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Background and Purpose—Intensive insulin therapy (IIT) has not yet proven its efficacy on stroke prognosis or in the reduction of MRI infarct growth. The INSULINFARCT study aims at determining in patients with hyperacute stroke whether IIT, with a better control of poststroke hyperglycemia, would reduce subsequent MRI infarct growth than usual care with subcutaneous insulin.

Methods—One hundred eighty patients with MRI-proven ischemic stroke and with National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale from 5 to 25 at admission (<6 hours) were randomized to receive IIT or usual subcutaneous insulin for 24 hours. Admission hyperglycemia was not required for recruitment. Control MRI and 3-month follow-up (with functional outcome and serious adverse events) were planned. The primary objective was to detect a difference in the proportion of patients with mean capillary glucose test <7 mmol/L during 24 hours. The secondary objective was to investigate whether IIT would reduce infarct growth. The analysis was planned in intention-to-treat. Patients with >3 missing capillary glucose test were excluded (n=4).

Results—The proportion of patients with mean capillary glucose test <7 mmol/L in the first 24 hours was higher in the IIT group (95.4% [83 of 87] versus 67.4% [60 of 89]; P<0.0001). The infarct growth was lower in the subcutaneous insulin group (median, 10.8 cm³; 95% CI, 6.5–22.4 versus 27.9 cm³; 14.6–40.7; 60% of increase; P=0.04). The 3-month functional outcome (45.6% [41 of 90] versus 45.6% [41 of 90]), death (15.6% [14 of 90] versus 10% [9 of 90]), and serious adverse events (38.9% [35 of 90] versus 35.6% [32 of 90]) were similar in the subcutaneous insulin and IIT group.

Conclusion—The IIT regimen improved glucose control in the first 24 hours of stroke but was associated with larger infarct growths. IIT cannot be recommended in hyperacute ischemic stroke.

Clinical Trial Registration—www.clinicaltrials.gov, NCT00472381.
(Stroke. 2012;43:00-00.)
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Poststroke hyperglycemia is an independent predictor of poor functional outcome and death in the acute phase of stroke1–4 but this statistical relationship does not prove causality. Indeed, there is still controversy about whether stroke-related hyperglycemia is a cause or effect of the more severe damage found in patients with stroke with elevated blood sugars. However, the hyperglycemia “toxicity” has been suggested by animal studies that reported accelerated penumbra-into-infarction conversion and no-reflow phenomenon.5 Recent MRI and transcranial Doppler studies have suggested that a similar phenomenon may occur in humans.6–10 In these studies, evidence has accumulated to define that the glucose toxicity threshold was low (approximately 7 mmol/L, between 6 and 8 mmol/L).11 These data
explain why hyperglycemia is increasingly considered to be a potential therapeutic target in acute stroke and is of growing interest for intensive insulin treatment (IIT).11,12

Currently published randomized trials do not conclude on the clinical efficacy of IIT in patients with stroke.13–20 The UK Glucose Insulin in Stroke Trial (GIST),14 which has enrolled 933 patients with stroke in the first 24 hours of stroke onset, is one such example. It has been criticized because of the heterogeneous population included in the study, its slow recruitment rate, late treatment initiation, and inefficient glucose control. Seven other small studies did not have the statistical power to detect clinical efficiency.13,15–20 They all showed that IIT carries a high risk of hypoglycemia (4%–76%). One of these studies18 found that IIT was associated with greater infarct growth (IG) on MRI in patients with persistent arterial occlusion. However, the median delay of treatment initiation was 20 hours after stroke onset, so the study was unlikely to detect a putative protective effect on the ischemic penumbra. Additionally, better glucose control was not obtained in the intensive group, except in a small time window. To date, a larger study examining an intensive glycemic protocol versus usual care on the effects of glucose control and infarct expansion would be of great interest in patients with stroke. In such a study, it would be critical to start treatment as early as possible.21

The INSULINFARCT study was designed to address these issues. INSULINFARCT is a randomized proof-of-concept trial comparing IIT versus control subcutaneous treatment initiated within 6 hours of stroke onset in carotid infarction. The study was powered to detect an increased efficiency of IIT on serum glucose control during the next 24 hours compared with usual care with subcutaneous insulin. The working hypothesis was that IIT, by better glucose control, would reduce subsequent IG on MRI because hyperglycemia is independently associated with increased IG.5,8,10,22

Materials and Methods

Study Design

The INSULINFARCT study was an academic monocenter (Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France), prospective, randomized, unblinded trial in patients with hyperacute stroke (<6 hours) to investigate insulin therapy management in the first 24 hours after admission. The first objective was to assess the efficiency of glycemic control achieved with intravenous insulin perfusion versus control subcutaneous insulin therapy over 24 hours. The second objective was to compare the MRI infarct growth between the 2 groups. The third objective was to compare the clinical and safety outcomes 90 days after stroke. The first and second objectives were planned to validate the working hypothesis, that is, that IIT, by better glucose control, would reduce subsequent IG on MRI. For recruitment reasons, the study initially designed for 2 years was extended to 4 years. There were no changes in the selection criteria or design during the recruitment period. The trial ended when the number of patients reached the required sample size for the primary objective.

Population

Eligible patients were prospectively enrolled and were consecutive patients from the Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital admitted between June 2007 and March 2011 who met the following criteria: (1) an ischemic stroke in the carotid territory proven by initial diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI); (2) an initial MRI with DWI <5 hours of stroke onset; (3) initiation of insulin treatment within 1 hour of MRI (<6 hours after stroke onset); and (4) an admission score on the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) between 5 and 25. Patients were eligible whatever their baseline serum glucose level and their non- or diabetic status. Symptom onset was defined as the last time the patient was seen in normal health. The exclusion criteria were life-threatening conditions that limited follow-up visits, preadmission modified Rankin Scale >2, or patients under legal protection. The neurological examination was assessed using the NIHSS at admission (before the MRI and any treatment), on Days 1 and 7. Blood pressure, heart rate, and temperature were recorded at admission and on Day 1. Body mass index and umbilical perimeter were measured.

The study was conducted according to good clinical practice guidelines and was approved by the local ethical committee. Written informed consent was obtained by the on-duty physician from each participant or from a legal proxy/family member before randomization. Consent was not immediately required if the patient had severe language disturbances, neglect, or loss of consciousness so that the insulin treatment could be initiated as soon as possible.

Randomization and Treatment Protocol

Randomization and Masking

Each participant was randomized 1:1 by a secure web site (Cleanweb, Telemedicine Technologies) between 2 groups: the IIT (intravenous insulin continuously) or the SIT (subcutaneous insulin every 4 hours) group. Randomization was previously entered into the system using a prespecified randomization list (random-number generator). The randomization list was made of 4 blocks of 45 patients. None of the investigators were aware of the randomization list or the number or size of the blocks. Treatment allocation was performed during the 24 hours after randomization. Physicians were unblinded to the patients’ treatment.

Treatment Protocol

In the IIT group, soluble human Actrapid insulin was administered in an intravenous continuous infusion with hourly dose adaptation to the capillary glucose test control (CGT) according to the nomogram shown in Figure 1A. In the SIT group, insulin was administered subcutaneously every 4 hours based on CGT values (Figure 1B). Nomograms were designed by the endocrinologic department. Insulin was stopped when the CGT value reached the lowest limit of the nomogram in each group. The stop point was <3.5 mmol/L in the IIT group and 8 mmol/L in the SIT group. Both groups have different target glucose thresholds because the aim of the study was to compare our usual management of glucose control (SIT group) with aggressive insulin therapy (IIT). Nevertheless, both nomograms were designed to achieve a targeted glucose control under the “toxic” threshold of 7 mmol/L. In both groups, the patients received saline infusion with potassium. Oral feeding was allowed if the neurologic deficit was minor.

In case of hypoglycemia (defined as a CGT <3 mmol/L), the patient received 10 mL of 30% glucose infusion and CGT was checked every 15 minutes. If CGT reached the objective of the arm treatment, the doses of the allocated treatment were divided by 2. After the 24 hours of the study treatment, the treatment was decided by the on-duty physician. The recommendation was to use

![Figure 1. Intensive treatment nomogram by intravenous continuous insulin (A) and subcutaneous insulin (B).](image-url)
either subcutaneous insulin every 4 or 8 hours, antidiabetic drugs, or no treatment at all. Intravenous insulin infusion was recommended to be stopped.

MRI Data and Analysis

MRI Parameters
The initial MRI was performed at admission (<5 hours) before randomization and the control MRI was performed between Days 1 and 3.

MRI was performed on a 3.0-T whole-body MR unit (Signa 3.0-T HDx; General Electric Medical System, Milwaukuee, WI) with an 8-channel head coil. The MRI protocol included 4 sequences: DWI, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery, intracranial time-of-flight MR angiography, and T2*-weighted sequence. Parameters could be found in Appendix I in the online-only Data Supplement.

MRI Assessment
The admission infarct volume was defined as the hypertensive area on initial DWI (b value=1000 s/mm²). It was delineated by interactive manual outlining using the Neurinfarct software (Intelligence in Medical Technology, Paris, France). The follow-up infarct volume was determined using identical methodology applied to the follow-up DWI. This method has been shown to be highly reproducible.23,24 The IG was defined as the difference between follow-up and admission DWI volumes. All volume determinations were performed by one author (C.R.) who was blinded to arm treatment. Intracranial occlusion and arterial recanalization were assessed on initial and follow-up MR angiography, respectively. Recanalization was considered in a 3-item scale: (1) patent or complete recanalization; (2) partial or minimal flow-related signal in the region of the arterial clot or displacement of the initial clot in a distal portion of the occluded artery; and (3) persistent occlusion.

Blood Sample Collection
Patients had venous blood glucose measurement taken at admission before MRI and at the end of the treatment. Blood samples were obtained on Day 1 to detect diabetes mellitus and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol level. Blood glucose, diabetes mellitus, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol measurements were centralized at the Pitie-Salpetriere Hospital biochemical laboratory. CGTs were performed using an Optium H apparatus according to manufacturer procedures (ABBOTT-MediSense; www.abbottdiabetescare.co.uk/healthcare-professionals/clinical-papers).

Outcome Scales
The modified Rankin Scale (mRS) was used to assess the outcome at discharge and at 90 days. A good outcome was defined as independence (mRS 0–2) and a poor outcome as moderate to severe disability (mRS 3–5). This scale was performed by trained neurologists who were not blinded to the arm treatment.

Statistical Analysis
The analysis was performed as intention-to-treat to reflect the clinical practice in a stroke unit where patients with acute stroke are treated as soon as possible. Analysis was performed by the statisticians of the trial (J.-C.R), blinded for treatment allocation, with Statistica software (StatSoft, Inc, Maison-Alfort, France).

Descriptive statistics are median and interquartile range (IQR). Comparisons of proportions were made by a χ² test; the quantitative variables were compared by t tests or repeated-measure analysis of variance. MRI volumes were compared by Mann-Whitney U tests because their distribution is not normal.23,24 ORs and their 95% CI were computed for all binarized data as a measure of the effect size.

Glucose Control
The primary objective of the study was to test the hypothesis that the percentage of patients with a mean CGT <7 mmol/L during the 24-hour protocol would be higher in the IIT than in the SIT group. This was a necessary step to prove that intensive management of stroke-related hyperglycemia would modify infarct growth.

The mean CGT was defined as the averaged of CGT values determined at H4 (4 hours after randomization), H8, H12, H16, H20, and H24. We calculated that it was necessary to include 82 patients per group to detect a difference of 20% in the proportion of patients with a mean CGT <7 mmol/L with an α risk of 5% and a 90% power. Considering possible exclusions due to missing data, the sample size of both groups was fixed at 90. It was prespecified in the protocol that patients with >3 missing CGT values would be excluded. We also compared the CGT values in both groups at specific time points (H4, H8, H12, H16, H20, and H24), the proportion of patients with CGT <7 mmol/L at each of these time points.

Secondary Objectives
The subsequent prespecified secondary efficacy outcome variable was the comparison of IG on MRI. Based on previous data,10,24 the study was sufficiently powered to detect a difference of 15 cm³ in the infarct growth volume assuming a SD of 30 cm³: 70 patients per group were necessary with an α risk of 5% and a 90% power. In addition, although this was not prespecified by the protocol, we had searched for treatment group and vessel patency effects on IG using a 2-way analysis of variance as was performed in a previous study.18 We also performed a broad analysis of determinants of infarct growth by running a stepwise multiple regression analysis to adjust with the baseline variables. The IG was the dependent variable (as a continuous variable) and the independent variables were the baseline clinically relevant variables such as: age, arm of insulin treatment (SIT=1 and IIT=2), baseline NIHSS, diabetes mellitus binary status (≥6.2%), thrombotic treatment, time between stroke and MRI, hypoglycemic events, and DWI volume at admission.

The other efficacy outcome, more exploratory, was the comparison of proportions of patients with good functional outcome at 3 months. We also analyzed functional outcome across the entire distribution of the mRS scores at Day 90 (χ² test, df=6). We finally ran a logistic regression analysis with good functional outcome as the dependent variable and with the same independent variables as the previous regression analysis on IG. In addition, we compared NIHSS at Day 1 and Day 7 after stroke onset.

The prespecified safety outcomes were hypoglycemias (defined by CGT <3 mmol/L), death within 3 months, and serious adverse events including symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhages (as defined as any increase in NIHSS >3 points during the first week attributed to CGT- or MRI-documented parenchymal hematomas), any neurological worsening (NIHSS >3 points), and any event that lengthened the hospital stay or was life-threatening.

Results
Population
Between June 2007 and March 2011, 230 patients were eligible and 180 were included in the study (Figure 2). The functional outcome and safety analyses were performed on the 180 patients in the intention-to-treat analysis. The analysis of glucose control was performed on 176 patients (89 in the SIT and 87 in the IIT groups) because 4 patients with >3 missing CGT values were excluded as prespecified in the protocol. In the per-protocol analysis, 6 patients were excluded because of violation of inclusion/exclusion criteria: vertebrobasilar stroke (n=2), mimicked stroke related to a dural fistula (n=1), preadmission mRS >2 (n=1), or because they were under legal protection (n=2). The MRI analysis was performed in 160 patients (80 in the control and 80 in the intensive group) because the control MRI was missing in 16 patients (6 early deaths, 3 comas, 7 follow-up MRIs performed after 3 days). Appendix II in the online-only Data
Supplement provides details and sensitivity analysis on patients with missing MRI data in each group.

Patient characteristics are presented in Table 1. The body mass index was higher in the IIT group \((P=0.02)\). Time to initial MRI tends to be earlier in the IIT group \((P=0.06)\). Although there was no statistical difference between groups for the other variables, patients tend to be older and less frequently treated by recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator in the SIT than in the IIT group. Fasting patients were 71% (63 of 89) in the SIT and 70% (61 of 87) in the IIT group. The number of patients under oral hypoglycemic agents before admission was 12.5% (13 of 89) in the SIT and 7% (6 of 87) in the IIT group. The initial CGTs were similar in both groups with a median at 6.6 mmol/L in the SIT group versus 6.7 mmol/L in the IIT group \((P=0.48)\). Proportion of patients with initial CGT \(\geq 7\) mmol/L were 44% (39 of 89) in the SIT group versus 39% (34 of 87) in the IIT group \((P=0.6)\). The median delay between initial MRI and randomization was similar (39 minutes; IQR, 32–49 versus 37 minutes; IQR, 29–48; \(P=0.89)\).

**Glucose Control**

The primary end point of a mean CGT \(< 7\) mmol/L was reached in 95.4% (83 of 87) of patients in the IIT and in 67.4% (60 of 89) of patients in SIT group \((P<0.0001)\). The OR for the IIT group was 9.5 (95% CI, 3.2–28.6). The mean 24-hour CGT was lower in the IIT group (5.7 mmol/L; IQR, 5.2–5.9 versus 6.6 mmol/L; IQR, 5.6–7.3; \(P<0.0001\)). Figure 3 shows the CGT time course in both groups. The time course of CGT showed a significant group*time interaction (Figure 3; repeated-measure analysis of variance, \(P=0.005)\). The proportion of patients with a CGT \(< 7\) mmol/L at H4 was 86% in the IIT group and 58% in the SIT group \((P=0.001); Figure 3\). Nearly all patients (98%) received some insulin in the intensive group (24-hour dose, 18.5 UI; IQR, 9–24), whereas only 55% of patients received insulin in the control group (dose, 2 UI; IQR, 0–4; \(P=0.0001\) between groups).

**MRI Outcome**

As shown in Table 1, the initial infarct volume was similar in both groups as was the percentage of intracranial arterial occlusions. The control MRI was performed at a similar delay in the IIT and SIT groups (29.5 hours; IQR, 27.2–36.2 versus 29.4 hours; IQR, 26.1–34.3; \(P=0.74)\). The IG was significantly larger in the IIT group (median, 27.9 cm³; IQR, 3.5–64.2 versus 10.8 cm³; IQR, 2.6–41; 60% of difference; \(P=0.04)\). The rate of middle cerebral artery recanalization was similar in the IIT and SIT groups (73.5% versus 79%; \(P=0.51)\). The analysis of IG according to intracranial arterial patency and treatment group (Figure 4) showed significant patency \((F[2, 148]=10.7, P<0.0001)\) and treatment \((F[1, 148]=4.4, P=0.03)\) effects but no significant interaction \((F[2, 148]=2.1, P=0.12)\), although a larger IG occurred in patients with persistent arterial occlusion treated with intravenous insulin, like in McCormick et al. The final model predicting the infarct growth \((R^2=0.306, P<0.001)\) retained 3 variables in the model: arm of insulin treatment (coefficient 18.4, \(P=0.006)\), baseline NIHSS (coefficient: 2.6, \(P<0.0001\)), and admission DWI volume (coefficient: 0.4, \(P<0.0001\)). Variables not retained in the model were age, thrombolytic treatment, time between stroke onset and MRI, diabetes mellitus status, and hypoglycemic events.

**Functional Outcome**

Good functional outcome was achieved in the same proportion of patients in both groups (45.6% [41 of 90] versus 45.6% [41 of 90]; \(P=0.88)\) with an OR for the IIT group of 1 (95% CI, 0.6–1.8). The final model predicting good
Functional outcome retained 3 variables: age (coefficient: −0.04, \(P=0.0007\)), baseline NIHSS (coefficient: −0.13, \(P=0.0001\)), and admission DWI volume (coefficient: −0.02, \(P=0.01\)). The distribution analysis across all scores of the mRS did not show any significant shift in the IIT group compared with the SIT group (\(P=0.16\)). NIHSS scores were similar between groups at Day 1 (15; IQR, 8–19 versus 14; IQR, 8–20) and Day 7 (11; IQR, 5–17 versus 10.5; IQR, 4–19).

**Table 1. Baseline Characteristics at Admission**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SIT (N=89)</th>
<th>IIT (N=87)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>69.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male/female, no. (%)</td>
<td>46 (51.7%)</td>
<td>50 (57.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS at baseline</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between stroke onset and tPA, min</td>
<td>173 (138–210)</td>
<td>164 (129–206)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission serum glucose level, mmol/L</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBA1C, %</td>
<td>5.5–7.6</td>
<td>6.1–7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously known diabetes, no. (%)</td>
<td>15 (16.8%)</td>
<td>7 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients with HBA1C ≥6.2%, no. (%)</td>
<td>22 (24.7%)</td>
<td>16 (18.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol, g/L</td>
<td>1.1 (0.9–1.4)</td>
<td>1 (0.8–1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI*</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilical perimeter, cm</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to initial MRI, min</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial DWI volume, cm³</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracranial occlusion</td>
<td>71 (80%)</td>
<td>72 (83%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers are median and interquartile range.
SIT indicates subcutaneous insulin therapy; IIT, intensive insulin therapy; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; tPA, tissue-type plasminogen activator; HBA1C, diabetes mellitus; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; DWI, diffusion-weighted imaging; MCA, middle cerebral artery; ICA, internal carotid artery.

*\(P<0.05\) for between-group comparison.

**Safety Outcome**

Five patients (5.7%) had 8 asymptomatic hypoglycemic episodes in the IIT group versus none in the SIT group (\(P=0.07\) for the number of patients and \(P=0.02\) for the number of events). None of the hypoglycemic episodes was considered symptomatic (worsening in NIHSS or consciousness disturbance). If we consider a less conservative threshold to define hypoglycemia (≤3.6 mmol/L), these rates were 1.1% (one of 89) versus 34.5% (30 of 87) of hypoglycemic events for 1.1% (one of 89) and 19.5% (17 of 87) patients in the SIT versus the IIT group.
Table 2. Serious Adverse Events (SAEs) During the Trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIT (N=90)</th>
<th>IIT (N=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Death, no. (%)</td>
<td>14 (15.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with SAE, no. (%)</td>
<td>32 (35.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAE including death, no. (%)</td>
<td>49 (54.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAE, no. (%)</td>
<td>35 (38.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological causes</td>
<td>23/35 (66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension/edema</td>
<td>13 (37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic IC hemorrhage</td>
<td>6 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic EC hemorrhage</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New events (TIA, stroke)</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>2 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraneurological causes</td>
<td>12/35 (34%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary infection</td>
<td>5 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary embolism</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary edema</td>
<td>3 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary events</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>2 (5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P values for between-group comparisons were >0.05.

SIT indicates subcutaneous insulin therapy; IIT, intensive insulin therapy; IC, intracranial; EC, extracranial; TIA, transient ischemic attack.

Analysis of safety data found 32 versus 25 patients with serious adverse events in the SIT and IIT groups, respectively (Table 2; P=0.33). There was no significant difference in death at 3 months in the 2 groups (P=0.36) with an OR for the IIT group of 0.6 (95% CI, 0.25–1.5). Serious adverse events including or not including death occurred in the same proportions in the 2 treatment arms. The most frequent serious adverse event was neurological worsening due to infarct extension or malignant edema. The most frequent serious adverse event was neurological worsening due to infarct extension or malignant edema. Symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage occurred in 7 patients in the IIT group and 6 in the SIT group. Asymptomatic intracranial hemorrhages, with no neurological worsening, were similar in the SIT and IIT groups (34 of 88 [38%] versus 35 of 89 [39%]; P=0.98).

Discussion

This trial has confirmed a better control of CGT by an intensive intravenous treatment with insulin versus a usual subcutaneous insulin protocol. The median delay of treatment initiation was <3 hours and efficient glucose control was only achieved in the IIT group. The IIT treatment was more effective than the SIT treatment in controlling capillary glucose level early after stroke with 95.4% of patients having a mean CGT <7 mmol/L during the 24 hours of treatment. Furthermore, efficient glucose control was observed in 86% of the patients at the first time point, which was 4 hours after the initiation of treatment. In addition, the intravenous saline–insulin regimen appeared to be safe despite more hypoglycemic episodes but not associated with more serious adverse events. Hypoglycemia occurred only in 5.7% (n=5) of the IIT group, a rate in the lower range of previously reported stroke IIT studies.

This study has some limitations due to its monocentric and open-label design. However, the patient sample was representative of the usual care of the population treated in our stroke center because 78% of eligible patients were included in the study. Although DWI was found highly correlated with relevant clinical outcome in previous studies, DWI volumetry only allows an approximation of initial and final infarct size. This study was probably underpowered to detect a modest clinical change on the functional outcome although a favorable functional outcome in favor of IIT seems unlikely when considering the negative result found on DWI. Another and independent limit concerns that only few patients included in this study were diabetic (18% and 24%, respectively, in the IIT and SIT groups) and even few have very high glucose levels. This may limit the conclusions for this specific population for whom the poststroke hyperglycemia profile might be managed differently.

Summary

In conclusion, in the INSULINFARCT study, the working hypothesis was not confirmed; despite rapid and efficient was performed in an intensive care unit with highly trained nurses, previously formed to other protocols using scaled therapies. However, hypoglycemic events were not retained in the logistic regression analysis predicting good functional outcome. There were no hypoglycemic episodes in the SIT group.

Despite the excellent efficiency–safety profile of the IIT regimen, the infarct growth was not smaller in the IIT group. This might be disappointing because animal and human imaging data have shown that acute hyperglycemia increases infarct growth by exaggerating the transformation of penumbra into infarction and perhaps reperfusion injury. However, our results are consistent with those of McCormick and colleagues who reported that IIT was not associated with reduced infarct growth but with an increased IG in patients with persistent occlusion in a small series of patients. In the present study, the largest infarct growth was also observed in the IIT persistent occlusion group. Furthermore, several studies have shown that preischemic insulin reduces IG in hyperglycemic animals, but there are very few reports on the effects of postischemic insulin treatment. In one study involving 12 rats, early death occurred in 5 animals and infarct size in the remaining rats was similar to those of the control groups. Similarly, 4 of 10 hyperglycemic cats receiving postischemic insulin died from malignant edema and the remaining had the largest infarct size. We have no explanation for this discrepancy between preclinical and clinical data but they clearly indicate the need for a reappraisal of the pathophysiological models of glucose energy metabolism alterations in the early phase of focal cerebral ischemia.

Despite the increased infarct growth in the IIT group, the functional outcome was similar in both groups perhaps because the study was not powered to detect clinical changes. The study has some limitations due to its monocentric and open-label design. However, the patient sample was representative of the usual care of the population treated in our stroke center because 78% of eligible patients were included in the study. Although DWI was found highly correlated with relevant clinical outcome in previous studies, DWI volumetry only allows an approximation of initial and final infarct size. Nevertheless, follow-up DWI volume performed between Day 1 and 3 could be also an advantage because it decreases lost at follow-up and dead at follow-up patients and it has been shown to be highly correlated with 30-days fluid-attenuated inversion recovery-determined volume. The study was probably underpowered to detect a modest clinical change on the functional outcome although a favorable functional outcome in favor of IIT seems unlikely when considering the negative result found on DWI. Another and independent limit concerns that only few patients included in this study were diabetic (18% and 24%, respectively, in the IIT and SIT groups) and even few have very high glucose levels. This may limit the conclusions for this specific population for whom the poststroke hyperglycemia profile might be managed differently.
control of poststroke hyperglycemia in acute stroke, intravenous insulin-controlled glucose did not reduce infarct growth but rather increased it. IIT cannot be recommended at the present time.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

1. Appendix 1: MRI parameters

Axial isotropic DWI spin echo EPI included 24 slices of 5 mm thickness, with an interslice gap of 0.5 mm, a 280x280 mm FOV, a 96x128 matrix, TR/TE= 5800/86.4 ms. A baseline T2 acquisition and a diffusion-weighted acquisition using a diffusion gradient of 1000 s/mm^2 were both acquired within 62 seconds. Axial fast-FLAIR sequence parameters were: 5-mm axial with an interslice gap of 0.5 mm, 256x192 matrix, 240x240 mm FOV, TR/TE = 9800/159 ms, inversion time (TI) = 2300 ms. Time-of-flight MR angiography was set to the following parameters: vascular time-of-flight by spoiled gradient-recalled acquisition, 1.4-mm axial slice thickness, 256x192 matrix, 240x240 mm FOV, TR = 34 ms, effective TE = 6.3 ms, FA of 25° for an acquisition time of 2 minutes 39 seconds. The T2*-weighted sequence parameters were: 5-mm axial slices with an interslice gap of 0.5 mm, 256x128 matrix, 240x240 mm field-of-view (FOV), repetition time (TR) = 580 ms, echo time (TE) =15 ms, flip angle (FA)=20°.
Appendix 2 : Analyses concerning patients with missing MRI data.

In this trial, we had 11% (20/180) of missing MRI data in the intention-to-treat analysis. Ten MRI data (5.5%) were missing in each arm of the treatment.

Here we report the comparison of infarct growth between the SIT (Subcutaneous Insulin Therapy) and the IIT (Intensive Insulin Therapy) concerning that missing patients for the secondary objective.

First, we have compared the baseline variables in these two groups of subjects (see the table below).

There was no statistical difference (p>0.05) for any of these baseline variables, showing that bias due to missing data was minimized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median, IQR</th>
<th>SIT</th>
<th>IIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=10</td>
<td>N=10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66-89</td>
<td>64-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F) n (%)</td>
<td>4/6 (40%)</td>
<td>5/5 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS at baseline</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-24</td>
<td>11-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombolytics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- n, (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between stroke onset-tPA (min)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission serum glucose level (mmol/l)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.5-8.2</td>
<td>6.1-8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to initial MRI (min)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>108-208</td>
<td>122-206</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondly, we have performed a sensitivity analysis on the whole population (n=180), with a missing data imputation for 20 patients. We have chosen to impute the missing infarct growth volumes by the median of the infarct growth which corresponds to the 3-months functional outcome of each patient.

The median of the infarct growth based on the 3-months modified Rankin Scale (mRS) in the whole population was:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median infarct growth (cm$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-months mRS 0 to 2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-months mRS 3 to 5</td>
<td>42.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months MRS 6</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After missing data imputation, we computed a Mann Whitney U test to compare the infarct growth volume in the SIT and IIT groups.

The median IG was still lower in the SIT group than in the IIT group (median, 95%CI: 13.7, 7.5-27.4 cm$^3$ vs. 27.5, 16.6-38.4 cm$^3$, p=0.04).
超急性期脳卒中患者における強化インスリン療法と皮下インスリン療法の比較 無作為化 INSULINFARCT 試験の結果
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