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Background and Purpose—Patients with cryptogenic ischemic stroke may have undetected paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (PAF). We established the Stroke and Monitoring for PAF in Real Time (SMART) Registry to determine the yield of 30-day outpatient PAF monitoring in cryptogenic ischemic stroke.

Methods—The SMART Registry was a 3-year, prospective multicenter registry of 239 patients with cryptogenic ischemic stroke undergoing 30-day outpatient autotriggered PAF detection in Kaiser Permanente Northern California.

Results—In intention-to-monitor analysis, PAF was detected in 29 of 239 patients (12.1%; 95% CI, 8.6%–16.9%). After retrospective chart review was performed, a new diagnosis of PAF was confirmed in 26 of 236 patients (11.0%; 95% CI, 7.6%–15.7%). The majority of detected PAF events were asymptomatic; only 6 of 98 recorded PAF events (6.1%) were patient-triggered or associated with symptoms. Conclusions—Approximately one in 9 patients with cryptogenic ischemic stroke was found to have new PAF within 30 days. Routine monitoring in this population should be strongly considered. (Stroke. 2012;43:00-00.)
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**Results**

Three hundred thirty-four study orders were placed, and 239 patients (71.6%) completed a loop recorder study. Monitors were worn for 24.5±8.7 days. PAF was detected in 29 patients (12.1%; 95% CI, 8.3%–16.9%), and PAF episodes ≥30 seconds were seen in 16 patients (6.7%; 95% CI, 3.9%–10.6%). After 3 patients were identified with prior evidence of PAF based on retrospective chart review, a new diagnosis of PAF was made in 26 of 236 patients (11.0%; 95% CI, 7.6%–15.7%). In 2 patients, both PAF and atrial flutter were detected. Monitoring duration was similar between patients with or without detected PAF (median, 28 versus 28 days; P=0.61). We did not detect significant differences in patient characteristics between those with or without PAF (Table).

In patients with PAF, the first episode was recorded an average of 11.4±8.6 days after initiation of monitoring with a median of 2 (interquartile range, 1–3) events detected per patient. Twenty-four percent of events were detected late in the 30-day window (Figure). Most PAF events were asymptomatic; only 6 of 98 PAF events (6.1%) were from a patient-triggered recording or were associated with patient-reported symptoms.

**Discussion and Conclusion**

This is the largest multicenter registry to date of long-term, outpatient monitoring for PAF among patients with CIS. The yield of the test (11%–12%) suggests that many patients with CIS may have undiscovered PAF. Based on the estimated 5.8 million people in the United States with a history of stroke and the prevalence of CIS, our results suggest that approximately 200,000 patients in the United States may have CIS associated with PAF.

The majority (approximately 94%) of PAF events in our study were subclinical and thus would have been missed without autotriggered AF monitoring. Recent data from patients with implanted pacemakers or implantable cardioverter defibrillators have confirmed the risk of stroke with subclinical AF. Also, many PAF events in our study were detected late in the 30-day recording window, underscoring the need for prolonged monitoring in this population.

Our study has limitations. Other monitoring methods (such as implanted PAF monitors) or study designs (such as a single center with rigid control over stroke workup testing) might...
have detected a higher incidence of PAF. We do not have data on how many patients were “prescreened” with inpatient telemetry. We did not include or exclude patients on the basis of echocardiographic findings. The 90-second recording epoch of the used device prevented a meaningful analysis of PAF event duration. We did not clinically link PAF detection to anticoagulation, and it remains to be proven that the specific group of patients with CIS with PAF would have the same benefit from anticoagulation as seen in large randomized controlled trials of anticoagulation for AF.

Our results, taken together with similar results in smaller studies,\(^5\) suggest that the yield of 30-day monitoring for PAF in CIS is high enough to merit consideration of routine testing in this patient population.
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