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Background and Purpose—Low thrombolysis rates for acute ischemic stroke are linked to delays in seeking immediate treatment due to low public stroke awareness. We aimed to assess whether “Child-Mediated Stroke Communication” could improve stroke literacy of parents of children enrolled in a school-based stroke literacy program called Hip Hop Stroke.

Methods—Parents of children aged 9 to 12 years from 2 public schools in Harlem, New York City, were recruited to participate in stroke literacy questionnaires before and after their child’s participation in Hip Hop Stroke, a novel Child-Mediated Stroke Communication intervention delivered in school auditoriums. Parental recall of stroke information communicated through their child was assessed 1-week after the intervention.

Results—Fifth and sixth grade students (n=182) were enrolled into Hip Hop Stroke. One hundred two parents were approached in person to participate; 75 opted to participate and 71 completed both the pretest and post-test (74% response rate and 95% retention rate). Parental stroke literacy improved after the program; before the program, 3 parents of 75 (3.9%) were able to identify the 5 cardinal stroke symptoms, distracting symptom (chest pains), and had an urgent action plan (calling 911) compared with 21 of 71 parents (29.6%) postintervention (P<0.001). The FAST mnemonic was known by 2 (2.7%) of participants before the program versus 29 (41%) after program completion (P<0.001).

Conclusions—Knowledge of stroke signs and symptoms remains low among residents of this high-risk population. The use of Child-Mediated Stroke Communication suggests that school children aged 9 to 12 years may be effective conduits of critical stroke knowledge to their parents. (Stroke. 2012;43:00-00.)
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of stroke symptoms along with primary prevention. Stroke-educated children might rapidly activate the emergency medical system if a family member suffers a stroke. Increases in the number of children living with grandparents and individuals delaying giving birth until after age 30 years resulting in more children living with older parents support recommendations to expand health education for children to include stroke knowledge.

Hip Hop Stroke (HHS) is a school-based stroke communication intervention that uses what we have termed “Child-Mediated Stroke Communication,” a paradigm in which children may serve as a means of delivering an intervention to the target population (their parents and grandparents—whom we will now collectively call parents—in their households). HHS uses a modular multimedia curriculum and Hip Hop music to teach children aged 9 to 12 years the 5 cardinal stroke symptoms, the correct course of action when they occur, and stroke prevention measures (collectively termed “stroke literacy”) to increase parental stroke literacy and the stroke literacy of the kids themselves.

**Methods**

The study population, Central Harlem, is a predominantly black (two thirds of all residents), high-risk population with a high proportion of the population living under the federal poverty level and a high stroke death rate.

The authors refined the content of our previously reported HHS intervention with input from members of the target community, including school-aged children, and behavioral scientists. The intervention is based on 2 theories that have been demonstrated as important predictors of behavior change: (1) Reasoned Action Theory, which suggests that a series of related cognitive constructs operate to produce an intention to act, which is a precursor to engaging in the act or the desired outcome (ie, making use of the stroke information as part of standard practice), and (2) Self-Efficacy Theory, which posits that control over one’s outcomes produces a sense of mastery for those behaviors and that increased self-efficacy predicts increased motivation to engage in the desired behaviors as well as a demonstrated increase in the behavior itself. The refined HHS intervention is a 3-day 1 hour-per-day multimedia classroom intervention that uses Hip Hop songs written by a well-known artist, 2 4-minute professionally produced cartoon music videos, and a comic book to teach stroke literacy (Figure; Supplemental Table I; http://stroke.ahajournals.org; see http://hiphoppublichealth.org/stroke for videos and comic book). A stroke mnemonic, “FAST” (“F” for “Face droop,” “A” for “Arm weakness,” “S” for Speech affected/slurred, and “T” for “Time to call 911”), derived from the Cincinnati prehospital stroke scale and other stroke symptoms not part of the “FAST” mnemonic, were incorporated into the songs and overall curriculum. Five stroke symptoms were used to capture the major components of the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System symptoms with some modifications. These included sudden slurred/confused speech, sudden blurred vision (or loss of vision), sudden clumsiness/imbalance, sudden severe headache for no known reason, and sudden facial weakness/droop. This latter symptom, sudden facial weakness, was taught in the context of 1-sided weakness of the face, arm, and leg, although separate variables for limb weakness and numbness were not measured. Other teaching methods included role-play to rehearse calling 911 in the event of a stroke and “acting out” the cardinal stroke symptoms in skits. Parental engagement homework assignments in the form of “Hip Hop To-Go” kits (see the Figure) were included to supplement the in-class materials and facilitate an increase in parental stroke knowledge through Child-Mediated Stroke Communication. Students were encouraged to view a DVD (containing the 2 4-minute cartoons) with their parents, review a comic book with their parents, become “stroke reporters” by surveying their parents on stroke knowledge with a “tear-off” questionnaire located at the back of the comic book (evidence of parental engagement), and convince their parents to place a HHS refrigerator magnet in a prominent place in their homes.

The current study assesses the potential public health impact of HHS beyond the classrooms by testing the following prespecified hypothesis on which our outcomes were based: (1) children enrolled in the HHS intervention will attempt to educate parents about stroke; and (2) parents engaged by enrolled children will demonstrate increased stroke knowledge and the appropriate course of action in the event of a stroke using hypothetical real world scenarios.

**Figure.** Hip Hop to-go homework tools. A. Excerpt from “Stroke Ain’t No Joke” stroke recognition comic book. B. Scenes from “Stroke Ain’t No Joke” stroke recognition animated cartoon video. C. Scenes from “Keep Your Brain Healthy” stroke prevention animated cartoon video.
after the completion of the HHS intervention. This second hypothesis—parental stroke knowledge—formed the basis of our primary outcome measure (Supplemental Figure II), whereas proof of parental engagement captured by our first hypothesis was a secondary measure.

Hypothesis 2 (Primary Outcome Measure)

We explored stroke knowledge responses relative to age, sex, race–ethnicity, and education, comparing pretest performance within the strata. Simple ORs were determined using binary logistic regression.

**Results**

A total of 102 parents of children in our sample were contacted in person at 3 prespecified in-school parent meetings during the 2-week lead-in period. This total number of parent contacts was limited by the short lead-in period and recruitment method selected by investigators. No child contributed >1 adult parent. Seventy-five parents opted to participate (74%), and 71 (74%) completed both the pretest and post-test. Parents’ ages ranged from 25 to 74 years, 72% non-Hispanic black, and 24% Hispanic, 1% Asian Pacific Islander, 3% “other,” 45% had a high school diploma or less, 27% had some college, and 28% were college graduates or had an advanced degree (Table 1). Of the original 75 participating parents, most identified themselves as parents (74%), and 71 (74%) completed both the pretest and post-test. Parents’ ages ranged from 25 to 74 years, 72% non-Hispanic black, and 24% Hispanic, 1% Asian Pacific Islander, 3% “other,” 45% had a high school diploma or less, 27% had some college, and 28% were college graduates or had an advanced degree (Table 1). Of the original 75 participating parents, most identified themselves as parents (61) followed by “relative” (3), grandparent (2), aunt/uncle (1), sibling or stepsibling (1), or not identified (7).

**Table 1. Patterns of Learned Basic Stroke Knowledge and a Stroke Mnemonic Across the Test Sequence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stroke Localization (Expected Value 25%)</th>
<th>Stroke is a Brain Attack; (Expected Value 25%)</th>
<th>FAST Mnemonic (Expected Value 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pretest</td>
<td>Post-Test</td>
<td>Pretest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (N=75 pretest, 71 post-test)</td>
<td>38 (50.7)</td>
<td>60 (84.5)†</td>
<td>17 (22.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–35</td>
<td>11 (61.1)</td>
<td>15 (88.2)</td>
<td>5 (27.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36–45</td>
<td>15 (51.7)</td>
<td>24 (85.7)*</td>
<td>7 (24.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥46</td>
<td>11 (45.8)</td>
<td>18 (81.8)*</td>
<td>5 (20.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>28 (54.9)</td>
<td>45 (88.2)‡</td>
<td>11 (21.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10 (47.6)</td>
<td>13 (76.5)</td>
<td>6 (28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race–ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>25 (51.0)</td>
<td>43 (87.8)‡</td>
<td>12 (24.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>8 (50.0)</td>
<td>11 (84.6)</td>
<td>2 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>7 (35.0)</td>
<td>13 (81.3)*</td>
<td>5 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school graduate/graduate equivalency degree/some college</td>
<td>15 (51.6)</td>
<td>26 (83.9)†</td>
<td>5 (16.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College graduate</td>
<td>14 (70.0)§</td>
<td>18 (90.0)*</td>
<td>7 (35.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05; †P<0.01; ‡P<0.001; all comparisons are pretest vs post-test for each individual question by row unless otherwise noted.

§P<0.05; test for significance by column (for each questions) using Pearson χ² test (or test of linear relationship for education or age) relative to stroke knowledge question.
Hypothesis 1

All 71 parents who completed both the pretest and post-test indicated that their children communicated stroke information to them (100%), and of these, 54 (75%) were confirmed by returned homework assignments collected on the final day of the 3-day HHS intervention.

Hypothesis 2

Parental stroke literacy improved after the program (Tables 1, 2, and 3). Parents were more likely to correctly report stroke localization after the program (51% correct at baseline versus 2, and 3). Parents were more likely to correctly report stroke localization after the program (51% correct at baseline versus 2, and 3). Parents were more likely to correctly report stroke localization after the program (51% correct at baseline versus 2, and 3). Parents were more likely to correctly report stroke localization after the program (51% correct at baseline versus 2, and 3). Parents were more likely to correctly report stroke localization after the program (51% correct at baseline versus 2, and 3). Parents were more likely to correctly report stroke localization after the program (51% correct at baseline versus 2, and 3). Parents were more likely to correctly report stroke localization after the program (51% correct at baseline versus 2, and 3).

Table 2. Responses to Cardinal Stroke Symptoms, a Distracter, and Urgent Action Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. (%)</th>
<th>Slurred Speech (Expected Value 50%)</th>
<th>Facial Paresis (Expected Value 50%)</th>
<th>Blurry Vision (Expected Value 50%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall (N=75 pretest, 71 post-test)</td>
<td>58 (77.3)</td>
<td>54 (72.0)</td>
<td>42 (56.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>Pretest</td>
<td>Post-Test</td>
<td>Pretest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–35</td>
<td>15 (83.3)</td>
<td>15 (88.2)</td>
<td>15 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36–45</td>
<td>21 (72.4)</td>
<td>23 (82.1)</td>
<td>21 (72.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥46</td>
<td>21 (87.5)</td>
<td>19 (86.4)</td>
<td>17 (70.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>41 (80.4)</td>
<td>42 (82.4)</td>
<td>40 (78.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>17 (81.0)</td>
<td>16 (94.1)</td>
<td>14 (66.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race–ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>42 (85.7)</td>
<td>41 (83.7)</td>
<td>39 (79.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>11 (68.8)</td>
<td>11 (84.6)</td>
<td>12 (75.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>12 (60.0)</td>
<td>11 (68.8)</td>
<td>10 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school/graduate equivalency degree/some college</td>
<td>27 (87.1)</td>
<td>26 (83.9)</td>
<td>26 (83.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College graduate</td>
<td>18 (90.0)</td>
<td>20 (100)§</td>
<td>18 (90.0)§</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05; †P<0.01; ‡P<0.001; all comparisons are pretest vs post-test for each individual question by row unless otherwise noted.
§P<0.01; ‡P<0.05; test for significance by column (for each questions) using Pearson χ² test (or test of linear relationship for education or age) relative to stroke knowledge question.
Effectively addressing these knowledge gaps with evidence-based models are important steps followed by trials evaluating their behavioral effect on reducing prehospital delays.\(^8\,^{10}\)

Conventionally, most health education programs have assumed that communication of health information flows from parent to child and not the reverse. To our knowledge, only 2 studies—one asthma study and 1 hypertension study—have successfully shown that young children are able to initiate health communications with parents and affect parental health behavior. Open Airways for Schools\(^{21}\) showed that children with asthma were able to successfully teach their parents new patterns of asthma self-management at home. A school-based hypertension program showed that children might improve parents’ knowledge about hypertension and increase the likelihood the parents will consult their physician about their blood pressure.\(^{22}\) To date, 4 school-based stroke education programs for children have been published.\(^{16,23–25}\) Each has successfully demonstrated that young children are educable about stroke, and 1 program,\(^{16}\) HHS, reported emergency medical system activation by stroke-educated children. To date, no program has successfully demonstrated that stroke-educated children can effectively transfer stroke knowledge to adult family members due to low parental participation, high attrition rates, or the absence of data. The Kids Identifying and Defeating Stroke study, a randomized controlled trial,\(^{23}\) found engagement of parents challenging and were unable to report results on knowledge transfer to parents due to low parental response rates.

Based on the success of HHS, child-mediated health communication may serve as the basis for intervention in any number of content areas such as medication adherence and healthy eating. This model may represent an innovative vehicle for public health education because of its potential to: (1) provide public health officials with a “captive” audience in the schools; (2) improve child health literacy and risk-related behaviors; (3) use children’s access to their parents to

### Table 2. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chest Pain (Not Symptom, Expected Value 50%)</th>
<th>Clumsiness or Imbalance (Expected Value 50%)</th>
<th>Headache (Expected Value 50%)</th>
<th>Hypothetical Scenario Urgent Action Plan (Call 911, Expected Value 25%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pretest</td>
<td>Post-Test</td>
<td>Pretest</td>
<td>Post-Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 (24.0)</td>
<td>47 (66.2)(†)</td>
<td>42 (55.3)</td>
<td>65 (91.5)(†)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (16.7)</td>
<td>9 (52.9)*</td>
<td>11 (61.1)</td>
<td>13 (88.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (27.6)</td>
<td>20 (71.4)(†)</td>
<td>15 (51.7)</td>
<td>26 (92.9)(†)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (25.0)</td>
<td>16 (72.7)(†)</td>
<td>15 (62.5)</td>
<td>20 (90.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 (25.5)</td>
<td>36 (70.6)(†)</td>
<td>30 (58.8)</td>
<td>48 (94.1)(†)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (23.8)</td>
<td>10 (58.8)*</td>
<td>12 (57.1)</td>
<td>14 (82.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 (22.4)</td>
<td>33 (67.3)(†)</td>
<td>28 (57.1)</td>
<td>45 (91.8)(†)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (25.0)</td>
<td>9 (69.2)*</td>
<td>9 (56.3)</td>
<td>11 (84.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (30.0)</td>
<td>10 (62.5)</td>
<td>7 (35.0)</td>
<td>13 (81.3)(†)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (19.4)</td>
<td>22 (71.0)(†)</td>
<td>19 (61.3)</td>
<td>28 (90.3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (25.0)</td>
<td>13 (65.0)(†)</td>
<td>16 (80.0)§</td>
<td>20 (100.0)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hispanics, non-Hispanic blacks were more likely to call 911 for a stroke during the pretest period (OR, 3.2; 95% CI, 1.00–10.32), but there was no significant difference on the post-test. We found no significant associations between age tertiles or sex on any test of stroke knowledge.

### Discussion

In a prior study, we tested HHS and found that children aged 9 to 11 years can rapidly learn stroke information and retain the knowledge for at least 3 months.\(^16\) We also showed that incorporating Hip Hop music might improve retention of stroke knowledge among youth. We performed extended delayed post-tests on a group of students (n = 85) tracked for 15 months and found no significant decline in 4 of 5 cardinal stroke symptoms learned (except sudden headache; unpublished data).

In this study, we found that children can serve as conduits for the delivery of stroke information into their homes. Before HHS, 4% of adults in this study were aware of the 5 cardinal symptoms of stroke, correctly identified chest pain as a nonstroke symptom, and would call 911; after our Child-Mediated Stroke Communication intervention, this number increased substantially and significantly to 30%. In keeping with other reports,\(^11\) we found significant associations between education and stroke knowledge, including dose–response relationships with higher educational attainment being associated with greater stroke knowledge both before and after the program.

Prehospital delays continue to contribute the largest proportion of delay time to acute stroke care.\(^9\) Significant gaps exist between onset of stroke-like symptoms and the onset of recognition of the symptoms by the patient, family member, or witness as urgent symptoms requiring immediate medical attention for which time-dependent therapeutic benefit exists. Each has successfully demonstrated that young children are educable about stroke, and 1 program,\(^16\) HHS, reported emergency medical system activation by stroke-educated children. To date, no program has successfully demonstrated that stroke-educated children can effectively transfer stroke knowledge to adult family members due to low parental participation, high attrition rates, or the absence of data. The Kids Identifying and Defeating Stroke study, a randomized controlled trial,\(^23\) found engagement of parents challenging and were unable to report results on knowledge transfer to parents due to low parental response rates.

Based on the success of HHS, child-mediated health communication may serve as the basis for intervention in any number of content areas such as medication adherence and healthy eating. This model may represent an innovative vehicle for public health education because of its potential to: (1) provide public health officials with a “captive” audience in the schools; (2) improve child health literacy and risk-related behaviors; (3) use children’s access to their parents to...
knowledge question. Although even if those not responding to the survey had presented 41% (75 of 182) of the potential sample. This low 74%. However, the total number of recruited parents represented 182), were approached, and of these, our response rate was 1.0.0. We did not assess parental occupation, which could better inform patterns of stroke awareness confounded by education and race–ethnicity. We acknowledge that our study of potentially explanatory sociodemographic factors for stroke knowledge is exploratory and associated findings may be an artifact of multiple comparisons. Moreover, given the small sample size, we were unable to simultaneously control for various socioeconomic confounders, which often covary, precluding definitive conclusion. However, considering that analyses of educational attainment suggested both a dose–response and greater differences in pretest performance associated with higher educational attainment, we plan to explore this further in future study. In addition, our study did not assess which elements of HHS were most responsible for improving parental knowledge; these could include stand-alone assessments of the related songs, cartoons, and comic book or informal conversation related to learning from the program.

In summary, we have shown data that support the viability of a Child-Mediated Stroke Communication model for stroke awareness and consideration of child-mediated health communication when developing other public health programs. Randomized controlled studies are needed to confirm these findings and assess behaviors related to improved community stroke literacy.
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### Supplemental Table 1: Hip Hop Stroke Curriculum (SUPPLEMENTAL/ONLINE TABLE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Day 1</strong></th>
<th><strong>Day 2</strong></th>
<th><strong>Day 3</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Topic</strong></td>
<td>• Pre-test evaluation of baseline stroke knowledge</td>
<td>• Stroke Prevention and Risk Reduction module</td>
<td>• Review of days 1 &amp; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Stroke Recognition module</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Early Post-test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Introduction of home activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content</strong></td>
<td>1) Demonstration of audience response system and pre-test</td>
<td>1) Review of Day 1</td>
<td>1) Review days 1&amp;2;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) Stroke Recognition module:</td>
<td>2) Stroke Prevention module</td>
<td>2) Repeat both cartoons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Review digital brain function maps</td>
<td>a. Demo with atherosclerosis blood vessel model; Review of high blood pressure, obesity, smoking, diabetes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Animation of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke</td>
<td>b. “Keep your brain healthy” cartoon highlighting stroke risk and prevention &amp; child’s role in the family/community health structure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. “Stroke Ain’t No Joke” cartoon showing the “FAST” mnemonic &amp; “suddens” stroke symptoms; child’s role in stroke identification/911 calls.</td>
<td>c. Children encouraged to engage parents. Review of home activities</td>
<td>3) Hip Hop To Go comic book survey collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Role-play; prizes awarded.</td>
<td></td>
<td>4) Child encouraged to engage parents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Children encouraged to engage parents. Given Hip Hop To Go homework packet</td>
<td></td>
<td>5) Early Post Test</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Supplemental figure 2: Parental stroke knowledge pre/posttest

(SUPPLEMENTAL/ONLINE)

PRE and POSTTEST: Assessment of parent/caregiver stroke knowledge and communication

1. Has your “child” (or grandchild or other) told you about participating in HHS at school and discussed stroke information with you? (POSTTEST ONLY)

   YES  NO

2. Do you recall what your “child” communicated regarding the symptoms and recommended action? (POSTTEST ONLY)

   YES  NO

Stroke knowledge:

3. Do you know the part of the body where a stroke occurs? (PRE AND POST TESTS)

   YES (record answer below)
   NO____________________________________________________________

   [If uncertain or incorrect, give the following choices]:
   heart  lungs  brain  kidneys

4. What is another name for stroke? (PRE AND POST TESTS)

   [RECORD SPONTANEOUS ANSWER]_____________________________________

   [If unknown, give the following choices:]  
   Heart attack  Seizure  Brain attack  Hay fever

5. Have you heard of the letters “FAST” in the context of recognizing stroke symptoms—that is, do you know what “F” - “A” - “S” - “T” stands for? (PRE AND POST TESTS)

   YES  NO  [If yes, RECORD SPONTANEOUS ANSWER]

6. Do you know any stroke warning signs? (PRE AND POST TESTS)

   YES  NO

   If yes, please name some:

   [RECORD SPONTANEOUS ANSWER]

   [For all respondents, give the following choices]:

   a) Sudden blurred/loss of vision  Yes  No
   b) Sudden chest pains  Yes  No
   c) Sudden facial droop/weakness  Yes  No
d) Sudden slurred speech or confusion  Yes  No

e) Sudden coughing hard  Yes  No

f) Sudden headache for no known reason  Yes  No

g) Sudden imbalance/clumsiness  Yes  No

h) Sudden stomach ache  Yes  No

7. Do you know what you should do if you think you or someone you know has any of the warning signs? (PRE AND POST TESTS)

YES  NO  UNCERTAIN

[If yes, RECORD SPONTANEOUS ANSWER] ________________________________________________________________

[If NO or UNCERTAIN, give the following scenario]

8. If this happened to you or an adult friend/relative what would you do? (PRE AND POST TESTS)

“She was talking to you in the living room when you noticed that her speech became garbled all-of-a-sudden as though she were drunk. This has never happened before.”

Would you (circle one):

a) Tell them to drink lots of water,

b) Tell them to lie down to take a nap,

c) Call 911 immediately,

d) Tell them to call the family doctor

9. Knowledge of stroke prevention (PRE AND POST TESTS)
Do you know about any measures or steps you can take to prevent a stroke? If so, please list them below.

______________________________________________________________

10. Have you or do you know a close relative or family friend who has had a stroke (please specify)? (PRETEST ONLY) ____________
Supplemental figure 3:
Stroke symptom recognition before and after the program (SUPPLEMENTAL/ONLINE)

Cumulative proportion of adult participants able to correctly identify some of 5 stroke symptoms and 1 distracter (total score range: 0 to 6), before and after intervention. Knowledge of stroke symptoms significantly improved after the intervention (p<0.001, Wilcoxon signed ranks test).
Supplemental figure 4:

Proportion correctly identifying stroke symptoms and a distracter, relative to the F.A.S.T. stroke mnemonic. (SUPPLEMENTAL/ONLINE)

For symptoms within F.A.S.T. on post-tests, all $p<0.01$ compared with headache but NS compared with blurred vision.