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Framingham Stroke Risk Score and Cognitive Impairment for Predicting First-Time Stroke in the Oldest Old

Behnam Sabayan, MD, MSc; Jacobijn Gussekloo, MD, PhD; Wouter de Ruijter, MD, PhD; Rudi G.J. Westendorp, MD, PhD; Anton J.M. de Craen, PhD

Background and Purpose—Predictive value of the conventional risk factors for stroke attenuates with age. Cognitive impairment has been implicated as a potential predictor for stroke in older subjects. Our aim was to compare the Framingham stroke risk score with cognitive functioning for predicting first-time stroke in a cohort of the oldest old individuals.

Methods—We included 480 subjects, aged 85 years, from the Leiden 85-plus Study. At baseline, data on the Framingham stroke risk score and the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score were obtained. Risk of first-time stroke was estimated in tertiles of Framingham and MMSE scores. Receiver operating characteristic curves with corresponding areas under the curves (AUCs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were constructed for both Framingham and MMSE scores.

Results—Subjects with high Framingham risk score compared with those with low Framingham risk score did not have a higher risk of stroke (hazard ratio, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.39–1.54). Conversely, subjects with high levels of cognitive impairment compared with those with low levels of cognitive impairment had a higher risk of stroke (hazard ratio, 2.85; 95% CI, 1.48–5.51). In contrast to the Framingham risk score (AUCs, 0.48; 95% CI, 0.40–0.56), MMSE score had discriminative power to predict stroke (AUCs, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.57–0.72). There was a significant difference between AUCs for Framingham risk score and MMSE score (P=0.006).

Conclusions—In the oldest old, the Framingham stroke risk score is not predictive for first-time stroke. In contrast, cognitive impairment, as assessed by MMSE score, identifies subjects at higher risk for stroke. (Stroke. 2013;44:00-00.)
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Oldest old subjects form a growing part of the stroke population in developed countries. Because stroke in very old age puts a great burden on patients and health care systems, novel strategies for early identification of very old subjects at high risk for stroke is crucial.

With advancing age, conventional vascular risk factors, such as hypertension and hypercholesterolemia, lose their predictive value for stroke. This phenomenon has raised doubt regarding whether well-established predictive models such as Framingham stroke risk score, which were constructed based on such factors in the middle-aged and younger elderly, can accurately identify very old subjects at high risk for stroke. Cognitive impairment is common in old age and is strongly associated with brain vascular pathologies and disturbances in cerebrovascular hemodynamics. Some studies have shown that impaired cognitive function is a potential predictor for first-time stroke in middle-aged and younger elderly people. Whether these findings can be extrapolated to very old subjects is unclear.

The Framingham stroke risk score has been validated in subjects <85 years, and there is a lack of evidence regarding the predictive value of cognitive impairment for first-time stroke in the oldest old. The aim of our study was to investigate the performance of Framingham stroke risk score and cognitive impairment in predicting 5-year risk of first-time stroke in a population-based sample of very old subjects. We hypothesized that level of cognitive impairment better-predicts risk of stroke in the oldest old.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Participants

The Leiden 85-plus Study is an observational, prospective, population-based cohort study of inhabitants of Leiden, The Netherlands. Between September 1997 and September 1999, all inhabitants of the 1912–1914 birth cohort (n=705) were contacted in the month of their 85th birthday. There were no selection criteria for demographic features or health status. Fourteen people died before enrollment; a total of 590 (397 women and 202 men) subjects agreed to participate (85%). As described previously, there was
At baseline, global cognitive function was assessed in all participants using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). The MMSE assesses the following 5 areas of cognitive function: orientation, registration, attention and calculation, recall, and language. The MMSE has a maximum score of 30, and higher scores indicate better cognitive function. We categorized participants on the basis of the tertiles of MMSE score as having low (MMSE >27), intermediate (MMSE=25–27), or high (MMSE <25) levels of cognitive impairment.

Framingham Stroke Risk Score
For each participant, we calculated the Framingham stroke risk score for stroke risk in 5 years. Components of Framingham risk score include age, sex, systolic blood pressure, antihypertensive medication, diabetes mellitus, smoking, history of cardiovascular diseases, and ECG-based left ventricular hypertrophy and atrial fibrillation. Because all participants were 85 years old, age did not contribute to differences in absolute risk scores.

Vascular Risk Factors Included in the Framingham Stroke Risk Score

Blood Pressure
Using a mercury sphygmomanometer, blood pressure was measured at baseline in the seated position. During the home visits, 2 blood pressure measurements were performed 2 weeks apart and the average of these 2 measurements was used in the analyses. Blood pressure measurements were recorded after ≥25 minutes of rest and no vigorous exercise in the preceding 30 minutes. The systolic blood pressure was measured at Korotkoff sound 1, and the diastolic blood pressure was measured at Korotkoff sound 5. Use of antihypertensive medication was extracted from pharmacy records.

Diabetes Mellitus
Diabetes mellitus was considered present when included in the records of the primary care physician, when nonfasting glucose concentrations were >11.0 mmol/L, or when a participant was using antidiabetic medication according to their pharmacy records.

Smoking
All participants were interviewed about present and past smoking habits. Current and past smokers of cigarettes, cigars, and pipes were judged to have a history of smoking.

Cardiovascular Diseases
Data on history of cardiovascular diseases, including coronary artery disease, heart failure, or peripheral vascular disease, were obtained through interviewing the general practitioners or nursing home physicians.

ECG-Based Left Ventricular Hypertrophy and Atrial Fibrillation
ECGs were recorded on a Siemens Sicard 440 (Erlangen, Germany) and transmitted to the ECG core laboratory in the Glasgow Royal Infirmary for automated Minnesota coding. All ECGs were reviewed to exclude coding errors caused by technical causes. Left ventricular hypertrophy was defined by Minnesota codes 3-1-0, 3-3-0, or 3-4-0. Atrial fibrillation was defined as the presence of Minnesota code 8-3-1.

Cognitive Assessment
At baseline, global cognitive function was assessed in all participants using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE).
stroke had significantly lower MMSE score at age 85 years ($P<0.001$).

Figure 1 shows cumulative incidence of stroke by tertiles of Framingham and MMSE scores. There was no significant difference in cumulative incidence of stroke among subjects with low, intermediate, and high Framingham risk scores (log-rank $P=0.39$). In contrast, there was a significant difference in cumulative incidence of stroke among subjects with low, intermediate, and high levels of cognitive impairment (log-rank $P=0.004$).

A number of stroke events and risk of stroke in tertiles of Framingham and MMSE scores are presented in Table 2.

Incidence rate of stroke was 23.3 (95% CI, 11.1–35.5) per 1000 person-years in subjects with high Framingham risk score, 37.1 (95% CI, 21.9–52.3) per 1000 person-years in subjects with intermediate Framingham risk score, and 30.2 (95% CI, 16.6–43.8) per 1000 person-years in subjects with low Framingham risk score. Incidence rate of stroke was 49.5 (95% CI, 31.2–67.8) per 1000 person-years in subjects with high level of cognitive impairment, 27.8 (95% CI, 13.7–41.9) per 1000 person-years in subjects with intermediate level of cognitive impairment, and 17.4 (95% CI, 8.1–26.8) per 1000 person-years in subjects with low level of cognitive impairment. Furthermore, subjects with high Framingham risk

---

**Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Study Participants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>All (n=480)</th>
<th>Yes (n=56)</th>
<th>No (n=424)</th>
<th>$P^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men, n (%)</td>
<td>163 (33.8)</td>
<td>16 (27.6)</td>
<td>147 (34.7)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of CVD, n (%)</td>
<td>283 (58.7)</td>
<td>37 (66.1)</td>
<td>246 (58.0)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of DM, n (%)</td>
<td>75 (15.6)</td>
<td>8 (14.3)</td>
<td>67 (15.8)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>233 (48.3)</td>
<td>24 (42.1)</td>
<td>209 (49.3)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation, n (%)</td>
<td>42 (8.7)</td>
<td>6 (10.3)</td>
<td>36 (8.5)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular hypertrophy, n (%)</td>
<td>48 (10.0)</td>
<td>8 (13.8)</td>
<td>40 (9.4)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of antihypertensive medication, n (%)</td>
<td>213 (44.3)</td>
<td>22 (38.6)</td>
<td>191 (45)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg, mean (SD)</td>
<td>155 (18.3)</td>
<td>153.4 (20.1)</td>
<td>156 (18.0)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg, mean (SD)</td>
<td>77 (9.3)</td>
<td>77.8 (9.8)</td>
<td>76.9 (9.3)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVD indicates cardiovascular diseases; DM, diabetes mellitus; IQR, inter quartile range; and MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination.

$^*$Significant difference between subjects with and without stroke occurrence.

---

Figure 1. Cumulative incidence of fatal and nonfatal stroke during 5 years of follow-up according to the level of Framingham risk score (A) and cognitive impairment (B). df indicates degrees of freedom.
score did not have a higher risk of stroke compared with those with low Framingham risk score (hazard ratio, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.39–1.54), whereas subjects with high levels of cognitive impairment were at higher risk for stroke compared with those with low levels of cognitive impairment (hazard ratio, 2.85; 95% CI, 1.48–5.51). We further explored whether cognitive performance predicts risk of first-time stroke independent of sociodemographic and cardiovascular factors and found similar associations between cognitive impairment and risk of stroke (Table I in the online-only Data Supplement).

Figure 2 shows the receiver operating characteristic curve for Framingham risk score and MMSE score in prediction of stroke events. Framingham risk score did not predict stroke (AUC, 0.48; 95% CI, 0.40–0.56). Conversely, MMSE score had discriminative power to predict stroke (AUC, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.57–0.72). The AUC for MMSE score was significantly higher than the AUC for Framingham stroke risk score (P=0.006). In addition, to explore whether the association between cognitive impairment and risk of stroke was not only dependent on subjects with very low cognitive function, we used a sensitivity analysis to excluded subjects with MMSE score ≤16 (n=42) and found similar outcomes (data not shown). In another sensitivity analysis, to test whether our findings were not because of short-term stroke events, we excluded subjects who experienced stroke in the first year of follow-up (n=14). This sensitivity analysis showed that the predictive value of MMSE score for stroke is not dependent on short-term stroke events (Table II in the online-only Data Supplement).

### Discussion

In a cohort of very old individuals without a previous history of stroke, we observed that Framingham stroke risk score, composed of conventional vascular risk factors, did not predict risk of stroke. In contrast, impaired cognitive function assessed by low scores on the MMSE identified subjects at higher risk of stroke.

A growing body of evidence indicates that the predictive value of conventional vascular risk factors for mortality and cardiovascular events attenuates with age. Previously, we reported that in very old people from the general population with no history of cardiovascular disease, risk factors such as hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes mellitus, and left ventricular hypertrophy did not predict cardiovascular mortality. Consistently, results from the current study suggest that conventional vascular risk factors included in the Framingham stroke risk score may not predict higher risk of stroke in the oldest old. Well-established prediction models for stroke are basically designed for middle-aged or younger elderly people. The Framingham stroke risk score is not an exception because it was constructed in a study population with an average age of 65 years and is validated for subjects <85 years. This might explain why the Framingham risk score in our study population consisting of very old subjects did not predict risk of stroke.

**Table 2. Risk of Stroke in Relation to Framingham Risk Score and Level of Cognitive Impairment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Framingham 5-year stroke risk</th>
<th>No. of Participants</th>
<th>No. of Stroke Events</th>
<th>Incidence Rate* (95% CI)</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low (3.7%–13.2%)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30.2 (16.6–37.2)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate (13.3%–22.2%)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37.1 (21.9–52.3)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.66–2.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (22.3%–97.4%)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23.3 (11.1–35.4)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.39–1.54)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive impairment</th>
<th>No. of Participants</th>
<th>No. of Stroke Events</th>
<th>Incidence Rate* (95% CI)</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low (MMSE &gt;27)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17.4 (8.0–26.9)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate (MMSE 25–27)</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27.8 (13.7–41.9)</td>
<td>1.59 (0.76–3.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (MMSE &lt;25)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>49.5 (31.1–67.8)</td>
<td>2.85 (1.48–5.51)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI indicates confidence interval; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; and ref, reference.

*Incidence rates were estimated per 1000 person-year.

---

Figure 2. Receiver operating characteristic curves showing performance of Framingham risk score and Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE) for predicting 5-year risk of first-time stroke. CI indicates confidence interval.
Cognitive impairment is common in old age and has a clear association with brain vascular pathologies and disturbances in cerebrovascular hemodynamics. Thus, cognitive assessment has been proposed as a tool to identify younger elderly subjects at risk for stroke. In a population-based study including 9451 subjects aged ≥65 years, cognitive impairment was associated with a 2-fold increased risk for fatal incident stroke. Similarly, a recent large study of 30959 individuals aged >55 years at increased cardiovascular risk showed that impaired cognitive function is associated with a graded increase in risk of stroke. Findings of our study extend this evidence to the oldest old population and show that in very old age, when the association between conventional vascular risk factors and cerebrovascular events is weak, cognitive assessment might be considered as a tool for identifying subjects at high risk for stroke. Given that the population of very old subjects is rapidly increasing worldwide and particularly in developed countries, our findings highlight a need for the development of new prediction models for stroke in this age group and suggest that cognitive performance can be considered as a potential component in future prediction models.

We performed our analysis in the Leiden 85-plus Study, which is a population-based cohort with a relatively large number of participants, low attrition rate, and a long follow-up period. However, limitations of this study should be kept in mind when evaluating the results. Lack of neuroimaging data to determine type of stroke can be a limitation of this study, although it has been previously reported that the majority of strokes in very old age are of the ischemic type. Moreover, silent strokes are frequently observed in older subjects. Therefore, there is a possibility that subjects in the group with high cognitive impairment had more clinically unrecognized strokes, which predisposed them to the subsequent stroke events. In addition, we assessed level of cognitive impairment only based on MMSE scores. Because there is no single criterion or definition for cognitive impairment, MMSE may not be the optimum tool to evaluate level of cognitive impairment. Despite this limitation, MMSE is commonly used in clinical settings and no expertise is involved in its application, which makes it an appropriate candidate for identification of the oldest old subjects at high risk for stroke. It is possible that cognitive tests, such as Montreal Cognitive Assessment, that are more sensitive to vascular cognitive impairment might better predict stroke events in old age.

In conclusion, we showed that the Framingham stroke risk score is not predictive for first-time stroke in a general population of the oldest old individuals. In contrast, low cognitive function predicts higher risk of stroke in the oldest old. Assessment of cognitive function can be considered as an easily accessible tool to identify very old subjects at risk for stroke. Findings of this study need to be validated in the other cohorts of the oldest old people.
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Table S-1: Risk of stroke in relation to level of cognitive impairment adjusted for socio-demographic and cardiovascular factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95%CI)</td>
<td>HR (95%CI)</td>
<td>HR (95%CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of stroke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex and education adjusted model</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.60-2.82)</td>
<td>2.33 (1.15-4.73)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariate adjusted model*</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.56-2.67)</td>
<td>2.14 (1.04-4.40)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adjusted for sex, education, smoking, history of cardiovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes and atrial fibrillation and total serum cholesterol

Table S-2: Risk of stroke in relation to Framingham risk score and level of cognitive impairment excluding subjects who developed stroke in the first year of follow up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Framingham five-year stroke risk</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low (3.7%-13.2%)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate (13.3% -22.2%)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.61-2.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (22.3%-97.4%)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.38-1.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P for trend: 0.688</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive impairment</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low (MMSE&gt;27)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate (MMSE 25-27)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.50-2.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (MMSE&lt;25)</td>
<td>2.34 (1.14-4.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P for trend: 0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>