducting the in-home evaluation. Inter-rater reliability studies of the NIHSS indicate comparable scores are obtained across neurologists, non-neurologist physicians, research nurses, and trained research staff. Higher scores indicate more neurological problems subsequent to stroke.

Barthel Index
The Barthel index was used to measure functioning in 10 basic self-care and mobility activities (feeding, bathing, grooming, dressing, continence of bowels, bladder control, toilet use, transferring, mobility on level surfaces, and climbing stairs). It ranges from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating greater functional independence, and has been shown to have high intra-observer and inter-observer reliabilities.

Modified Rankin Scale
At the end of the in-home evaluation, the Examination Management Services Incorporated examiner provided a modified Rankin scale rating of general physical function, activity, and participation. Scores range from 0 (no symptoms) to 5 (severe disability).

Stroke Impact Scale
The Stroke Impact Scale (SIS; version 2.04) is an instrument that consists of 64 Likert-type rating scales and obtains stroke survivors’ self-assessments of 8 domains of functioning: strength, memory, communication, activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living, mobility, hand function, and social participation. Each subscale ranges from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating better functioning. The SIS supplemented the other measures from the in-home evaluation by providing self-report measures of emotional well-being, communication, and social functioning. Deficits in these areas can be common and persistent among stroke survivors who are otherwise deemed fully recovered on measures such as the Barthel index.

Statistical Analyses
Descriptive analyses and associations among the demographic variables were examined using chi-squared tests and 1-way analyses of variance. Race and gender differences on the stroke outcome measures were examined in series of ordinary least-squares multiple linear regression analyses. Indicator variables for stroke survivor race (African American=1, white=0) and gender (female=1, male=0) were included as the primary independent variables, and covariates included age (in years), education, and whether the stroke survivors lived with their primary family caregiver (1=yes, 0=no).

Results
The descriptive characteristics for this sample are summarized in Table 1. There was a strong association between race and gender (χ²(1)=9.09; P<0.003), with 70% of the African American stroke survivors compared to 40% of the white stroke survivors being women. Most of the men (93%), including all of the white men, lived with their primary family caregivers, whereas only a minority of the women (37%) lived with their caregivers. This gender difference was highly significant (χ²(1)=38.08; P<0.0001). Similarly, across genders, whites (76%) and African Americans (43%) differed significantly in terms of whether they lived with their caregivers (χ²(1)=12.86; P=0.0003).

The African American stroke survivors were significantly younger than white stroke survivors (mean=72.80 and 76.03 years, respectively; F(1,110)=4.88; P=0.03) and had significantly less education (mean=2.33 and 3.21, respectively; F(1,110)=21.50; P<0.0001). There were no statistically significant gender differences in age or education.

The results of the multiple linear regression analyses are summarized in Table 2. The covariate-adjusted estimates represent the effect of each independent variable on the outcome variable after controlling for all other covariates in the model. African Americans, for example, had Barthel scores that averaged 8.51 points lower than those of whites after controlling for gender, age, education, and co-residence status. Overall, the pattern of the findings indicated that African Americans had worse outcomes than whites and women had worse outcomes than men. The race and gender effects were both statistically significant (P<0.05) for the Barthel index and for 4 of the SIS subscales (activities of daily living, instrumental activities of daily living, mobility, hand function, and social participation). Additional race differences were observed on the Mini-Mental State examination and the SIS memory subscale, whereas additional gender differences were observed for the NIHSS, modified Rankin score, and the SIS strength subscale. There were no statistically significant race or gender effects on the emotion or communication subscales of the SIS.

The covariate-adjusted estimates were divided by the overall standard deviation for each measure to create a standardized effect sizes, and these ranged from −0.47 to −0.66 for the statistically significant race effects and from −0.46 to −0.88 for the significant gender effects. These effect sizes are in the medium (0.50) to large (0.80) range according to conventional standards.

There were no statistically significant covariate-adjusted effects for age or education on any of the outcome measures. Participants who lived with their primary family caregivers had significantly worse covariate-adjusted outcomes than those who did not live with their caregivers on 4 measures: the NIHSS, Barthel index, Mini-Mental State examination, and SIS strength subscale. Similar effects that closely approached significance (P<0.06) were obtained on several other measures. However, because all 43 white male stroke survivors lived with their caregivers, these comparisons
reflected differences between co-residing and not co-residing stroke survivors in the other 3 race–gender groups only.

Supplemental analyses examined race by gender interaction effects, ischemic vs hemorrhagic type of stroke effects, and hemispheric location effects on the outcome measures after controlling for the same demographic covariates. No statistically significant race by gender interactions were found, although an effect that closely approached significance was observed on the Barthel index ($F(1,105)=3.66; P=0.06$). White men tended to have better Barthel index scores (adjusted mean=99.02) than African American men, white women, and African American women (adjusted mean=82.50, 85.00, and 81.34, respectively). No statistically significant effects were found for the type of stroke or the hemispheric location of the stroke after controlling for covariates on these outcome measures.

**Table 2. Results of Multiple Linear Regression Analyses of 1-Year Stroke Outcomes (N=112)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome Measure</th>
<th>Descriptive Statistics for Total Sample</th>
<th>Covariate-Adjusted Regression Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barthel Index</td>
<td>89.20</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Rankin scale</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-Mental State examinaiton</td>
<td>27.54</td>
<td>3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIS, Strength</td>
<td>75.73</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIS, Memory</td>
<td>79.18</td>
<td>19.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIS, Emotion</td>
<td>77.88</td>
<td>16.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIS, Communication</td>
<td>88.14</td>
<td>15.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIS, Activities of Daily Living/Instrumental Activities of Daily Living</td>
<td>82.72</td>
<td>19.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIS, Mobility</td>
<td>76.44</td>
<td>23.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIS, Hand</td>
<td>75.67</td>
<td>29.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIS, Social</td>
<td>75.84</td>
<td>24.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SIS indicates Stroke Impact Scale.
*P<0.05.
†P<0.01.
All 5 predictor variables were included in each multiple regression model.

**Discussion**

The results from this population-based study of first-time stroke survivors who were residing in the community 1 year after their stroke events are consistent with previous findings of race and gender differences in stroke outcomes. After adjusting for the effects of age, education, and whether the stroke survivors lived with their primary family caregivers, African Americans and women consistently showed poorer outcomes than whites and men, respectively, on multiple subscales from the SIS and on other functional outcome measures. The SIS is particularly sensitive to subtle deficits and measures the person’s perception of the impact of stroke on several domains of daily functioning. The race and gender effects reported here were adjusted not only for differences in age and education but also for the associations observed among race, gender, and caregiver co-residence in this population-based sample.

There were no methodological goals to balance race or gender groups by other demographic factors, and we sought to include all REGARDS participants who were first-time stroke survivors and who met the other inclusion criteria, including the availability of a family caregiver to participate...
in the study. It is interesting that these race and gender differences emerged even though each stroke survivor in this sample had a family caregiver and received some informal care from this person during the recovery period. The present results indicate that the female stroke survivors were much less likely to be living with their informal caregivers, and previous findings from the REGARDS sample indicate that both groups report being less likely to have a co-residing informal caregiver available to them.\(^ {14}\) Caregiver unavailability and other aspects of social isolation have been previously suggested as possible mechanisms that might explain poorer outcomes after stroke in women and ethnic minorities.\(^ {10,26} \)

However, because all of our stroke survivors had family caregivers, and because we statistically controlled for the co-residence status of those caregivers, it appears that other mechanisms are also involved in explaining race and gender differences in stroke outcomes. Interestingly, the role of caregiver presence was complicated by the fact that all of the white men in our sample co-resided with their caregivers, which may still partly explain the better functioning found in this group, whereas having a co-residing caregiver was associated with worse outcomes in the other race–gender groups. This suggests that mere caregiver presence is a complex variable that is associated with race, gender, and stroke outcomes in multiple ways. A married stroke survivor may be more likely to use outpatient rehabilitation or other therapy services, and the spouse–caregiver can respond more readily to daily changes and serve as a gatekeeper to get the patient additional assistance as needed. For unmarried or widowed stroke survivors, greater stroke severity or worse outcomes may persuade a nonspouse caregiver to move in with the stroke survivor, which would result in the associations between caregiver co-residence and outcomes observed here. Other demographic and cultural factors may also contribute to race and gender differences in caregiver co-residence status and poststroke service utilization, and these factors deserve additional investigation.

Our study does have some important limitations that should be noted. The sample size is smaller than optimal, especially when subgrouped by race and gender, and this limited our power to detect smaller group differences. Although the study has the strength of identifying participants from a population-based investigation, the CARES sample still may not be fully representative of the larger population because of the sampling design, differential retention across time, and differential ascertainment of medical records in REGARDS. In addition, because the stroke survivors were not assessed through a single center or clinic, there are measures that ideally might be available from the acute recovery phase, such as acute NIHSS scores, that were not available in our data.

Conclusions

In summary, our results show that previously found race and gender differences in long-term stroke outcome are apparent even when studied in a prospective population-based sample, and even when a family caregiver is available to all participants. Future research should more closely examine the mechanisms behind these differences, including more detailed assessments of the involvement of family caregivers and other cultural and demographic factors that may affect service utilization and recovery from stroke.
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