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Background and Purpose—Paresis of the upper extremity after stroke is not effectively solved by existing therapies. We investigated whether mental imagery training combined with electromyogram-triggered electric stimulation improved motor function of the paretic upper extremity in patients with chronic stroke and induced cortical changes.

Methods—Fourteen subjects with chronic stroke (≥12 months) were randomly allocated to receive mental imagery training combined with electromyogram-triggered electric stimulation (n=7) or generalized functional electric stimulation (n=7) on the forearm extensor muscles of the paretic extremity in 2 20-minute daily sessions 5 days a week for 4 weeks. The upper extremity component of the Fugl-Meyer Motor Assessment, the Motor Activity Log, the modified Barthel Index, and 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose brain positron emission tomography were measured before and after the intervention.

Results—The group receiving mental imagery training combined with electromyogram-triggered electric stimulation exhibited significant improvements in the upper extremity component of the Fugl-Meyer Motor Assessment after intervention (median, 7; interquartile range, 5–8; P<0.05), but the group receiving functional electric stimulation did not (median, 0; interquartile range, 0–3). Differences in score changes between the 2 groups were significant. The mental imagery training combined with electromyogram-triggered electric stimulation group showed significantly increased metabolism in the contralesional supplementary motor, precentral, and postcentral gyri (Puncorrected<0.001) after the intervention, but the functional electric stimulation group showed no significant differences.

Conclusions—Mental imagery training combined with electromyogram-triggered electric stimulation improved motor function of the paretic extremity in patients with chronic stroke. The intervention increased metabolism in the contralesional motor–sensory cortex.

Clinical Trial Registration—URL: https://e-irb.khmccri.or.kr/eirb/receipt/index.html?code=02&status=5. Unique identifier: KHUHMDIRB 1008-02. (Stroke. 2012;43:00-00.)
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code specifying the group were used. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are in Figure 1.

This study was approved by the local Institutional Review Board, and informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

A Mentamove (Mentamove Deutschland GmbH) was used for MIT-EMG and a Microstim (Medel GmbH) for functional electric stimulation (FES). The same method was used to attach electrodes on the forearm extensor muscles for the 2 different interventions. Both interventions were carried out 2 20-minute sessions a day 5 days a week for 4 weeks, and usual treatment was permitted.

MIT-EMG was in 3 stages: mental imagery (maximum 12 seconds), stimulation (6 seconds), and relaxation (12 seconds). Each stage proceeded according to the working menu indicated on the monitor of the instrument. The mental imagery used in this study was a simple movement (vigorous waving of the entire arm). This imagery was selected because it could be executed easily by subjects.

To define the electric potentials detected by the instrument during MIT-EMG, a diagnostic electromyograph (Medelec Synergy system, Version 11) using surface electrodes (20-mm diameter disk; Hurev) was used. During mental imagery, several small waveforms with amplitudes under 50 \( \mu \)V were observed on the EMG screen. We reasoned that the potentials were due to the end-plate potential, not to the motor unit action potential related to voluntary muscle contraction.\(^1\)

FES consisted of cycles of contraction and rest by preset, automatic electric stimulation. Biphasic pulses with a frequency of 35 Hz and a pulse width of 200 \( \mu \)S were applied for 12 seconds.

The primary outcome measure was the upper extremity component of the Fugl-Meyer Motor Assessment. Secondary measures were the Amount of Use and Quality of Movement of the Motor Activity Log, the modified Ashworth Scale, and the modified Barthel Index. Each measurement was completed before and after intervention by 2 occupational therapists blinded to the intervention.

Positron mission tomography images were obtained from all patients before and after interventions using a Gemini TF16 positron mission tomography scanner (Phillips Healthcare). At 1 hour after an intravenous injection of 185 MBq of \(^{18}\)F-fluorodeoxyglucose, emission scanning was performed for 10 minutes.

Statistical Analysis

The Mann–Whitney \( U \) test was conducted to compare the 2 groups before the intervention and the changes in scores after the intervention. The Wilcoxon signed-ranks test was used to compare measures before and after the intervention in each group. Data were analyzed using SPSS Version 18.0 for Windows. Results were considered significant at \( P<0.05 \).

Statistical analysis of positron mission tomography images was performed using statistical parametric mapping software (SPM2; Institute of Neurology, University College London, UK).\(^2\) The lesioned hemisphere side was standardized to the left so that the final output was a set of single hemispheric lesions located in the “left” hemisphere.

Results

Completing the intervention were 14 enrolled subjects with baseline characteristics in Figure 1.

No measures showed significant differences between the 2 groups before intervention. The upper extremity component of the Fugl-Meyer Motor Assessment scores increased for the MIT-EMG group after intervention \( (P<0.05) \). This was caused by a noticeable increase in the upper extremity...
component of the Fugl-Meyer Motor Assessment shoulder and wrist scales. However, this parameter did not show a significant change in the FES group after intervention. The difference in score changes between the 2 groups was significant (Table).

The modified Ashworth Scale improved for the MIT-EMG group after intervention, but it did not for the FES group. The modified Ashworth Scale, Amount of Use and Quality of Movement of the Motor Activity Log, the modified Ashworth Scale, and the modified Barthel Index showed no significant differences in changes in scores between the 2 groups.

SPM analyses showed significantly increased cerebral glucose metabolism after 4 weeks in the supplementary motor (coordinate x, y, z = 14, 18, 10; P < 0.001), precentral (coordinate x, y, z = 52, 2, 10; P < 0.001), and postcentral gyri (coordinate x, y, z = 18, −52, 78; P = 0.001) of the contralateral hemisphere in the MIT-EMG group compared to baseline. Cerebral glucose metabolism in the FES group did not change (Figure 2).

Discussion

MIT-EMG showed a greater improvement in motor function of the paretic upper extremity than FES. Also, MIT-EMG increased cerebral glucose metabolism in the supplementary motor, precentral, and postcentral gyri of the contralateral hemisphere, whereas FES showed no significant differences.

MIT-EMG is similar to generalized EMG-triggered electric stimulation in that electric potentials generated by a subject are used as a trigger to induce electric stimulation. However, MIT-EMG uses electric potentials generated by mental imagery without real motion or observable muscle contractions, and EMG-triggered electric stimulation applies signals generated through voluntary muscle contractions.

The advantage of MIT-EMG is that it can be used in patients with early or chronic stroke who have Medical Research Council Grade 1 muscle strength. In comparison, generalized EMG-triggered electric stimulation or constraint-
induced movement therapy are universally applicable in patients with Medical Research Council Grade 2 or greater muscle strength. Thus, these findings suggest that MIT-EMG can be recommended as a tool to improve motor function of paretic extremities in stroke patients before other interventions.

In this study, the improvement of motor function in the paretic upper extremity by MIT-EMG was associated with increased metabolism in the contralesional supplementary motor area, postcentral and precentral gyri, and superior parietal area. These results suggested that MIT-EMG activated the contralesional sensory and motor cortex. That is, intervention improved the paretic extremity motor function, giving rise to activation in areas not activated by movements of the nonparetic extremity such as the contralesional sensory-motor cortex, superior parietal cortex, premotor area, and supplementary motor area.

In conclusion, MIT-EMG improved motor function of the paretic extremity in patients with chronic stroke and increased metabolism in the contralesional motor–sensory cortex.
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