Carotid Bifurcation Geometry Is an Independent Predictor of Early Wall Thickening at the Carotid Bulb
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Background and Purpose—Lumen geometry has long been suspected as a risk factor for atherosclerosis by virtue of its influence on blood flow disturbances. Confirmation of this geometric risk hypothesis has, however, proved challenging owing to possible effects of wall thickening on geometry and unproven links between candidate geometric variables and disturbed flow. The purpose of this study was to overcome these challenges.

Methods—The study relied on imaging and risk factor data from progressively refined subsets of the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Carotid MRI study. Group 1 (n=467) included only nonstenotic cases having sufficient quality angiography for 3-dimensional analysis. Group 2 (n=346) excluded cases from group 1 having common and internal carotid artery wall thickness above previously identified thresholds for inward remodeling. Group 3 (n=294) excluded cases from group 2 having lumen irregularities and thus was least likely to include lumen geometries influenced by wall thickening.

Results—Multiple linear regressions showed that for group 3, bifurcation flare and proximal curvature were independent predictors of internal carotid artery wall thickness, consistent with their previously demonstrated roles in predicting disturbed flow. For the broadest group 1, flare was an independent predictor of internal carotid artery wall thickness but with a sign change in regression coefficient reflecting effects of wall thickening on lumen geometry.

Conclusions—Carotid bifurcation geometry is an independent, albeit weak, predictor of its early wall thickening, but only when assumptions about geometric factors, and the influence of disease on them, are confronted. This highlights pitfalls of previous attempts to confirm geometric risk of atherosclerosis.
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Atherosclerosis develops primarily at bends and major branches of the arterial network, such as the carotid bifurcation.1 This focal nature is inconsistent with the systemic nature of known cardiovascular risk factors. Decades of investigations have established that these atherogenic regions experience so-called disturbed flow, characterized by low and oscillatory wall shear stress (WSS), which can induce endothelial dysfunction and subsequent increased low-density lipoprotein uptake.2 Despite strong pathophysiological and experimental evidence that knowledge of disturbed blood flow dynamics might augment widely accepted systemic markers of atherosclerosis risk, clinical use of such local risk factors remains unclear, owing to practical challenges of carrying out large-enough clinical studies via state-of-the-art imaging and computational methods.3,4

Instead it has been suggested, at least as far back as the early 1980s, that aspects of artery lumen geometry (the primary determinant of local hemodynamics) might serve as clinically feasible surrogate local risk markers for atherosclerosis.5 Early investigations of this geometric risk hypothesis were equivocal,6,8 in part, owing to relatively small sample sizes. Since the early 2000s, larger studies have reinvigorated the search for geometric risk factors for atherosclerosis. Analysis of nearly 3000 angiograms from the European Carotid Stenosis Trial confirmed the presence of major variations in carotid bifurcation geometry, a necessary condition for geometric risk. In a community-based study of >3000 middle-aged adults, Polak et al10 found that traditional risk factors explained more variability of intima-media thickness (IMT) at the common carotid artery (CCA) than at the internal carotid artery (ICA) or carotid bulb. They hypothesized that these segment-specific differences were likely linked to bifurcation geometry and differences in hemodynamics. In an ultrasound study of 1300 normal, middle-aged-to-older adults, Sitzer et al11 demonstrated that orientation of the bifurcation within the neck was an independent predictor of high IMT at the carotid bulb. Most recently, a computed tomography–based study of 178 older adults identified proximal ICA radius and ICA angle as significant independent predictors of stenosis.12

Common to these and other cross-sectional studies is the assumption that secondary effects of wall thickening on geometry can be ignored, or at least minimized by focusing on cases with mild (eg, <30% North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial [NASCET]) stenosis. Thomas
et al\textsuperscript{13} demonstrated, however, that there is significantly wider variability of the carotid bifurcation geometry of older adults with mild or no disease compared with young, nominally healthy adults. Most studies also assume that their candidate geometric risk factors are predictors of disturbed WSS, which provides the essential mechanistic link between geometry and early wall thickening. Lee et al\textsuperscript{14} demonstrated, however, that may not always be the case. The aim of the present study was, therefore, to test the geometric risk hypothesis in a way that, for the first time, explicitly accounts for the above assumptions. Specifically, we hypothesized that variables characterizing carotid bifurcation lumen geometry are independent predictors of wall thickening at the ICA, but not the CCA; but that this will only be evident if those geometric variables are proven predictors of disturbed WSS, and then only if effects of wall thickening on carotid bifurcation geometry are carefully excluded.

Materials and Methods

Study Participants and Imaging Protocols

This study focused on MRI and cardiovascular risk factor data collected by the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Carotid MRI study.\textsuperscript{15} That study enrolled 2066 participants, aged 60 to 85 years, to investigate genomic, metabolic, and cellular correlates of carotid plaque components. Informed consent was obtained from all participants and local institutional review committees approved the study.

A comprehensive 1.5T MRI protocol was used for all participants, as detailed by Wasserman et al.\textsuperscript{16} Of relevance to the present study, a 3-dimensional (3D) time-of-flight magnetic resonance angiogram was acquired to localize the bifurcations and measure NASCET stenosis severity. Individual T1-weighted black blood MRI slices were acquired at each CCA 1.5 cm below the bifurcation flow divider. A series of 16 black blood images was then acquired through the carotid bifurcation having the maximum IMT at the participant’s most recent ARIC ultrasound scan or the contralateral carotid if its wall appeared thicker or its lumen more stenotic. A 3D contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiogram was then acquired during intravenous injection of gadodiamide. Five minutes after this injection, the black blood acquisitions were repeated.

Analysis of Carotid Wall Thickness

Postcontrast black blood images were analyzed previously using semi-automated software as part of the ARIC Carotid MRI study.\textsuperscript{16} Contours were drawn to delineate the lumen and outer wall in each image. The arterial wall was then divided automatically into 12 radial segments, and a wall thickness (WT) was measured for each. Mean and maximum WTs were reported for both CCAs and for the selected ICA at the first black blood slice distal to the flow divider (FD+1). In some cases, the carotid plaque of interest was located far above the flow divider, and so the FD+1 location was not included in the black blood series. As a result, ICA-WT data at FD+1 were available only for n=1064 ICAs,\textsuperscript{16} which is the cohort from which our study groups were defined by applying increasingly stringent exclusion criteria to progressively filter out those cases that were likely to include an effect of wall thickening on lumen geometry.

Study Groups and Exclusion Criteria

Because the presence of a stenosis implies inward remodeling, and thus possible effects of wall thickening on lumen geometry, we first excluded 462 of the 1064 cases having >0% NASCET stenosis. We then excluded 60 cases having incomplete risk factor data, and 75 cases that could not be segmented reliably from the contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiogram owing to weak arterial signal, or poor venous suppression. Per Table 1, the remaining 467 cases were the starting point for the present study, and are denoted group 1.

Because a 0% NASCET stenosis cannot alone exclude the possibility of inward remodeling, a more restricted group 2 was defined by further excluding 121 cases with ICA-WT >1.38 mm and CCA-WT >2.06 mm, previously identified as thresholds for inward remodeling in this ARIC Carotid MRI cohort.\textsuperscript{16} These thresholds could, however, only be applied at the 2 slices where WT was measured. To minimize the possibility of inward remodeling elsewhere at the bifurcation, we defined an even more restricted group 3 by further excluding 52 cases with lumen shape irregularities or apparent stenosis proximal to the bifurcation, based on inspection of the segmented lumen surfaces by an operator blinded to the WT measurements.

Lumen Segmentation and Geometric Analysis

Each of the 467 carotid bifurcations from group 1 was segmented digitally from the contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiogram using a 3D level set approach. As shown in the Figure for representative cases, centerlines were then generated along the ICA–CCA and external carotid artery (ECA)-CCA tracts. As introduced by Thomas et al,\textsuperscript{17} these centerlines and their associated maximally inscribed spheres can be used to define a natural coordinate system for each bifurcation, allowing lumen cross-sections to be extracted at standardized locations. These elements form the basis for calculating the geometric variables described below. All analyses were automated using customized software (ArtX 1.1, Orbix Srl, Bergamo, Italy), based on methods previously developed using the open-source Vascular Modelling ToolKit\textsuperscript{18} and shown to be highly reproducible.

Geometric Variables

In 2008, Lee et al\textsuperscript{14} identified bifurcation area ratio and ICA–CCA tortuosity as significant predictors of low and oscillatory WSS at the carotid bifurcation. Per the Figure, they defined area ratio (AR1 in Lee et al\textsuperscript{14}) as the sum of the cross-sectional areas located 1 sphere radius distal to the bifurcation origin (ICA1 and ECA1 in Figure) divided by the area of the CCA section 3 radii proximal to the bifurcation (CCA3 in Figure). Tortuosity was defined as the length of the centerline between CCA3 and the distal ICA (ICAS in Figure), divided by the straight-line distance between these points.

Recently, Bijari et al\textsuperscript{19} demonstrated that hemodynamically inspired redefinitions of the geometric variables of Lee et al\textsuperscript{14} could improve substantially their ability to predict the burden of disturbed WSS. Specifically, per the Figure, flare (FlareA in Bijari et al\textsuperscript{19}) was defined as the maximum bifurcation cross-sectional area (CCAmx in Figure) divided by the area of the CCA3 section. Curvature (Tort2D in Bijari et al\textsuperscript{19}) was defined similar to the tortuosity of Lee et al, but excluding the downstream (ICA) segment and projecting the retained (CCA) segment onto a 2D plane.

Table 1. Summary of Exclusion Criteria and Study Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1064</td>
<td>ARIC carotid MRI remodeling study cohort\textsuperscript{16}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−462</td>
<td>NASCET stenosis &gt;0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−60</td>
<td>Incomplete cardiovascular risk factor data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−75</td>
<td>Low-quality contrast-enhanced MRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>467</td>
<td>Group 1: no stenosis (0% NASCET stenosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−121</td>
<td>ICA-WT &gt;1.38 mm or CCA-WT &gt;2.06 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346</td>
<td>Group 2: no stenosis, no inward remodeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−52</td>
<td>Irregular lumen shape or apparent stenosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294</td>
<td>Group 3: no stenosis, no inward remodeling, and no lumen irregularity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ARIC indicates Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities; CCA-WT, common carotid artery wall thickness; ICA-WT, internal carotid artery wall thickness; MRA, magnetic resonance angiography; and NASCET, North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial.
Physically, flaring (i.e., expansion) of the lumen promotes flow separation and consequent low and oscillatory WSS. However, curvature of the CCA proximal to the bifurcation induces swirling flow, which suppresses flow separation. As a result, per the Figure, bifurcations with high flare and low curvature are at highest risk of disturbed WSS, whereas low flare and high curvature connote lowest risk.

Cardiovascular Risk Factors
Systemic risk factors used in the present study corresponded to those included by Polak et al. and were determined previously by the ARIC Carotid MRI study. In that study, centrally trained staff measured blood pressure, height, and weight. Body mass index was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters. Smoking status was assessed using a standardized questionnaire. Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting blood glucose ≥126 mg/dL or taking insulin and oral hypoglycemic agents. Both fasting glucose and fasting lipid profile (triglycerides, total cholesterol, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol) were measured using standard laboratory techniques. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol was estimated using the Friedewald approximation. Hypertension was defined as seated resting systolic blood pressure >140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure >90 mm Hg or history of taking antihypertensive medications. Descriptive statistics for cardiovascular risk factors are summarized in Table 2. For continuous variables, t tests revealed no significant differences between the original n=1064 cohort and any of 3 groups used in the present study.

Statistical Analysis
Multiple linear regressions were performed to determine whether either of the candidate geometric variable pairs (flare and curvature; area ratio and tortuosity) could, independently of the above-described cardiovascular risk factors, significantly predict maximum ICA-WT or mean CCA-WT. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 17.0.1 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY).

Results
Starting with the broadest group 1, Table 3 shows that flare, but not curvature, was a significant independent predictor of ICA-WT. The negative regression coefficient (β=–0.199; P<0.001) implies that thicker walls were associated with narrower lumens, which would be expected if there was inward remodeling. Indeed, after explicitly excluding inward remodeling (group 2), flare no longer predicted ICA-WT. After further excluding other cases where wall thickening may have affected geometry (group 3), both flare (β=0.173; P=0.013) and curvature (β=–0.135; P=0.047) emerged as significant, albeit weak, independent predictors of ICA-WT, with opposite signs consistent with their roles in promoting versus suppressing disturbed flow.

However, as shown in Table 4, neither area ratio nor tortuosity was a significant predictor of ICA-WT for group 3, consistent with these 2 geometric variables being weaker predictors of disturbed WSS compared with their hemodynamically inspired counterparts flare and curvature. For group 1, however, area ratio, like flare, was negatively associated with ICA-WT (β=–0.213; P<0.001) again suggesting a possible effect of inward remodeling on geometry.

Turning attention to the proximal CCA, Table 3 shows that both flare (β=–0.137; P=0.012) and curvature (β=–0.113; P=0.033) were independent predictors of CCA-WT for the broadest group 1, with the negative flare coefficient again suggesting effects of inward remodeling. This inverse association persisted into group 2 (β=–0.148; P=0.025). For the most restricted group 3, however, neither flare nor curvature...
predicted CCA-WT, consistent with the CCA being a site of relatively undisturbed WSS.

**Discussion**

Geometric factors representing carotid bifurcation lumen expansion (flare) and proximal curvature have been shown previously to be strong and significant predictors of flow disturbances at the carotid bifurcation.\(^9\) They are now shown to be independent, albeit weak, predictors of ICA-WT. Moreover, the signs of the respective regression coefficients naturally uncovered the competing physical effects of flare and curvature on flow disturbances. Furthermore, neither geometric variable predicted CCA-WT, at which location blood flow is expected to be undisturbed. This reflects the segment-specific influence of hemodynamics on carotid WT.\(^9\) Although wall thickening does not always imply atherosclerosis, these findings are consistent with the geometric risk hypothesis of atherosclerosis, which is predicated on the link between low and oscillatory WSS and early wall thickening at the carotid bulb.\(^9\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>ICA-WT</th>
<th>CCA-WT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flare</td>
<td>-0.199†</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvature</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>-0.135*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.074</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex§</td>
<td>0.142*</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.125*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>-0.073</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever smoker</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Standardized Coefficients (β) From Multiple Regressions of ICA-WT and CCA-WT With Conventional Cardiovascular Risk Factors and Hemodynamically Inspired Geometric Variables of Bijari et al\(^8\) as Predictors**

BP indicates blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; CCA-WT, common carotid artery wall thickness; CV, cardiovascular; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; ICA-WT, internal carotid artery wall thickness; and LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

*For continuous variables, shown are mean±SD.
Recently, Phan et al\textsuperscript{12} reported that radius and angulation of the ICA at the carotid bifurcation were significantly and independently associated with stenosis severity in a computed tomography–based study that included cases with stenosis severities up to >80%. From this they concluded that those geometric factors may enhance the risk of stenosis independent of traditional vascular risk factors. About possible effects of stenosis on lumen geometry, the authors implied that these were minimized because they used different methods to measure the lumen expansion on disturbed WSS, but not for group 3. ICA–CCA angle was not associated with ICA-WT for any group. It is therefore doubtful that the variables of Phan et al may, as they suggested, “be of help in very early [our italics] identification of patients at high risk of developing carotid artery atherosclerosis.” Instead, their associations are much more likely a consequence rather than a cause of stenosis development, highlighting the sensitivity of geometric risk factor associations to the choice of exclusion criteria.

In an earlier ultrasound-based study of normal individuals aged 40 to 70 years, Sitzer et al\textsuperscript{11} found a higher prevalence of dorsal/dorsomedial ICA origin (ie, rotation of the carotid bifurcation within the neck) for cases with highest ICA bulb IMT, even after controlling for cardiovascular risk factors. From this they concluded angle of ICA origin may be an independent risk factor for early atherosclerotic changes at the ICA bulb. However, ICA angle of origin was previously shown to be significantly less variable in young adults versus older adults with mild disease,\textsuperscript{22} suggesting that such rotation may simply be a consequence rather than a cause of vascular aging. This is because there is no obvious relationship between rotation of the carotid bifurcation and flow disturbances within the carotid bifurcation. Sitzer et al did attempt to provide such a mechanistic link by suggesting that their angle of ICA origin may be related to the ICA angle of insertion (comparable with the ICA–CCA angle of Lee et al\textsuperscript{14}), which has been linked to flow disturbances; however, it is not clear how or if rotation of the carotid bifurcation systematically alters ICA angle of insertion. Instead, consider that systemic factors, such as hypertension, can cause arterial remodeling, and such remodeling is known to increase arterial tortuosity.\textsuperscript{23} It is therefore plausible that the carotid bifurcation, which is not fixed within the carotid sheath, may rotate under distal or proximal tortuosity. Thus, unlike the present study, there is no clear mechanistic path from geometric risk factor to the wall response it purports to predict.

### Relationship to Previous Studies

Recently, Phan et al\textsuperscript{12} reported that radius and angulation of the ICA at the carotid bifurcation were significantly and independently associated with stenosis severity in a computed tomography–based study that included cases with stenosis severities up to >80%. From this they concluded that those geometric factors may enhance the risk of stenosis independent of traditional vascular risk factors. About possible effects of stenosis on lumen geometry, the authors implied that these were minimized because they used different methods to measure the lumen expansion on disturbed WSS, but not for group 3. ICA–CCA angle was not associated with ICA-WT for any group. It is therefore doubtful that the variables of Phan et al may, as they suggested, “be of help in very early [our italics] identification of patients at high risk of developing carotid artery atherosclerosis.” Instead, their associations are much more likely a consequence rather than a cause of stenosis development, highlighting the sensitivity of geometric risk factor associations to the choice of exclusion criteria.

In an earlier ultrasound-based study of normal individuals aged 40 to 70 years, Sitzer et al\textsuperscript{11} found a higher prevalence of dorsal/dorsomedial ICA origin (ie, rotation of the carotid bifurcation within the neck) for cases with highest ICA bulb IMT, even after controlling for cardiovascular risk factors. From this they concluded angle of ICA origin may be an independent risk factor for early atherosclerotic changes at the ICA bulb. However, ICA angle of origin was previously shown to be significantly less variable in young adults versus older adults with mild disease,\textsuperscript{22} suggesting that such rotation may simply be a consequence rather than a cause of vascular aging. This is because there is no obvious relationship between rotation of the carotid bifurcation and flow disturbances within the carotid bifurcation. Sitzer et al did attempt to provide such a mechanistic link by suggesting that their angle of ICA origin may be related to the ICA angle of insertion (comparable with the ICA–CCA angle of Lee et al\textsuperscript{14}), which has been linked to flow disturbances; however, it is not clear how or if rotation of the carotid bifurcation systematically alters ICA angle of insertion. Instead, consider that systemic factors, such as hypertension, can cause arterial remodeling, and such remodeling is known to increase arterial tortuosity.\textsuperscript{23} It is therefore plausible that the carotid bifurcation, which is not fixed within the carotid sheath, may rotate under distal or proximal tortuosity. Thus, unlike the present study, there is no clear mechanistic path from geometric risk factor to the wall response it purports to predict.

### Potential Limitations and Implications

Although our hemodynamically inspired geometric variables were found to be significant independent predictors of ICA-WT, their inclusion served to increase $R^2$ from 0.112 to only 0.135 (Table 3). A much larger increase in $R^2$ was not, however, expected as Polak et al\textsuperscript{10} showed that prediction of IMT by conventional cardiovascular risk factors resulted in $R^2=0.112$ for the carotid bulb and $R^2=0.268$ for the CCA. This difference in $R^2$, which they attributed to unknown hemodynamic or geometric factors, would seem to place an upper bound on the influence of geometric risk versus conventional risk factors. Nevertheless, the muted impact of geometry in the present study may also reflect several potential limitations of our study design.

First, our geometric variables are strong, but not perfect, predictors of disturbed WSS. They might therefore mask a stronger relationship between disturbed WSS and ICA wall thickening. Second, ICA-WT was measured at a location slightly distal to the flow divider (ie, FD+1), whereas Lee et al\textsuperscript{14} have shown the disturbed WSS tends to be concentrated at the carotid bulb proximal to the flow divider. Thus, measurement of WT proximal to the flow divider might result in stronger associations. Third, it is difficult to justify a large, prospective study of geometric risk in young adults, and so our study was relatively small, retrospective, and focused on older adults.

It should also be noted that our study was not intended to be an epidemiological study, and therefore our groups do not necessarily represent the characteristics of the general population. For example, the narrow age range of ARIC participants (71.1±5.5 years) and larger proportion of hypertensives (≈70%, versus 22% for Polak et al\textsuperscript{10}) likely served to mask the well-known association between wall thickening and aging or systolic blood pressure, respectively. However, race and sex, the

### Table 4. Standardized Coefficients (β) for Geometric Variables From Multiple Regressions of ICA-WT With Conventional Cardiovascular Risk Factors and Original Geometric Variables of Lee et al\textsuperscript{14} as Predictors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area ratio</td>
<td>$-0.213^*$</td>
<td>$-0.012$</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tortuosity</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total model $R^2$</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICA-WT, internal carotid artery wall thickness. $^*P<0.001$.\n
Another key finding was that, when stenosis severity alone was used to exclude secondary effects of wall thickening on lumen geometry, geometric risk factor associations were altered in a way no longer consistent with the role of geometry in promoting or suppressing disturbed WSS. Only after excluding cases with inward remodeling and lumen irregularities—in other words, systematically narrowing the focus to cases at the earliest stages of wall thickening—our findings were in line with the geometric risk hypothesis. Finally, we showed that associations between geometry and WT could be masked using geometric variables (area ratio and tortuosity) that were shown previously to be weaker predictors of disturbed WSS. As discussed below, these findings have implications for conclusions drawn from previous tests of the geometric risk hypothesis.
other predominant predictors of carotid IMT identified by Polak et al, were both significant predictors of ICA-WT in our study.

Notwithstanding the potential limitations, Polak et al\textsuperscript{10} seem to set a modest upper bound on the influence of local versus known or unknown systemic cardiovascular risk factors on wall thickening. Thus, although our findings appear to prove the principle that carotid bifurcation geometry (and, by extension, local hemodynamics) can indeed be considered a risk factor for early carotid wall thickening, in the spirit of Hlatky et al,\textsuperscript{24} its incremental value, clinical use, and, ultimately, cost-effectiveness as a risk marker for atherosclerosis seem questionable.
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